5. TIER II, III and IV TEST SUMMARIES

1. Location: San Francisco Housing Authority (SFHA)

Date: March 7, 2000

Test type: Tier II

Property(s) inspected:

CA39P001-003 (Sunnydale)

Bldg. Type: 93 row/townhouses, 2 and 3 bedroom apartments

Units: 858 total units

CA39P001-041 (430 Turk Street)

Bldg. Type: 1 building, efficiency and 1 bedroom apartments

Units: 89 total units

Participants:

REAC - Richard Webb, Jamey Arcara
PIH - Dana Oliver (Andersen Consulting)

Industry - Andres Manriquez of the Los Angeles HA, Craig L. Patterson of the San

Mateo HA, Herman Pecob of the Oakland HA, SFHA Staff

Inspected Areas:

Areas included sites, building exterior/system, roof, and 3 occupied units.

Inspection Summary Results:

* Note: This test was conducted as a demonstration, therefore results were not tabulated.

2. Location: Seattle Housing Authority (SHA)

Date: March 9, 2000

Test type: Tier II

Property inspected: WA19P001-006 (Rainier Vista)

Bldg. Type: 234 Low rise/garden type, 2/3 bedroom apartment buildings

Units: 490 units

Participants:

REAC - Richard Webb, Jamey Archer
PIH - Dana Oliver (Andersen Consulting)

Industry - Claude decors of the King County HA, Michael Doyle of the HA of

Kansas City, Gary Shinpaugh of the Bellingham HA, SHA Staff

Inspected Areas:

Areas included sites, building exterior/system, roof, and no occupied units.

Inspection Summary Results:

* Note: This test was conducted as a demonstration, therefore results were not tabulated.

3. Location: New York City Housing Authority

Date: March 9, 2000

Test type: Tier II

Property inspected: NY36P005-013 (Ft. Independence)

Bldg. Type: One 22-story elevator high-rise family/elderly building

Units: 344 units

Participants:

REAC - Frank Caperton, Bill Thorson, Julio Martinez, Bill Wong

PIH - Karen a. Newton

Industry - Patrick Kean of the DCHA, Dan Quimby of the Philadelphia HA,

Deb Gross of CLPHA, NYCHA Staff

Inspected Areas:

Areas included sites, building exterior/system, roof, and 3 occupied units.

Inspection Summary Results:

Fort Independence/Heath Ave Bronx, New York

Inspections 92015 and 109215

Inspectable Area	Possible Points	2.1 Inspection 1092015	2.3 Inspection 92015	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092015	2.3 Inspection 92015	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092015	2.3 Inspection 92015	Difference (2.3-2.1)
			Area Points		H-	& S Deduction	ns		Net Score	
Site	19.3	5.6	14.7	9.1	0	0	0	5.6	14.7	9.1
Building Exterior	10.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Building System	17.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Common Areas	10.6	4.9	4.9	0	4.9	0	-4.9	0	4.9	4.9
Dwelling Units	42.3	32	36.9	4.9	3.3	9.8	6.5	28.7	27.1	-1.6
Total	100	42.5	56.5	14	8.2	9.8	1.6	34	47	12

^{*} Note: Inspected deficiencies do not approximate a comprehensive development score. The score would be true if the development did not have any additional deficiencies.

4. Location: Philadelphia Housing Authority (PHA)

Date: March 14, 2000

Test type: Tier II

Property inspected: PA39P002-015 (Harrison Plaza)

Bldg. Type: 29 low rise garden 3 bedroom buildings

Units: 299 units

Participants:

REAC - Richard Webb, Jamey Arcara

PIH - Karen A. Newton

Industry - Roland Laedbin and Fred Hirt of the NYCHA, Harry Swell of the

Wilmington Housing Authority, PHA Staff

Inspected Areas:

Areas included sites, 1 buildings' exterior/system, roof, and 3 occupied units.

