Transitional Housing Program Review

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Housing Federal Housing Commissioner



reductary loading continue			
Grantee	Grant No		
Address	Effective Date Grant		
	Phone No		
	Date of Review		
I. Persons Contacted for Review Name	Relationship to Grant		
(List additional persons in the Remarks Section)			
II. Review of Services Plan A. Type of supervision provided to participants On-Site Off-Site Duties performed			
 B. Do the grantee and participant records indicate that the services are being provided in compliance with the grant document? 1 Are the same services being provided in the Transitional Housing as specified in the application? 2. Are all services available/accessible to all participants? 3. Do the number of participants served appear to equal the numbers approved in the grant? 4 Does the grantee appear to be making full use of services available under the CHAP? 	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No		
C. If the program is not in compliance with the grant document, what corrective actions are needed?			
III. Staffing Review A. Are there any staff vacancies? If yes, which positions?	Yes No		
B. Is a written job description on file for each position? If not, explain	Yes No		
C. Is the staffing consistent with approved budget and service plan? Comments on "No" response	Yes No		

D	 Are staff resumes on file? Do resumes show any obvious in the staff of the staff of	nconsistencies between service plan and staff qualifications?	Yes Yes	No No			
E	. Are staff salaries consistent with	comparable area wages?	Yes	No			
V. P	Participant File Review						
A	Does the grantee maintain indivi If not, how does the grantee mai	dual files on applicants to the Transitional Housing Project? ntain a record of applicants?	Yes	No			
В	. Is there a case history and initial If not, where/how are records ke	assessment filed on-site for the participants? pt?	Yes	No ·			
_	La pagga to the applicant/particle	pant files limited to the "need to know" staff,					
C	e g , project director, case mana		Yes	No			
	Are the files secured?	gor, resident supervisor:	Yes	No			
	Is the method used satisfactory?	?	Yes	₩o			
	Recommendations on any "No"		L1	<u></u>			
D		participant files, or a minimum of three per grantee	Yes	No			
	Are the following items kept in e	Application to Transitional Housing	i es				
		Initial Assessment/Case History	 				
		Service Plan	 - 	 			
		Residential Contract - Rent Computation	\vdash	 			
		(make sure participants pay rent and that it is no more	لـــا				
		than 30% of adjusted income or 10% of gross income)					
		Date of Entry into Transitional Housing		 			
		Reassessment(s)	 				
		Service Plan/Program Changes	 	 - 			
		Date of Release from Transitional Housing	<u> </u>				
		Documentation for Terminations and Appeals	 	 			
			 	 			
			 	 			
For any "no" answer, attach grantee plan to rectify, including date of completion.							
		Review n in place to track each program participant from date of application from including accurate data for annual report?	Yes	No No			
B. Do grantee records substantiate the following numbers given in the annual report?							
	Total number of participants		Yes	No			
Total number of applications received		Yes	No				
	Total number graduating from		Yes	No			
	3	-					

. Fina	ncial Records Review		
	eneral Does the grantee maintain separate financial records that identify adequately the source and application of both SHDP and matching funds including rent computations, documentation for "soft matches," etc.? If no, why not?	Yes	No .
2.	Are the financial records supported by documentation? If no, what is the grantee doing to provide proper documentation?	Yes	☐ No
3.	Has the grantee established effective controls over, and accountability for, all SHDP funds and property?	Yes	No ·
4.	Does the grantee maintain time sheets for all SHDP staff showing actual time spent on the SHDP?	Yes	No
5.	Does the grantee maintain separate accounts for all funds assigned to the SHDP? If not, when will they be separated from other operations (date)?	Yes	No
6.	If there are any indirect grantee or subgrantee costs charged to the grant program, are they supported by an approved indirect cost proposal/cost allocation plan?	Yes	No
Revie the fo	we grantee's allocation of costs to verify that its procedures fully comply with OMB Circulars A-87/102, or A llowing guidance Does a spot check of a sample of the following items of cost reveal any obvious instances where these expenditures were not necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient administration of the program a. salaries and related costs b. administrative service contracts (e.g., legal, accounting, audit, consulting)? c. travel expenditures? d. other administrative costs? Does a review of a sample of program expenditures reveal the existence of any unallowable costs as	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	ppropriate and A-128, using No No No No No
	a. entertainment, contributions, donations? b. general governmental expenditures including salary and expenses of the Chief Executive Offices of the grantee? c. Are costs charged to the grant program allocable to, or included as a cost of, any other federally-financed program in either the current or a prior period? d. Does a review of the personnel roster of staff being paid from program resources reveal any obvious instances of personnel being paid for, but not working on, program activities? If the project site(s) is/are in a special flood hazard area and the grantee has received acquisition/rehabilitation/mod rehab. funds does the grantee retain flood insurance coverage as required under Section 102 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973? If the grantee needs to have flood insurance and does not, how and when will it rectify this deficiency?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No No No No No No
4.	If the grantee has received acquisition/rehabilitation/mod rehab funds, does it retain casualty insurance, naming HUD as the beneficiary, in an amount at least equal to the amount granted for acquisition/rehabilitation/mod. rehab? If the grantee needs to have casualty insurance and does not, how and when will it rectify this deficiency	Yes	No N/A

VI.

 Physical Review A. Are the plumbing (faucets, drains, toilets, etc.) and electrical (switches, fixtures, etc.) systems in working order? 	Yes	No
B. If the kitchen/dining area is a congregate or "public" facility, is there an appropriate, current, local health certificate?	Yes	No
C. Is there a maintenance schedule? Is it being adhered to?	Yes Yes	No No
D. Are the grounds maintained appropriately?	Yes	No N/A
E. In general, is the facility clean and habitable? Are there any obvious areas of disrepair, e g , peeling paint, water damage, broken windows? If yes, how will the grantee correct the problem(s)?	Yes Yes	No No
		·

VIII. Remarks:

Provide any comments, observations or recommendations not covered in other sections
Use this space also to complete responses to other questions and to provide your general observation on the overall performance of the grantee