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B. Overview

B.1 Overview of Plan Year 2015

"It always seems impossible until it’s done.” – Nelson Mandela

This was PHD’s second year of our Rent Reform program, and the initial results have been very positive. Further, our subsidiary came closer to realizing its goal of increasing affordable housing in Alaska, and we continued to find success through partnerships with outside agencies.

- We continued to evaluate and monitor the Rent Reform Step and Classic programs. First year, initial results for the Step program were promising showing:
  - The percentage of families working increased from 44 to 58 percent.
  - The percentage of families working at least 20 hours per week increased from 38 to 50 percent.
  - The percentage of families working at least 40 hours per week increased from 27 to 35 percent.
- We created and staffed an Extraordinary Maintenance Team responsible for completing significant deferred maintenance statewide.
- We rolled out our Moving Home Program, a collaboration with the Department of Health and Social Services, in which persons with disabilities who are receiving community-based, long-term services as provided through Medicaid waivers, Medicaid state plan options, or state-funded services are referred for rental assistance.
- We again increased our allocation of Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (VASH) vouchers (20 added as of January 1, 2015 and an additional 17 added as of May 1, 2015). In collaboration with the Department of Veterans Affairs, we served an average of 190 homeless veteran families with rental assistance each day, and an independent evaluation found that AHFC was ranked the number four most efficient VASH program in the nation.
- We developed and tested our Jumpstart self-sufficiency program throughout the year with a Jumpstart development team. We expanded this program statewide, hired and trained staff to work in the program, and set a launch date of November 1, 2015.

B.2 Long Term Plan

"What you get by achieving your goals is not as important as what you become by achieving your goals" – Zig Ziglar

Our Proposed FY2015 Goals
1. Reduce cost and achieve greater cost effectiveness in federal expenditures.
2. Create incentives for families to work, seek work, or prepare for work.
3. Increase housing choices for low income families.
4. Maintain stability and be supportive of our elderly and disabled families, while creating administrative efficiencies.
5. Operate our new subsidiary, Alaska Corporation for Affordable Housing, to increase the supply of affordable housing in the state of Alaska.

"The secret to getting ahead is getting started" – Mark Twain

**Goal 1: Reduce cost and achieve greater cost effectiveness in federal expenditures**

This past year we:
1. Evaluated the new rent calculation method, gathered data to analyze the actual shelter burden impact on families, and made necessary adjustments to our rent reform model.
2. Conservatively saved AHFC $200,000 by having our Extraordinary Maintenance Team work on unit modernizations instead of a contractor.
3. Continued to analyze the cost and time savings of using technology and implemented those additional available savings to expand FSS activities and opportunities.
4. Required that landlords receive automatic deposits for housing assistance payments, thus reducing the cost of preparing, printing, and mailing paper checks.

**Goal 2: Create incentives for families to work, seek work, or prepare for work**

This past year we:
1. Created a Jumpstart development team comprised of staff from around the state to research and analyze best practices from other agencies and programs. The team discussed program design options with community stakeholders, developed the Jumpstart framework, and integrated Jumpstart into the existing rent reform program. An outside consultant conducted data analysis and provided program evaluation suggestions.
2. Provided direct financial incentives to participants to increase employment, save money, and improve education and training opportunities.
3. Refined our hardship policy to allow for a streamlined process by staff on hardship requests and provide an initial response while awaiting the Hardship Committee’s review.
4. Altered our Step Program so we now meet each year with our work-able families to determine their needs and provide referrals to those that are, or will be, struggling with increased rental payment responsibility.

**Goal 3: Increase housing choices for low income families**

This past year we:
1. Finalized our partnership with Cook Inlet Housing Association for 88 units of affordable housing in Anchorage.
2. Increased the number of subsidized units from 35 to 46 at Karluk Manor, a Housing First development receiving sponsor-based rental assistance.
Goal 4: Maintain stability and be supportive of our elderly and disabled families, while creating administrative efficiencies

This past year we:
1. Finalized and began roll-out of the Moving Home Program, a collaboration with the Department of Health and Social Services, that provides rental assistance to hard-to-house persons with disabilities.
2. Made an adjustment to our Classic program to allow those families with fluctuations in their annual income to request interims between triennial exams.
3. Consolidated work and completed several million dollars of major maintenance work across the state using our Extraordinary Maintenance Team that included ADA improvements, major elevator repairs, roof replacements, fire suppression and detection system upgrades, and mechanical systems repairs.

