Appendix 1

Coordinated Environmental Review Process Workgroup Members

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (Lead Agency)

Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing
Jennifer Jones, Chief of Staff

Office of Native American Programs
Rodger Boyd, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Office of Native American Programs
Karen Newton Cole, Senior Executive for Project Management (on detail to ONAP for project)
Jennifer Bullough, Director, Office of Grants Evaluation
Hilary Atkin, Senior Environmental Specialist

Office of Environment and Energy
Danielle Schopp, Director
Elizabeth Zepeda, Environmental Specialist
Lauren Hayes, Intern

Office of General Counsel
Christopher Hartenau, Senior Attorney Advisor
Alyce Thompson, Attorney Advisor
Jad Atallah, Attorney Advisor

Council on Environmental Quality
Horst Greczimiel, Associate Director for NEPA Oversight
Michael Drummond, Deputy Associate Director for the Unified Federal Review

U.S. Department of Agriculture

USDA Rural Development
Tedd Buelow, Native American Coordinator
Richard Fristik, Senior Environmental Protection Specialist (Rural Utilities Service)
Peggy Wade, Senior Environmental Protection Specialist (Rural Housing Service)
Juliet Bochicchio, Senior Environmental Specialist (RB-CS)
Kellie McGuinness Kubena, Director Engineering and Environmental Staff (Rural Utilities Service)

USDA Tribal Relations
Leslie Wheelock, Director

U.S. Department of Commerce

Office of the Secretary
Catherine Barrett, Deputy Director for Policy and Strategic Planning

Economic Development Administration
Shannon FitzGerald, Environmental Protection Specialist
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U.S. Department of Energy

Office of NEPA Policy and Compliance
Bradley Mehaffy, Environmental Protection Specialist
Denise Freeman, Environmental Protection Specialist

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Office of Waste Water Management
Matthew Richardson, Environmental Protection Specialist
Leslie Corcelli, Physical Scientist
Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
John Irizarry, Environmental Protection Specialist

Office of Federal Activities
Jessica Trice, Environmental Protection Specialist (NEPA Compliance Division)
Mathew Nowakowski, Historical Preservation Expert

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Indian Health Services
Gary Hartz, Director of Environmental Health and Engineering
Mark Calkins, Director, Division of Sanitation Facilities Construction
Stephen Aoyama, Senior Engineer

U.S. Department of the Interior

Office of the Assistant Secretary – Indian Affairs
Lawrence Roberts, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs

Bureau of Indian Affairs
Mike Black, Director, Bureau of Indian Affairs
Dan Hall, Regional Archaeologist PRO, Acting FPO/NEPA Coordinator of DECRM

U.S. Department of Transportation

Federal Highway Administration
Robert Sparrow, Director, Tribal Transportation Program
Terry Schuman, Senior Environmental Review Specialist
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APPENDIX 2
Questionnaire on Federal Agency Partners’ NEPA Process and Funding Sources for Indian Housing and Infrastructure

1. What entity within the agency (e.g., Department, Division, Office, or Section) is responsible for environmental reviews, decision-making and action regarding compliance with NEPA and the Federal laws and authorities?

2. What line officer in the agency must approve environmental compliance reviews?

3. What is the source of Federal funds that may be used for Indian housing and infrastructure projects?

4. What action triggers/initiates the environmental review process?

5. Must all related activities be incorporated into your agency's environmental review process (i.e. aggregation)?

6. What are the actions related to Indian housing and transportation projects that may require an environmental review by your agency (NEPA applicable actions)?

7. What actions does your agency categorize as Categorical Exclusions?

8. What are the actions that normally require or may require an Environmental Assessment (EA)?

9. What are the actions that normally require or may require an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)?

10. What decides if there are Extraordinary Circumstances/Exceptions to the Categorical Exclusion (requiring an environmental assessment instead)?

11. What is your agency's public notification process?

12. Does your agency approve environmental reviews with contingencies or conditioned FONSIs?

13. What determines when Federal funds may be committed and spent?

14. What kind of GIS capabilities does your agency utilize for environmental reviews? Do you currently have a data sharing agreement in place with other agencies?

15. Does your agency have any training materials available for environmental staff that might be useful in order for other agencies to better understand your environmental review process?