Inspection Summary Results:

Harrison Plaza Philadelphia. PA

Inspections 92072 and 1092072

Inspectable Area	Possible Points	2.1 Inspection 1092072	2.3 Inspection 92072	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092072		Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092072		Difference (2.3-2.1)
			Area Points		н	& S Deducti	ons		Net Score	`
Site	15.6	6.4	8.8	24	0	4.4	4.4	6.4	4.4	-2
Building Exterior	15.6	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0
Building System		20.8	20.8	Ö	Õ	ő	ő	20.8	20.8	Ö
Common Areas	12 F	Q	Q	0	0	0	n	0	0	0
Dwelling Units	35.5	32.5	32.5	Ö	2.7	2.7	Ő	29.8	29.8	0
Total	100	68.7	71 1	24	27	71	44	66	64	-2

^{*} Note: Inspected deficiencies do not approximate a comprehensive development score. The score would be true if the development did not have any additional deficiencies.

5. Location: Phillipsburg (NJ) Housing Authority (PHA)

Date: March 14, 2000

Test type: Tier III

Property inspected: NJ39P024-001 (Heckman Terrace Annex)

Bldg. Type: 25 row/townhouse 2/3 bedroom buildings

Units: 150 units

Participants:

REAC - Frank Caperton, Julio Martinez, William Wong

PIH - Dana Oliver (Andersen Consulting)

Industry - Nitin Patel and Thomas Padden of the Newark HA, Phillipsburg HA

Staff

Inspected Areas:

Areas included sites, 24 buildings' exterior/system, roof, and 23 occupied units.

Inspection Summary Results:

Heckman Terrace Annex Philipsburg, NJ 08865

Inspections 92069 and 1092069

Inspectable Area	Possible Points	2.1 Inspection 1092069	2.3 Inspection 92069	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092069	2.3 Inspection 92069	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092069	2.3 Inspection 92069	Difference (2.3-2.1)
			Area Points		Н	& S Deduction	ons		Net Score	
Site	20.6	0	5.1	5.1	0	5.1	5.1	0	0	0
Building Exterior	20.2	16.8	19.2	2.4	1.1	0.6	-0.5	15.7	18.6	2.9
Building System	12.9	13.3	12.9	-0.4	0.1	0	-0.1	13.2	12.9	-0.3
Common Areas	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.2	0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0	-0.1
Dwelling Units	46.1	44.8	44	-0.8	4	2.7	-1.3	40.8	41.3	0.5
Total	100	75	81.5	6.5	72.8	72.8	0	70	73	3

6. Location: Summit (NJ) Housing Authority (SHA)

Date: March 16, 2000

Test type: Tier III

Property inspected: NJ39P017-003 (12 Chestnut)

Bldg. Type: One 5-story mid-rise elderly building, with elevators

Units: 125 units

Participants:

REAC - Frank Caperton, Julio Martinez, William Wong

PIH - N/A

Industry - Summit HA Staff

Inspected Areas:

Areas included sites, building exterior/system, roof, and 22 occupied units.

Inspection Summary Results:

12 Chestnut Summit, NJ

Inspections 92070 and 1092070

Inspectable Area	Possible Points	2.1 Inspection 1092106	2.3 Inspection 92106	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092106	2.3 Inspection 92106	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092106	2.3 Inspection 92106	Difference (2.3-2.1)
			Area Points		Н	& S Deduction	ons		Net Score	
Site	14.7	10.8	14.7	3.9	0	0	0	10.8	14.7	3.9
Building Exterior	16.8	13.4	13.5	0.1	0	0	0	13.4	13.5	0.1
Building System	19	18.8	19	0.2	17.3	17.4	0.1	1.5	1.6	0.1
Common Areas	12.6	11.8	10.5	-1.3	4.2	4.2	0	7.6	6.3	-1.3
Dwelling Units	36.9	36.9	36.6	-0.3	0	0	0	36.9	36.6	-0.3
Total	100	91.7	94.3	2.6	21.5	21.6	0.1	70	73	3

