PHILADELPHIA HOUSING AUTHORITY



MOVING TO WORK ANNUAL REPORT YEAR ELEVEN

PHA FISCAL YEAR 2012 APRIL 1, 2011-MARCH 31, 2012

FIRST SUBMISSION JUNE 29, 2012

REVISION SUBMITTED AUGUST 10, 2012

PHILADELPHIA HOUSING AUTHORITY MOVING TO WORK ANNUAL REPORT – YEAR ELEVEN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
A.	Overview of MTW Activities	1
II.	GENERAL OPERATING INFORMATION	4
A.	Housing Stock Information	4
B.	Leasing Information – Projected vs. Actual	11
C.	Waiting List Information	12
III.	NON-MTW RELATED INFORMATION	17
A.	Budget vs. Actual Sources and Uses of Other HUD or Federal Funds	17
B.	Description of Non-MTW Activities	
IV.	LONG-TERM MTW PLAN	23
V.	PROPOSED ACTIVITIES	24
VI.	ONGOING MTW ACTIVITIES	26
VII	. SOURCES AND USES OF FUNDING	49
A.	Budget vs. Actual Sources and Uses of MTW funds	49
B.	Budget vs. Actual Sources and Uses of State or Local funds	
C.	Central Office Cost Center	51
D.	Cost Allocation Plan	51
E.	Use of Single Fund Flexibility	51
F.	Reserve Balances	52
VII	I.ADMINISTRATIVE	54
A.	Correction/Elimination of Observed Deficiencies	54
В.	Agency-directed Evaluations	55
C.	Performance and Evaluation Report for Capital Fund activities	
D	Certifications	

I. INTRODUCTION

This Annual Report provides information on activities completed by the Philadelphia Housing Authority (PHA) under the Moving to Work Demonstration Program (MTW) during MTW Year 11. It covers PHA's Fiscal Year 2012, i.e. the period from April 1, 2011 to March 31, 2012.

PHA has been an MTW agency since April 2001. Pursuant to the Amended and Restated MTW Agreement (MTW Agreement) executed in October 2008, and subsequently amended to allow for "broader uses of authority," PHA will continue to be in the MTW Demonstration through 2018. The MTW Agreement describes both the authorities granted to PHA under MTW and the requirements for participation.

MTW is a demonstration program authorized by Congress, through which participating agencies are given the flexibility to waive certain statutes and U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) regulations in order to design and test approaches for providing housing assistance that address one or more of the following objectives:

- 1) Reduce cost and achieve greater cost effectiveness in Federal expenditures;
- 2) Give incentives to families with children whose heads of household are either working, seeking work, or are participating in job training, educational or other programs that assist in obtaining employment and becoming economically self-sufficient; and,
- 3) Increase housing choices for low-income families.

The three objectives listed above are referred to as "MTW statutory objectives." PHA's Annual Report includes information on both: "MTW Activities," i.e. initiatives which promote one or more statutory objectives and that require use of authority granted to PHA under its MTW Agreement; and, "non-MTW Activities," i.e. all other activities that do not specifically require MTW authority to implement. Organizing the Report into MTW and non-MTW activities is a HUD requirement; however, it should be noted that the funding flexibility allowed under the MTW Agreement impacts most areas of PHA operations.

A. Overview of MTW Activities

This Annual Report provides details on PHA's major MTW and related activities during the Plan year. As discussed in last year's Annual Report, in March 2011, the PHA Board of Commissioners agreed to transfer operation and management of the PHA to HUD. HUD, PHA, the Mayor and the City Controller executed a Cooperative Endeavor Agreement specifying the terms of HUD's control and a framework for appointing a new Board and returning PHA to local control which was originally estimated to occur within a one year period. HUD's then-Chief Operating Officer, Estelle Richman, was named to serve as the PHA Board and Michael Kelly was appointed Administrative Receiver. Subsequently, Karen Newton Cole replaced Ms. Richman as the HUD-designated PHA Board of Commissioner. Mr. Kelly was appointed as PHA Executive Director in August 2011, while also continuing to serve as HUD Administrative Receiver.

In March 2012, the Cooperative Endeavor Agreement was extended for a term until HUD and the Mayor determine that PHA has built sufficient capacity to be self-supportive.

The Cooperative Endeavor Agreement requires the Administrative Receiver to develop and implement a Recovery Plan to address PHA's operations and governance. The Recovery Plan includes those tasks which must be completed in order to return PHA to local governance. It focuses on resolving those areas which led to HUD's takeover of PHA, including addressing and resolving OIG and other external audit findings. The majority of Recovery Plan activities were completed as of March 31, 2012. Key accomplishments during the Plan year relating to the Recovery Plan include:

- PHA substantially completed the restructuring and staffing of the Office of General Counsel, Office of Audit and Compliance and Human Resources Department.
- Agency-wide mandatory training was conducted on PHA's revised ethics, sexual harassment, and related policies.
- A new employee handbook was developed for publication in FY 2013. Significant progress was made in revising PHA's overall HR policies and procedures handbook.
- New procedures were established to improve controls and documentation of construction field changes to scope and/or budget.
- A revised Procurement Policy was developed for Board review and adoption in FY 2013.
- Substantial progress was made in resolving and closing out findings from prior Office of Inspector General audits of PHA operations. Additional information may be found in Chapter VIII.A of this report.
- Work proceeded on development of a new agency-wide Management Action Plan including establishment of internal working groups and development of initial draft plans. The Management Action Plan will address both MTW and non-MTW issues and tasks and, as appropriate, refocus activities on PHA's core mission.

In addition to its Recovery Plan activities, PHA undertook a wide array of MTW initiatives during MTW Year 11 as described herein. Significant activities and accomplishments during the Plan year include:

- PHA effectively oversaw the expenditure of over \$237 million in capital funds on programs to improve conditions at public housing sites and to develop new housing options throughout the City.
- PHA moved forward with an aggressive construction program, including completing new construction and occupying 101 new construction units at Mantua Square; completing new construction and occupying 100 rental units at Paschall Village; and, completing substantial rehabilitation and conversion of 53 rental units at Plymouth Hall.

- In addition to these completed projects, PHA made substantial progress towards redevelopment of a new 51-unit low-rise community on the site of the demolished Norris Homes high-rise building.
- In late 2011, PHA conducted two successful auctions, resulting in the sale of approximately 484 distressed and vacant properties. This effort is part of PHA's efforts to reduce blight, improve Philadelphia's neighborhoods, and reposition its scattered site inventory.
- Hundreds of PHA residents participated in one or more Community Partners employment and training initiatives sponsored by PHA.
- Previously approved rent simplification and streamlining initiatives continued to be implemented in both the public housing and Housing Choice Voucher program portfolios.

The remaining sections of the MTW Annual Report provide further details on PHA's activities over the past year. As described in Chapter VI, PHA continued to effectively implement approved MTW initiatives organized into the following programs: Neighborhood Development and Revitalization; Services Enriched Housing for Seniors and People with Disabilities; Simplification and Streamlining of Rent, Recertification and Inspection Processes for HCV and Public Housing; HCV Program Participation; Unit Based Leasing and Development; Self Sufficiency, Homeownership and Family Supportive Services; Housing Opportunities for the Homeless; and Streamline the Admissions and Transfer Process. MTW initiatives which are currently on hold are described in Chapter V.

II. GENERAL OPERATING INFORMATION

A. Housing Stock Information

Public Housing/HCV Inventory

PHA's public housing inventory includes units for families, seniors and people with disabilities located at conventional and scattered site properties including properties managed by Alternatively Management Entities (AMEs) and the Philadelphia Asset and Property Management Corporation (PAPMC). PHA's Public Housing units and authorized vouchers (projected and actual) are presented below in Tables 1 and 2:

Table 1 – MTW Public Housing Unit Inventory

	Projected as of March 2012	Actual as of March 31, 2012
MTW Public Housing Units*	16,015	15,527
Public Housing Units Available for Occupancy**	13,638	14,003

^{*}Actual reflects unit count in PIC system. PHA does not have any Non-MTW Public Housing units.

As of March 31, 2012, PHA's inventory of public housing units was 15,527, which is 488 fewer than projected in the Annual Plan due primarily to vacant and distressed scattered site disposition activity. Units available for occupancy totaled 14,003 as of March 31, 2012, which is 365 more than projected in the Annual Plan.

Table 2 - Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) Authorized

	Projected Authorized as of March 2012	Actual Authorized as of March 31, 2012
MTW Tenant Based HCV Vouchers	14,850	14,850
MTW Activity Vouchers	3,000	3,000
MTW Authorized Subtotal	17,850	17,850
Non-MTW HCV Vouchers*	1,152	1,153
Section 8 Mod Rehab/SRO	700	700
Non-MTW Authorized Subtotal	1,852	1,853
Total	19,702	19,703

^{*}Non-MTW Vouchers as of March 31st include: Family Unification Program, VASH, Designated Housing, Tenant Protection and Mainstream.

There are no changes over 10% in the Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Program inventory.

^{**}Available for Occupancy units exclude units that are vacant and not available for occupancy including units: undergoing modernization as defined in 24 CFR 990.145; approved for disposition or demolition; approved for non-dwelling uses; vacant due to litigation as defined in 24 CFR 990.145; damaged and vacant due to casualty losses; and, vacant due to circumstances beyond PHA's control as defined in 24 CFR 901.5.

Public Housing Units Added to Inventory and Under Construction

During MTW Year Eleven, PHA planned to add approximately 323 units to the public housing inventory. PHA also planned to rehab or convert 78 units and to modernize 50 units. In the Year Eleven Plan, PHA noted that actual figures may change as a result of construction and reoccupancy schedules. As of March 31, 2012, PHA had completed construction of 319 units including 122 units with accessible features. An additional 17 units were substantially modernized as part of the Markoe Street development. The change in the number of units completed was a result of normal deviations in the construction schedule. The remaining units will be completed in the coming year. Following are brief descriptions of completed and inprocess developments:

- Mantua Redevelopment: PHA completed construction and occupied all 101 planned rental units at Mantua. Unit amenities include solar photovoltaic panels, Energy Star construction and appliances, off street parking, washers/dryers, and modern kitchens with electric range and garbage disposal. Six units are handicap-accessible.
- **CBMO 902 CBMO 910:** PHA completed construction of 80 new rental units and occupied 72 at locations throughout the City. An additional 36 units were completed during MTW Year Ten as part of this initiative. (Of these 36 units completed, 8 units in CBMO 903 are now planned to be converted to 4 units. A revised development plan was submitted to HUD on 02/29/12 reflecting this unit conversion). These new affordable rental units are constructed with Structural Insulated Panels. 101 of the 116 units are handicap-accessible. Table 3 shows completed units by CBMO area.
- Markoe Street Development: PHA completed new construction of 6 rental units and substantially rehabilitated an additional 17 row-house affordable rental units as part of the Markoe Street development project. Unit amenities include modern kitchens with range and refrigerators, central air conditioning, ceiling fans, and washer/dryer hook-ups. Two units are handicap-accessible.
- Paschall Redevelopment: Construction and occupancy was completed on 100 walk up and townhome affordable rental units and a community center. Unit amenities include off-street parking, geothermal central heating and air conditioning, solar photovoltaic panels, solar hot water, storm water harvesting system, and Energy Star certified units, washers and dryers, and modern kitchens with electric range and garbage disposal. Twelve units are handicap-accessible.
- **Plymouth Hall**: Construction was completed on the rehabilitation/conversion of 72 existing efficiency and one-bedroom units vacant due to fire damage into 53 new efficiency, and one-bedroom rental apartment units, community room, common laundry, and vegetative green roof with accessible deck. Fifteen units are handicap accessible.

Table 3 provides a summary of planned versus actual unit additions during the Plan year by development including bedroom size, type and accessible features if applicable. PHA utilized MTW flexibility in the development process.

Table 3 – Planned vs. Actual Additions to Public Housing Inventory

A3450 //	Danalammant	Total # of	Actual # of Units	Completed Bedroom Sizes				Units with
AMP#	Development	Units Planned	Completed as of 3/31/12	OBR	1BR	2BR	3+BR	Accessible Features
45	Mantua Redevelopment	101	101	0	25	45	31	12
902	CBMO 902	12	12	12	0	0	0	9
903	CBMO 903	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
905	CBMO 905	20	20	20	0	0	0	17
906	CBMO 906	20	20	20	0	0	0	17
907	CBMO 907	20	20	20	0	0	0	20
908	CBMO 908	4	4	4	0	0	0	4
909	CBMO 909	20	20	20	0	0	0	14
910	CBMO 910	16	16	16	0	0	0	16
902	Markoe Street Development	6	6	0	0	6	0	1
173/174	Paschall Redevelopment	100	100	0	12	52	36	12
Total Nev	Total New Construction		319	112	37	103	67	122
		Subs	stantial Rehab/Conv	ersion				
79	Plymouth Hall	53	53	47	6	0	0	15
	Citywide SS*	25	0					0
Total Sub	stantial Rehab	78	53	47	6	0	0	15
			Modernization					
902	Markoe Street Development	17	17	0	0	9	8	1
	Citywide SS*	33	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Mo	dernization	50	17	0	0	9	8	1

^{*}Existing units to be rehabilitated or modernized.

Public Housing Unit Demolition/Disposition Activity

As noted in Table 1, PHA's inventory changed at the end of MTW Year 11 as a result of demolitions and/or disposition that occurred. Table 4 below provides a summary of demolitions/disposition activity by development:

Table 4 – Public Housing Unit Demolition/Disposition Activity

AMP#	Development	Units to be Disposed/Demolished	Justification	Status
14	Norris Apartments	179		Demolition completed. Tax
1	1 torris 1 ipartificates	1,7	with 157 rental units and two story low-rise buildings	
			with 22 rental units, and new construction of 51 two	Construction under way.
			& three story townhouse rental units, with disposition	
			to limited partnerships under long term ground leases	
			pursuant to mixed finance transactions	
44	Liddonfield	463	Demolition of 463 rental units, pursuant to a HUD	Demolition and mandatory
			approved demolition of 51 units and mandatory	conversion approval received
			conversion of 412 units.	from HUD. Demolition
				completed. RFP issued.
24	Queen Lane	120	Demolition of high-rise apartment building to develop	
	Apartment		55 new two & three story townhouse rental units,	submitted.
	•			Demolition/Disposition
				application to be submitted.
			transactions	
901	Scattered Sites	41	Potential up to 41 vacant units to be sold pursuant to	Disposition approval
			PHA 1780 vacant scattered sites disposition initiative	received.*
			to create a more sustainable occupied scattered site	
			portfolio, and to stabilize communities by reducing	
			the number of PHA-owned vacant structures that are	
			considered beyond PHA potential for repair	
902	Scattered Sites	95		Disposition approval
			PHA 1780 vacant scattered sites disposition initiative.	received.*
			See above description	
903	Scattered Sites	37		Disposition approval
			PHA 1780 vacant scattered sites disposition initiative.	received.*
004	9 191	0.4	See above description.	
904	Scattered Sites	94		Disposition approval
			PHA 1780 vacant scattered sites disposition initiative.	received.*
005	G 1 G'	02	See above description.	Diametric and a second
905	Scattered Sites	92		Disposition approval
			1780 vacant scattered sites disposition initiative. See above description.	received.**
006	Scattered Sites	139	*	Disposition approval
906	Scattered Sites	139	Potential up to 139 units to be sold pursuant to PHA 1780 vacant scattered sites disposition initiative. See	Disposition approval
			above description.	received.
907	Scattered Sites	76		Disposition approval
907	Scattered Sites	70	1780 vacant scattered sites disposition initiative. See	
			above description.	received.
908	Scattered Sites	142		Disposition approval
700	Seattered Sites	172	<u> </u>	received.*
			above description.	
909	Scattered Sites	198		Disposition approval
	20000100		1780 vacant scattered sites disposition initiative. See	
			above description.	
910	Scattered Sites	225	-	Disposition approval
- 10	.,		1780 vacant scattered sites disposition initiative. See	
			above description.	
Total U	nits	1,901		
		,		

^{*} PHA sold 484 scattered site properties at auctions in 2011. Closings are in process.

Significant Capital Expenditures

During Year Eleven, PHA expended over \$237 million in capital funds (including ARRA funds) on a wide array of projects summarized below. PHA's capital planning and development strategies are designed to support, rehabilitate and modernize existing PHA sites and to revitalize neighborhoods throughout the City. PHA works to leverage its limited PHA resources with other resources such as Low Income Housing Tax Credits, private equity, and state and local funding sources. Utilization of MTW flexibility has been a critical element in PHA's modernization and development efforts. As documented in prior MTW Annual Plans and Reports, PHA has been enormously successful in these efforts.

PHA's capital activities for Year Eleven include Mixed Finance, ARRA and prior year CFP projects that are implemented over multiple fiscal years. The Capital Fund, Replacement Housing Fund, ARRA and/or other sources such as program income, bonds and other mixed-financing programs fund these development activities. Table 5 below summarizes PHA's capital activities during the Plan year.