16. Does your agency provide environmental training to Tribes?

17. Does your agency have an electronic tracking system for environmental reviews?

18. What kind of information on environmental reviews could be found on your agency website (i.e., regulations, templates, supporting documentation requirements)?

19. At what level (local, field, regional, headquarters) are the environmental reviews carried out?
20. What kind of environmental checklists/forms etc. does your agency currently use in order to request information from a Tribe or Program Staff to complete an environmental review?

21. How does your agency ensure mitigation measures and/or conditions for approval are implemented?

22. What is the timeframe for a project to clear the agency review process? How many other reviews must a project go through before a grant is awarded? Where does the environmental review fit into this process?

23. Do you request tribes to be cooperating agencies when preparing NEPA reviews for actions on tribal lands? If no, are there any regulatory obstacles or other reasons for not doing so?

24. For jointly funded projects, are you able to adopt a HUD EA prepared in accordance with HUD’s NEPA regulations? If no, are there any regulatory obstacles or other reasons for not doing so?

25. Is it generally understood by your staff preparing NEPA reviews that HUD has the legal authority to allow tribes, and Alaska Native Villages or Regional Corporations to assume responsibility for compliance with NEPA and the related Federal laws and authorities that otherwise would apply to HUD?

26. Are there any types of infrastructure or housing projects you fund that are statutorily exempt from NEPA and the related Federal laws and authorities?
## Communication Sessions with the Tribes or TDHEs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Area ONAP</th>
<th>Organizations</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Completion</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2/3/2015</td>
<td>Headquarters</td>
<td>National American Indian Housing Council (NAIHC) Legislative Conference</td>
<td>Washington, DC</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Listening session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/17-19, 2015</td>
<td>SWONAP</td>
<td>Nevada/California Quarterly Regional Indian Housing Association Quarterly Meeting</td>
<td>Sacramento, CA</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Listening session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/31 -4/1, 2015</td>
<td>NPONAP</td>
<td>United Native American Housing Association (UNAHA) Annual Meeting</td>
<td>Denver, CO</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Listening session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/3/2015</td>
<td>AKONAP</td>
<td>Association of Alaska Housing Authorities (AAHA) Quarterly Meeting</td>
<td>Anchorage, AK</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Listening session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/20/2015</td>
<td>SPONAP</td>
<td>Small group meeting</td>
<td>Tulsa, OK</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Listening session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/21-23/2015</td>
<td>NWONAP</td>
<td>Northwest Indian Housing Association (NWIHA) Quarterly Meeting</td>
<td>Coeur d'Alene, ID</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Briefing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/12-14/2015</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>AMERIND Risk/NAIHC Annual Convention</td>
<td>Scottsdale, AZ</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Tribal Consultation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/14/2015</td>
<td>E/WONAP</td>
<td>Great Lakes Inter-Tribal Council (GLITC) Meeting</td>
<td>Manitowoc, WI</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Listening session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/18-21/2015</td>
<td>E/WONAP</td>
<td>United South and Eastern Tribes (USET) Meeting</td>
<td>Manshantucket, CT</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Listening session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/18-21/2015</td>
<td>NWONAP</td>
<td>Affiliated Tribes of Northwest Indians Mid-year Convention</td>
<td>Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs, OR</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Listening session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/18-21/2015</td>
<td>SPONAP</td>
<td>Southern Plains Indian Housing Association (SPIHA) Meeting</td>
<td>Tulsa, OK</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Listening session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/3-4/2015</td>
<td>E/WONAP</td>
<td>Midwest Alliance of Sovereign Tribes (MAST) Meeting</td>
<td>Green Bay, WI</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Listening session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/28-30/15</td>
<td>SWONAP</td>
<td>Southwest Tribal Housing Alliance (SWTA)</td>
<td>Tucson, AZ</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Briefing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX 4
Project Development, Planning, and Environmental Review process for Tribal Housing and Housing Infrastructure Projects Commonly Used by Tribes and TDHEs

The TDHE or tribe engages in strategic planning to determine the long-term housing needs of its communities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of projects</th>
<th>Fee simple land</th>
<th>Trust land</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single family actions</td>
<td>Single family actions</td>
<td>Single family actions + infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multifamily development</td>
<td>Multifamily development + infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Activities for the next year are planned and included in the Indian Housing Plan (IHP). The IHP is approved by the tribe or TDHE, submitted to HUD, and approved by HUD.