Goal 5: Operate our new subsidiary, Alaska Corporation for Affordable Housing, to increase the supply of affordable housing in the state of Alaska

This past year we:
1. In partnership with Cook Inlet Housing Authority, saw the completion of the development known as Susitna Square, comprised of 18 units of affordable housing, which replaced 16 Public Housing units that had physical needs which exceeded replacement costs.
2. Also in partnership with Cook Inlet Housing Authority, we saw significant progress on 70 new units of affordable housing known as Ridgeline Terrace in the Mountain View neighborhood. The scheduled ribbon cutting and lease up is January 2016.
3. Negotiated an agreement with the Bass Pro Shops to lease ACAH land near the Glenn Square Mall to provide for continuing cash flow to assist with new opportunities.
4. In September 2014, AHFC quitclaimed three foreclosed properties in Fairbanks to ACAH. These properties have yearly renewable commercial leases signed by ACAH that will generate revenue by providing annual payments.
5. In June 2015 AHFC quitclaimed the land under the Loussac Manor affordable housing subdivision to ACAH. The land lease annual payment to ACAH is $160,014.
II. **GENERAL HOUSING AUTHORITY OPERATING INFORMATION**

A. **Housing Stock Information**

A.1 **New Housing Choice Vouchers that Were Project-Based During the Fiscal Year**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Name</th>
<th>Anticipated Number of New Vouchers to be Project-Based</th>
<th>Actual Number of New Vouchers that were Project-Based</th>
<th>Description of Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ridgeline Terrace</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>All units are expected to be ready for occupancy beginning January 1, 2016.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susitna Square</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>All units are expected to be ready for occupancy beginning September 1, 2015. 15 units were occupied as of 10/31/15.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anticipated Total Number of New Vouchers to be Project-Based *</th>
<th>Actual Total Number of New Vouchers that were Project-Based</th>
<th>Anticipated Total Number of Project-Based Vouchers Committed at the End of the Fiscal Year *</th>
<th>Actual Total Number of Project-Based Vouchers Leased Up or Issued to a Potential Tenant at the End of the Fiscal Year *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*From the Plan

A.2 **Other Changes to the Housing Stock that Occurred During the Fiscal Year**

Susitna Square has 18 units of project-based assistance. 15 units were occupied as of 10/31/15.

| N/A | N/A |

A.3 **General Description of Actual Capital Fund Expenditures During the Plan Year**

Based on the number of units in each AMP, the amount of $1,374,055.24 in CFP funds were distributed throughout AHFC’s 14 AMPs statewide and used to make up the difference in funding the operating costs in the Public Housing program due to funding shortfalls.
A.4 Overview of Other Housing Owned and/or Managed by the PHA at Fiscal Year End

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Program*</th>
<th>Total Units</th>
<th>Overview of the Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-MTW HUD Funded</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>NonElderly Disabled vouchers (45); Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (VASH) vouchers (247); HOME TBRA Re-Entry and Youth (61); Section 8 New Multifamily Housing Program (285); and Section 8 Moderate Rehabilitation SRO (70).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Funded</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>Affordable housing units located in Anchorage, Bethel, and Wrangell (81); Empowering Choice Housing Program time-limited vouchers for families displaced due to domestic violence (174) and Youth Aging Out of Foster Care (10).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Other Housing Owned and/or Managed: 973

* Select Housing Program from: Tax-Credit, State Funded, Locally Funded, Market-Rate, Non-MTW HUD Funded, Managing Developments for other non-MTW Public Housing Authorities, or Other.