Table 5 - MTW Year Eleven Capital Expenditures

Development Name	Scope of Work	Budgeted Capital Expenditures	Actual Capital Expenditures 4/1/11 to 3/31/12	ARRA Funds Expended 4/1/11 to 3/31/12	Total Expended from 4/1/11 to 3/31/12	% of all Expenditures from 4/1/11 to 3/31/12
PHA-Wide	Security Upgrades	9,971,811	0	0	0	0.00%
PHA-Wide Scattered Site Replacement units	New Development	28,480,000	5,202,321	19,464,915	24,667,236	86.61%
PHA-Wide Scattered Sites Vacancy Reduction	Vacancy Reduction	36,470,137	4,020,136	31,450,000	35,470,136	97.26%
PHA-Wide MEP Upgrade/Enhancement	Building Infrastructure Systems Upgrade/ Enhancement	26,599,191	0	26,598,612	26,598,612	100.00%
PHA-Wide Sprinkler Upgrade	High-rise Sprinkler Standpipes Improvements	7,000,000	0	6,124,426	6,124,426	87.49%
Plymouth Hall Major Rehab	Renovation and Reconfiguration of Existing Bldg.	14,489,108	8,195,532	6,923,705	15,119,237	104.35%
Third Party Capital Requests	New Development	500,000	0	0	0	0.00%
Millcreek Extension ¹	New Development	8,000,000	0	0	0	0.00%
Warnock ¹	New Development	29,345,425	29,345,425	0	29,345,425	100.00%
Mantua ¹	New Development	33,424,493	14,460,849	10,000,000	24,460,849	73.18%
Paschall ¹	New Development	30,759,164	16,935,635	13,315,000	30,250,635	98.35%
PHA-Wide	Accessibility Improvements	500,000	500,000	0	500,000	100.00%
PHA-Wide	Energy	500,000	0	0	0	0.00%

Development Name	Scope of Work	Budgeted Capital Expenditures	Actual Capital Expenditures 4/1/11 to 3/31/12	ARRA Funds Expended 4/1/11 to 3/31/12	Total Expended from 4/1/11 to 3/31/12	% of all Expenditures from 4/1/11 to 3/31/12
	Conservation					
PHA-Wide	Sparkle Plus	2,300,000	0	0	0	0.00%
PHA-Wide	Energy Conservation	3,000,000	0	0	0	0.00%
PHA-Wide-Scattered Sites	Accessibility Improvements	5,000,000	4,305,209	0	4,305,209	86.10%
Belmont-Mantua Scattered Site ¹	New Development	1,700,000	0	0	0	0.00%
Blumberg	Upgrades to Non- dwelling Spaces	6,000,000	484,730	0	484,730	8.08%
Administrative Building ²	Demolition and New Development	20,000,000	0	0	0	0.00%
Wilson Park	Accessibility Improvements	7,556,026	440,000	4,747,146	5,187,146	68.65%
Harrison Plaza	Accessibility Improvements	5,183,007	1,530,000	1,306,245	2,836,245	54.72%
Fairhill	Accessibility Improvements	7,489,325	3,400,000	2,873,700	6,273,700	83.77%
Johnson Homes	Accessibility Improvements	8,482,540	4,040,000	3,111,250	7,151,250	84.31%
Wilson Park	Upgrades to Non- dwelling Spaces	3,000,000	2,801,000	0	2,801,000	93.37%
Norris Apartments	New Development	13,000,000	14,836,930	0	14,836,930	114.13%
Community Center ³	New Development	6,000,000	0	0	0	0.00%
Queen Lane	New Development	15,000,000	0	0	0	0.00%
Liddonfield	Demolition	3,977,170	3,977,170	0	3,977,170	100.00%
TOTAL		333,727,397	114,474,937	125,915,000	240,389,936	72.03%

Project-Based Units

A total of 1,773 vouchers were projected to be project-based by the end of MTW Year 11. As of March 31, 2012, PHA has 1,787 HCV vouchers under project-based contracts or commitments including projects under the MTW Unit Based Voucher program as shown in Table 6.

¹ Capital Funding needs for Mixed-Finance Developments
² Funding provided from non-federal sources. The Administrative Building project has been placed on hold.

³ Community Center has been placed on hold.