Applications for site-specific actions are received, deemed eligible, and/or approved.

Environmental review process begins. A HUD Part 58 environmental review is prepared.

Federal agencies that may be involved

**Housing**
- General – HUD, USDA-RHS, BIA (HIP)
- Weatherization – DOE
- Leases, rights-of-way – BIA (trust land only)

**Infrastructure**
- General – HUD, USDA-RUS, EPA, EDA
- Water sanitation – IHS, EDA
- Roads – BIA, FHWA, EDA
- Technical expertise – IHS, EDA

**Land status**
- Conversion of fee simple land to trust land (or vice versa) - BIA

Tribes provide information to federal agencies to complete their environmental reviews (*if applicable*). The agencies may ask for additional information.

Federal agencies notify the tribe or TDHE in writing that their ER requirements have been satisfied, and the project can proceed.

**Phased development**
- Infrastructure is usually constructed prior to housing.
- Infrastructure and housing are simultaneously constructed if funding is available and the timeline is short.
- Multiple phases in a project may be captured in a master plan.

When the next phase of a project is funded or ready for planning, the existing EA is updated, or a new one is started.

*The conversion of land requires more time and may occur earlier in the process.*
APPENDIX 5-1
Tribal Interview Questions
Category 1: Housing Development on Fee Simple Land without Infrastructure

- What types of housing projects do/have you used federal funding for?
  - How frequently do you do this type of project?
  - Which of the following agencies have you worked with on a housing project: BIA, Dept. of Commerce, Dept. of Energy, EPA, IHS, and USDA? If you don’t know the agency, can you identify the name of the Federal grant program to which you have applied for funding assistance?
  - On average, how many of the above agencies (or programs, if you do not know the name of the funding agency) are involved in your housing projects? What are the most agencies (or programs) ever involved in a single project in order for that project to be successfully completed?
  - When your tribe/TDHE plans to leverage funds from other Federal agencies, do you incorporate those activities into your Part 58 environmental review for evaluation, too?

- What is your process for developing plans for housing projects?
  - At what point do you generally start preparing the ER? For example, do you begin as soon as the annual Indian Housing Plan (IHP) is submitted to HUD for approval, or is there another point in your planning process that determines when preparation of the ER must be initiated?
  - Are your ERs completed under part 50 or 58?
  - What type of HUD funds do you use:
    - IHBG
    - Section 184 loan guarantee
    - Title VI loan guarantee
    - ICDBG
    - RHED (Rural Housing and Economic Development)

- Coordination of multiple Federal funding sources for housing projects
  - On a typical housing project, do you know from the outset all of the agencies that will contribute funding?
    - If yes: How do you know? Are the same agencies involved in all your projects, or all of certain types of projects?
    - If no: Describe the process of getting the various agencies on board with the process.
  - On fee simple land:
    - What is the type of project(s)?
    - What is the first federal agency to provide funding and/or prepare an environmental review?
    - Does it vary from project to project?
    - Is the first agency to provide funding always the first agency to prepare an ER?
    - Have you ever done a project that converted fee simple land into trust land?
      - If yes: How did the process differ from that of a project on trust land?
      - Do you have any concerns with this process?
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Tribal Interview Questions
Category 1: Housing Development on Fee Simple Land without Infrastructure

- When you develop housing, is the necessary infrastructure usually already in place, or does it have to be developed at the same time?
  - If infrastructure is often/always in place prior to housing development:
    - Which agencies (or federally-funded programs) are typically involved in the housing development?
  - If infrastructure is rarely/never in place:
    - What is the process for coordinating the installation of housing and infrastructure together?
      - Do you develop in phases, or all at once?
        - If in phases: What do you construct first?
        - Does your HUD ER address all housing and infrastructure, or just the housing?
  - If it varies: [Ask both sets of questions above, but also the following]
    - Describe the difference between the two processes. Which do you find runs smoother?

- Regarding HUD ER process:
  - Who within your tribe/TDHE conducts the environmental reviews? Or, do you use environmental consultants instead?
  - Who is your certifying officer? Is there more than one certifying officer?
  - What is your public notification process (publication or posting/mailing)?
  - Does the tribe or TDHE maintain the project ERRs? (Note: In Alaska the Regional Corporations, and in some regions of the lower 48 states the Regional TDHEs, are asked by ONAP to maintain the records).
  - Do you maintain an ER database? If not, what resources do you use to derive environmental information?
  - Do you attend ER training? Who provides the training? How often does staff attend ER training?