7. Location: Boston Housing Authority (BHA)

Date: March 16, 2000

Test type: Tier III

Property inspected:

MA06P002-027 (Annapolis)

Bldg. Type: 3-low-rise/garden elderly buildings

Units: 125 units

Participants:

REAC - Richard Webb and Jamey Arcara
PIH - Dana Oliver (Andersen Consulting)

Industry - John Keane of the Cambridge Housing Authority and BHA staff

Inspected Areas:

Areas included sites, building exterior/system, roof, and 18 occupied units.

Inspection Summary Results:

Annapolis Street Dorchester, MA

Inspections 92017 and 1092017

		2.1	2.3		2.1	2.3		2.1	2.3	
Inspectable	Possible	Inspection	Inspection	Difference	Inspection	Inspection	Difference		•	Difference
Area	Points	1092015	92017	(2.3-2.1)	1092017	92017	(2.3-2.1)	1092017	92017	(2.3-2.1)
			Area Points		Н	& S Deduction	ons		Net Score	
Site	13.1	6.4	13.1	6.7	5.5	5.7	0.2	0.9	7.4	6.5
Building Exterior	20.1	9	9.2	0.2	0	0	0	9	9.2	0.2
Building System	21.3	20.8	21.3	0.5	0	0	0	20.8	21.3	0.5
Common Areas	6	3.5	4.1	0.6	0.9	1	0.1	2.6	3.1	0.5
Dwelling Units	39.4	34.6	36.7	2.1	6.9	3.5	-3.4	27.7	33.2	5.5
Total	100	74.3	84.4	10.1	13.3	10.2	-3.1	61	74	13

Property inspected:

MA06P002-023 (Mary Ellen McCormack)

Bldg. Type: 36 low rise/garden style buildings

Units: 1,016 units

Participants:

REAC - Richard Webb and Jamey Arcara
PIH - Dana Oliver (Andersen Consulting)

Industry - BHA staff

Inspected Areas:

Areas included sites, building exterior/system, and occupied units.

Inspection Summary Results:

Due to lateness of the hour and the size of the development, a complete inspection could not be completed in the time allotted. The participants agreed to would be best to complete a "walk-through" / demonstration, therefore inspection results are not available.

8. Location: Miami-Dade County Housing Authority (MDHA)

Date: March 21, 2000

Test type: Tier III

Property inspected:

FL29P005-062 (Round Towers)

Bldg. Type: 3 mid-rise elderly buildings, 1 low rise community building

Units: 391 units

Participants:

REAC - Frank Caperton and Julio Martinez
PIH- Dana Oliver (Andersen Consulting)

Industry - Cliff Jones of the New Orleans Housing Authority, M-DHA Staff

Inspected Areas:

Areas included sites, 4 building exterior/system, roof, and 25 occupied units.

Inspection Summary Results:

Round Towers

Miami, FL

Inspections 92071 and 1092071

Inspectable Area	Possible Points	2.1 Inspection 1092071	2.3 Inspection 92071	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092071	2.3 Inspection 92071	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092071	2.3 Inspection 92071	Difference (2.3-2.1)
			Area Points		н	& S Deduction	ons		Final Score	
Site	13.5	2.7	8.6	5.9	0	4.9	4.9	2.7	3.7	1.0
Building Exterior	17.4	15.1	15.5	0.4	0	0	0	15.1	15.5	0.4
Building System	22.1	19.3	19.8	0.5	8.5	8.9	0.4	10.8	10.9	0.1
Common Areas	8.7	4.3	4.9	0.6	1.7	0.5	-1.2	2.6	4.4	1.8
Dwelling Units	38.3	35.7	37.7	2	1.1	1.1	0	34.6	36.6	2.0
Total	100	77.1	86.5	9.4	11.3	15.4	4.1	66	71	5