Table 6 - Unit-Based Voucher Units

1260 (Spruce/Ritner/7th/Chestnut)	Project Name/Sponsor	Target Population	Current (4/1/2011-3/31/2012)
1260 (Rhawn/Academy) Family/very low income 10 1260 (Wingate/Blakiston/Reed) Family/very low income 10 1260 (Wingate/Blakiston/Reed) Family/very low income 15 1260 Housing Family/very low income 53 1260 Northeast (Inglis Accessible) Accessible/very low income 53 1260 Northeast (Inglis Accessible) Accessible/very low income 11 4th and Diamond St (WCRP) Family/very low income 32 Art Apartments Family/very low income 32 Ascension Manor * Accessible/very low income 32 Ascociacion Puertorriquenos En Marcha ** Family/very low income 20 Belmont Affordable Housing IV Family/very low income 4 Bernice Elza Homes Emancipated teens 6 Bethesda Project (Bainbridge Men/very low income 20 Bethesda Project (Spruce) Men/very low income 13 Bethesda Project (Spruce) Men/very low income 13 Bethesda Project (South) Men/very low income 22 Brentwood Parkside Assoc. Family/very low income 18 Diamond II Family/very low income 18 Diamond II Family/very low income 14 Diamond II Family/very low income 4 Diamond II Family/very low income 54 Diamond II Family/very low income 54 Diamond II Family/very low income 54 Dignity Hill (BOSS) Family/very low income 54 Dignity Housing Family/very low income 54 Dignity Housing Family/very low income 54 Dignity Enhanced Services Project (Nedro) Family/very low income 6 Fattah Homes I Homeless/very low income 6 Fattah Homes I Homeless/very low income 6 Fattah Homes I Homeless/very low income 6 Fattah Homes I Family/very low income 6 Help Philadelphia II Assoc. Homeless 14 Hamil Homes Accessible/very low income 6 Imail II Family/very low income 6 Imail II Fa		Family/very low income	33
1260 (Snyder/Frankford/Benner/Spruce) Family/very low income 10 1260 (Wingate/Blakiston/Redd) Family/very low income 15 1260 Housing Family/very low income 15 1260 Housing Family/very low income 15 1260 Housing Family/very low income 11 4th and Diamond St (WCRP) Family/very low income 11 4th and Diamond St (WCRP) Family/very low income 32 Art Apartments Family/very low income 30 Ascension Manor * Accessible/very low income 32 Associacion Deterorriquenos En Marcha ** Family/very low income 20 Belmont Affordable Housing IV Family/very low income 4 Bernice Elza Homes Emancipated teens 6 Bethesda Project (Bainbridge Men/very low income 20 Bethesda Project (Spruce) Men/very low income 13 Bethesda Project (Spruce) Men/very low income 5 Boriquen Asso Il/Jardines Family/very low income 5 Boriquen Asso Il/Jardines Family/very low income 22 Brentwood Parkside Assoc. Pamily/very low income 14 Diamond II Family/very low income 14 Diamond III Family/very low income 6 Diamond III Family/very low income 54 Dignity: Enhanced Services Project (Nedro) Family/very low income 8 Dignity: Inhanced Services Project (Nedro) Family/very low income 6 Family/very low income 6 Fattah Homes II Homeless/very low income 6 Fattah Homes II Homeless/very low income 6 Hamil Mills Senior/very low income 6 Hamil Mills Senior/very low income 6 Hamil Mills Family/very low income 6 Hamil Homes Accessible/very low income 6 Hamil Homes Ac	1260 (Mercy/3rd St/McKean/9th/4th/Reed)	Family/very low income	28
1260 (Wingate/Blakiston/Reed) Family/very low income 15 1260 Notheast (Inglis Accessible) Accessible/very low income 53 1260 Northeast (Inglis Accessible) Accessible/very low income 11 4th and Diamond St (WCRP) Family/very low income 32 Art Apartments Family/very low income 32 Ascension Manor * Accessible/very low income 20 Belmont Affordable Housing IV Family/very low income 4 Bernice Elza Homes Emancipated teens 6 Bethesda Project (Spruce) Men/very low income 20 Bethesda Project (Spruce) Men/very low income 20 Bethesda Project (South) Men/very low income 20 Bethesda Project (South) Men/very low income 5 Boriquen Asso Il/Jardines Family/very low income 5 Boriquen Asso Il/Jardines Family/very low income 22 Emanly/very low income 22 Emanly/very low income 22 Emanly/very low income 14 Diamond II Family/very low income 6 Diamond III Family/very low income 54 Dignity Housing Family/very low income 54 Dignity III: (BOSS) Family/very low income 54 Dignity: Enhanced Services Project (Nedro) Family/very low income 4 Dunlap Apartment Family/very low income 6 Fattah Homes I Homeless/very low income 6 Family/very low income 6 Fattah Homes I Homeless/very low income 6 Family/very low income 6 Fam	1260 (Rhawn/Academy)	Family/very low income	21
1260 Housing	1260 (Snyder/Frankford/Benner/Spruce)	Family/very low income	10
1260 Northeast (Inglis Accessible) Accessible/very low income 31	1260 (Wingate/Blakiston/Reed)	Family/very low income	15
4th and Diamond St (WCRP) Family/very low income 32 Art Apartments Family/very low income 30 Ascension Manor * Accessible/very low income 32 Asociacion Puertorriquenos En Marcha ** Family/very low income 20 Belmont Affordable Housing IV Family/very low income 4 Bernice Elza Homes Emancipated teens 6 Bethesda Project (Bainbridge Men/very low income 20 Bethesda Project (Bainbridge Men/very low income 13 Bethesda Project (Spruce) Men/very low income 5 Bethesda Project (Spruce) Men/very low income 5 Boriquen Asso II/Jardines Family/very low income 22 Brentwood Parkside Assoc. Family/very low income 22 Brentwood Parkside Assoc. Family/very low income 14 Diamond II Family/very low income 18 Diamond II Family/very low income 6 Diamond III Family/very low income 6 Diamond III Family/very low income 6 Diamond III Family/very low income 5 Dignity Housing Family/very low income 5 Dignity III: (BOSS) Family/very low income 8 Dignity III: (BOSS) Family/very low income 8 Dignity III: (BOSS) Family/very low income 35 Edgewood Manor Family/very low income 3 Edgewood Manor Family/very low income 3 Edgewood Manor Family/very low income 3 Edgewood Manor Family/very low income 4 Edatah Homes II Homeless/very low income 4 Famil Mills Senior/very low income 24 Hammil Mills Senior/very low income 4 Hammil Homes Accessible/very low income 6 Imani II Family/very low income 10 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 10 Inglis House - Part 2 Family/very low income 10 Inglis House - Part 2 Family/very low income 25 Kate	1260 Housing	Family/very low income	53
Art Apartments Family/very low income 30 Ascension Manor * Accessible/very low income 32 Asociacion Puertorriquenos En Marcha ** Eamily/very low income 20 Belmont Affordable Housing IV Family/very low income 4 Bernice Elza Homes Emancipated teens 6 Bethesda Project (Bainbridge Men/very low income 20 Bethesda Project (Spruce) Men/very low income 13 Bethesda Project (South) Men/very low income 5 Boriquen Asso II/Jardines Family/very low income 5 Boriquen Asso II/Jardines Family/very low income 22 Erentwood Parkside Assoc. Family/very low income 22 Chatham Apts. Assoc., LP Family/very low income 18 Diamond I Family/very low income 18 Diamond II Family/very low income 6 Diamond III Family/very low income 6 Diamond III Family/very low income 20 Dignity Housing Family/very low income 54 Dignity; Enhanced Services Project (Nedro) Family/very low income 4 Dunlap Apartment Family/very low income 35 Edgewood Manor Family/very low income 33 Fattah Homes I Homeless/very low income 6 Fourth Street ACCESS Family/very low income 6 Fattah Homes II Homeless/very low income 6 Help Philadelphia II Assoc. Homeless 10 Homeless 11 Imani II Family/very low income 24 Imani Homes Accessible/very low income 6 Imani IV Family/very low income 6 Imani IV Family/very low income 6 Imani III Family/very low income 6 Imani IV Family/very low income 11 Imani II Family/very low income 11 Imani II Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 19 Inglis House - Part 2 Family/very low income 16 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 16 Inglis House - Part 2 Family/very low income 16 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 16 Inglis House - Part 2 Family/very low income 25 Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E) Homeless/very low income 25 Kate's Place (Pro	1260 Northeast (Inglis Accessible)	Accessible/very low income	11
Ascension Manor * Accessible/very low income 20 Asociacion Puertorriquenos En Marcha ** Family/very low income 20 Belmont Affordable Housing IV Family/very low income 4 Bernice Elza Homes Emancipated teens 6 Bethesda Project (Bainbridge Men/very low income 20 Bethesda Project (South) Men/very low income 13 Bethesda Project (South) Men/very low income 5 Boriquen Asso Il/Jardines Family/very low income 22 Brentwood Parkside Assoc. Family/very low income 22 Chatham Apts. Assoc., LP Family/very low income 18 Diamond I Family/very low income 18 Diamond II Family/very low income 14 Diamond II Family/very low income 20 Dignity Housing Family/very low income 20 Dignity III: (BOSS) Family/very low income 20 Dignity III: (BOSS) Family/very low income 34 Dignity: Enhanced Services Project (Nedro) Family/very low income 35 Edgewood Manor Family/very low income 35 Edgewood Manor Family/very low income 35 Fattah Homes I Homeless/very low income 6 Family/very low income 6 Fattah Homes I Homeless/very low income 6 Fattah Homes I Homeless/very low income 6 Family/very low income 11 Imani II Family/very low income 12 Imani II Family/very low income 13 Imani II Family/very lo	4th and Diamond St (WCRP)	Family/very low income	32
Asociacion Puertorriquenos En Marcha ** Family/very low income 4 Belmont Affordable Housing IV Family/very low income 4 Bernice Elza Homes 5 Bernice Elza Homes 6 Bethesda Project (Bainbridge Men/very low income 20 Bethesda Project (Spruce) Men/very low income 13 Bethesda Project (Spruce) Men/very low income 13 Bethesda Project (South) Men/very low income 5 Boriquen Asso Il/Jardines Family/very low income 22 Brentwood Parkside Assoc. Family/very low income 22 Brentwood Parkside Assoc. Family/very low income 18 Diamond I Family/very low income 18 Diamond II Family/very low income 6 Diamond III Family/very low income 6 Diamond III Family/very low income 20 Dignity Housing Family/very low income 20 Dignity Housing Family/very low income 30 Dignity Enhanced Services Project (Nedro) Family/very low income 4 Dunlap Apartment Family/very low income 35 Edgewood Manor Family/very low income 35 Edgewood Manor Family/very low income 6 Fattah Homes I Homeless/very low income 6 Fattah Homes I Homeless/very low income 6 Fattah Homes I Homeless/very low income 6 Halmani Mills Senior/very low income 24 Hammil Mills Senior/very low income 24 Hammil Mills Senior/very low income 40 Help Philadelphia II Assoc. Homeless 14 Imani Homes Accessible/very low income 6 Imani III Family/very low income 11 Imani IV Family/very low income 19 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 19 Inglis House - Part 2 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 2 Family/very low income 16 Inglis House - Part 2 Family/very low income 16 Inglis House - Part 2 Family/very low income 16 Inglis House - Part 2 Family/very low income 25 Ustin Associates/Locust Towers Family/very low income 25 Lehigh Park Apts. II Family/very low income 25	Art Apartments	Family/very low income	30
Belmont Affordable Housing IV Family/very low income 4 Bernice Elza Homes Emancipated teens 6 Bethesda Project (Bainbridge Men/very low income 20 Bethesda Project (Spruce) Men/very low income 13 Bethesda Project (South) Men/very low income 5 Boriquen Asso II/Jardines Family/very low income 5 Boriquen Asso II/Jardines Family/very low income 22 Chatham Apts. Assoc., LP Family/very low income 18 Diamond I Family/very low income 14 Diamond II Family/very low income 6 Diamond II Family/very low income 20 Dignity Housing Family/very low income 20 Dignity Housing Family/very low income 54 Dignity III: (BOSS) Family/very low income 8 Dignity Enhanced Services Project (Nedro) Family/very low income 4 Dunlap Apartment Family/very low income 33 Edgewood Manor Family/very low income 6 Fattah Homes I Homeless/very low income 6 Fattah Homes I Homeless/very low income 6 Fourth Street ACCESS Family/very low income 24 Hammil Mills Senior/very low income 24 Hammil Mills Senior/very low income 24 Imani Homes Accessible/very low income 24 Imani Homes Pamily/very low income 24 Imani Homes Accessible/very low income 24 Imani Homes Pamily/very low income 35 Inglis House Part 1 Family/very low income 6 Imani II Family/very low income 11 Imglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 6 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 19 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 19 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 19 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 16 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 16 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 16 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 16 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 16 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 19 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 16 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 25 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 16 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 2	Ascension Manor *	Accessible/very low income	32
Bernice Elza Homes Emancipated teens 6	Asociacion Puertorriquenos En Marcha **	Family/very low income	20
Bethesda Project (Bainbridge Men/very low income 13 Bethesda Project (Spruce) Men/very low income 13 Bethesda Project (South) Men/very low income 5 Boriquen Asso II/Jardines Family/very low income 22 Brentwood Parkside Assoc. Family/very low income 22 Chatham Apts. Assoc., LP Family/very low income 18 Diamond I Family/very low income 14 Diamond II Family/very low income 6 Diamond III Family/very low income 20 Dignity Housing Family/very low income 20 Dignity Housing Family/very low income 34 Dignity III: (BOSS) Family/very low income 8 Dignity: Enhanced Services Project (Nedro) Family/very low income 35 Edgewood Manor Family/very low income 35 Edgewood Manor Family/very low income 36 Fattah Homes II Homeless/very low income 6 Fattah Homes II Homeless/very low income 6 Fattah Homes II Homeless/very low income 24 Hammil Mills Senior/very low income 24 Hammil Mills Senior/very low income 24 Hammil Mills Senior/very low income 35 Infill Homeless 40 Imani Homes Accessible/very low income 6 Imani II Family/very low income 6 Imani II Family/very low income 11 Imani II Family/very low income 6 Imani III Family/very low income 6 Imani III Family/very low income 6 Imani III Family/very low income 11 Imani II Family/very low income 6 Imani III Family/very low income 11 Imani II Family/very low income 11 Imani II Family/very low income 11 Imani II Family/very low income 6 Imani III Family/very low income 11 Imani II Family/very low income 11 Imani III Family/very low income 11 Imani III Family/very low income 11 Imani III Family/very low income 15 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 16 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 16 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 16 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 16 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 16 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 25 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 25 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low incom	Belmont Affordable Housing IV	Family/very low income	4
Bethesda Project (Spruce) Men/very low income 5 Boriquen Asso II/Jardines Family/very low income 22 Brentwood Parkside Assoc. Family/very low income 22 Chatham Apts. Assoc., LP Family/very low income 18 Diamond I Family/very low income 14 Diamond II Family/very low income 6 Diamond III Family/very low income 10 Diamond III Family/very low income 10 Diamond III Family/very low income 20 Dignity Housing Family/very low income 20 Dignity Housing Family/very low income 54 Dignity III: (BOSS) Family/very low income 8 Dignity: Enhanced Services Project (Nedro) Family/very low income 4 Dunlap Apartment Family/very low income 33 Edgewood Manor Family/very low income 33 Fattah Homes I Homeless/very low income 6 Fattah Homes I Family/very low income 6 Fattah Homes I Homeless/very low income 6 Fattah Homes I Family/very low income 6 Fattah Homes I Homeless/very low income 6 Fattah Homes I Homeless/very low income 6 Fattah Homes I Family/very low income 14 Hamil Homes Accessible/very low income 14 Hamil Homes Accessible/very low income 6 Imani II Family/very low income 11 Imani II Family/very low income 6 Imani III Family/very low income 6 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 2 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 2 Family/very low income 16 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 2 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 2 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 3 Family/very low income 19 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 25 Inglis House - Part 3	Bernice Elza Homes	Emancipated teens	6
Bethesda Project (Spruce) Men/very low income 5 Berhesda Project (South) Men/very low income 5 Boriquen Asso II/Jardines Family/very low income 22 Brentwood Parkside Assoc. Family/very low income 22 Chatham Apts. Assoc., LP Family/very low income 18 Diamond II Family/very low income 14 Diamond II Family/very low income 6 Diamond III Family/very low income 20 Dignity Housing Family/very low income 20 Dignity Housing Family/very low income 54 Dignity: Enhanced Services Project (Nedro) Family/very low income 8 Dignity: Enhanced Services Project (Nedro) Family/very low income 4 Dunlap Apartment Family/very low income 33 Edgewood Manor Family/very low income 33 Fattah Homes I Homeless/very low income 6 Fattah Homes I Homeless/very low income 6 Fatth Homes II Homeless/very low income 6 Fatth Homes II Homeless/very low income 6 Felth Homeless Family/very low income 14 Help Philadelphia II Assoc. Homeless 50 HELP USA * Homeless 14 Imani Homes Accessible/very low income 11 Imani II Family/very low income 6 Imani IV Family/very low income 6 Inglis House * Accessible/very low income 6 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 2 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 2 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 2 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 2 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 2 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 2 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 2 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 2 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 3 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 4 Family/very low income 19 Inglis House - Part 5 Family/very low income 25 Inchelp Park Apts. II Family/very low income 25	Bethesda Project (Bainbridge	Men/very low income	20
Bethesda Project (South)		Men/very low income	13
Boriquen Asso II/Jardines Family/very low income 22 Brentwood Parkside Assoc. Family/very low income 22 Chatham Apts. Assoc., LP Family/very low income 18 Diamond I Family/very low income 14 Diamond II Family/very low income 6 Diamond III Family/very low income 20 Dignity Housing Family/very low income 54 Dignity III: (BOSS) Family/very low income 8 Dignity III: (BOSS) Family/very low income 35 Edgewood Manor Family/very low income 33 Fattah Homes I Homeless/very low income 6 Fattah Homes II Homeless/very low income 6 Fourth Street ACCESS Family/very low income 24 Hammil Mills Senior/very low income 40 Help Philadelphia II Assoc. Homeless 50 HELP USA * Homeless 14 Imani Homes V Homeless 14 Imani II Family/very low income 6 Imani III Family/very low income 6 Imani III Family/very low income 6 Imani IV Family/very low income 6 Inglis House * Accessible/very low income 6 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 19 Inglis House - Part 2 Family/very low income 16 Inglis House - (New) Accessible/very low income 18 Inglis House - (New) Accessible/very low income 16 Inglis House - (Project H.O.M.E) Homeless/very low income 30 Lehigh Park Apts. II Family/very low income 25	<u> </u>	·	5
Brentwood Parkside Assoc. Family/very low income 18		·	
Chatham Apts. Assoc., LP	1	, ,	22
Diamond I Family/very low income 14		·	
Diamond II Family/very low income 6 Diamond III Family/very low income 20 Dignity Housing Family/very low income 54 Dignity III: (BOSS) Family/very low income 8 Dignity: Enhanced Services Project (Nedro) Family/very low income 4 Dunlap Apartment Family/very low income 35 Edgewood Manor Family/very low income 33 Fattah Homes I Homeless/very low income 6 Fattah Homes II Homeless/very low income 6 Fattah Homes II Homeless/very low income 6 Family/very low income 6 Family/very low income 6 Family/very low income 24 Hammil Mills Senior/very low income 24 Hammil Mills Senior/very low income 24 Hammil Homes Accessible/very low income 24 Imani Homes Accessible/very low income 24 Imani Homes Accessible/very low income 11 Imani II Family/very low income 6 Imani III Family/very low income 6 Imani III Family/very low income 6 Imani IV Family/very low income 6 Imani IV Family/very low income 19 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 19 Inglis House - Part 2 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - (New) Accessible/very low income 16 Crippen Justin Associates/Locust Towers Family/very low income 25 Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E) Homeless/very low income 25 Lehigh Park Apts. II Family/very low income 25 Lehigh Park Apts. II L		· ·	
Diamond III		, ,	
Dignity HousingFamily/very low income54Dignity III: (BOSS)Family/very low income8Dignity: Enhanced Services Project (Nedro)Family/very low income4Dunlap ApartmentFamily/very low income35Edgewood ManorFamily/very low income33Fattah Homes IHomeless/very low income6Fattah Homes IIHomeless/very low income6Fourth Street ACCESSFamily/very low income24Hammil MillsSenior/very low income24Help Philadelphia II Assoc.Homeless50HELP USA *Homeless14Imani HomesAccessible/very low income24Imani HomesAccessible/very low income11Imani IIIFamily/very low income6Imani IVFamily/very low income6Inglis House *Accessible/very low income19Inglis House - Part 1Family/very low income18Inglis House - (New)Accessible/very low income18Inglis House - (New)Accessible/very low income40Johnnie Tillmon, Somerset, Villanueva, LilliaFamily/very low income16CrippenTustin Associates/Locust TowersFamily/very low income25Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E)Homeless/very low income25Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E)Homeless/very low income25		·	
Dignity III: (BOSS) Dignity: Enhanced Services Project (Nedro) Dignity: Enhanced Services Project (Nedro) Family/very low income 4 Dunlap Apartment Edgewood Manor Family/very low income 33 Fattah Homes I Homeless/very low income 6 Fattah Homes II Homeless/very low income 6 Fattah Homes III Homeless/very low income 6 Fourth Street ACCESS Family/very low income 40 Help Philadelphia II Assoc. Homeless 50 HELP USA * Homeless 14 Imani Homes Accessible/very low income 24 Imani Homes V Homeless/very low income 11 Imani III Family/very low income 6 Imani III Family/very low income 6 Imani IV Family/very low income 8 Inglis House * Accessible/very low income 19 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 2 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - New) Accessible/very low income 18 Inglis House - New) Accessible/very low income 19 Inglis House - New) Accessible/very low income 10 Inglis House - New) Accessible/very low income 10 Inglis House - New) Accessible/very low income 11 Inglis House - New) Accessible/very low income 12 Family/very low income 13 Inglis House - New) Accessible/very low income 14 Inglis House - New) Accessible/very low income 15 Inglis House - New) Accessible/very low income 16 Crippen Justin Associates/Locust Towers Family/very low income 25 Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E) Homeless/very low income 25 Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E) Homeless/very low income 25		·	
Dignity: Enhanced Services Project (Nedro)Family/very low income4Dunlap ApartmentFamily/very low income35Edgewood ManorFamily/very low income33Fattah Homes IHomeless/very low income6Fattah Homes IIHomeless/very low income6Fourth Street ACCESSFamily/very low income24Hammil MillsSenior/very low income40Help Philadelphia II Assoc.Homeless50HELP USA *Homeless14Imani HomesAccessible/very low income24Imani Homes VHomeless/very low income11Imani IIIFamily/very low income6Imani IVFamily/very low income6Inglis House *Accessible/very low income19Inglis House - Part 1Family/very low income18Inglis House - Part 2Family/very low income18Inglis House - (New)Accessible/very low income40Johnnie Tillmon, Somerset, Villanueva, LilliaFamily/very low income16CrippenJustin Associates/Locust TowersFamily/very low income25Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E)Homeless/very low income25Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E)Homeless/very low income25		·	
Dunlap ApartmentFamily/very low income35Edgewood ManorFamily/very low income33Fattah Homes IHomeless/very low income6Fattah Homes IIHomeless/very low income6Fourth Street ACCESSFamily/very low income24Hammil MillsSenior/very low income40Help Philadelphia II Assoc.Homeless50HELP USA *Homeless14Imani HomesAccessible/very low income24Imani Homes VHomeless/very low income11Imani IIIFamily/very low income6Imani IVFamily/very low income6Inglis House *Accessible/very low income19Inglis House - Part 1Family/very low income18Inglis House - Part 2Family/very low income18Inglis House - (New)Accessible/very low income40Johnnie Tillmon, Somerset, Villanueva, LilliaFamily/very low income16CrippenJustin Associates/Locust TowersFamily/very low income25Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E)Homeless/very low income25Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E)Homeless/very low income25		·	I .
Edgewood ManorFamily/very low income33Fattah Homes IHomeless/very low income6Fattah Homes IIHomeless/very low income6Fourth Street ACCESSFamily/very low income24Hammil MillsSenior/very low income40Help Philadelphia II Assoc.Homeless50HELP USA *Homeless14Imani HomesAccessible/very low income24Imani Homes VHomeless/very low income11Imani IIFamily/very low income6Imani IVFamily/very low income8Inglis House *Accessible/very low income19Inglis House - Part 1Family/very low income18Inglis House - Part 2Family/very low income18Inglis House - (New)Accessible/very low income18Johnnie Tillmon, Somerset, Villanueva, LilliaFamily/very low income40Johnnie Tillmon, Somerset, Villanueva, LilliaFamily/very low income25Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E)Homeless/very low income25Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E)Homeless/very low income25		·	
Fattah Homes I Homeless/very low income 6 Fattah Homes II Homeless/very low income 6 Fourth Street ACCESS Family/very low income 24 Hammil Mills Senior/very low income 40 Help Philadelphia II Assoc. Homeless 50 HELP USA * Homeless 14 Imani Homes Accessible/very low income 24 Imani Homes V Homeless/very low income 11 Imani II Family/very low income 6 Imani III Family/very low income 6 Imani IV Family/very low income 8 Inglis House * Accessible/very low income 19 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - Part 2 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - (New) Accessible/very low income 18 Inglis House - (New) Accessible/very low income 19 Johnnie Tillmon, Somerset, Villanueva, Lillia Family/very low income 16 Crippen Justin Associates/Locust Towers Family/very low income 25 Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E) Homeless/very low income 30 Lehigh Park Apts. II Family/very low income 25		·	
Fattah Homes II Homeless/very low income 6 Fourth Street ACCESS Family/very low income 24 Hammil Mills Senior/very low income 40 Help Philadelphia II Assoc. Homeless 50 HELP USA * Homeless 14 Imani Homes Accessible/very low income 24 Imani Homes V Homeless/very low income 11 Imani II Family/very low income 6 Imani III Family/very low income 6 Imani IV Family/very low income 8 Inglis House * Accessible/very low income 19 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 6 Inglis House - (New) Accessible/very low income 18 Inglis House - (New) Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - (New) Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - (New) Accessible/very low income 25 Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E) Homeless/very low income 30 Lehigh Park Apts. II Family/very low income 25		·	
Fourth Street ACCESS Family/very low income 24 Hammil Mills Senior/very low income 40 Help Philadelphia II Assoc. Homeless 50 HELP USA * Homeless 14 Imani Homes Accessible/very low income 24 Imani Homes V Homeless/very low income 11 Imani II Family/very low income 6 Imani III Family/very low income 6 Imani IV Family/very low income 8 Inglis House * Accessible/very low income 19 Inglis House - Part 1 Family/very low income 6 Inglis House - Part 2 Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - (New) Accessible/very low income 18 Inglis House - (New) Family/very low income 18 Inglis House - (New) Accessible/very low income 16 Inglis House - (New) Accessible/very low income 25 Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E) Homeless/very low income 30 Lehigh Park Apts. II Family/very low income 25		·	
Hammil MillsSenior/very low income40Help Philadelphia II Assoc.Homeless50HELP USA *Homeless14Imani HomesAccessible/very low income24Imani Homes VHomeless/very low income11Imani IIFamily/very low income6Imani IVFamily/very low income8Inglis House *Accessible/very low income19Inglis House - Part 1Family/very low income6Inglis House - Part 2Family/very low income18Inglis House - (New)Accessible/very low income40Johnnie Tillmon, Somerset, Villanueva, LilliaFamily/very low income16CrippenCrippen16Justin Associates/Locust TowersFamily/very low income25Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E)Homeless/very low income30Lehigh Park Apts. IIFamily/very low income25		·	
Help Philadelphia II Assoc.Homeless50HELP USA *Homeless14Imani HomesAccessible/very low income24Imani Homes VHomeless/very low income11Imani IIFamily/very low income6Imani IIIFamily/very low income6Imani IVFamily/very low income8Inglis House *Accessible/very low income19Inglis House - Part 1Family/very low income6Inglis House - Part 2Family/very low income18Inglis House - (New)Accessible/very low income40Johnnie Tillmon, Somerset, Villanueva, Lillia CrippenFamily/very low income16Justin Associates/Locust TowersFamily/very low income25Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E)Homeless/very low income30Lehigh Park Apts. IIFamily/very low income25		·	
HELP USA *Homeless14Imani HomesAccessible/very low income24Imani Homes VHomeless/very low income11Imani IIFamily/very low income6Imani IIIFamily/very low income6Imani IVFamily/very low income8Inglis House *Accessible/very low income19Inglis House - Part 1Family/very low income6Inglis House - Part 2Family/very low income18Inglis House - (New)Accessible/very low income40Johnnie Tillmon, Somerset, Villanueva, Lillia CrippenFamily/very low income16Justin Associates/Locust TowersFamily/very low income25Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E)Homeless/very low income30Lehigh Park Apts. IIFamily/very low income25		·	
Imani HomesAccessible/very low income24Imani Homes VHomeless/very low income11Imani IIFamily/very low income6Imani IIIFamily/very low income6Imani IVFamily/very low income8Inglis House *Accessible/very low income19Inglis House - Part 1Family/very low income6Inglis House - Part 2Family/very low income18Inglis House - (New)Accessible/very low income40Johnnie Tillmon, Somerset, Villanueva, Lillia CrippenFamily/very low income16Justin Associates/Locust TowersFamily/very low income25Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E)Homeless/very low income30Lehigh Park Apts. IIFamily/very low income25			
Imani Homes VHomeless/very low income11Imani IIFamily/very low income6Imani IVFamily/very low income8Inglis House *Accessible/very low income19Inglis House - Part 1Family/very low income6Inglis House - Part 2Family/very low income18Inglis House - (New)Accessible/very low income40Johnnie Tillmon, Somerset, Villanueva, Lillia CrippenFamily/very low income16Justin Associates/Locust TowersFamily/very low income25Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E)Homeless/very low income30Lehigh Park Apts. IIFamily/very low income25			
Imani IIFamily/very low income6Imani IIIFamily/very low income6Imani IVFamily/very low income8Inglis House *Accessible/very low income19Inglis House - Part 1Family/very low income6Inglis House - Part 2Family/very low income18Inglis House - (New)Accessible/very low income40Johnnie Tillmon, Somerset, Villanueva, Lillia CrippenFamily/very low income16Justin Associates/Locust TowersFamily/very low income25Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E)Homeless/very low income30Lehigh Park Apts. IIFamily/very low income25		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Imani IIIFamily/very low income6Imani IVFamily/very low income8Inglis House *Accessible/very low income19Inglis House - Part 1Family/very low income6Inglis House - Part 2Family/very low income18Inglis House - (New)Accessible/very low income40Johnnie Tillmon, Somerset, Villanueva, Lillia CrippenFamily/very low income16Justin Associates/Locust TowersFamily/very low income25Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E)Homeless/very low income30Lehigh Park Apts. IIFamily/very low income25		·	
Imani IVFamily/very low income8Inglis House *Accessible/very low income19Inglis House - Part 1Family/very low income6Inglis House - Part 2Family/very low income18Inglis House - (New)Accessible/very low income40Johnnie Tillmon, Somerset, Villanueva, Lillia CrippenFamily/very low income16Justin Associates/Locust TowersFamily/very low income25Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E)Homeless/very low income30Lehigh Park Apts. IIFamily/very low income25			
Inglis House *Accessible/very low income19Inglis House - Part 1Family/very low income6Inglis House - Part 2Family/very low income18Inglis House - (New)Accessible/very low income40Johnnie Tillmon, Somerset, Villanueva, Lillia CrippenFamily/very low income16Justin Associates/Locust TowersFamily/very low income25Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E)Homeless/very low income30Lehigh Park Apts. IIFamily/very low income25			II.
Inglis House - Part 1Family/very low income6Inglis House - Part 2Family/very low income18Inglis House - (New)Accessible/very low income40Johnnie Tillmon, Somerset, Villanueva, Lillia CrippenFamily/very low income16Justin Associates/Locust TowersFamily/very low income25Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E)Homeless/very low income30Lehigh Park Apts. IIFamily/very low income25			
Inglis House - Part 2Family/very low income18Inglis House - (New)Accessible/very low income40Johnnie Tillmon, Somerset, Villanueva, Lillia CrippenFamily/very low income16Justin Associates/Locust TowersFamily/very low income25Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E)Homeless/very low income30Lehigh Park Apts. IIFamily/very low income25			
Inglis House - (New) Accessible/very low income 40 Johnnie Tillmon, Somerset, Villanueva, Lillia Family/very low income 16 Crippen Tustin Associates/Locust Towers Family/very low income 25 Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E) Homeless/very low income 30 Lehigh Park Apts. II Family/very low income 25			
Johnnie Tillmon, Somerset, Villanueva, Lillia CrippenFamily/very low income16Justin Associates/Locust TowersFamily/very low income25Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E)Homeless/very low income30Lehigh Park Apts. IIFamily/very low income25			
CrippenJustin Associates/Locust TowersFamily/very low income25Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E)Homeless/very low income30Lehigh Park Apts. IIFamily/very low income25			
Justin Associates/Locust TowersFamily/very low income25Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E)Homeless/very low income30Lehigh Park Apts. IIFamily/very low income25		Lamily, very low income	10
Kate's Place (Project H.O.M.E)Homeless/very low income30Lehigh Park Apts. IIFamily/very low income25		Family/yery low income	25
Lehigh Park Apts. II Family/very low income 25			
		·	
	Liberty Resources & Partners *	Accessible/very low income	7