If Other, please describe
N/A

B. Leasing Information

B.1 Actual Number of Households Served at the End of the Fiscal Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Program</th>
<th>Number of Households Served</th>
<th>Planned</th>
<th>Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Units that were Occupied/Leased through Local Non-Traditional MTW Funded Property-Based Assistance Programs(^1)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Units that were Occupied/Leased through Local Non-Traditional MTW Funded Tenant-Based Assistance Programs(^2)</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>248</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port-in Vouchers (not absorbed)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Projected and Actual Households Served</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>321</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Sponsor-based assistance at Karluk Manor (46).
\(^2\) Empowering Choice Housing Program (174), Moving Home (4), and TBRA-Youth and ReEntry (70).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Program</th>
<th>Unit Months Occupied/Leased</th>
<th>Planned</th>
<th>Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Units that were Occupied/Leased through Local Non-Traditional MTW Funded Property-Based Assistance Programs</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>442</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Units that were Occupied/Leased through Local Non-Traditional MTW Funded Tenant-Based Assistance Programs</td>
<td>5,748</td>
<td>2,796</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Program</td>
<td>Unit Months Occupied/Leased</td>
<td>Planned</td>
<td>Actual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port-in Vouchers (not absorbed)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>324</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Projected and Actual Households Served</strong></td>
<td><strong>6,168</strong></td>
<td>3,562</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Sponsor-based assistance at Karluk Manor (46).
2 Empowering Choice Housing Program (174), Moving Home (14), and TBRA-Youth and ReEntry (70).

In May 2015, extended sponsor-based assistance from 35 to 46 units. Moving Home Program began initial leasing in January 2015.

In 2015, extended sponsor-based assistance from 35 to 46 units.

Moving Home Program began initial leasing in January 2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Households served through local non-traditional services only</th>
<th>Average Number of Households Served Per Month</th>
<th>Total Number of Households Served During the Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Avg – MHP (1); ECHP (180); TBRA (70); Karluk Manor (37)</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total - ECHP (272); Moving Home (5), TBRA (142); Karluk Manor (46)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B.2 Reporting Compliance with Statutory MTW Requirements

B.2.1 Seventy five (75) percent of families assisted are very low income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Number of Local, Non-Traditional MTW Households Assisted</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Local, Non-Traditional MTW Households with Incomes Below 50% of Area Median Income</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of Local, Non-Traditional MTW Households with Incomes Below 50% of Area Median Income</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B.2.2 Maintain Comparable Mix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family Size</th>
<th>Occupied Number of Public Housing Units by Household Size when PHA Entered MTW</th>
<th>Utilized Number of Section 8 Vouchers by Household Size when PHA Entered MTW</th>
<th>Non-MTW Adjustments to the Distribution of Household Sizes</th>
<th>Baseline Number of Household Sizes to be Maintained</th>
<th>Baseline Percentages of Family Sizes to be Maintained</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Person</td>
<td>442.00</td>
<td>2,041.00</td>
<td>-3.00</td>
<td>2,480.00</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Person</td>
<td>239.00</td>
<td>861.00</td>
<td>-16.00</td>
<td>1,084.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Person</td>
<td>225.00</td>
<td>650.00</td>
<td>-13.00</td>
<td>862.00</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Person</td>
<td>182.00</td>
<td>358.00</td>
<td>-14.00</td>
<td>526.00</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Person</td>
<td>103.00</td>
<td>201.00</td>
<td>-13.00</td>
<td>291.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6+ Person</td>
<td>89.00</td>
<td>199.00</td>
<td>-16.00</td>
<td>272.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,280.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,310.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>- 75.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>5,515.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Explanation for Baseline Adjustments to the Distribution of Household Sizes Utilized

AHFC entered MTW - data obtained from June 30, 2008.
In 2010, AHFC demolished 21 Public Housing buildings containing eight 2-bedroom units, 42 3-bedroom units, eight 4-bedroom units, and two 5-bedroom units. The appropriate family sizes have been deducted.
In 2014, AHFC demolished four Public Housing buildings on San Roberto Ave., Anchorage, containing ten 2-bedroom units and six 3-bedroom units. The appropriate family sizes have been deducted.