9. Location: District of Columbia Housing Authority (DCHA)

Date: March 23, 2000

Test type: Tier III

Property inspected:

DC39P001-024 (Syphax)

Bldg. Type: 28 low rise/garden apartments building and 1 common building

Units: 169

Participants:

REAC - William Thorson, Richard Webb, Carlos Vargas, Mark Pilakowski, Kay

Hammel, William Wong

PIH - Dana Oliver (Andersen Consulting), Larry Tipton, Nancy Menhennick,

Industry - Antonio Williams of the Atlanta HA, Tawanna Preston of NAHRO, Deb

Gross of CLPHA, Ted Van Dyke of PHADA, DCHA Staff

Inspected Areas:

Areas included sites, 24 building exterior/system, roof, and 23 occupied units for Syphax.

Inspection Summary Results:

Syphax Gardens Washington, DC

Inspections 92074 and 1092074

Inspectable Area	Possible Points	2.1 Inspection 1092074	2.3 Inspection 92074	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092074	2.3 Inspection 92074	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092074	2.3 Inspection 92074	Difference (2.3-2.1)
			Area Points		Н	& S Deducti	ons		Net Score	
Site	11.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Building Exterior	21.4	18.5	19	0.5	0	0.9	0.9	18.5	18.1	-0.4
Building System	17.5	16.8	16	-0.8	4.8	0	-4.8	12	16	4
Common Areas	3.6	1.7	1.8	0.1	0.4	0.5	0.1	1.3	1.3	0
Dwelling Units	46.1	39.7	43	3.3	6.9	5.1	-1.8	32.8	37.9	5.1
Total	100	76.7	79.8	3.1	12.1	6.5	-5.6	65	73	9

Property inspected:

DC39P001-035 (Greenleaf Additions)

Bldg. Type: 2 low-rise/garden apartment type buildings

Units: 32 units

Participants:

REAC - Frank Caperton, Julio Martinez, William Thorson

PIH - Dana Oliver (Andersen Consulting),
Industry - Deb Gross of CLPHA, DCHA Staff

Inspected Areas:

Areas included sites, 2 building exterior/system, roof, and 8 occupied units (out of 15 required in sample) for Greenleaf Additions. The other units were locked, the residents were not home and the DCHA did not have keys to the units.

Inspection Summary Results:

Greenleaf Additions Washington, DC

Inspections 92075 and 1092075

Inspectable Area	Possible Points	2.1 Inspection 1092075	2.3 Inspection 92075	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092075	2.3 Inspection 92075	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092075	2.3 Inspection 92075	Difference (2.3-2.1)
			Area Points		Н	& S Deduction	ons		Net Score	
Site	18	0	3.5	3.5	0	3.5	3.5	0	0	0
Building Exterior	20.5	9.6	11.2	1.6	8.5	8.8	0.3	1.1	2.4	1.3
Building System	17	10.7	10.6	-0.1	7.9	7.8	-0.1	2.8	2.8	0
Common Areas	2.6	1.3	0.8	-0.5	0.3	0.8	0.5	1	0	-1
Dwelling Units	42	34.2	33.7	-0.5	3.1	4.5	1.4	31.1	29.2	-1.9
Total	100	55.8	59.8	4	19.8	25.4	5.6	36	34	-2

10. Location: North Charleston Housing Authority (NCHA)

Date: March 27 - 30, 2000

Test type: Tier IV

Properties inspected:

a. SC16P057-001 (Three Oaks/Buskirk) – 3/28/2000

Bldg. Type: 25 low rise/garden, row/townhouse, duplex & single family buildings

Units: 88 units

Participants:

REAC - Frank Caperton and Julio Martinez

NCHA Staff

Note: The only Industry Observers that attended these tests were those directly associated with the PHA being tested, due the comprehensive and lengthy nature of the testing procedure.

Inspected Areas:

Areas inspected included sites, 23 buildings', exterior, systems, common areas, and 21 occupied units.