Project Name/Sponsor	Target Population	Current (4/1/2011-3/31/2012)
LIH	Family/very low income	16
Mascher Street Townhouses (WCRP)	Family/very low income	12
Monument Mews	Family/very low income	60
National Temple/1260 Housing - 1500 Gratz St	Family/very low income	40
National Temple/1260 Housing - 1700 N. 16 St	Family/very low income	49
NPCH - N. 11th St	Family/very low income	16
Parkside Preservation Project (Belmont)	Family/very low income	12
Parkside Preservation Project (Parkside-Ph I)	Family/very low income	8
Parkside Preservation Project (Parkside-Ph II)	Family/very low income	30
PEC – Jannie's Place	Homeless/very low income	6
PEC (Pennrose, LLC) - Cloister III	Homeless/families	18
PEC/RBM	Family/very low income	9
Powelton Height	Family/very low income	30
Praveen Chestnut *	Accessible/very low income	3
Regent St Assoc	Family/very low income	80
Sartain Apartment	Family/very low income	35
Sheila Brown	Family/very low income	9
Somerset Apartments (WCRP)	Family/very low income	44
South 55th Street	Family/very low income	18
Susquehanna II	Family/very low income	47
Tioga Gardens	Family/very low income	18
Travelers Aid Kirkbride Center	Homeless/very low income	22
Trevor's Place/Freedom Village	Homeless/very low income	16
Walnut Park Plaza	Senior/very low income	224
Walnut Thompson	Family/very low income	35
	SUB-TOTAL	1787
	Not Leased/Vacant	62
	TOTAL UNDER LEASE	1725

^{*}New project-based commitment awarded during the Plan year.

B. Leasing Information – Projected vs. Actual

Table 7 below provides a summary of projected versus actual leasing activity for the Public Housing and HCV Programs for MTW Year 11. As of March 31, 2012, public housing occupancy of 13,475 households represents a 96.51% adjusted occupancy rate. For the HCV program, PHA had 1,725 project-based units under lease, which are part of the MTW Tenant Based Voucher total shown in Table 7.

^{**} Commitment made; no contract awarded to date

Table 7 - Leased/In Use for MTW Year 11

	Projected Leased/In use as of March 2012	Actual/In use as of March 31, 2012
Public Housing Units	13,638	13,475
MTW Tenant Based HCV Vouchers	14,850	14,847
MTW Activity Vouchers	3,000	3,000
Non-MTW HCV Vouchers	1,153	746
Section 8 Mod Rehab/SRO	700	596
TOTAL	33,341	32,664

Explanation of Projected versus Actual Variance

- Projected leasing for Non-MTW vouchers was 1,153, compared to actual leasing of 746. The variance is due to several factors: a) Two recent HUD opt-out increments were issued to PHA where clients still have vouchers and are not leased up; b) Two older HUD opt-out increments were issued to PHA where clients have refused to take vouchers; and, c) Referrals from the VA have slowed down leasing of VASH vouchers. PHA will continue to work to resolve these leasing issues during FY 2013.
- Projected leasing for the Section 8 Mod Rehab/SRO program was 700, compared to actual leasing of 596. The variance is due to several factors: a) The Church Lane contract opted-out causing a reduction of 66 units; b) Ongoing turnover has created 67 vacancies that PHA is working with owners to fill; and, c) An additional one unit decrease was caused by a decrease of one unit to an existing contract.
- Projected leasing for public housing was 13,638 compared to actual leasing of 13,475. The variance is due primarily to PHA's ongoing review of the scattered site inventory as part of the asset repositioning initiative. Vacant units that require significant investment to reoccupy are being carefully evaluated to assess their long-term viability. Units that are not viable over the long term without substantial investment will be considered for disposition through sale or other means.

C. Waiting List Information

PHA continued to implement its waiting lists in accordance with the HCV Administrative Plan and Admissions and Continued Occupancy Policy as applicable. PHA conventional public housing allows applicants the option of selecting specific sites or being placed on a "first available" central waiting list. PAPMC and AME sites maintain site-based waiting lists. The HCV Waiting List has been closed since March 2010. Public housing waiting lists remain open except for those listed below:

	CLOSE
DEVELOPMENT	DATE
Norris Apartments	09/01/10
Haverford Homes	09/01/10
Richard Allen	09/01/10
Arch Homes	09/01/10
Queen Lane Apartments	09/01/10
Hill Creek	09/01/10
Oxford Village	09/01/10
Whitehall Apartments	09/01/10
Haddington Homes	09/01/10
Champlost Homes	09/01/10
Morton Homes	09/01/10
Westpark Plaza	09/01/10
Abbottsford Homes	09/01/10
Cecil B Moore	09/01/10
Ludlow	09/01/10
Francisville	09/01/10
Fairhill Square	09/01/10
Germantown/Hunting Park	09/01/10
Kingsessing	09/01/10
Mantua	09/01/10
Haddington	09/01/10
Paschall Village I	09/08/11
Paschall Village II	09/08/11

Across all programs, including PAPMC sites, PHA has 139,678 households on waiting lists as of the end of the Plan year. Table 8A provides statistics for the 46,334 households on PHA's conventional and scattered site public housing waiting lists, and the 56,466 households on the Housing Choice Voucher program waiting list as of March 31, 2012. Table 8B provides statistics for the 36,878 households on PAPMC waiting lists.

PHA applicants are overwhelmingly extremely low or very low income, i.e. 88% of all applicants for all programs have incomes less than or equal to 30% of Area Median Income (AMI), and an additional 9% have incomes equal to or less than 50% of AMI. In terms of race, 85% of applicants are African-American, 8.6% are White, 4.6% are Asian/Pacific Islander or Native American, and 1.8% "other" races. In terms of ethnicity, 8.2% of all applicants are Hispanic.

Demand continues to be highest for small bedroom units, reflecting a general trend towards smaller family sizes. For conventional public housing, 43% of applicants require efficiencies or 1BR units and 33% require 2BR units. For PAPMC sites, 41% require efficiencies or 1BR and 35% require 2BR units. While demand for smaller units

is high, it should be noted that there are over 20,000 households on PHA's conventional public housing and PAPMC waiting lists that require 3BR or greater units. Elderly households constitute 12% of all applicants, and disabled households are 7.2% of applicants.

Table 8A – Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Program Waiting List Characteristics

Unit Size Need	of Applicant	s on the Wait	ting List as of	March 31, 20	012	
	Public J	Housing	HCV H	Housing		%
Household Type	#	%	#	%	Total	Combined
Efficiency	17,508	38%	27,814	49%	45,322	44%
One Bedroom	2,374	5%	2640	5%	5,014	5%
Two Bedroom	15,291	33%	15,561	28%	30,852	30%
Three Bedroom	8,697	19%	8,673	15%	17,370	17%
Four Bedroom	2,120	5%	1,565	3%	3,685	4%
Five Bedroom	297	0%	193	0%	490	0%
Six Bedroom	47	0%	20	0%	67	0%
	46,334	100%	56,466	100%	102,800	100%
Program Totals	40,334	100%	50,400	100%	102,000	100 70
Type of Ho	useholds on	the Waiting	List as of Mar	ch 31, 2012		
1,000 01110		Housing		Housing		%
Household Type	#	%	#	%	Total	Combined
Family	37,546	81%	46,544	82%	84,090	80%
·	•	13%		8%		12%
Elderly	5,990		4,561		10,551	+
Disabled	2,798	6%	5,361	10%	8,159	8%
Program Totals	46,334	100%	56,466	100%	102,800	100%
Income of H	ouseholds or	the Waiting	List as of Ma	rch 31 st 2012		
		Public Housing HCV Housing			%	
	#	%	#	%	Total	Combined
Below 30% Median Income	41,826	90%	48,722	86%	90,548	88%
Between 30% and 50% Median						
Income	3,501	8%	5,996	11%	9,497	9%
Between 50% and 80% Median						
Income	670	2%	1,041	2%	1,711	2%
Over 80% Median Income	337	0%	707	1%	1,044	1%
Program Totals	46,334	100%	56,466	100%	102,800	100%
D CH	1 11	41 337 44 1	F 1 4 CB/F	1 21 2012		
Kace of Ho	usenoias on Public I		List as of Mar	Con 31, 2012 Housing		0.4
Daga	#	%	#	%	Total	% Combined
Race African-American	38,705				Total	Combined
		84%	47,013	84%	85,718	83%
White	4,740	10%	5,111	9%	9,851	10%
Asian/Pacific Islander & Native American	1,974	4%	3,419	6%	5,393	5%
Other	915	2%	923	1%	1,838	2%
Program Totals		100%	1	100%	102,800	100%
Program Totals	46,334	100%	56,466	100%	102,800	100%
<u> </u>	Households o	n the Waitin	g List as of M	arch 31, 201	2	
Ethnicity of I			ĭ		2	0/2
Ethnicity of I	Public 1	Housing	HCV I	Iousing		%
Ethnicity of I	Public l #	Housing %	HCV H	Housing %	Total	Combined
Ethnicity of I	Public 1	Housing	HCV I	Iousing		

Table 8B – PAPMC Development Public Housing Waiting List Characteristics

Unit Size Need of Applicants on the Waiting Li	ist as of Marc	h 31st 2012
	PAP	PMC
Household Type	#	%
Efficiency	13,616	37%
One Bedroom	1,617	4%
Two Bedroom	12,780	35%
Three Bedroom	7,295	20%
Four Bedroom	1,441	4%
Five Bedroom	127	0%
Six Bedroom	2	0%
Program Totals	36,878	100%
Type of Households on the Waiting List as	of March 31	st 2012
	PAP	PMC
Household Type	#	%
Family	28,565	77%
Elderly	6,410	17%
Disabled	1,903	6%
Program Totals	36,878	100%
Income of Households on the Waiting List a	as of March 3	1st 2012
	PAP	
	#	%
Below 30% Median Income	32,922	89%
Between 30% and 50% Median Income	3,344	9%
Between 50% and 80% Median Income	370	1%
Over 80% Median Income	242	1%
Program Totals	36,878	100%
Program Totals	36,878	100%
Program Totals Race of Households on the Waiting List as	,	
	,	st 2012
	of March 31	st 2012
Race of Households on the Waiting List as	of March 31 PAP	st 2012 PMC
Race of Households on the Waiting List as	of March 31 PAP #	st 2012 PMC %
Race of Households on the Waiting List as Race African-American	# 32,883	st 2012 MC % 90%
Race of Households on the Waiting List as Race African-American White	# 32,883 2,217	st 2012 PMC % 90% 6%
Race of Households on the Waiting List as Race African-American White Asian/Pacific Islander & Native American	# 32,883 2,217 1,157	st 2012 PMC % 90% 6% 3%
Race of Households on the Waiting List as Race African-American White Asian/Pacific Islander & Native American Other	# 32,883 2,217 1,157 621	st 2012 PMC 90% 6% 3% 1%
Race of Households on the Waiting List as Race African-American White Asian/Pacific Islander & Native American Other	# 32,883 2,217 1,157 621 36,878	st 2012 PMC 90% 6% 3% 1% 100%
Race of Households on the Waiting List as Race African-American White Asian/Pacific Islander & Native American Other Program Totals	# 32,883 2,217 1,157 621 36,878	st 2012 PMC 90% 6% 3% 1% 100%
Race Race African-American White Asian/Pacific Islander & Native American Other Program Totals Ethnicity of Households on the Waiting List	# 32,883 2,217 1,157 621 36,878 as of March	st 2012 PMC 90% 6% 3% 1% 100% 31st 2012 PMC
Race Race African-American White Asian/Pacific Islander & Native American Other Program Totals Ethnicity of Households on the Waiting List Race	# 32,883 2,217 1,157 621 36,878 as of March 2	st 2012 PMC 90% 6% 3% 1% 100%
Race Race African-American White Asian/Pacific Islander & Native American Other Program Totals Ethnicity of Households on the Waiting List	# 32,883 2,217 1,157 621 36,878 as of March 2	st 2012 PMC 90% 6% 3% 1% 100% 31st 2012 PMC %

III. NON-MTW RELATED INFORMATION

A. Budget vs. Actual Sources and Uses of Other HUD or Federal Funds

Table 9 provides information on budget versus actual sources and uses of Other Non-MTW funds. A narrative description of planned versus actual differences follows the table.