- What is your system, if any, for tracking ERs and the implementation of mitigation measures, if required?

- What issues or problems have you encountered in using both HUD and other Federal agencies' funds for the same project?
  - Are there any inconsistencies in the review requirements across the different agencies that you have observed? For example, HUD has noise and Acceptable Separation Distance regulations that do not apply to other agencies. Have you found comparable requirements that other agencies consider that HUD does not?

- Have you ever had a lead agency agreement to coordinate multiple agencies’ environmental reviews?
  - If yes: Describe that process.
    - Would you do it again? Why or why not?
  - If no:
    - Have you ever considered doing this?
    - Do you request a copy of that agency’s environmental review to supplement and facilitate your own environmental findings?
APPENDIX 5-1
Tribal Interview Questions
Category 1: Housing Development on Fee Simple Land without Infrastructure

- Have you experienced situations in which the HUD environmental review process has worked well or effectively with another Federal agency’s review process?

- Does your tribe/TDHE have written environmental review procedures?
  - If yes: May we have a copy?
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Tribal Interview Questions
Category 2: Housing Development on Fee Simple Land with Infrastructure

• What types of housing and housing infrastructure projects do/have you used federal funding for?
  o How frequently do you do this type of project?
  o Which of the following agencies have you worked with on a housing and housing infrastructure project: BIA, EDA, Dept. of Energy, EPA, IHS, Rural Housing Services and Rural Utilities Services? If you don’t know the agency, can you identify the name of the Federal grant program to which you have applied for funding assistance?
  o On average, how many of the above agencies (or programs, if you do not know the name of the funding agency) are involved in your housing and housing infrastructure projects? What are the most agencies (or programs) ever involved in a single project in order for that project to be successfully completed?
  o When your tribe/TDHE plans to leverage funds from other Federal agencies, do you incorporate those activities into your Part 58 environmental review for evaluation, too?

• What is your process for developing plans for housing and housing infrastructure projects?
  o At what point do you generally start preparing the ER? For example, do you begin as soon as the annual Indian Housing Plan (IHP) is submitted to HUD for approval, or is there another point in your planning process that determines when preparation of the ER must be initiated?
  o Are your ERs completed under part 50 or 58?
  o What type of HUD funds do you use:
    ▪ IHBG
    ▪ Section 184 loan guarantee
    ▪ Title VI loan guarantee
    ▪ ICDBG
    ▪ RHED (Rural Housing and Economic Development)

• Coordination of multiple Federal funding sources for housing projects:
  o On a typical housing project, do you know from the outset all of the agencies that will contribute funding?
    ▪ If yes: How do you know? Are the same agencies involved in all your projects, or all of certain types of projects?
    ▪ If no: Describe the process of getting the various agencies on board with the process.
  o On fee simple land:
    ▪ What is the type of project(s)?
    ▪ What is the first federal agency to provide funding and/or prepare an environmental review?
    ▪ Does it vary from project to project?
    ▪ Is the first agency to provide funding always the first agency to prepare an ER?
    ▪ Have you ever done a project that converted fee simple land into trust land?
      ▪ If yes: How did the process differ from that of a project on trust land?
      ▪ Do you have any concerns with this process?
    ▪ When you develop housing, what is the process for coordinating the installation of housing and infrastructure together? Do you develop in phases, or all at once?
      ▪ If in phases: What do you construct first?
      ▪ Does your HUD ER address all housing and infrastructure, or just the housing?
Tribal Interview Questions

Category 2: Housing Development on Fee Simple Land with Infrastructure

- Describe the difference between developing housing plus infrastructure, and developing housing where infrastructure is already in place. Which process do you find runs smoother?

- Regarding HUD ER process:
  - Who within your tribe/TDHE conducts the environmental reviews? Or, do you use environmental consultants instead?
  - Who is your certifying officer? Is there more than one certifying officer?
  - What is your public notification process (publication or posting/mailing)?
  - Does the tribe or TDHE maintain the project ERRs? (Note: In Alaska the Regional Corporations, and in some regions of the lower 48 states the Regional TDHEs, are asked by ONAP to maintain the records).
  - Do you maintain an ER database? If not, what resources do you use to derive environmental information?
  - Do you attend ER training? Who provides the training? How often does staff attend ER training?