B.2.3 Mix of Family Sizes Served

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 Person</th>
<th>2 Person</th>
<th>3 Person</th>
<th>4 Person</th>
<th>5 Person</th>
<th>6+ Person</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentages of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household Sizes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to be Maintained</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of</td>
<td>2,680</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>748</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>5,512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households Served</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>by Family Size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>this Fiscal Year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentages of</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>101%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households Served</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>by Household Size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>this Fiscal Year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage Change</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>-14%</td>
<td>-10%</td>
<td>-6%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Justification and Explanation for Family Size Variations of Over 5% from the Baseline Percentages

AHFC feels that part of the change in the mix can be attributed to the specialty vouchers such as Empowering Choice, Moving Home, and TBRA. Moving Home and TBRA are largely single individuals. We also feel that Alaska’s aging population is causing a shift from larger families to single, elderly individuals.

B.3 Description of Any Issues Related to Leasing of Public Housing, Housing Choice Vouchers, or Local, Non-Traditional Units and Solutions at Fiscal Year End

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Program</th>
<th>Description of Leasing Issues and Solutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B.4 Number of Households Transitioned to Self-Sufficiency by Fiscal Year End

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity Name/#</th>
<th>Number of Households Transitioned</th>
<th>Agency Definition of Self Sufficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households Duplicated Across Activities/Definitions</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Total Number of Households Transitioned to Self Sufficiency</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AHFC’s Jumpstart Program (the expanded FSS program) was begun on November 1, 2015. Results for this metric will be in the FY2016 Report.

C. Wait List Information

C.1 Wait List Information at Fiscal Year End

As of 07/01/2015, AHFC had the following waiting list statistics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Program(s)</th>
<th>Wait List Type*</th>
<th>Number of Households on List**</th>
<th>Wait List Open, Partially Open or Closed***</th>
<th>Are There Plans to Open the Wait List During the Fiscal Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anchorage Housing Choice Voucher</td>
<td>Community-Wide</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>Partially Open</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchorage Public Housing</td>
<td>Community-Wide</td>
<td>1,116</td>
<td>Partially Open</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethel Public Housing</td>
<td>Community-Wide</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cordova Public Housing</td>
<td>Community-Wide</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairbanks Housing Choice Voucher</td>
<td>Community-Wide</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairbanks Public Housing</td>
<td>Community-Wide</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>Partially Open</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homer Housing Choice Voucher</td>
<td>Community-Wide</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juneau Housing Choice Voucher</td>
<td>Community-Wide</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juneau Public Housing</td>
<td>Community-Wide</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>Partially Open</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ketchikan Housing Choice Voucher</td>
<td>Community-Wide</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ketchikan Public Housing</td>
<td>Community-Wide</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kodiak Housing Choice Voucher</td>
<td>Community-Wide</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kodiak Public Housing</td>
<td>Community-Wide</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mat-Su Housing Choice Voucher</td>
<td>Community-Wide</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mat-Su Public Housing</td>
<td>Community-Wide</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Program(s)</td>
<td>Wait List Type*</td>
<td>Number of Households on List**</td>
<td>Wait List Open, Partially Open or Closed***</td>
<td>Are There Plans to Open the Wait List During the Fiscal Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nome Public Housing</td>
<td>Community-Wide</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petersburg Housing Choice Voucher</td>
<td>Community-Wide</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitka Housing Choice Voucher</td>
<td>Community-Wide</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitka Public Housing</td>
<td>Community-Wide</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>Partially Open</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soldotna Housing Choice Voucher</td>
<td>Community-Wide</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valdez Housing Choice Voucher</td>
<td>Community-Wide</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valdez Public Housing</td>
<td>Community-Wide</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrangell Housing Choice Voucher</td>
<td>Community-Wide</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrangell Public Housing</td>
<td>Community-Wide</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*** For Partially Open Wait Lists provide a description of the populations for which the waiting list is open.

All partially open waiting lists are for specific bedroom sizes, not populations.

Anchorage Housing Choice Voucher - AHFC opened the waiting list on July 1, 2015 and will run a lottery.

If Local, Non-Traditional Housing Program, please describe:

| N/A |

If Other Wait List Type, please describe:

| N/A |

If there are any changes to the organizational structure of the wait list or policy changes regarding the wait list, provide a narrative detailing these changes.

| N/A |

### III. PROPOSED MTW ACTIVITIES

All proposed activities that are granted approval by HUD are reported on in Section IV as “Approved Activities.”
IV. APPROVED MTW ACTIVITIES

These activities were approved by HUD in a prior year’s plan. Activities are identified by their activity number, the first four digits being the fiscal year the activity was first added to the plan.