Three Oaks/Buskirk North Charleston, SC 29420 Inspections 92078 and 1092078

	Possible	2.1 Inspection	2.3 Inspection	Difference	2.1	2.3 Inspection	Difference	2.1 Inspection	2.3 Inspection	Difference
Inspectable Area	Points	1092078	92078	(2.3-2.1)	1092078	92078	(2.3-2.1)	1092078	92078	(2.3-2.1)
			Area Points		н	& S Deduction	ons		Net Score	
Site	20.5	0.1	16.6	16.5	0	0	0	0.1	16.6	16.5
Building Exterior	20.5	20.6	20.3	-0.3	0	0	0	20.6	20.3	-0.3
Building System	13.1	12.9	12.8	-0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	12.8	12.6	-0.2
Common Areas	1.8	1.9	1.8	-0.1	0.1	0.1	0	1.8	1.7	-0.1
Dwelling Units	44.2	38.9	39	0.1	1.2	2.5	1.3	37.7	36.5	-1.2
Total	100	74.4	90.5	16.1	1.4	2.8	1.4	73	88	15

b. SC16P057-002 (Liberty Hill Place) – 3/27/2000

Bldg. Type: 15 Low Rise/Garden, Row/Townhouse, & Duplex Buildings

Units: 52 units

Participants:

REAC - Frank Caperton and Julio Martinez

NCHA Staff

Inspected Areas:

Areas inspected included sites, 15 buildings' exterior, systems, common areas, and 18 occupied units.

Liberty Hill Place North Charleston, SC Inspections 92079 and 1092079

Inspectable Area	Possible Points	2.1 Inspection 1092079	2.3 Inspection 92079	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092079	2.3 Inspection 92079	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092079	2.3 Inspection 92079	Difference (2.3-2.1)
			Area Points		н	& S Deductio	ns		Net Score	
Site	18.9	3.2	13.7	10.5	0	5.9	5.9	3.2	7.8	4.6
Building Exterior	21	19.8	20.4	0.6	0	0	0	19.8	20.4	0.6
Building System	13	12.7	13	0.3	0	0	0	12.7	12.7	0
Common Areas	1	1.8	1	-0.8	0.1	0	-0.1	1.7	1	-0.7
Dwelling Units	46	37.2	39.3	2.1	1.5	2.4	0.9	35.7	36.9	1.2
Total	100	74.7	87.4	12.7	1.6	8.3	6.7	73	79	6

c. SC16P057-004 (North Park Village) – 3/30/2000

Bldg. Type: 157 low rise/garden, row/townhouse, & duplex buildings

Units: 533 units

Participants:

REAC -Frank Caperton and Julio Martinez

NCHA Staff

Inspected Areas:

Areas inspected included sites, 38 buildings' exterior, systems, common areas, and 26 occupied units.

Inspection Summary Results:

North Park Village North Charleston, SC

Inspections 92080 and 1092080

Inspectable Area	Possible Points	2.1 Inspection 1092080	2.3 Inspection 92080	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092080	2.3 Inspection 92080	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092080	2.3 Inspection 92080	Difference (2.3-2.1)
			Area Points		Н	& S Deduction	ons		Net Score	
Site	19.9	0	6.9	6.9	0	6.9	6.9	0	0	0
Building Exterior	19.9	19.5	19.6	0.1	0	0	0	19.5	19.6	0.1
Building System	14.5	14.1	14.5	0.4	0.1	0.1	0	14	14.4	0.4
Common Areas	2.2	2.1	2.1	0	0.1	0.1	0	2	2	0
Dwelling Units	43.5	38.1	39.5	1.4	3.8	5.1	1.3	34.3	34.4	0.1
Total	100	73.8	82.6	8.8	4	12.2	8.2	70	70	0.6

11. Location: Bremerton (Wa) Housing Authority (BHA)

Date: March 27, 2000

Test type: Tier IV

Property inspected:

WA19P003001 (West Park)

Bldg. Type: 264 low rise/garden and single family buildings

Units: 582 units total

Participants:

REAC - Richard Webb, Dilip Patel

BHA Staff

Note: The only Industry Observers that attended these tests were those directly associated with the PHA being tested, due the comprehensive and lengthy nature of the testing procedure.