- What is your system, if any, for tracking ERs and the implementation of mitigation measures, if required?

- What issues or problems have you encountered in using both HUD and other Federal agencies' funds for the same project?
  - Are there any inconsistencies in the review requirements across the different agencies that you have observed? For example, HUD has noise and Acceptable Separation Distance regulations that do not apply to other agencies. Have you found comparable requirements that other agencies consider that HUD does not?

- Have you ever had a lead agency agreement to coordinate multiple agencies’ environmental reviews?
  - If yes: Describe that process.
    - Would you do it again? Why or why not?
  - If no:
    - Have you ever considered doing this?
    - Do you request a copy of that agency’s environmental review to supplement and facilitate your own environmental findings?

- Have you experienced situations in which the HUD environmental review process has worked well or effectively with another Federal agency’s review process?

- Does your tribe/TDHE have written environmental review procedures?
  - If yes: May we have a copy?
APPENDIX 5-3
Tribal Interview Questions
Category 3: Housing Development on Trust Land without Infrastructure

- What types of housing projects do/have you used federal funding for?
  o How frequently do you do this type of project?
  o Which of the following agencies have you worked with on a housing project: BIA, Dept. of Commerce, Dept. of Energy, EPA, IHS, and USDA? If you don’t know the agency can you identify the name of the Federal grant program to which you have applied for funding assistance?
  o On average, how many of the above agencies (or programs if you do not know the name of the funding agency) are involved in your housing projects? What are the most agencies (or programs) ever involved in a single project in order for that project to be successfully completed?
  o When your tribe/TDHE plans to leverage funds from other Federal agencies, do you incorporate those activities into your Part 58 environmental review for evaluation, too?

- What is your process for developing plans for housing projects?
  o At what point do you generally start preparing the ER? For example, do you begin as soon as the annual Indian Housing Plan (IHP) is submitted to HUD for approval, or is there another point in your planning process that determines when preparation of the ER must be initiated?
  o Are your ERs completed under part 50 or 58?
  o What type of HUD funds do you use:
    - IHBG
    - Section 184 loan guarantee
    - Title VI loan guarantee
    - ICDBG
    - RHED (Rural Housing and Economic Development)

- Coordination of multiple Federal funding sources for housing projects
  o On a typical housing project, do you know from the outset all of the agencies that will contribute funding?
    - If yes: How do you know? Are the same agencies involved in all your projects, or all of certain types of projects?
    - If no: Describe the process of getting the various agencies on board with the process.
  o On trust land:
    - What is the type of project(s)?
    - Is BIA always the first agency to conduct an environmental review?
    - Do BIA’s environmental reviews aggregate to consider the activities that will be conducted on the land?
  o Have you ever done a housing project on fee simple land?
    - If yes: How did the process differ from that of a project on trust land?

- When you develop housing, is the necessary infrastructure usually already in place, or does it have to be developed at the same time?
  o If infrastructure is often/always in place prior to housing development:
    - Which agencies (or federally funded programs) are typically involved in the housing development?
  o If infrastructure is rarely/never in place:
    - What is the process for coordinating the installation of housing and infrastructure together? Do you develop in phases, or all at once?
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Tribal Interview Questions

Category 3: Housing Development on Trust Land without Infrastructure

- If in phases: What do you construct first?
- Does your HUD ER address all housing and infrastructure, or just the housing?
  - If it varies: [Ask both sets of questions above, but also the following]
    - Describe the difference between the two processes. Which do you find runs smoother?

- Regarding HUD ER process:
  - Who within your tribe/TDHE conducts the environmental reviews? Or, do you use environmental consultants instead?
  - Who is your certifying officer? Is there more than one certifying officer?
  - What is your public notification process (publication or posting/mailing)?
  - Does the tribe or TDHE maintain the project ERRs? (Note: In Alaska the Regional Corporations, and in some regions of the lower 48 states the Regional TDHEs, are asked by ONAP to maintain the records).
  - Do you maintain an ER database? If not, what resources do you use to derive environmental information?
  - Do you attend ER training? Who provides the training? How often does staff attend ER training?
  - What is your system, if any, for tracking ERs and the implementation of mitigation measures, if required?