A. Implemented Activities

2010-5 HQS Inspections

Description and Status
Establish an alternate HQS inspection schedule by allowing for biennial inspections. Allow inspections conducted by other AHFC HQS-qualified staff to serve as quality control inspections.

This activity was started with Numbered Memo 12-13 dated April 17, 2012. The new policy began May 1, 2012.

- AHFC has implemented a biennial schedule instead of annual HQS inspections.
- AHFC continues to ensure a unit passes HQS before it goes under a HAP contract.

Changes or Modifications
No changes to this activity during this fiscal year.

Metrics, Baselines, Benchmarks

CE #1: Agency Cost Savings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduce staff cost associated with annual HCV inspections</td>
<td>$155,312 (4,096 units as of 6/30/12)</td>
<td>$77,656 (reduce by 50 percent)</td>
<td>2013 - $79,514 2014 - $26,429 2015 - $114,062 savings (1,650 inspections)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AHFC is using an average staff cost of $25.00 per hour (2015 HPS II, Level 6) to determine agency cost.

CE #2: Staff Time Savings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduce staff time associated with annual HCV inspections</td>
<td>4,096 hours per year</td>
<td>2,048 hours per year</td>
<td>2013 – 2,097 hours 2014 – 1,394 hours 2015 – 1,650 hours</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The baseline is set based on the number of vouchers leased as of May 1, 2012 and allowing for one hour per inspection.
CE #3: Decrease in Error Rate of Task Execution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average error rate in completing a task as a percentage (decrease).</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AHFC did not have errors in the execution of the annual inspection process. All annual inspections were conducted as required.

Authorization
Attachment C, paragraph D.5 (no change) and paragraph D.7(d) (additional)

Regulation Citation
24 CFR 982.405

2010-6 HQS Inspections on AHFC Properties

Description and Status
Allow AHFC staff to inspect AHFC-owned units and determine rent reasonableness instead of paying a third party to conduct these inspections. This was created to reduce costs associated with voucher holders wanting to use an AHFC voucher in an AHFC-owned property.

This activity was implemented by staff by Numbered Memo 11-11 dated March 22, 2011. It became effective April 1, 2011.

Changes or Modifications
No changes to this activity during this fiscal year.

Metrics, Baselines, Benchmarks
CE #1: Agency Cost Savings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduce the cost of annual inspections at AHFC properties by contracted inspectors.</td>
<td>$150 per inspection or $12,000 per year for 80 HQS inspections on AHFC properties.</td>
<td>Save $12,000 per year</td>
<td>Savings (difference between staff cost &amp; contractor cost): 2011 - $3,250 2012 - $3,250 2013 - $2,130 2014 - $10,000 2015 - $7,250 (58 inspections)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AHFC is using an average staff cost of $25.00 per hour (2015 HPS II, Level 6) to determine agency cost.
CE #2: Staff Time Savings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total time to complete the task in staff hours (decrease).</td>
<td>80 hours</td>
<td>80 hours</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The baseline and benchmark were set based on the original number of AHFC-owned units with the potential to be leased by a voucher family. No time is expected to be saved in this activity as AHFC staff accompanied the third-party inspector at all inspections.

CE #3: Decrease in Error Rate of Task Execution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average error rate in completing a task as a percentage (decrease).</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As an AHFC staff member accompanied the inspector, there were no errors during the inspection process. As AHFC implemented this activity in 2011 and there are no longer any third-party inspectors, AHFC does not have any data to report.

Authorization
Attachment C, paragraph D.2.c and paragraph D.5 (no change)

Regulation Citation
24 CFR 982.507

2010-7 Project-Based Vouchers – Owner-Managed Waiting Lists

Description and Status
Owner management of site-based waiting lists for project-based vouchers. Owners are responsible for advertisement, collection of applications, application screening, maintaining a waiting list, and selecting applicants in the appropriate order when filling a vacant unit. AHFC continues to conduct all project-based voucher eligibility functions.