Inspected Areas:

Areas included sites, building exterior/system, roof, and 26 occupied units.

Inspection Summary Results:

West Park Bremerton, WA

Inspections 92106 and 1092106

Inspectable Area	Possible Points	2.1 Inspection 1092106	2.3 Inspection 92106	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092106	2.3 Inspection 92106	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092106	2.3 Inspection 92106	Difference (2.3-2.1)
			Area Points		Н	& S Deduction	ons		Net Score	
Site	20.3	0	3.7	3.7	0	3.7	3.7	0	0	0
Building Exterior	20.2	12.6	14.6	2	0	0	0	12.6	14.6	2
Building System	13.3	13.5	13	-0.5	0.1	0	-0.1	13.4	13	-0.4
Common Areas	2.3	1.6	1.8	0.2	0.1	0.1	0	1.5	1.7	0.2
Dwelling Units	43.9	35.5	37.1	1.6	6.8	6.2	-0.6	28.7	30.9	2.2
Total	100	63.2	70.2	7	7	10	3	56	60	3

Property inspected:

WA19P003-002 (Tara Heights)

Bldg. Type: 15 duplex and single family buildings

Units: 20 units

Participants:

REAC - Richard Webb, Dilip Patel

BHA Staff

Note: The only Industry Observers that attended these tests were those directly associated with the PHA being tested, due the comprehensive and lengthy nature of the testing procedure.

Inspected Areas:

Areas included sites, building exterior/system, roof, and 12 occupied units..

Inspection Summary Results:

Tara Heights 92106 Bremerton, WA

Inspections 92107 and 1092107

Inspectable Area	Possible Points	2.1 Inspection 1092107	2.3 Inspection 92107	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092107	2.3 Inspection 92107	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092107	2.3 Inspection 92107	Difference (2.3-2.1)
			Area Points		Н	& S Deduction	ons		Net Score	
Site	16	8.8	11	2.2	0	6	6	8.8	5	-3.8
Building Exterior	19.9	15.5	16.9	1.4	0	0	0	15.5	16.9	1.4
Building System	13.6	13.4	13.6	0.2	0	0	0	13.4	13.6	0.2
Common Areas	2.2	1.7	2	0.3	0.4	0.2	-0.2	1.3	1.8	0.5
Dwelling Units	48.2	41	43.3	2.3	3.5	3.1	-0.4	37.5	40.2	2.7
Total	100	80.4	86.8	6.4	3.9	9.3	5.4	77	78	1

12. Location: Wynne (Ar) Housing Authority (WHA)

Date: March 27, 2000

Test type: Tier IV

Property inspected:

AR37P039-001

Bldg. Type: 25 duplexes and one maintenance buildings

Units: 50 units

Participants:

REAC - Ron Larkin, Carlos Vargas

WHA Staff

Note: The only Industry Observers that attended these tests were those directly associated with the PHA being tested, due the comprehensive and lengthy nature of the testing procedure.

Inspected Areas:

Areas included sites, 19 building exterior/system, roof, and 18 occupied units.