- What issues or problems have you encountered in using both HUD and other Federal agencies’ funds for the same project?
  - Are there any inconsistencies in the review requirements across the different agencies that you have observed? For example, HUD has noise and Acceptable Separation Distance regulations that do not apply to other agencies. Have you found comparable requirements that other agencies consider that HUD does not?

- Have you ever had a lead agency agreement to coordinate multiple agencies’ environmental reviews?
  - If yes: Describe that process.
    - Would you do it again? Why or why not?
  - If no:
    - Have you ever considered doing this?
    - Do you request a copy of that agency’s environmental review to supplement and facilitate your own environmental findings?

- Have you experienced situations in which the HUD environmental review process has worked well or effectively with another Federal agency’s review process?

- Does your tribe/TDHE have written environmental review procedures?
  - If yes: May we have a copy?
Tribal Interview Questions
Category 4: Housing Development on Trust Land with Infrastructure

- What types of housing and housing infrastructure projects do/have you used federal funding for?
  - How frequently do you do this type of project?
  - Which of the following agencies have you worked with on a housing and housing infrastructure project: BIA, EDA, Dept. of Energy, EPA, IHS, Rural Housing Services, and Rural Utilities Services? If you don’t know the agency can you identify the name of the Federal grant program to which you have applied for funding assistance?
  - On average, how many of the above agencies (or programs, if you do not know the name of the funding agency) are involved in your housing and housing infrastructure projects? What are the most agencies (or programs) ever involved in a single project in order for that project to be successfully completed?
  - When your tribe/TDHE plans to leverage funds from other Federal agencies, do you incorporate those activities into your Part 58 environmental review for evaluation too?

- What is your process for developing plans for housing and housing infrastructure projects?
  - At what point do you generally start preparing the ER? For example, do you begin as soon as the annual Indian Housing Plan (IHP) is submitted to HUD for approval, or is there another point in your planning process that determines when preparation of the ER must be initiated?
  - Are your ERs completed under part 50 or 58?
  - What type of HUD funds do you use:
    - IHBG
    - Section 184 loan guarantee
    - Title VI loan guarantee
    - ICDBG
    - RHED (Rural Housing and Economic Development)

- Coordination of multiple Federal funding sources for housing projects
  - On a typical housing project, do you know from the outset all of the agencies that will contribute funding?
    - If yes: How do you know? Are the same agencies involved in all your projects, or all of certain types of projects?
    - If no: Describe the process of getting the various agencies on board with the process.
  - On trust land:
    - What is the type of project(s)?
    - Is BIA always the first agency to conduct an environmental review?
    - Do BIA’s environmental reviews aggregate to consider the activities that will be conducted on the land?
  - Have you ever done a housing project on fee simple land?
    - If yes: How did the process differ from that of a project on trust land?

- When you develop housing, what is the process for coordinating the installation of housing and infrastructure together? Do you develop in phases, or all at once?
  - If in phases: What do you construct first?
  - Does your HUD ER address all housing and infrastructure, or just the housing?
  - Describe the difference between developing housing plus infrastructure, and developing housing where infrastructure is already in place. Which process do you find runs smoother?
APPENDIX 5-4  
Tribal Interview Questions  
Category 4: Housing Development on Trust Land with Infrastructure

• Regarding HUD ER process:  
  o Who within your tribe/TDHE conducts the environmental reviews? Or, do you use environmental consultants instead?  
  o Who is your certifying officer? Is there more than one certifying officer?  
  o What is your public notification process (publication or posting/mailing)?  
  o Does the tribe or TDHE maintain the project ERRs? (Note: In Alaska the Regional Corporations, and in some regions of the lower 48 states the Regional TDHEs, are asked by ONAP to maintain the records).  
  o Do you maintain an ER database? If not, what resources do you use to derive environmental information?  
  o Do you attend ER training? Who provides the training? How often does staff attend ER training?

• What is your system, if any, for tracking ERs and the implementation of mitigation measures, if required?

• What issues or problems have you encountered in using both HUD and other Federal agencies’ funds for the same project?  
  o Are there any inconsistencies in the review requirements across the different agencies that you have observed? For example, HUD has noise and Acceptable Separation Distance regulations that do not apply to other agencies. Have you found comparable requirements that other agencies consider that HUD does not?