Policy for management of project-based vouchers was issued to staff with Numbered Memo 12-32 on August 21, 2012 with a start date of September 1, 2012.

- MainTree in Homer – 10 units – came on-line in March 2012.
- Loussac Place in Anchorage – 60 units – the first phase came on-line in July 2012.
- Susitna Square – pending September 1, 2015
- Ridgeline Terrace – pending January 1, 2016

Changes or Modifications
No changes to this activity during this fiscal year.
**Metrics, Baselines, Benchmarks**

**CE #1: Agency Cost Savings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total cost of task in dollars (decrease).</td>
<td>$300 (8 Issued)</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>2014 - $37.50 (1 failure) 2015 - $300 (8 new admissions)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AHFC anticipates that staff spends 1.5 hours per application to collect, post, maintain, and select an applicant family from a waiting list. AHFC used an average cost of $25.00 per hour (2015 HPS II, Level 6). AHFC is still responsible for the eligibility process and has not included that time or cost in this activity.

**CE #2: Staff Time Savings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decrease time to fill PBV units – Loussac Place</td>
<td>30 days per unit</td>
<td>15 days between referral and return back to owner or leasing</td>
<td>2012 – 7.9 days 2013 – 13.0 days 2014 – 12.50 days 2015 – 13.86 days</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease time to fill PBV units – Main Tree</td>
<td>30 days per unit</td>
<td>15 days between referral and return back to owner or leasing</td>
<td>2013 – 19.7 days 2014 – 26.33 days 2015 – 4.0 days</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An additional savings that cannot be calculated is the time it takes to interview families from an AHFC waiting list that would be rejected by an owner as not suitable for tenancy. Having an owner-managed waiting list insures that every family interviewed by AHFC is a successful candidate for tenancy.

**CE #3: Decrease in Error Rate of Task Execution**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average error rate in completing a task as a percentage (decrease).</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This activity is not designed to reduce staff errors with processing applications for a waiting list. This activity was designed to reduce the number of applicant families that would be approved by AHFC and then later rejected by an owner as unsuitable for tenancy.

**HC #3: Decrease in Wait List Time**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average applicant time on wait list in months (decrease).</td>
<td>770 days per applicant</td>
<td>Pending</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AHFC has never run a project-based voucher waiting list, so we don’t have any historical data for the time spent on this type of waiting list. We have chosen to use the average waiting list time for our 2- and 3-bedroom waiting list (average 770 days per application) in Anchorage as the baseline as those units tend to turn over faster than other units (average
The Benchmark will have to be measured by the property manager who is a third party.

**Authorization**
Attachment C, paragraph D.4 (no change)

**Regulation Citation**
24 CFR 983.251

---

### 2010-9 Prisoner Re-Entry

**Description and Status**
Develop a time-limited (two years), tenant-based assistance program targeting civilian re-entry of individuals released from the prison system. The purpose of this activity is to assist with the reduction of recidivism due to prisoner homelessness upon release from incarceration.

- **HOME Funding**
  Operational and staff costs are supported with MTW funds. AHFC has a fee-for-service for each housing unit month. These HOME administrative fees are booked as non-MTW revenue. AHFC is following HOME rules at 24 CFR 92 for tenant-based assistance. Family annual income is calculated using the rules at 24 CFR 5.630, and families meet HOME income eligibility limits.

- **MTW Funding**
  AHFC began talks with the Department of Corrections to begin a small pilot program in Anchorage using MTW block grant funds. The Memorandum of Understanding was not final as of the fiscal year end, but AHFC anticipates serving an initial population of 20 families. Family annual income is calculated using the rules at 24 CFR 5.630, and families meet Housing Choice Voucher income eligibility limits.

**Changes or Modifications**
The original benchmark was to serve 10 families per year. AHFC set a new benchmark of 20 families per year in 2010 as the eligibility criteria for families was expanded to include all families meeting State of Alaska Department of Corrections release criteria. Specifically, the requirement that parolees be persons with disabilities was eliminated.
Metrics, Baselines, Benchmarks

HC #1: Additional Units of Housing Made Available

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

A recent study conducted by the Department of Corrections (2015 Recidivism Reduction Plan, February 2015) found that the state of Alaska’s recidivism rate was highest during the first year after return to the community. Based on the recidivism rate in Alaska, only 70 out of the 210 persons in this program were expected to remain out of jail. Actual results show that 166 persons have remained in the community and have not been returned to jail.