Table 9 - FY 2012 Budget vs. Actual Sources and Uses of Other Non-MTW Funds

REVENUE (SOURCES)	BUDGETED	ACTUALS	VARIANCE
Grants	\$173,924	\$164,608	-5.36%
Program Income	4,431,075	5,150,031	16.23%
Non-MTW Vouchers	6,296,356	6,321,928	0.41%
Mainstream	294,208	248,884	-15.41%
VASH	568,812	1,030,079	81.09%
MOD/SROs	3,263,704	3,193,328	-2.16%
Interest/Misc Income	0	294,994	0.00%
Administrative Fees	1,629,053	1,756,482	7.82%
TOTAL REVENUE	\$16,657,132	\$18,190,813	9.21%
EXPENSES (USES)			
Administration and General Expense	\$1,754,588	\$2,092,746	19.27%
Operations and Maintenance	48,389	0	-100.00%
Housing Assistance Payments	10,423,080	8,933,919	-14.29%
Capital Projects	4,431,075	-425,337	-109.60%
TOTAL EXPENSE	\$16,657,132	\$10,601,327	-36.36%
OPERATING INCOME/(LOSS)	0	\$7,589,486	
Reserve Buildup	0	\$6,110,190	
NET INCOME/(LOSS)	0	\$13,699,676	

Variances between projected and actual sources of non-MTW funds can be attributed to various factors, including the following:

- Program income increased due to receipt of solar grant associated with the Mantua & Paschall development projects.
- An additional 50 vouchers awarded in the VASH program increased anticipated revenues.

Variances between projected and actual uses of non-MTW funds can be attributed to the following:

• Budgeted capital projects - Delays in the Queen Lane redevelopment project due to historical clearance issues caused the project to be redesigned. Funds will be allocated in the upcoming fiscal year.

• Leasing less than projected levels in the VASH and FUP programs resulted in reduction of anticipated housing assistance payments.

B. Description of Non-MTW Activities

Over eleven years as a participant in the MTW Demonstration, PHA has incorporated MTW flexibility into virtually all areas of its operations. However, as part of HUD's revised reporting requirements established in the MTW Agreement and the related HUD Form 50900, PHA is required to separately organize and describe its "MTW activities" and "non-MTW activities." "MTW activities" include those initiatives that promote one or more statutory objectives and that require use of authority granted to PHA under its MTW Agreement. MTW activities are discussed in Chapters V and VI.

Selected highlights from PHA's extensive non-MTW activities over the Plan year are briefly noted below. While these initiatives do not directly require MTW authority to implement, most have a direct, supportive relationship to other MTW activities and in some cases utilize MTW block grant funding flexibility. Use of single fund flexibility is described in Chapter VII.

Recovery Plan Activities

- Substantially completed the restructuring and staffing of the Office of General Counsel, Office of Audit and Compliance and Human Resources Department.
- Conducted agency-wide mandatory training on PHA's revised ethics, sexual harassment, and related policies.
- Developed a new employee handbook for publication in FY 2013. Significant progress was made in revising PHA's overall HR policies and procedures handbook.
- Established new procedures to improve controls and documentation of construction field changes to scope and/or budget.
- Developed a revised Procurement Policy for Board review and adoption in FY 2013.
- Made substantial progress in resolving and closing out findings from prior HUD Office
 of Inspector General audits of PHA operations. Additional information may be found in
 Chapter VIII.A of this report.
- Work proceeded on development of a new agency-wide Management Action Plan including establishment of internal working groups and development of initial draft plans.
 The Management Action Plan will address both MTW and non-MTW issues and tasks and, as appropriate, re-focus activities on PHA's core mission.

Public Housing Portfolio

- Successfully completed occupancy of new PAPMC housing sites including Mantua I and II and Paschall Village I and II.
- Performed UPCS inspections on 100% of public housing units.
- Implemented Integrated Pest Management program at conventional sites.
- Completed lease revisions and obtained Board approval for roll out of lease in FY 2013.
- Conformed to required investor and funding source requirements including timely submission of cost certifications for all Low Income Housing Tax Credit sites.
- Continued training and asset management initiatives including activities to ensure full LIHTC program compliance at Alternatively Managed Entity (AME) sites.
- Continued to develop, implement and refine an asset repositioning strategy for scattered site units in order to generate revenue and reduce operating expenses. See previous note regarding successful auction results from 2011.

Housing Choice Voucher Program

- Revised quality control initiatives to include HCV Manager QC reviews as well as QC reviews by the Office of Audit and Compliance.
- Conducted Housing Fairs and other efforts to improve the quality and expand the geographic distribution of housing units available to HCV participants.
- Developed and implemented an improved voucher utilization tool which includes a
 feature to update utilization forecasts based on screening results, voucher success and
 terminations.
- Improved tenant briefing and orientation, including development and implementation of a briefing video and improved briefing guide.
- Updated Reasonable Rent determination process and added an automated rent burden analysis component to assist staff in analyzing rents.
- Conducted training to review revised policies, procedures and regulatory requirements including training on the new Administrative Plan, Rent Determination, HQS Enforcement, File Organization and Tracking.
- Updated the HCV Administrative Plan and provided staff with training on new and revised policies.
- Developed and implemented a new, no-cost landlord training program to provide owners with information on the requirements and responsibilities of the HCV program.

- Implemented an improved method for tracking and obtaining overdue portability payments and transactions which resulted in greater efficiency and financial management of the portability program.
- Streamlined the network of Community-Based Housing Choice Voucher offices by relocating the Germantown office to the site of the central HCV Administration Office. PHA continues to evaluate the neighborhood office strategy and intends to make further changes to centralize operations in the coming months to reduce cost and promote operational efficiency.

Public Safety Activities

- PHA Investigations Unit provided assistance to all internal and external agencies, federal, state and local as requested. The unit conducted Workers' Compensation investigations to ensure the integrity of claims made. The unit processed Police Record Checks for conventional and scattered sites and continued to assist HCVP in processing Investigations to deter illegal occupancy.
- Continued to expedite the process of criminal record checks on all applicants and household members. PHA also conducted criminal record checks at recertification.
- Implemented internal COMSTAT crime statistics meetings, similar to those used by the City of Philadelphia Police Department, to facilitate continuous review and response to public safety issues. Additionally, PHA partnered with other law enforcement agencies to ensure accurate reporting of crimes occurring in and around our communities.
- Implemented the D.A.R.E. and G.R.E.A.T. programs to incorporate health and public safety concerns into youth programs.
- Provided support for resident-police partnerships through the Police Advisory Board, Town Watch programs, and participation with the Citizens Crime Commission of Delaware Valley. Public Safety unit worked with residents, management and outside agencies to enhance the flow of communication and information between all stakeholders.

Resident and Community Services

- Completed Healthy Homes grant objectives, with the enrollment of 259 families and service to over 145 families.
- Collaborated with the City of Philadelphia's Department of Public Health in the pursuit and award of a 5-year Community Transformation Grant from the Center for Disease Control in an effort to move PHA toward Smoke Free Public Housing. The grant will allocate approximately \$100,000/year toward resident education, awareness and smoking cessation.

- Implemented a Summer Food program at 19 conventional sites, and served over 46,000 meals over a 10 week time frame.
- Identified and secured additional supportive service program funds to enhance resident-oriented programs. This task area is even more critical in light of the continued reductions in PHA federal operating subsidy funding. Efforts have focused on workforce development, technology and broadband adoption, and nutrition and health programming. Over the past year, PHA has concluded several new grants in support of resident services. In addition to those previously referenced, these grants include: a \$552,500 grant with the City of Philadelphia Mayor's Office of Community Service (MOCS) to provide access to residents for the Pre-Apprenticeship Program; a \$96,000 grant from Drexel University in support of the Freedom Rings (BTOP) initiative in connecting PHA residents to technology training, 5,000 free netbook computers, and subsidized Internet service; and a \$127,000 grant from the State of Pennsylvania's Department of Education in support of Summer Food programming.
- Implemented a new after school educational program for youth ages 13-18 in support of STEM academic preparation, homework support, study skills, career exploration, mentoring and addressing civic issues faced by the youth in our developments. This new program is currently in place at 4 sites, serving approximately 75 youth on a daily basis. PHA has also implemented, through a partnership with the City of Philadelphia's PhillyRising Collaborative, the Philadelphia Youth Music Program. The program was launched with a concert event hosted by PHA and supported by Philadelphia's Mayor Michael Nutter, and has since expanded to providing at risk youth with access to a comprehensive music program. The program includes training on instruments, recording equipment, recording methodology, and mentoring by the members of the Philadelphia Chapter of the Grammy Association. Currently, 35 youth have been actively participating in the program. Over the next year, PHA will continue to expand these programs to offer youth added support in the development of an entrepreneurship program as well as integration into a higher education preparation and awareness program.
- Supported residents in the area of technology. This support has been targeted at the recruitment and implementation of computer training programs, which have resulted in residents becoming eligible for receiving a free netbook computer. As a result of these efforts, PHA had recruited 3,565 residents, enrolled and ensured graduation from an 8-hour training program for 2,000 residents, and distributed 1,852 Netbooks to PHA families as of the end of MTW Year 11. This effort will continue in the coming year, with the goal of distributing 5,000 Netbooks to PHA families. In support of these new educated netbook owners, PHA has converted 9 of its Neighborhood Network labs into Wi-Fi Hot Spots, thus allowing residents to access the Internet at PHA community centers via their new netbooks.
- Continued to implement the highly successful Section 3 job placement program with ongoing emphasis on construction opportunities and alternative options for vendors to meet obligations. PHA was able to achieve approximately 447 Section 3 new hires from construction contracts, as well as 228 Section 3 new hires from PHA internal hiring, a

64.2% rate. Within its contracting efforts, PHA was able to award approximately \$4,884,000 to Section 3 Business Concerns in construction work, an 11.7% rate of all awards. In addition, PHA awarded approximately \$598,000 to non-construction vendors, for a rate of 3.7% of all non-construction awards.

Strategic Management

- PHA continued its Performance Management Initiative, which leveraged cross-functional teams of staff in support of site-level improvement programs and also focused on performance, until November 2011 at which time the focus changed to providing monitoring and support for the Recovery Plan initiatives.
- OSM staff provided support to PHA senior staff in the ongoing development of an agency-wide Management Action Plan.
- PHA provided an array of employee training programs designed to upgrade skills and to maximize staff understanding of policies, procedures and systems. A high priority was placed on training for new policies developed as part of the Recovery Plan.

Information Systems Management

- A number of technical projects were undertaken to improve PHA's network and automated systems. PHA upgraded the Oracle Portal to version 9.1, which provides advanced functionality and allows for better integration with all enterprise applications. Also, PHA upgraded Oracle PeopleSoft Tools to version 8.51, an upgrade which provides advanced programming tools and allows consistent coding and ease of integration with all enterprise applications. PHA also upgraded Network Software and SQL Databases to take advantage of the enhanced security and user interfaces provided by the new PeopleSoft Upgrade.
- PHA implemented the Oracle PeopleSoft Budgeting module version 9.1. This upgrade provides enhanced functionality allowing budgeting roles on the lowest level of management to input information for approval and implementation. The analytical tool provides vision into budgets with intuitive reports and views.
- PHA continued to enhance the Enterprise Performance Management (EPM) software. This software provides key business performance indicators via an Executive Dashboard.

IV. LONG-TERM MTW PLAN

During the first year of the MTW program, PHA established five (5) broad objectives for the MTW Demonstration Program, which provides a framework for long-term MTW implementation efforts. These objectives are:

- Reform the existing Housing Choice Voucher and Public Housing Programs to improve and increase the supply of quality affordable housing throughout the City of Philadelphia.
- Revitalize neighborhoods where MTW and MTW-eligible residents reside.
- Develop a MTW Family Program to furnish comprehensive family self-sufficiency services to eligible MTW families.
- Establish a Quality of Life Program to promote a living environment that fosters community values, encourages resident participation and positive peer group pressure, and reinforces the responsibilities of public housing residents, voucher participants, voucher landlords, and PHA to one another and to the broader community.
- Establish efficient operating procedures and implement cost-saving strategies.

PHA intends to continue and expand the use of MTW flexibility in promoting PHA's long-term strategic objectives, emphasizing the overarching importance of three core principles: 1) Building a culture of respect within the organization; 2) Refocusing on a "back to basics" approach that emphasizes excellence in property management, maintenance and front-line services; and, 3) Strengthening the agency's commitment to transparency and accountability at all levels of PHA operations.

A comprehensive planning process is currently underway to develop a Management Action Plan (MAP) that will refine and revise as needed PHA's long-term MTW goals and objectives, while promoting the core principles noted above. As PHA adjusts its work plans to respond to the recently announced federal funding reductions, the flexibility afforded by the MTW Demonstration is more critical than ever. As part of the MAP implementation process, intensive efforts will be made to identify new, more efficient modes of operation that will help to sustain and expand the revitalization of PHA's public housing stock and Philadelphia's neighborhoods.

V. PROPOSED ACTIVITIES

As part of the Annual Report process, HUD requires PHA to report on MTW activities that were approved by HUD but not implemented by PHA. The following table summarizes previously approved MTW activities that have not yet been implemented or that PHA has elected to discontinue.

Table 10 – Approved MTW Activities Not Implemented

MTW Activity	Description	Status
Partnership Program Initiative	PHA will use its MTW authority to expand housing options and service models for special needs and other targeted groups in partnership with universities, state and local government and other stakeholders.	This activity was first authorized in Year Ten; however, PHA has not yet implemented any partnerships that require use of this authority. PHA intends to continue to maintain this as an approved MTW activity. During Year 11, PHA commenced planning to identify potential partners and strategies for this initiative.
Scattered Site Income	PHA intends to implement income-based	This activity was first authorized in Year
Tiering	preferences at scattered site properties. The policy includes selection and admission of established percentages of applicants from the Waiting Lists by income tier in order to achieve PHA's deconcentration goals.	Ten, but not implemented. PHA has elected to discontinue this initiative effective as of the end of MTW Year 10.
Expanding Use of Low- Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC)	In appropriate circumstances, PHA will use its broader use of funds authority to purchase, finance and/or develop properties using its MTW block grant funds and LIHTCs.	This activity was first authorized in Year Ten, but not implemented. PHA continues to explore opportunities to implement this initiative.
Establish an Energy Service Company (ESCo)	PHA may use an ESCo structure as authorized under its MTW Agreement to finance some of the energy efficiency improvements it intends to make to various public housing properties.	Introduced in Year Nine, but not yet implemented. PHA continues to evaluate the feasibility of using an ESCo to finance some of its energy efficiency improvements.
Assisted Living	PHA has been working in partnership with DPW and many other advocates within the Commonwealth to draft regulations for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania's new law regulating assisted living residences.	Introduced in Year Eight, but not implemented. Sufficient services funding is not currently available to implement this initiative.
Home Care Services	Home Care Services for seniors will be available to eligible residents living at the Nellie Reynolds Garden development and other PHA sites.	Introduced in Year Eight, but not implemented. PHA has determined that services can be more efficiently delivered to residents by other providers. PHA elected to discontinue this activity as of the end of MTW Year 10.

MTW Activity	Description	Status
Transitional Housing Facilities	PHA intends to form partnerships to provide shelter space and transitional housing. The transitional housing facilities, which would be constructed using a variety of Federal, state and local funds including Low Income Housing Tax Credits, will provide a residence and services to homeless families for a period that is anticipated to be 12 to 18 months.	This activity was first authorized in Year Six; however, PHA has not yet implemented any partnerships that require use of this authority. PHA intends to continue to maintain this as an approved MTW activity
Utility Allowances	PHA intends to further modify the utility allowance policy to require tenants receiving either heat or electric utility allowances to enroll and participate in the PECO Customer Assistance Plan, LIHEAP and any other applicable programs that offer reduced rates, energy usage grants or other financial incentives to low income households.	This activity was first authorized in Year Eight, but not implemented. PHA will continue to evaluate the feasibility of implementing this activity.
Term Limits	PHA has implemented a seven-year limit on participation in the Housing Choice Voucher program for household members who are able to work.	Approved and implemented in Year Three. However, as noted in the Year 10 Annual Plan, PHA determined that terminations will be held in abeyance in light of current economic conditions. Subsequently, PHA has elected to discontinue this activity as noted in the MTW Year 12 Annual Plan.
HCV HQS Enforcement	PHA implemented alternative HQS enforcement timetables.	PHA has discontinued this activity, and now enforces all HQS compliance in conformance with 24 CFR Part 982, i.e. emergency conditions must be corrected within 24 hours of the inspection, and routine conditions must be corrected within 30 days of inspection.
Streamlined Acquisition Process	PHA is authorized to develop public housing through several financing methods, including the mixed-finance approach, which involves the use of both private financing and public housing development funds. According to public housing regulations, PHA and its partners may select among several ownership structures for mixed finance transactions.	Introduced in MTW Year Four, but not implemented. PHA continues to explore alternate opportunities to use this activity.
Service Orders	PHA implemented alternative service order timetables for the public housing program.	PHA has discontinued this activity, and now requires repair or abatement of emergency service orders within 24 hours and routine service orders within 30 days.