Inspection Summary Results:

Wynee Housing Authority Wynee, AR

Inspections 92084 and 1092084

Inspectable Area	Possible Points	2.1 Inspection 1092084	2.3 Inspection 92084	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092084	2.3 Inspection 92084	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092084	2.3 Inspection 92084	Difference (2.3-2.1)
			Area Points		Н	& S Deduction	ons		Net Score	
Site	16.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Building Exterior	18.2	6.1	6.9	0.8	0	0.4	0.4	6.1	6.5	0.4
Building System	17.2	16.5	16.7	0.2	14.3	14.5	0.2	2.2	2.2	0
Common Areas	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dwelling Units	47.5	32.5	35.1	2.6	8.1	10.3	2.2	24.4	24.8	0.4
Total	100	55.0	58.7	3.7	22.4	25.2	2.8	33	34	1

Date: March 28, 2000

Test type: Tier III

Property inspected:

AR37P039-002 (no name specific)

Bldg. Type: 8 duplex, row and one office building.

Units: 20 units

Participants:

REAC - Ron Larkin, Carlos Vargas

WHA Staff

Note: The only Industry Observers that attended these tests were those directly associated with the PHA being tested, due the comprehensive and lengthy nature of the testing procedure.

Inspected Areas:

Areas included sites, 9 building exterior/system, roof, and 12 occupied units.

Inspection Summary Results:

Wynee Housing Authority Wynee, AR

Inspections 92085 and 1092085

Inspectable Area	Possible Points	2.1 Inspection 1092085	2.3 Inspection 92085	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092085	2.3 Inspection 92085	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092085	2.3 Inspection 92085	Difference (2.3-2.1)
			Area Points		Н	& S Deduction	ons		Final Score	
Site	16.2	0.8	8.9	8.1	0.8	8.9	8.1	0	0	0
Building Exterior	18	10.2	10.8	0.6	0.7	1.4	0.7	9.5	9.4	-0.1
Building System	17.8	16.5	16.8	0.3	16.5	16.8	0.3	0	0	0
Common Areas	1.2	0.4	0.6	0.2	0	0.6	0.6	0.4	0	-0.4
Dwelling Units	46.9	28.9	29.7	0.8	21.5	22.8	1.3	7.4	6.9	-0.5
Total	100	56.8	66.8	10	39.5	50.5	11	17	16	-1

13. Location: Moline (Ill) Housing Authority (HA)

Date: March 27, 2000

Test type: Tier IV

Participants:

REAC - James Arcara and William Wong

MHA

Note: The only Industry Observers that attended these tests were those directly associated with the PHA being tested, due the comprehensive and lengthy nature of the testing procedure.

Inspection Property:

Property: ILL06P020-001 (Spring Brook Heights)

Bldg. Type: 50 Buildings Units: 198 units

Inspection Summary Results:

Spring Brook Courts

Moline, IL

Inspections 92081 and 1092081

Inspectable Area	Possible Points	2.1 Inspection 1092081	2.3 Inspection 92081	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092081	2.3 Inspection 92081	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092081	2.3 Inspection 92081	Difference (2.3-2.1)
			Area Points		H (& S Deduction	ons		Net Score	
Site	19.5	7.7	15.8	8.1	0	0	0	7.7	15.8	8.1
Building Exterior	19.5	17.7	17.9	0.2	0	0	0	17.7	17.9	0.2
Building System	16.5	16.5	16.5	0	0	0	0	16.5	16.5	0
Common Areas	1.1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
Dwelling Units	43.4	39.3	41.3	2	3.5	3.5	0	35.8	37.8	2.0
Total	100	82.2	92.5	10.3	3.5	3.5	0	79	89	10

Inspection Property:

Property: ILL06P020-002 (Hillside Heights/Spring Valley)

Bldg. Type: 18 row-house residential buildings, 1 elderly high-rise and 1 community uilding

Units: 302 units

Inspectable Areas:

Areas inspected included sites, 17 buildings' exterior/system, roof, and 25 occupied units (including 1 alternate unit)

