FIRST AMENDMENT
TO THE
MOVING TO WORK AGREEMENT

This First Amendment to the Moving to Work (MTW) Agreement ("Agreement") is entered into by and between the United States of America through the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") and [Housing Authority of Juárez County] ("Agency") and is effective as of the effective date of the MTW Agreement, as defined below. Unless otherwise defined herein, all capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meanings ascribed to them in the Agreement.

AGREEMENT

1. **Termination.** Termination of the Agreement by HUD in accordance with Section IV of the MTW Agreement may only occur in accordance with the default and cure provisions of Section VIII of the Agreement, as modified by this First Amendment. The second sentence of Section VIII of the Agreement is deleted and replaced with the following: "HUD will give the Agency written notice of any default which shall identify with specificity the measures which the Agency must take to cure the default."

2. **Remedies and Due Process.** HUD must follow all applicable statutory and regulatory requirements relating to any defaults and enforcement actions pertaining to this Agreement.

3. **Evaluation of MTW Demonstration.** If developed by HUD, HUD’s evaluation plan for the MTW Demonstration may be reviewed by the Agency in advance of implementation by HUD. The Agency has thirty (30) days to review the evaluation criteria and any objections to the evaluation will be forwarded to HUD for further consideration.

4. **Extension of Successful Demonstration Initiatives.** HUD will consider extending successful demonstration activities beyond the term of the MTW demonstration where legally permissible. To the extent that MTW activities will not continue past the term of the demonstration, the Agency shall fully cooperate with HUD in developing and implementing a transition plan for terminating the Agency’s MTW demonstration program and providing for the continued administration of the public housing and Section 8 programs, as applicable.

5. **Section I.E.** Section I.E. of the Agreement is deleted.

6. **Local Asset Management Program Within MTW.** Section II.F. of the Agreement is deleted and the following is substituted in lieu thereof:

F. **Local Asset Management Program.**

1. The Agency may design and implement a local asset management program for its Public Housing Program and shall describe such program in its Annual MTW Plan (or in its Annual MTW Report, if the Agency deems it appropriate). For purposes of this
Agreement, the term “Public Housing Program” means the operation of properties owned or subsidized by the Agency that are required by the U.S. Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437, et seq.) to be subject to a public housing declaration of trust in favor of HUD. The Agency’s local asset management program shall include a description of how it is implementing property-based management, budgeting, accounting, and financial management and any deviations from HUD’s asset management requirements, as described below. The Agency may use the Annual MTW Report to provide information on asset management fees, including documentation in support of fees, allocation methodologies, indirect cost rates, and related items in support of the Agency’s local asset management program for its Public Housing Program. The Agency agrees that as part of its local asset management program it will adopt cost accounting and financial reporting methods that comply with OMB Circular A-87 (regarding cost allocation, and hereinafter, “A-87”), OMB Circular A-133 (regarding audit requirements), and generally accepted accounting practices (“GAAP”).

2. HUD and the Agency agree that the following principles and understandings apply to the Agency’s local asset management program for its Public Housing Program:

   a. Costs incurred by the Agency under this Agreement must be necessary and reasonable, meet the statutory objectives of the MTW program, and be consistent with the single-fund budget authority described in this Agreement.

   b. Under A-87, there is no universal rule for classifying costs as either direct or indirect. A cost may be direct with respect to some specific service or function, but indirect with respect to the final cost objective.

   c. Costs shall be accorded consistent treatment. A cost may not be assigned as a direct cost if any other cost incurred for the same purpose in like circumstances has been allocated as an indirect cost; each item of cost will be treated consistently in like circumstances as either a direct or indirect cost.

   d. Costs shall be determined in accordance with GAAP.

   e. Costs must be adequately documented, as agreed upon by HUD and the Agency.

   f. Requirements under 24 CFR 990.280 (b) (5) and other HUD guidance pertaining to excess cash flow for purposes of fungibility among projects will not apply to the Agency. The Agency will retain full authority to move MTW funds and project cash flow among projects, without limitation, under its local asset management program.

   g. The Agency agrees that in designing its local asset management program for its Public Housing Program, it will use HUD’s asset management requirements (such as the chart of accounts, balance sheet, and direct/indirect cost determinations) as a starting point; provided, however, that the Agency may, in its discretion, deviate from such requirements if it describes such deviations and the reasons for such deviations in its local asset management program.
l. HUD shall modify the systems and reports described in Section 2 below, to accommodate any differences between HUD asset management requirements and the Agency’s local asset management program.

i. If the Agency implements a fee-for-service approach, then HUD shall consider the Agency’s fee income to be defederalized.