VI. ONGOING MTW ACTIVITIES

This section of the Annual Report summarizes PHA's ongoing MTW activities for MTW Year 11. MTW initiatives discussed in this chapter have been previously submitted and approved by HUD. Under the original MTW Agreement, PHA was not required to report on baseline and benchmarks for MTW initiatives. Under the revised Standard MTW Agreement and the revised Attachment B, PHA is required to establish baseline and benchmarks for all MTW initiatives effective with the June 2011 submission of the Year Ten Annual Report. For initiatives approved and implemented prior to MTW Year 10, the baseline was generally determined based on PHA's data as of April 1, 2010. Benchmarks generally reflect production goals for the period beginning April 1, 2010 and beyond. Note that the baseline and benchmarks presented herein do not fully reflect the substantial activity that occurred in prior years; however, this information has previously been reported to HUD in PHA's prior MTW Annual Plans and Reports.

MTW Activity: Neighborhood Development and Revitalization Initiatives

PHA utilizes MTW authority to substantially increase housing choices for residents and applicants. PHA is continuing to implement an ambitious program of new construction, substantial rehabilitation and modernization designed to revitalize PHA public housing developments, replace distressed housing lost to demolition and lack of capital funds, and improve Philadelphia's neighborhoods. The components of this activity are:

- Design Standards Approved and implemented in Year Three and is ongoing
- Total Development Cost Limits and Housing Cost Caps Approved and implemented in Year Three and is ongoing
- Streamlined Mixed-Finance Development Process Approved and implemented in Year Three and is ongoing
- Streamlined Acquisition Process Introduced in Year Four, but not yet implemented (See Chapter V)
- Strategy for Development Approved and implemented in Year Four and is ongoing
- Site and Neighborhood Standards Approved and implemented in Year Three and is ongoing

A. Activities continued from the prior Plan year(s)

The following activities are ongoing:

- Design Standards
- Total Development Cost Limits and Housing Cost Caps
- Streamlined Mixed-Finance Development Process
- Strategy for Development
- Site and Neighborhood Standards

B. Impact of the activity compared against the proposed benchmarks and metrics

Components	Impact	Metric	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcomes
Design Standards	Creation of energy efficient and environmentally safe affordable housing incorporating market-driven amenities.	# of new units developed	All new units developed after April 1, 2010 will be counted. The baseline as of April 1 is assumed to be zero.	Develop or rehabilitate up to 400 units over 5 years.	Total # of units developed from 4/1/10 to 3/31/12: 470. This total includes 98 units from Year 10 (see Year 10 Report for details) plus 319 new construction units and 53 substantial rehab units in Year 11 per Table 3.

Components	Impact	Metric	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcomes
Total Development Cost Limits and Housing Cost Caps	Creation of MTW TDC/HCC schedules which reflect construction and development costs in the City of Philadelphia. PHA has conducted studies to document the need for revised TDC/HCC schedules in order to reflect local costs. PHA will periodically update this information and submit to HUD when modifying the schedules.	# of new units developed	All new units developed after April 1, 2010 will be counted. The baseline as of April 1 is assumed to be zero.	Develop or rehabilitate up to 400 units over 5 years.	Total # of units developed from 4/1/10 to 3/31/12: 470. This total includes 98 units from Year 10 (see Year 10 Report for details) plus 319 new construction units and 53 substantial rehab units in Year 11 per Table 3
Streamlined Mixed-Finance Development Process	Streamlined administrative functions, maximized management efficiencies and implemented less onerous reporting functions, such as tax-credit compliance etc.	Length of time from funding award to project closing	PHA will identify a baseline for this in the coming months based on industry average closing times.	Reduce closing times by 15% over industry average.	TBD
Strategy for Development	Ability to quickly address the need for emergency demolition through streamlined demolition approval process.	Time to process emergency demolition applications	The average time for processing is approximately 120 days.	Reduce average processing time by 30 days, i.e. complete processing in 90 days	PHA submitted 5 requests for emergency demolition approval to HUD in the Plan year, all for properties previously approved by HUD for disposition. Demo approvals were received in 90 days or less.
Alternate Site and Neighborhood Standards	Creation of new affordable housing units which reflect neighborhood conditions in Philadelphia and are consistent with PHA's development strategy	# of new units developed that meet PHA's defined MTW Site and Neighborhood Standards	All new units developed after April 1, 2010 that meet PHA's defined MTW Site and Neighborhood Standards will be counted. The baseline as	Develop or rehabilitate up to 400 units over 5 years that meet PHA's MTW Site and Neighborhood Standards	Total # of units developed from 4/1/10 to 3/31/12 that meet PHA's MTW Site and Neighborhood Standards is 470. This total includes 98 units from Year 10

Components	Impact	Metric	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcomes
			of April 1 is assumed to be zero.		(see Year 10 Report for details) plus 319 new construction units and 53 substantial rehab units in Year 11 per Table 3.

C. Explanation if benchmarks were not achieved or the activity was determined ineffective $\ensuremath{\mathrm{N/A}}$

D. Identify any new indicators if benchmarks or metrics have been revised $N\!/\!A$

E. Describe revisions if data collection methodology has changed $N\!/\!A$

F. New authorizations used

No new authorizations were required.

G. Provision or regulation waived by MTW

Activity	Authorization	MTW Waiver
Design Standards	Attachment C, Section C (12)	Sec. 4, 5 and 9 of 1937 Act
		24 CFR §§ 941.202, 941.203,
		941.401, & 941.403
Total Development Cost Limits and	Attachment C, Section C (16)	Sec. 6(b) of 1937 Act
Housing Cost Caps		24 CFR § 941.306
Streamlined Mixed-Finance	Attachment C, Section C(7)(a)	Sec. 4, 5, 9, 24, 32, and 35 of 1937
Development Process	Attachment D, Section III (A)(I)	Act
		24 CFR § 941
Strategy for Development	Attachment C, Section B(1);	Sec. 8 and 9 of 1937 Act
	Attachment D, Section II (A) and	24 CFR §§ 905, 941, 982, & 990
Alternate Site and Neighborhood	Attachment C, Section D(7)(c)	24 CFR §§ 941.202(b)-(d) & 983.57
Standards	Attachment D, Section III(B)(2)	

The above MTW waivers have been essential to PHA's ability to develop high-quality, new and rehabilitated public housing units in a cost-effective and efficient manner, using standards and data that reflects the local Philadelphia housing market. Housing choices within PHA's portfolio have been substantially increased and improved.

MTW Activity: Service Enriched Housing for Seniors and People with Disabilities

PHA is collaborating with the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and other local providers to develop and implement a range of service-enriched housing options for seniors and people with disabilities. The components of this activity are:

- Assisted Living Introduced in Year Eight, but not implemented due to lack of available state and other funding (See Chapter V)
- Adult Day Care Approved and implemented in Year Ten and is ongoing
- LIFE Programs Approved and implemented in Year Six and is ongoing
- Nursing Home Transition Approved and implemented in Year Nine and is ongoing
- Accessible Unit Retrofitting and Development Approved and implemented in Year Nine and is ongoing
- Definition of Elderly Approved and implemented in Year Three and is ongoing

A. Activities continued from the prior Plan year(s)

The following activities are ongoing:

- Adult Day Care
- LIFE Programs
- Nursing Home Transition
- Accessible Unit Retrofitting and Development
- Definition of Elderly

B. Impact of the activity compared against the proposed benchmarks and metrics

Components	Impact	Metric	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcomes
Adult Day	Provision of care and	# of eligible	All	Overall capacity is	From 4/1/11
Care	companionship to	elderly	participants	52 clients. A	to 3/31/12: 43
	seniors who require	participants	served after	benchmark of serving	participants
	assistance with	served	April 1,	50% of capacity (26	served, i.e.
	Activities of Daily		2010 will be	clients) is established	83% of
	Living and or		counted.	for first year	capacity.
	supervision during the		The baseline	operations; 75% of	From 4/1/10
	day. The program		as of April 1	capacity (39 clients)	to 3/31/11: 33
	provides alternative		is assumed	for second year; and	participants
	care that enhances		to be zero.	95% or greater of	served, i.e.
	self-esteem and			capacity (49 clients)	63% of
	encourages			for the third and	capacity.
	socialization.			subsequent years.	
LIFE	Individualized	# of eligible	All PHA	For first year of	As of March
Programs	approach to provision	PHA elderly	resident	tracking, 10%	31 2012, 135
	of healthcare and	residents served	participants	(n=135) of potential	PHA residents
	social services to	at LIFE sites	served after	enrollees (n=1,356)	were enrolled
	qualified seniors. The		April 1,	will be PHA	in LIFE
	program delivers all		2010 will be	residents. Starting in	programs,
	needed medical,		counted.	third year of tracking,	which
	supportive services,		The baseline	15% (n=203) of	represents
	and entire continuum		as of April 1	enrollees will be	10% of
	of care and services to		is assumed	PHA residents.	enrollees.

Components	Impact	Metric	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcomes
	seniors with chronic care needs.		to be zero.		This is a 6 person increase over the prior year enrollment reported in the Year 10 Annual Report.
Nursing Home Transition	Development of new housing opportunities for persons transitioning out of nursing homes, increase access to affordable housing and enhance independent living for both seniors and for non-elderly disabled households.	# of eligible elderly/disabled participants leased up	All new participants served after April 1, 2010 will be counted. The baseline as of April 1 is assumed to be zero.	Lease up 75 participants over a 2- year period	As of 3/31/12: 94 participants leased up.
Accessible Unit Retrofitting and Development	Development of new accessible units and retrofitting of existing units to make additional housing opportunities available to people with disabilities and otherwise comply with Attachment E to MTW Agreement.	# of accessible units	All new units developed or retrofitted after December 31, 2008. The baseline as of that date is assumed to be zero.	152 units annually	From 4/1/11 to 3/31/12: 152 units completed.
Definition of Elderly	Modify the definition of elderly to include individuals/families age 55 to 61 years, as well as 62 and older	# of eligible elderly participants served; track number aged 55-61 years	All new participants served after April 1, 2010 will be counted. The baseline as of April 1 is assumed to be zero.	Occupy 100% of designated elderly units with eligible elderly families. PHA has 2,156 units approved by HUD for elderly designation.	As of 3/31/12: 2,156 or 100% of designated units are occupied by families that meet PHA's MTW definition of elderly.

C. Explanation if benchmarks were not achieved or the activity was determined ineffective

D. Identify any new indicators if benchmarks or metrics have been revised $N\!/\!A$

E. Describe revisions if data collection methodology has changed $N\!/\!A$

F. New authorizations used

No new authorizations were required.

G. Provision or regulation waived by MTW

Listed below are authorizations that are utilized in implementation of this initiative.

Activity	Authorization	MTW Waiver
Adult Day Care	Attachment C, Section B(1);	Sec. 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 16 & 31 of 1937
	Attachment C, Section C (2) &	Act
	(10);	24 CFR §§ 945 subpart C, 960
	Attachment D, Section II (A)	subparts B, D, E & G, 982 & 990
LIFE Programs	Attachment C, Section B(1);	Sec. 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 16 & 31 of 1937
	Attachment C, Section C (2) &	Act
	(10);	24 CFR §§ 945 subpart C, 960
	Attachment D, Section II (A)	subparts B, D, E & G, 982 & 990
Nursing Home Transition	Attachment C, Section B(1);	Sec. 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 16 & 31 of 1937
	Attachment C, Section C (2) &	Act
	(10);	24 CFR §§ 945 subpart C, 960
	Attachment D, Section II (A)	subparts B, D, E & G, 982 & 990
Accessible Unit Retrofitting and	Attachment D, Section II (A) (2),	Sec. 8 and 9 of 1937 Act
Development	Attachment E	
D.C. W. CELL I	Au 1 (C.C. C. P.(2)	S 2/1)/2) 8 /G) 51027 A /
Definition of Elderly	Attachment C, Section B (3)	Sec. 3(b)(3) & (G) of 1937 Act
		24 CFR § 5.403

The above MTW authorizations and waivers have enabled PHA to develop programs that increase the housing options, services, and resources to seniors, who are able to age in place, and persons with disabilities.

MTW Activity: Simplification and Streamlining of Rent, Recertification and Inspection Processes for Public Housing and HCV

PHA is implementing a series of initiatives in the public housing and HCV programs designed to streamline administrative processes, reduce paperwork burdens on residents, and encourage families to work and increase their incomes. The components of this activity are:

- Two and Three-Year Recertification Approved and implemented in Year Three and is ongoing
- Ceiling Rents Approved and implemented in Year Three and is ongoing
- Rent Calculation Method Approved and implemented in Year Three and is ongoing
- Payment Standards Approved and implemented in Year Seven and is ongoing
- Reasonable Rent Approved and implemented in Year Seven and is ongoing
- Property Specific Rent Policies Approved and implemented in Year Six and is ongoing
- Community Service Approved and implemented in Year Ten and is ongoing
- Utility Allowances Introduced in Year Eight, but not implemented (See Chapter V)
- HCV HQS Enforcement Approved and implemented in Year Three, but has been discontinued (See Chapter V)
- Service Orders Approved and implemented in Year Eight but discontinued in Year 11 in response to HUD comments. PHA no longer utilizes MTW flexibility in its service order policies.

A. List activities continued from the prior Plan year(s)

The following activities are ongoing:

- Two and Three-Year Recertification
- Ceiling Rents
- Rent Calculation Method
- Payment Standards
- Community Service Policies
- Reasonable Rent
- Property Specific Rent Policies

B. Impact of the activity compared against the proposed benchmarks and metrics

Components	Impact	Metric	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcomes
Two and	Reduced	Cumulative	Processing time	Reduction in	PHA estimates that
Three-year	administrative	reductions in	for	processing	approximately 14,025
Recertification	and paperwork	annual	recertification,	time by 25%	staff hours are saved
	burdens on staff	processing	rent calculation	(n=14,025)	annually through the
	and residents	time based on	and related	over annual	rent simplification
	and overall	all rent	activities is	recertification	process. (Estimated at
	reduction in	simplification	estimated at	process. The	approximately
	amount of time	activities	approximately 2	estimated	\$361,000 per year.)
	to process		hours per	processing	Savings help to fund
	recertifications.		participant. Total	time without	economic self
	Note that PHA		processing time if	this initiative	sufficiency and related

Components	Impact	Metric	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcomes
	continues to		done annually is	is 56,100 staff	support activities.
	inspect all units		estimated to be	hours.	
	annually and		56,100 hours (2		
	upon request.		hours X 28,055		
			recertifications).		
Ceiling Rents	By utilizing a	# of residents	All ceiling rents	Ceiling rent	As of 3/31/12, 502
	"cap" or	at ceiling	processed after	levels	conventional/scattered
	maximum rent	rents	April 1, 2010 will	correctly	site residents and 37
	for higher		be counted. The	calculated for	PAPMC residents
	income families,		baseline as of	greater than 98% of	elected ceiling rents, and all rents were
	PHA promotes income mixing		April 1 is assumed to be	residents	calculated correctly per
	at its		zero.	electing this	PHA policy.
	developments.		Zeio.	option.	rna policy.
	Note that PHA			option.	
	is currently re-				
	evaluating the				
	efficacy and				
	impact of this				
	activity.				
Rent	Reduced	Cumulative	Processing time	Reduction in	See note above in Two
Calculation	administrative	reductions in	for	processing	and Three-Year
Method	and paperwork	annual	recertification,	time by 25%	Recertifications.
	burdens on staff	processing	rent calculation	over annual	
	and residents	time based on	and related	recertification	
	and overall	all rent	activities is	process	
	reduction in	simplification	estimated at	1	
	amount of time	activities	approximately 2		
	to process		hours per		
	recertifications.		participant. Total		
			processing time if		
			done annually is		
			estimated to be		
			56,100 hours (2		
			hours X 28,055		
			recertifications).		
Payment	Reduced	Cumulative	Processing time	Reduction in	See note above in Two
Standards	administrative	reductions in	for	processing	and Three-Year
	and paperwork	annual .	recertification,	time by 25%	Recertifications.
	burdens on staff	processing	rent calculation	over annual	
	and residents.	time based on	and related	recertification	
		all rent	activities is	process	
		simplification activities	estimated at		
		activities	approximately 2		
			hours per		
			participant. Total processing time if		
			done annually is		
			estimated to be		
			56,100 hours. (2		
			hours X 28,055		
			recertifications).		
Community	Reduced	Reductions in	Processing time	Reduction in	See note above in Two
Service	administrative	annual	for	processing	and Three-Year
DCI VICC			i .	1 0 0	

Components	Impact	Metric	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcomes
	burdens on staff and residents.	time	rent calculation and related activities is estimated at approximately 2 hours per participant. Total processing time if done annually is estimated to be 56,100 hours (2 hours X 28,055 recertifications).	over annual recertification process	
Reasonable Rent	Reduced administrative and paperwork burdens on staff and residents.	Reductions in annual processing time	Processing time for recertification, rent calculation and related activities is estimated at approximately 2 hours per participant. Total processing time if done annually is estimated to be 56,100 hours (2 hours X 28,055 recertifications).	Reduction in processing time by 25% over annual recertification process	See note above in Two and Three-Year Recertifications.
Property Specific Rent Policies	Reduced administrative and paperwork burdens on staff and residents.	Reductions in annual processing time	Processing time for recertification, rent calculation and related activities is estimated at approximately 2 hours per participant. Total processing time if done annually is estimated to be 56,100 hours (2 hours X 28,055 recertifications).	Reduction in processing time by 25% over annual recertification process	See note above in Two and Three-Year Recertifications.