• Have you ever had a lead agency agreement to coordinate multiple agencies’ environmental reviews?  
  o If yes: Describe that process.  
    ▪ Would you do it again? Why or why not?  
  o If no:  
    ▪ Have you ever considered doing this?  
    ▪ Do you request a copy of that agency’s environmental review to supplement and facilitate your own environmental findings?

• Have you experienced situations in which the HUD environmental review process has worked well or effectively with another Federal agency’s review process?

• Does your tribe/TDHE have written environmental review procedures?  
  o If yes: May we have a copy?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Law or Authority</th>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Total Yes Responses</th>
<th>Total No Responses</th>
<th>HUD</th>
<th>DOI-BIA</th>
<th>DOC-EDA</th>
<th>DOE</th>
<th>HHS-IHS</th>
<th>USDA-RHS</th>
<th>USDA-RUS</th>
<th>DOT-FHWA</th>
<th>EPA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National Environmental Policy Act</td>
<td>42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Historic Preservation Act - Section 106</td>
<td>54 U.S.C. 306108; 36 C.F.R. 800</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.O. 12898 Environmental Justice</td>
<td>59 FR 7629</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 7 Endangered Species Act</td>
<td>16 U.S.C. 1536; 50 C.F.R. 402</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.O. 11988 Floodplains1</td>
<td>42 FR 26951</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmland Protection Act</td>
<td>7 U.S.C. 4201 et seq.; 7 C.F.R Part 658</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.O. 11990 Wetlands</td>
<td>42 FR 26951</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild and Scenic Rivers Act</td>
<td>16 U.S.C. 1271 et seq.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal Zone Management Act</td>
<td>16 U.S.C. 1456</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Air Act</td>
<td>42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.; 40 CFR 6,51,90 &amp; 93</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Quality and Aquifers</td>
<td>42 USC 300h-3 &amp; 300h-6; 40 C.F.R. 149</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Water Act, Section 404 Permit</td>
<td>33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.; 33 U.S.C. 1344</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal Barrier Resources Act</td>
<td>16 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultation to Protect Essential Fish Habitat</td>
<td>16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous Materials/Toxic Waste/ Resource Conservation and Recovery Act</td>
<td>42 U.S.C. 6901 et seq.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act</td>
<td>16 U.S.C. 661 et seq.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivers and Harbors Act - Section 9/10</td>
<td>33 U.S.C. 401 &amp; 403</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migratory Bird Treaty Act</td>
<td>16 U.S.C. 703 et seq.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Mammals Protection Act</td>
<td>16 U.S.C. 1361 et seq.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act</td>
<td>16 USC 668 et seq.; 50 C.F.R. 22</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe Drinking Water Act</td>
<td>42 U.S.C. 300f et seq.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood Disaster Protection Act</td>
<td>42 U.S.C. 4001 et seq.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise Abatement and Control - HUD Regulations*</td>
<td>24 CFR Part 51, Subpart B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explosive and Flammable Facilities - HUD Regulations*</td>
<td>24 CFR Part 51, Subpart C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport Runway Clear Zones - HUD Regulations*</td>
<td>24 CFR Part 51, Subpart D</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, Section 363 (ComAct)*</td>
<td>7 U.S.C. 2006e; PL 87-128; 75 Stat. 294, as amended</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Resource Lease - BIA*</td>
<td>25 C.F.R. 162</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Line Agreement - BIA*</td>
<td>25 U.S.C. 323-328, 450</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act*</td>
<td>23 U.S.C. 138; 49 U.S.C. 303; 23 CFR 774</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Generally, EPA's activities applicable to tribal housing and housing infrastructure do not require a NEPA review. These actions are either statutorily exempted from NEPA or are functionally equivalent with NEPA. Many of the water and wastewater infrastructure projects funded through the EPA are managed through Interagency Agreements with the Indian Health Service (IHS), and IHS is responsible for complying with any applicable Federal requirements, including NEPA. However, outside of a NEPA review there must be a determination whether the other cross-cutting authorities require documentation.


* Gray-shaded laws and authorities are agency specific. For example, HUD’s regulations on Noise Abatement and Control regulate noise-sensitive uses, such as housing. Other agencies may also document compliance with similar authorities, including the Noise Control Act, in their environmental review records, although that Act is not included in this chart.

1 Note that the list of environmental laws and authorities included here does not necessarily include all environmental statutes, regulations, and executive orders that may be documented in an environmental review record. Some additional laws and authorities may be missing from this chart.