3. **Period of Experimentation.** The Agency may use its local asset management program to test and develop accounting practices designed to support and complement the fungibility of public housing and voucher funds that may be combined under the MTW demonstration program ("MTW Funds") and the programmatic flexibility provided under the MTW program. This period of experimentation for its Public Housing Program shall be available to the Agency until the negotiated rule making authorized in 24 CFR 990 is completed and a rule adopted. At that time, HUD and the Agency shall mutually determine the effect of said rulemaking on such cost accounting system, and how such a system can be continued.

4. **Identification of Cost Approach Under A-87.** The local asset management program will describe the Agency’s cost accounting system. Cost accounting options available to the Agency include:

a. **Fee-for-service methodology.** The Agency may establish a fee-for-service methodology that:

i. Is consistent with 24 CFR Part 990 (with respect to operating funds for the Public Housing Program), uses property level management, accounting, and budgeting, and establishes a Central Office Cost Center (COCC);

ii. With such justification as is required by A-87, allows for fees that exceed the levels set forth by HUD asset management requirements with respect to operating funds for the Public Housing Program;

iii. Allows the Agency to apply local determinations with respect to front line, prorated, and shared resources, fee costs, and other aspects of such requirements, to meet the objectives of the MTW program. Such determinations, when they differ from HUD’s requirements, must be specifically noted in the Agency’s local asset management program;

iv. In making local determinations with respect to front line and fees, the Agency acknowledges that cost must be treated in a consistent manner; and

v. When fees established by the Agency exceed HUD guidelines the Agency agrees to justify such fees and that the Agency’s annual audit shall include a review and test of fee reasonableness.

b. **Indirect cost rate methodology.** In accordance with A-87, the Agency may elect to establish a cost allocation methodology, instead of a fee-for-service methodology, to allocate direct and indirect costs and establish an indirect cost rate.
The cost allocation plan along with the indirect cost rate shall be described in the local asset management program. The Agency agrees to justify the indirect cost rate established and that the Annual Audit shall include a review and test of the rate’s reasonableness.

5. Establishment of Cost Objectives under MTW

a. Multiple cost objectives. If the Agency establishes multiple cost objectives (such as separate cost objectives for public housing properties, vouchers, capital funds, and services) under its MTW agreement, it may elect to use a fee-for-service methodology for one objective and an indirect cost rate methodology for other objectives, provided that the same cost objective may not use both a fee-for-service and a cost allocation/indirect cost rate methodology.

b. Option for a Single Cost Objective. In accordance with A-87, the Agency may propose in the Annual MTW Plan that its MTW program is a single cost objective and may establish a cost accounting plan that uses a single indirect cost rate for the entire MTW program, which may include operating funds provided for the Public Housing Program. In doing so, the Agency agrees to provide a description of the cost accounting plan as part of its local asset management program, including how the indirect cost rate is determined (such as the grouping of costs and distribution base), and how that rate is applied across major functions. Use of such a single cost objective is subject to the Annual MTW Plan or Report approval process.

6. Financial Reporting. The Agency agrees to describe how it has assigned costs as part of its local asset management program in its Annual MTW Plan. The Agency agrees that irrespective of the cost accounting method selected above, a project-level accounting system will be used to track costs at the asset management property level. Any differences between the local asset management program and HUD’s asset management requirements will be described in its Annual MTW Plan and Report in order to facilitate recording of actual property costs and submission of such cost information to HUD.

The Agency agrees to submit information to HUD through the following reporting systems, provided that HUD, in cooperation with the Agency, shall modify such systems, as necessary, so that they are capable of accepting reports from the Agency, as to both form and content, in a manner that is consistent with the Agency’s authorizations under this Agreement and with the implementation of MTW:

(a) PIH Information Center (PIC);
(b) Voucher Management System (VMS);
(c) HUD Financial Data Schedule (FDS) on an annual basis; and
(d) The Annual Audit, with necessary supplemental schedules.

All such reporting shall be to the extent necessary for the Agency to report MTW activities consistent with the MTW Agreement. Should a report not be modified for MTW the Agency will continue to submit information through the Annual MTW Plan and Report.
The Agency may, in satisfaction of the annual audit requirement, submit its independently audited Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR), prepared consistent with its MTW financial structure and GAAP, and including supplemental un-audited balance sheets and income and expense statements for public housing properties.

All reporting under this section shall be subject to and shall not interfere with the Agency’s right to combine and use public housing operating funds, public housing capital funds, and Section 8 voucher funds for the activities and in the manner described in the MTW Agreement.