Original Benchmark:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase housing choice for families who are typically homeless upon release from incarceration.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20 per year</td>
<td>2010 – 3, 2011 – 6</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Authorization


Regulation Citation

24 CFR 92.209

2010-10 Moving Home Program

Description and Status

This activity was formerly called Use of HCV Program for Persons with Disabilities. The Moving Home Program is a referral-based rental assistance program designed to enable persons with disabilities to rent affordable housing. Continuing operation of Moving Home is contingent upon available funding and continuing appropriations.

AHFC has signed a Memorandum of Agreement with the State of Alaska Department of Health and Social Services. For the purposes of the agreement, persons with a disability who are eligible for Moving Home will be very low-income households (less than 50 percent of Area Median Income) and will meet the criteria below:

- Be eligible for community-based, long-term services as provided through Medicaid waivers, Medicaid state plan options, state funded services, or other appropriate services related to the target population, and
• Meet the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development’s definition of a disabled family (24 CFR 5.403), or
• Be an Alaska Mental Health Trust Authority beneficiary
• Once an applicant family has leased, families are not required to maintain services in order to remain eligible for Moving Home continuing assistance.

This program is available in every community currently offering an AHFC Housing Choice Voucher Program. This program was approved by the AHFC Board of Directors on July 23, 2014 with Resolution 2014-40. The program was issued to staff under Numbered Memo 14-33 on December 1, 2014 and was effective on that date.

Changes or Modifications
This program began on December 1, 2014.

Metrics, Baselines, Benchmarks

**HC #1: Additional Units of Housing Made Available**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase housing opportunities for special needs populations.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>150 per year</td>
<td>2015 – 5 units</td>
<td>Pending</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Original Benchmarks**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase housing opportunities for special needs populations</td>
<td>37 families per year</td>
<td>37 families per year</td>
<td>As of 06/30/2013: QMV – 20 families leased ACMI – 11 families leased DIS-SW – 79 families leased</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The original QMV, ACMI, and DIS-SW program families were absorbed into AHFC’s Classic Program. The vouchers made available under this activity are in addition to these 110 families already served.

Authorization and Changes to Authorization
Attachment C, paragraph D.3 and D.4 (no change)

**2011-1 Simplification of Utility Allowance Schedules**

**Description and Status**
Combine existing multiple utility allowance tables into a single utility allowance table in Anchorage, Mat-Su, and Valdez. AHFC does not plan to change its evaluation methods of local utility providers when creating a new simplified table for each area identified above.

Implemented on February 1, 2011 with Numbered Memo 11-04. Monitoring of the combined forms continues.
Changes or Modifications
No changes to this activity during this fiscal year.

Metrics, Baselines, Benchmarks

CE #1: Agency Cost Savings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduce staff cost by decreasing the number of utility allowance schedules for Anchorage, Mat-Su, and Valdez</td>
<td>$1,400</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>2014 - $600 / 2015 - $600</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AHFC has assigned a value of $25.00 per hour (2015 HPS II, Level 6) to determine agency cost.

CE #2: Staff Time Savings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduce staff time by creating one schedule for Anchorage, Mat-Su, and Valdez</td>
<td>56 hours (8 hours per schedule)</td>
<td>24 hours</td>
<td>2012 - 24 hours / 2013 - 24 hours / 2014 - 24 hours / 2015 - 24 hours</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AHFC has calculated the baseline hours (seven schedules into three schedules) as follows:
- Three electric providers in Anchorage to one combined electric schedule
- Two unit type groupings in Mat-Su combined into one schedule
- Two unit type groupings in Valdez combined into one schedule

CE #3: Decrease in Error Rate of Task Execution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average error rate in completing a task as a percentage (decrease)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AHFC has set the baseline and benchmark to zero as this was implemented in 2012, and data is not available. Staff has noticed that participants are having an easier time with the leasing process by only having one utility sheet to use. Feedback from shoppers has been universally positive as many were confused by the multiple schedules and rates.