Hillside Heights/Spring Valley Moline IL Inspections 92082 and 1092082

Inspectable Area	Possible Points	2.1 Inspection 1092082	2.3 Inspection 92082	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092082	2.3 Inspection 92082	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092082	2.3 Inspection 92082	Difference (2.3-2.1)
			Area Points		Н	& S Deduction	ons		Net Score	
Site	16.9	0	10.5	10.5	0	0	0	0	10.5	10.5
Building Exterior	19.3	18.8	19.2	0.4	0	0	0	18.8	19.2	0.4
Building System	20.5	20.3	20.5	0.2	0	0	0	20.3	20.5	0.2
Common Areas	1.7	1.5	1.7	0.2	0	0	0	1.5	1.7	0.2
Dwelling Units	41.7	40.9	41.4	0.5	1.1	1	-0.1	39.8	40.4	0.6
Total	100	81.5	93.3	11.8	1.1	1	-0.1	80	92	12

14. Location: Sherman, (Tx) Housing Authority (HA)

Date: March 29, 2000

Test type: Tier IV

Property inspected:

TX21P078-001 (No name specific)

Bldg. Type: 106 duplexes, row and one multipurpose common building.

Units: 298 units

Participants:

REAC - Ron Larkin, Carlos Vargas

MHA Staff

Note: The only Industry Observers that attended these tests were those directly associated with the PHA being tested, due the comprehensive and lengthy nature of the testing procedure.

Inspectable Areas:

Areas inspected included sites, 27 buildings' exterior/system, roof, and 25 occupied units (including 1 alternate unit)

Inspection Summary Results:

Sherman Housing Authority Sherman, TX

Inspections 92083 and 1092083

Inspectable Area	Possible Points	2.1 Inspection 1092083	2.3 Inspection 92083	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092083	2.3 Inspection 92083	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092083	2.3 Inspection 92083	Difference (2.3-2.1)
			Area Points		Н	& S Deduction	ons		Net Score	
Site	20.7	0	13.9	13.9	0	13.6	13.6	0	0.3	0.3
Building Exterior	17.6	15.8	16.2	0.4	0	0	0	15.8	16.2	0.4
Building System	14.3	9.8	10	0.2	3.9	3.5	-0.4	5.9	6.5	0.6
Common Areas	1.3	0.6	0.6	0	0.1	0.1	0	0.5	0.5	0
Dwelling Units	46.2	37.1	38.2	1.1	15.8	15.6	-0.2	21.3	22.6	1.3
Total	100	63.3	78.9	15.6	19.8	32.8	13	44	46	2.6

15. Location: Portland (Ct) Housing Authority (PHA)

Date: March 30, 2000

Test type: Tier IV

Property inspected: CT26P036-001 (Chatham Court)

Bldg. Type: 6 row-house residential buildings (included within: 1 office and 1 community

center)

Units: 48 units

Participants:

REAC - Jamey Arcara and William Wong

PHA Staff

Note: The only Industry Observers that attended these tests were those directly associated with the PHA being tested, due the comprehensive and lengthy nature of the testing procedure.

Inspectable Areas:

Areas inspected included sites, 6 building exterior/system, roof, and 18 occupied units (including 1 alternate unit)

Inspection Summary Results:

Chatham Court Portland, CT

Inspections 92086 and 1092086

Inspectable Area	Possible Points	2.1 Inspection 1092086	2.3 Inspection 92086	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092086	2.3 Inspection 92086	Difference (2.3-2.1)	2.1 Inspection 1092086	2.3 Inspection 92086	Difference (2.3-2.1)
			Area Points		H (& S Deduction	ons		Net Score	
Site	15.6	3.8	10.6	6.8	0	0	0	3.8	10.6	6.8
Building Exterior	17.8	17.1	17.4	0.3	0	0	0	17.1	17.4	0.3
Building System	22.1	18.3	18.4	0.1	3.7	0	-3.7	14.6	18.4	3.8
Common Areas	5.2	5	5.1	0.1	0	0	0	5	5.1	0.1
Dwelling Units	39.2	39.2	38.6	-0.6	2.8	1.7	-1.1	36.4	36.9	0.5
Total	100	83.4	90.1	6.7	6.5	1.7	-4.8	77	88	12