HUD shall not limit through any asset management requirements or otherwise, including through any other provision of this Addendum or the MTW Agreement to the extent there is a conflict with this Attachment D, the Agency’s ability (as provided in the MTW Agreement), to use a single fund budget as an authority-wide funding source for MTW activities.

7. **Other Reporting.**

**Annual Plan and Report Under Attachment B.** HUD affirms that it has obtained Office of Management and Budget (“OMB”) approval for the information collection currently required by Attachment B to the Agency’s Original MTW Agreement (HUD Form 50900, OMB Control Number 2577-0216; and hereafter, “Original Attachment B”). The Agency is not required to use the Attachment B to this Agreement (hereafter, “Revised Attachment B”), until such time as HUD has obtained approval from OMB of such Revised Attachment B.

Subject to the paragraph immediately above, the Agency agrees to submit information in accordance with Revised Attachment B for the fiscal year following such OMB approval; provided, however, that:

i. if OMB does not approve a Revised Attachment B at least 120 days prior to the date for submission of the MTW Plan, then the Agency may defer compliance with Revised Attachment B until submission of the Annual MTW Plan and Report in the subsequent fiscal year;

ii. the Annual MTW Report for a given fiscal year will use the same form of Attachment B as was used for that fiscal year’s Annual MTW Plan; and

iii. the Agency and HUD agree to use the first submission of Revised Attachment B to identify any problems and potential improvements in its use, as to both form and substance, and that HUD and the Agency will work together to modify Revised Attachment B, as necessary, in order to improve it as an information tool for subsequent fiscal years. The Agency and HUD further agree that Revised Attachment B may require modifications in subsequent years of the MTW Agreement and that HUD will facilitate a process to solicit comments from the Agency and modify Revised Attachment B as necessary.

**CFDA Number.** HUD affirms that it has received a Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (“CFDA”) number from OMB for the MTW program. HUD will create a corresponding compliance supplement to assist auditors in performing the required audits of the Agency. HUD will develop such compliance supplement in consultation with the Agency and shall direct, and hereby does direct, any auditor of the Agency to consider such supplement and other related
supplements and OMB Circulars in determining the compliance requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the Agency’s participation in the MTW program. The MTW compliance supplement issued by HUD shall have an effective date that provides the Agency with sufficient notice for implementation.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have caused this First Amendment to be executed by their duly authorized representatives.

THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF

By: Ken Kugler,

Its: Executive Director

Date: March 19, 2009

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

By: Paula O. Blanchard

Its: Assistant Secretary

Date: 4/19/09

First Amendment
Moving to Work Agreement
BEFORE THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
OF THE
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF TULARE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
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IN THE MATTER OF APPROVING THE
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY
OF TULARE TO SIGN AN AMENDMENT TO
THE STANDARD AMENDED AND RESTATED
MOVING-TO-WORK (MTW) AGREEMENT
WITH THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT
OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT,
AND AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE
DIRECTOR TO SIGN THE AMENDMENT
AND TO SUBMIT IT TO THE
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING
AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT FOR
EXECUTION

-oOo-

RESOLUTION NO. 2009-06

WHEREAS, the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and the Housing Authority of the County of Tulare executed a Moving-to-Work (MTW) demonstration Agreement, and on the 26th day of February, 2008; and

WHEREAS, on October 22, 2008, HUD submitted to the Housing Authority of the County of Tulare an amendment to the signed standard agreement addressing asset management and reporting; and

WHEREAS, HUD and the Housing Authority of the County of Tulare the parties agree to execute this Amendment to the Amended and Restated MTW Agreement (Restated Agreement); and

WHEREAS, the Housing Authority of the County of Tulare was made available for public review from the 30th day of January to the 2nd day of March and was the subject of public hearings held on the 12th day of February at 3:00 P.M.; and

WHEREAS, the Housing Authority of the County of Tulare gave full consideration to all comments received regarding the Amendment to the Restated Agreement;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Commissioners of the
return both copies to the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development, along with any other documents.

Section 2. The Board of Commissioners of the Housing Authority of the County of Tulare certifies that the public review and public hearing requirements applicable to the Moving-to-Work Demonstration program as stated in the Original MTW Agreement have been met.

The foregoing resolution was adopted upon a motion presented by Commissioner Romero, seconded by Commissioner Rojas, at a regular meeting of the Board of Commissioners, held on the 18th day of March 2009. Motion carried by the following vote:

AYES: Saltzman, Tietjens, Snyder, Ybarra, Romero, Rojas

NAYES: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: None

STEVEN SALTMAN, Chairperson