C. Explanation if benchmarks were not achieved or the activity was determined ineffective $\ensuremath{\mathrm{N/A}}$

D. Identify any new indicators if benchmarks or metrics have been revised $N\!/\!A$

E. Describe revisions if data collection methodology has changed

PHA will work to identify improved methods to track dollar savings for efficiency measures.

F. New authorizations used

No new authorizations were required.

G. Provision or regulation waived by MTW

Listed below are authorizations that are utilized in implementation of this initiative.

Activity	Authorization	MTW Waiver
Two and Three-year	Attachment C, Section C (4) & D	Sec. 3(a)(1) & 3(a)(2) & 8(o)(5) of 1937
Recertification	(1) (c)	Act
	Attachment D, Section II (E)	24 CFR §§ 960.257, 966.4 & 982.516
Ceiling Rents	Attachment D, Section II (D)	24 CFR § 960.253
Rent Calculation Method	Attachment D, Section II (D)	24 CFR § 5.611 & 960.253
Payment Standards	Attachment C, Section D (2)(c)	Sec. 8(o)(10) of 1937 Act
		24 CFR § 982.507
Reasonable Rent	Attachment C, Section D (2)(a)	Sec. 8(o)(1), (2), (3), (10) & (13)(H)-(I)
		of 1937 Act
		24 CFR §§ 982.503, 982.508, & 982.518
Property Specific Rent Policies	Attachment C, Section D (2)(a)	Sec. 8(o)(1), (2), (3), (10) & (13)(H)-(I)
		of 1937 Act
		24 CFR §§ 982.503, 982.508, & 982.518

The MTW authorizations and waivers above create administrative efficiencies that enable PHA to target its resources in an efficient and effective manner, thereby providing better services and housing to PHA clients and landlords.

MTW Activity: HCV Program Participation

To encourage family economic self-sufficiency, PHA has implemented a number of requirements for participation in its local HCV Program in addition to the standard regulatory eligibility provisions. The components of this activity are:

- Term Limits Approved and implemented in Year Three, but discontinued pursuant to the Year 12 Annual Plan.
- Moving to Work Family Agreement Addendum Approved and implemented in Year Three and is ongoing.
- Family Economic Development Action Plan Approved and implemented in Year Three and is ongoing
- Tenant Responsibility Training Approved and implemented in Year Three and is ongoing. This training has been incorporated in the tenant briefing session

A. List activities continued from the prior Plan year(s)

All the activities listed above are still ongoing except for Term Limits which has been discontinued.

B. Impact of the activity compared against the proposed benchmarks and metrics

Components	Impact	Metric	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcomes
Moving to Work	Enhance	% of participants	All participants	98% or greater of	As of 3/31/12
Family Agreement	compliance with	that signed the	after April 1, 2010	MTW participants	11,310
Addendum	HCV program	MTW Agreement	will be counted.	sign MTWFAA	participants have
(MTWFAA)	rules and		The baseline as of		signed the MTW
	regulations		April 1 is assumed		Agreement which
			to be zero.		represented 100%
					of eligible
					participants. 969
					Agreements were
					signed this fiscal
					year. This
					exceeded the 98%
					benchmark.
Family Economic	Increase the	% of participants	All participants	2,000 referrals or	In FY 2012, there
Development	number of non-	referred to,	processed after	more annually	were 2,693
Action Plan	working	enrolled in,	April 1, 2010 will	(subject to	referrals, 1,101
	households that	graduating from	be counted. The	funding	enrollments, 520
	are moving	educational,	baseline as of	availability);	graduates from the
	towards self-	employment or	April 1 is assumed	500 program	various programs
	sufficiency	other self	to be zero.	enrollments	and 87 direct
		sufficiency		annually;	placements.
		programs		greater than 50%	
		including		graduation rate	
		Community			
		Partner programs			
Tenant	Enhance	% of clients that	All participants	98% or greater of	In FY 2012, 1,542
Responsibility	compliance with	participated in the	processed after	clients participate	clients (new lease
Training	HCV program	training at initial	April 1, 2010 will	in training	up and moves)
	rules and	lease-up and	be counted. The		participated in the

Components	Impact	Metric	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcomes
	regulations	moves	baseline as of		training. This
			April 1 is assumed		represents 100%
			to be zero.		of new lease up
					and move clients.

C. Explanation if benchmarks were not achieved or the activity was determined ineffective

For the Family Economic Development Action Plan, PHA exceeded the annual benchmarks for referrals and enrollments; however, the graduation benchmark of 50% or greater was not achieved. PHA achieved a 47.2% graduation rate. PHA will continue to work with its partners to improve services and increase graduation rates.

D. Identify any new indicators if benchmarks or metrics have been revised $N\!/\!A$

E. Describe revisions if data collection methodology has changed $N\!/\!A$

F. New authorizations used

No new authorizations were required.

G. Provision or regulation waived by MTW

Activity	Authorization	MTW Waiver
Moving to Work Family Agreement	Attachment C, Section D(3)(b);	24 CFR § 982, subpart E
Addendum (MTWFAA)	Attachment D, Section IV (A)(d)	
Family Economic Development Action	Attachment C, Section D (3)(b);	24 CFR § 982, subpart E
Plan	Attachment D, Section IV (A)(d)	
Tenant Responsibility Training	Attachment D, Section IV (A)(2)(e)	24 CFR § 982.301

The above MTW authorizations and waivers enable PHA to create program requirements that facilitate resident self-sufficiency and improve their ability to be good tenants and neighbors.

MTW Activity: Unit Based Leasing and Development Program

Expanding the supply of affordable housing in Philadelphia continues to be a major objective of the PHA MTW demonstration program. Under PHA's Unit-Based Voucher Program ("UBV" or the "Unit-Based Program"), PHA negotiates contracts with for-profit and nonprofit private sector housing providers for unit-basing.

A. List activities continued from the prior Plan year(s)

Unit Based Leasing and Development Program – Approved and implemented in Year Three and is ongoing

B. Impact of the activity compared against the proposed benchmarks and metrics

Component	Impact	Metric	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcomes
Unit-Based	Increased	# of	As of	Increase # of	As of 3/31/12: 1,787 units
Leasing and	housing choices	Unit-	3/31/2010:	units by 500	under contract. This
Development	to eligible low	Based	1,657 units	over 5 years	represents a slight decrease
Program	income	Units	under contract		of 8 units over the prior
	households.				year; however, it represents
					an increase of 130 over the
					baseline.

C. Provide a narrative explanation if benchmarks were not achieved or the activity was determined ineffective

PHA established a five year benchmark for this initiative of increasing the number of units by 500. Over the past two years, a total of 130 additional units have been added when factoring in various contract terminations, etc. PHA has issued an RFP for additional units which should help to increase the overall unit total in the coming year.

D. Identify any new indicators if benchmarks or metrics have been revised $\ensuremath{\mathrm{N/A}}$

E. Describe revisions if data collection methodology has changed N/A

F. New authorizations used

No new authorizations were required.

G. Provision or regulation waived by MTW

Activity	Authorization	MTW Waiver
Unit-Based Leasing and Development	Attachment D, Section IV (B);	Section 8(o)(13)
Program	Attachment C, Section D (1) (a),	24 CFR 983 subparts A, B, D, E, F, G,
	(e)- (g), D(2), (a)-(c), D (3), D	Н
	(4), D (6) & D (7)	

The MTW authorizations and waivers used for the unit-based leasing program enable PHA to develop project-based requirements that reflect local needs, thereby increasing housing opportunities for low-income families. They also create administrative efficiencies.

MTW Activity: Comprehensive Self Sufficiency, Homeownership and Family Supportive Services for Residents

A primary objective of PHA's MTW demonstration program is to support resident economic self-sufficiency including expanding the number of public housing and voucher participants who become first-time homebuyers. Building on its existing network of social service programs and partners, PHA will continue to operate an MTW Family Program. PHA will continue to expand the existing network of collaborative working relationships with other local service providers, arrange for the provision of services to family members and develop and implement new and innovative programs to bridge identified service gaps. Participating families will receive case management and other support services during the term of their agreement, including housing-related services and other incentives to encourage continued participation in the Family Support Program. The components of this activity are:

- Service Coordination Approved and implemented in Year Four and is ongoing
- Self Sufficiency Offices and Community Centers Approved and implemented in Year Four and is ongoing
- Community Partners Approved and implemented in Year Four and is ongoing
- Affordable Homeownership Approved and implemented in Year Four and is ongoing

A. List activities continued from the prior Plan year(s)

All the activities listed above are ongoing.

B. Impact of the activity compared against the proposed benchmarks and metrics

Components	Impact	Metric	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcomes
Service	Increased	# of	As of 3/31/10:	To increase	As of 3/31/12: There
Coordination	number of PHA	referrals, #	There were	all four	were 7,261 referrals,
	residents	of	1,205 referrals,	metrics by	5,768 enrollments, 4,057
	enrolled in	enrollments,	827	10% annually,	graduates from the
	educational,	# of	enrollments,	subject to	various programs and 78
	employment,	graduates	527 graduates	funding	direct placements. PHA
	training, health,	and # of	from the various	availability	attributes the success in
	homeownership,	direct	programs and		exceeding established
	recreational,	placements	106 direct		benchmarks to the
	cultural and		placements.		strength of the working
	social services				relationships between
	programs.				PHA staff and
					Community Partner
					training/educational
					providers. Also, PHA
					implemented an
					extensive outreach plan
					for its Homeownership
					educational events, and
					provided a new program
					for technology training.
					Note that PHA will
					review and potentially
					revise these benchmarks
					in the coming year.

Components	Impact	Metric	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcomes
Self Sufficiency	Increased	# of events,	As of 3/31/10;	To increase	As of 3/31/12: PHA
Offices and	number of	# of	events baseline	both metrics	conducted 984 events
Community	community	attendees	is 43; attendees	by 10%	which were attended by
Centers	center-based		baseline is 785.	annually	15,361 attendees.
	events for			subject to	These figures
	residents that			funding	dramatically exceeded
	emphasize			availability	PHA's benchmarks.
	education,				
	nutrition, health,				
	access to				
	community				
	resources and				
	job assistance.				
Community	Provision of	# of	As of 3/31/10:	To increase	As of 3/31/12: There
Partners	expanded	referrals, #	There were	all four	were 1,459 referrals,
	supportive	of	1,205 referrals,	metrics by	384 enrollments, 302
	services to PHA	enrollments,	827	10% annually	graduates from the
	households and	# of	enrollments,	subject to	various programs and 57
	enhancement of	graduates	527 graduates	funding	direct placements.
	employment	and # of	from the various	availability	These numbers reflect
	and training	direct	programs and	a variation in	the impact of our delay
	opportunities to	placements	106 direct		in renewing and
	HCV and public	pracements	placements		acquiring new contracts
	housing		Piacements		for our Community
	households to				Partners Program. PHA
	assist				released new RFPs for
	households in				partners early in the FY
	obtaining living				with new career targets
	wage jobs.				and new requirements
	wage joos.				for partners. The review
					and approval process
					took a majority of the
					FY, and resulted in
					approximately 6 months
					with no active partners.
					This delay was
					warranted, as it allowed
					PHA to identify new
					partners with proven
					track records, in new
					targeted areas which
					were aligned to market
					forces, and also allowed
					all our partners now to
					be on performance
					based contracts. As
					such, we are already
					beginning to see
					increased graduation
					results, and placement
					results, which should
					continue into the next
					FY.
Affordable	Increased	# of	All new	Additional 25	From 4/1/11 to 3/31/12:
Homeownership	number of	participants	homeowners	homeowners	48 participants became

Components	Impact	Metric	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcomes
	MTW Family	who became	after April 1,	annually	homeowners. Of this
	Program	homeowners	2010 will be		total, 35 homeowners
	participants who		counted. The		came from PHA's HCV
	became		baseline as of		Homeownership
	homeowners,		April 1 is		program; 2 homeowners
	expanded		assumed to be		came from PHA's 5-h
	housing choices		zero.		sales program; 10 sales
	for low-income				from MLK Plaza; and, 1
	participants, and				resale of a re-possessed
	contributed to				unit.
	neighborhood				
	stabilization				
	through				
	increased				
	homeownership.				

C. Explanation if benchmarks were not achieved or the activity was determined ineffective $\ensuremath{\mathrm{N/A}}$

D. Identify any new indicators if benchmarks or metrics have been revised $\ensuremath{\mathrm{N/A}}$

E. Describe revisions if data collection methodology has changed $N\!/\!A$

F. New authorizations used

No new authorizations were required.

G. Provision or regulation waived by MTW

Activity	Authorization	MTW Waiver	
Service Coordination	Attachment C, Section B(1) & D(3)(b); Attachment D, Section IV (A)(1)(d)	Sec. 8 & 9 of the 1937 Act 24 CFR § 982, subpart E	
Self Sufficiency Offices and Community Centers	Attachment C, Section B(1) & D(3)(b); Attachment D, Section IV (A)(1)(d)	Sec. 8 & 9 of the 1937 Act 24 CFR § 982, subpart E	
Community Partners	Attachment C, Section B(1) & D(3)(b); Attachment D, Section IV (A)(1)(d)	Sec. 8 & 9 of the 1937 Act 24 CFR § 982, subpart E	
Affordable Homeownership	Attachment C, Section B(1) & D(8)(a); Attachment D, Section III (B)(1)	Sec. 4, 5, 8, 9, 24, 32, & 35 of the 1937 Act 24 CFR 941	

The MTW authorizations and waivers described above enable PHA to create programs and requirements that improve self-sufficiency and homeownership rates among clients. Clients obtain life skills and training that better prepares them for tenancy, homeownership, and economic self-sufficiency.

MTW Activity: Increase Housing Opportunities for the Homeless

PHA is collaborating with the City of Philadelphia to provide a range of housing opportunities for homeless individuals and families as part of the ten-year Blueprint to End Homelessness Program. The components of this activity are:

- Blueprint/Good Neighbors Make Good Neighborhoods Approved and implemented in Year Three and is ongoing
- Transitional Housing Facilities Introduced in Year Six, but not yet implemented (See Chapter V)

A. List activities continued from the prior Plan year(s)

Blueprint/Good Neighbors Make Good Neighborhoods initiative is ongoing

B. Impact of the activity compared against the proposed benchmarks and metrics

Components	Impact	Metric	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcomes
Blueprint/Good	Reduce number	# of	All participants	To house 500	For the period
Neighbors Make	of homeless	homeless	under lease after	homeless	4/01/12 to
Good	persons located	households	April 1, 2010 will	households	3/31/12: In total,
Neighborhoods	in the	housed in	be counted. The	annually during	275 homeless
	Philadelphia	HCV/PH	baseline as of	the course of the	households were
	area		April 1 is assumed	program	provided
			to be zero.		housing.

C. Explanation if benchmarks were not achieved or the activity was determined ineffective

PHA has established an annual goal of housing 500 homeless households through the Blueprint program. While PHA has largely met this annual goal since inception of the program, the number of homeless households served was fewer for FY 2012 due to lower than projected attrition/turnover in PHA units, and the need to use available units to house relocated public housing residents from Norris Homes and Paschall Apartments. Norris Homes is still under construction, while Paschall was completed during FY 2012. PHA remains committed to the Blueprint goals and the attainment of target benchmarks in the future, subject to the availability of units.

D. Identify any new indicators if benchmarks or metrics have been revised

N/A

E. Describe revisions if data collection methodology has changed

N/A

F. New authorizations used

No new authorizations were required.

G. Provision or regulation waived by MTW

Activity	Authorization	MTW Waiver
Blueprint/Good Neighbors Make Good Neighborhoods	Attachment C, Section B(1), C(2) & D (3)	Sec. 3, 8, 9, &16(b) of the 1937 Act 24 CFR §§ 5.603, 5.609, 5.611, 5.628,
		960.206, & 982.201

The MTW authorizations and waivers above enabled PHA to work closely with the City of Philadelphia to improve housing opportunities and outcomes for homeless families.

MTW Activity: Streamline the Admissions and Transfer Process

PHA has established a number of MTW policies designed to streamline the admissions and transfer policies for both public housing and HCV programs. The components of this activity are:

- MTW Transfers Approved and implemented in Year Four and is ongoing
- Restriction on Elective Moves Approved and implemented in Year Seven and is ongoing

A. List activities continued from the prior Plan year(s)

All the activities listed above are ongoing

B. Impact of the activity, compared against the proposed benchmarks and metrics

Components	Impact	Metric	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcomes
MTW	To support witness	# of	All transfers	No more than 100	For the year
Transfers	protection	transfers	processed after	transfers annually.	ending 3/31/12:
	activities, domestic	between	April 1, 2010 will		16 Housing
	violence prevention	HCV	be counted. The		Choice Vouchers
	and other needs	program	baseline as of April		were utilized to
		and	1, 2010 is assumed		transfer clients
		public	to be zero.		from Public
		housing			Housing Units to
					the HCV
					program.
Restriction on	Reduction in	# of	All elective moves	To reduce # of	For the year
Elective	processing times	moves per	after April 1, 2010	moves by 25%	ending 3/31/12:
Moves	associated with	year	will be counted.	annually compared	1,266 total moves
	unnecessary		The baseline is	to # of moves prior	occurred
	elective moves as		1,489,which is the	to implementation	compared to 880
	defined in the		number of HCV	of the policy,	in the prior fiscal
	MTW Annual Plan		moves processed in	adjusted for changes	year and 1,489 in
	and PHA's		the year preceding	in the size of the	the baseline year.
	Administrative		approval of this	HCV program	This reflects a
	Plan.		initiative, i.e. the		15% decrease in
			period from April 1,		the number of
			2006 to March 31,		moves from the
			2007.		baseline year.

C. Explanation if benchmarks were not achieved or the activity was determined ineffective $\ensuremath{\mathrm{N/A}}$

D. Identify any new indicators if benchmarks or metrics have been revised $N\!/\!A$

E. Describe revisions if data collection methodology has changed $N\!/\!A$

F. New authorizations used

No new authorizations were required.

G. Provision or regulation waived by MTW

Activity	Activity Authorization MTW Wai				
MTW Transfers	Attachment C, Section D (4) & C (1)	Sec. 6(r) and 8(o) of the 1937 Act 24 CFR §§ 903.7, 960 subpart B, 982 subpart E, & 982.305			
Restriction on Elective Moves	Attachment D, Section IV(A)(2)(b) & (e)	Sec. 8(o)(7)of the 1937 Act 24 CFR §982.353			

The MTW authorizations and waivers above enabled PHA to assist clients in need of expeditious transfers between PHA programs and to create administrative efficiencies by limiting the need for unnecessary, elective moves within the HCV program.

VII. SOURCES AND USES OF FUNDING

A. Budget vs. Actual Sources and Uses of MTW funds

Table 11 provides information on budgeted versus actual sources and uses of MTW funds for Fiscal Year 2012 (MTW Year 11). A narrative description of planned versus actual differences follows the table.

Table 11 – FY 2012 Budget vs. Actual Sources and Uses of MTW Funds

REVENUE (SOURCES)	BUDGET	ACTUALS	VARIANCE		
Operating Subsidy	117,825,443	113,495,919	-3.67%		
Capital/Replacement Housing Fund	76,043,142	48,436,843	-36.30%		
Housing Choice Voucher Program	177,023,352	184,563,302	4.26%		
Dwelling Rental Income	23,801,694	23,137,467	-2.79%		
Miscellaneous Income	972,098	2,532,794	160.55%		
TOTAL REVENUE	395,665,729	372,166,325	-5.94%		
EXPENSES USES					
Administrative	33,870,172	39,620,653	16.98%		
Tenant Services	997,438	655,940	-34.24%		
Utilities	30,255,829	24,837,348	-17.91%		
Maintenance	36,939,769	56,243,413	52.26%		
Protective Services	5,753,370	5,638,340	-2.00%		
General Expense	43,928,515	63,858,414	45.37%		
Capital Improvements/Additions/Replacements	982,919 2,575,679		162.04%		
Tenant Based Voucher Assistance	126,529,800	112,675,177	-10.95%		
Family Programs	8,547,593	6,584,287	-22.97%		
Quality of Life Program	978,145	865,131	-11.55%		
Management Improvements	10,000,000	5,255,880	-47.44%		
Capital Activities	20,500,000	8,272,069	-59.65%		
Capital/Replacement Housing Projects	76,043,142	48,436,843	-36.30%		
TOTAL EXPENSE	395,326,692	375,519,174	-5.01%		
OPERATING INCOME/LOSS	339,037	-3,352,849			
Carryover Reserve*		78,009,161			
Capital Activities Expended from Carryover Reserve		37,231,427			
Adjusted Carryover Reserve		40,777,734			
NET INCOME/(LOSS)	339,037	37,424,885			
*Adjusted Carryover Reserve Balance @ 03/31/2011					

Explanation of Significant Variances: Sources (Budget/Actual)

- Miscellaneous Income Miscellaneous income increased due to the sale of scattered sites properties during FY 2012.
- Capital/Replacement Fund Capital/Replacement Fund decreased due to delays on the Queen Lane Redevelopment Project due to historical clearance issues which caused the project to be redesigned. Funds will be allocated in the upcoming fiscal year.

Explanation of Significant Variances: Uses (Budget/Actual)

- Administrative and General Expenses Administrative (increase of 16.98%) and General Expenses (increase of 45.37%) increased due to the addition of the Human Resources Department, Office of Audit and Compliance and Office of the General Counsel as part of the Recovery Plan, and additional subsidies given to support tax credit site operating costs.
- Utilities A combination of milder weather conditions and the impact of PHA's recently completed energy improvement projects resulted in a 17.91% decrease over projected utility expenses.
- Maintenance Maintenance expenses increased by 52% over projected costs due to a greater focus on rehabilitating and maintaining PHA properties.
- Tenant Services Tenant services expenses decreased by 34.24% due to timing differences for proposed expenditures for tenant supportive services, recreational activities and community activities.
- Family Programs The variance is due to timing differences for proposed expenditures for tenant supportive services contracts for the Adult Basic Education and GED, Financial Literacy training, Certified Nursing and Apprentice programs.
- Capital/Replacement Fund Capital/Replacement Fund decreased due to delays on the Queen Lane Redevelopment Project due to historical clearance issues which caused the project to be redesigned. Funds will be allocated in the upcoming fiscal year.
- Management Improvement— The variance is due to the reallocation of funds to support the focus on rehabilitating and maintaining PHA properties.

B. Budget vs. Actual Sources and Uses of State or Local funds

Table 12 provides information on FY 2012 budgeted versus actual sources and uses of state and local funds.

Table 12 - FY 2012 Budget vs. Actual Sources and Uses of State and Local Funds

REVENUE (SOURCES)	BUDGETED	ACTUALS	VARIANCE	
Summer Food Program	\$107,779	\$127,547	18.34%	
Housing Coordinator Initiative	296,160	126,113	-57.42%	
Tenant Based Rental Assistance	1,069,110	519,730	-51.39%	
TOTAL REVENUE	\$1,473,049	\$773,391	-47.50%	
EXPENSES (USES)				
Administrative and General	\$403,939	\$253,661	-37.20%	
Housing Assistance Payments	1,006,560	457,180	-54.58%	
Administrative Fees	62,550	62,550	0.00%	
TOTAL EXPENSE	\$1,473,049	\$773,391	-47.50%	
OPERATING INCOME/(LOSS)	\$0	\$0		
Reserve Buildup		\$0		
NET INCOME/(LOSS)	\$0	\$0		

Explanation of Significant Variances: Sources and Uses (Budget/Actual)

Tenant Based Rental Assistance – Revenue was 51% less than projected as a result of reduced leasing under the State-funded program which resulted in lower reimbursements.

C. Central Office Cost Center

This section is not applicable to PHA in light of the Local Asset Management Plan described below.

D. Cost Allocation Plan

Pursuant to its MTW Agreement, PHA has developed a Local Asset Management Plan that describes the agency's cost allocation plan and other technical components of PHA's local asset management strategy. HUD approved PHA's Local Asset Management Plan as part of the MTW Year 11 Annual Plan and prior submissions.

E. Use of Single Fund Flexibility

Under the MTW Program, PHA is authorized to establish an MTW Block Grant budget. PHA's MTW budget focuses on five core areas:

- 1. Reform of the Housing Choice Voucher Program
- 2. Revitalization of neighborhoods where PHA residents and PHA-eligible residents reside

- 3. Development of a Family Program to provide comprehensive self-sufficiency services to eligible residents
- 4. Establishment of a Quality of Life Program that fosters community values, resident and landlord participation and improved neighborhoods.
- 5. Establishment of efficient operating procedures and the implementation of costsavings strategies

Development of annual budgets structured around these core areas allows PHA to further its mission and to deliver services in a more efficient and effective manner. Table 11 above provides detail on the use of single fund flexibility including MTW Activity Vouchers. Specific single fund flexibility line items include:

- Capital Activity funds in the amount of \$8,272,069 were utilized to support various capital and development projects.
- Family Program funds in the amount of \$6,584,287 were utilized to support a wide range of public safety, program compliance, and training and education efforts for PHA residents including: youth development programs citywide; senior programs citywide; Pre-Apprenticeship Program; Homeownership Programs; Community Relations police units; Community Partners training and educational programs; staffing for the Partnership and Resource Development unit; and other Economic Development and Self-Sufficiency program activities.
- Management Improvement funds in the amount of \$5,255,880 were utilized to support: functional enhancements and training on PHA's Customer Relations Management software systems; functional enhancements, training and program support for Financial Management systems; Data Warehousing initiative; Energy Management initiatives; GPS monitoring program; and other business process improvements and staff training initiatives.
- Quality of Life funds in the amount of \$865,131 were utilized for Lease Enforcement and Section 8 investigation programs.

F. Reserve Balances

Table 13 provides details on PHA's reserve balance as of March 31, 2012.

Table 13 – Operating Reserve Calculation: For Year Ended March 31, 2012

EDC# Description		tal State / Local		al Non-MTW Programs	Total MTW		Total PHA- WIDE
FDS# Description		rograms		2 504 500	å 44 7 00 000		45.064.006
111 Cash	\$	799,714		2,684,532	\$ 41,780,080	\$	45,264,326
114 Cash – Tenant Security Deposits	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 796,195	\$	796,195
120 Total Receivables	\$	45,631	\$	3,639,639	\$ 29,579,826	\$	33,265,096
131 Investments	\$	-	\$	2,126,920	\$ 49,889,411	\$	52,016,331
142 Prepaid expenses and other assets	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 12,964	\$	12,964
144 Inter-program – due from	\$	719,395	\$	1,844,618	\$ 56,088,419	\$	58,652,432
145 Assets held for sale	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-
Total Current Assets		1,564,740	\$		\$ 178,146,895		190,007,344
310 Total Current Liabilities	\$	(728,178)		(8,321,278)	\$ (94,164,426)		(103,213,882)
343 Current Portion of Long Term Debt	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	
Total Operating Reserves	\$	554,269	\$	7,390,888	\$102,046,775	\$	109,991,932
Adjustments to HUD Reserve Calculation							
353 PHASI liability	\$	_	\$	_	\$ (4,022,780)	ς	(4,022,780)
353 Worker's comp liability	\$	_	\$	_	\$ (4,114,942)		(4,114,942)
354 Reservation for compensated absences	\$	_	\$	_	\$ (2,031,702)		(2,031,702)
353 PA State escheat liability payable	\$	_	\$	(12,151)			(191,351)
132 MTW Project Reserves Per Agreement for HAP	۶ \$	-	۶ \$	(12,131)	\$ (179,200)		
Total Adjustments to HUD Reserve Calculation	, \$	-	\$ \$	(12.151)	\$ (12,034,431) \$ (22,383,055)	_	
Total Aujustinents to HOD reserve Calculation	<u> </u>	-	Ą	(12,151)	\$ (22,363,033)	Ą	(22,333,200)
PHA Adjusted Reserve	\$	554,269	\$	7,378,737	\$ 79,663,720	\$	87,596,726
Obligated Funds for Current Capital Projects Under Contract							
Security Camera/Lights Installation & Upgrade Project	\$	_	\$	_	\$ (7,530,553)	Ś	(7,530,553)
Queen Lane Demolition	\$	_	\$	_	s (2 146 705)		(2,146,705)
Paschall Homes Redevelopment Project	\$	_	\$	_	\$ (1,171,632)		(1,171,632)
Door Replacements (various sites)	\$	_	\$	_	\$ (423,331)		(423,331)
Brick Pointing (various sites)	\$	_	\$	_	\$ (25,125)		(25,125)
Exterior repairs - asphalt/concrete/fencing at various sites	\$	_	\$	_	\$ (999,876)		(999,876)
Plumbing Upgrades at Johnson Homes	\$	_	\$	_	\$ (1,140,800)		(1,140,800)
Elevator Replacement/Repair at Fairhill, Harrison, Johnson & Wilson Park	\$	_	\$	_	\$ (5,694,225)		(5,694,225)
Lievator reprocession green act airmin, narrison, som som & wilson rank	<u> </u>	_	\$		\$ (19,132,248)	_	
			7		+ (10,102,240)	7	(-5)151,270]
PHA Adjusted Reserve	\$	554,269	\$	7,378,737	\$ 60,531,472	\$	68,464,478

VIII. ADMINISTRATIVE

A. Correction/Elimination of Observed Deficiencies

Progress on the correction of observed deficiencies cited in monitoring visits and physical inspections are identified below:

REAC Inspections – For Fiscal Year 2012, 74 sites had REAC inspections performed. The total points scored for the 73 of these sites which had REAC inspections in the previous year were 517 higher, or an average of 7 points per site. These increases are credited to a variety of reasons including a comprehensive REAC training program to familiarize maintenance and management staff with REAC inspection deficiencies. Other reasons include participation from PHA's Advanced Facilities Management department with full site "pre-REAC" inspections at 13 large properties that focused on site, building systems, building exteriors and common areas. In addition, the Operations and Maintenance department conducted "pre-REAC" inspections inside of units in the months preceding REAC and addressed all issues found. A concerted effort between these departments marked a substantial change from the way REAC has been managed in the past.

As follow up to inspections, the Advanced Facilities Management Department, along with PHA's Office of the General Counsel, submitted pre-appeals and appeals for all sites with appealable issues. Addressing actual maintenance, PHA is partially through a large-scale concrete and asphalt replacement project at several of the larger sites. In addition, the entire agency has placed a stronger emphasis on the creation of service orders to immediately address deficiencies in and outside of units.

Specifically, PHA analyzed the total scores for the 28 lowest scoring conventional sites and all 10 scattered site CBMOs. The conventional sites analyzed are as follows:

Southwark Plaza Mixed Finance Eight Diamonds Whitehall Apartments Neumann North

Schuylkill Falls I West Park Apartments

Abbottsford Homes Ludlow Scattered Sites Phase III

Queen Lane Richard Allen Homes
Mantua Phase I Richard Allen Phase IIIA

Richard Allen Phase IIIB Tasker I

Mount Olivet Norris Apartments

Bartram Village Tasker IB
Spring Garden Mixed Finance Hill Creek
Johnson Homes Harrison Plaza
Morton Homes Germantown House

Collegeview Homes Wilson Park

Raymond Rosen Manor Norman Blumberg Apartments

Once the lowest scoring sites were determined, PHA put together a plan to address those areas which point deductions were attributable to so as to eliminate the major point deductions for the next REAC inspection.

Of the 28 sites, PHA determined it would need major concrete work or possibly to contract out concrete work at Bartram Village, Wilson Park, Blumberg and Scattered Sites 908. It was also determined that major window repairs or replacements will be needed at Eight Diamonds, West Park Apartments, Abbottsford Homes and Collegeview Homes. Fencing contracts or major fence replacements will be needed at Scattered Sites 901, 902, 903, 909 and 910 as well as at Ludlow SS Phase III, Hill Creek, Harrison Plaza, Morton Homes and Blumberg. There were various other recommendations at each of the 28 sites that have been or are currently being handled by way of individual site service orders.

PHA believes that the above measures will have a positive impact on future REAC scores.

OIG Audits through 3/31/2012 – PHA's responses to recent OIG audits are available on the HUD OIG website. These include steps PHA has or will take to resolve the audits. As of the end of MTW Year 11, PHA had closed out the OIG's HCV audit, Limited Conflict of Interest audit and was working with HUD and the OIG to resolve findings on Legal Services audit and Rehabilitation of Scattered Sites audit. Various Standard Operating Procedures, Policies and Procedures have been updated/drafted, approved and implemented in response to the OIG audits performed during this reporting period.

HUD Review of PHA's ARRA funded projects - In response to HUD's Review on one of PHA's ARRA funded projects, PHA has implemented policies and procedures to perform Quality Control of compliance with the Davis Bacon Act. Additionally, PHA is in the process of hiring a Wage Compliance Specialist to assist PHA with ensuring commitment to and compliance with Labor Standards requirements for all associated projects.

B. Agency-directed Evaluations

Not applicable. PHA utilizes internal resources to measure and evaluate MTW activities.

C. Performance and Evaluation Report for Capital Fund activities

See attached. As required by HUD Form 50900, PHA has included reports for those Capital Fund grants that are not included in the MTW Block Grant.

D. Certifications

See attached Board Resolution.