CE #5: Increase in Agency Rental Revenue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rental revenue in dollars (increase)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AHFC did not design this activity as a cost savings method, but rather as a simplification for ease of participant use. Staff noticed that paperwork turned in by families was incomplete or incorrect because they could not determine how to use the multiple utility schedules. AHFC feels that this is a revenue neutral activity.

Authorization
Attachment C, paragraph C.11 and D.2 (no change)
**Regulation Citation**
24 CFR 982.517

### 2011-2 Local Payment Standards

**Description and Status**
This activity proposes establishing payment standards that do not rely on HUD’s Fair Market Rents for AHFC housing choice voucher jurisdictions. AHFC will continue to examine each market on an annual basis to determine if the payment standard is appropriate. AHFC will also ensure that it establishes a payment standard that reflects, not leads, the market. As one of its tools, staff will use an annual, independent study conducted by AHFC’s Planning and Program Development Department in cooperation with the State of Alaska Department of Labor. This study surveys Alaska’s communities and landlords about its housing markets including vacancy rates, market conditions, rentals, and utilities. Staff will also continue to collect its own survey data on rentals in the local market.

Revised Payment Standards were developed and began on February 1, 2014 with the issuance of Numbered Memo 14-01.

**Changes or Modifications**
No changes to this activity during this fiscal year.

**Metrics, Baselines, Benchmarks**

#### CE #1: Agency Cost Savings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total cost of task in dollars (decrease)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AHFC anticipates that this will be a revenue neutral activity as staff will still survey local rental markets as well as consider additional rental market data gathered by the State of Alaska. AHFC will then compare that data to Fair Market Rents to determine an appropriate payment standard.

#### CE #2: Staff Time Savings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total time to complete the task in staff hours (decrease).</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AHFC anticipates that this will not impact time devoted to this task as staff will still survey local rental markets as well as consider additional rental market data gathered by the State of Alaska. AHFC will then compare that data to Fair Market Rents to determine an appropriate payment standard.
**Original Metric**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduce voucher turn-back rate to less than five (5) percent.</td>
<td>Currently, a 21.8 percent turn-back rate.</td>
<td>Less than five (5) percent for inadequate payment standard</td>
<td>New rates just began. No data yet.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Authorization**

Attachment C, paragraph D.2.a. (no change)

**Regulation Citation**

24 CFR 982.503.

### 2011-3 Project-Based Vouchers – Waiver of Tenant-Based Requirement

**Description and Status**

Waive the requirement to provide a tenant-based voucher to a family upon termination of project-based voucher assistance. The project-based voucher policy was approved by AHFC’s Board of Directors and implemented in 2011. AHFC monitors the turnover at project-based voucher developments.

**Changes or Modifications**

No changes to this activity during this fiscal year.

**Metrics, Baselines, Benchmarks**

#### CE #1: Agency Cost Savings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decrease cost to examine and brief families.</td>
<td>2.0 hours per family to examine and brief.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2012 - $0 2013 - Savings $683 2014 - Savings $400 2015 - Savings $500 (10 units)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Savings are based on a cost of $25.00 per hour (2015 HPS II, Level 6) with an average of eight (8) vacancies per year at current project-based voucher properties.

#### CE #2: Staff Time Savings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decrease staff time to examine and brief families.</td>
<td>2.0 hours per family to examine and brief.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2012 - 0 2013 - 16 hours 2014 - 16 hours 2015 - 20 hours (10 units)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Savings are based on an average of eight (8) vacancies per year at current project-based voucher properties.
Authorization
Attachment C, paragraph D.1 (no change)

Regulation Citation
24 CFR 983.205(2)(d), 983.257, and 983.260

2011-5 Project-Base Vouchers at AHFC Properties and Exceed 25 Percent Limit per Building

Description and Status
Allow AHFC to project-base vouchers (PBV) at market rental properties it owns and exceed the building cap in project-based voucher developments. This waiver was requested as part of the development of the replacement units at Loussac Manor. In accordance with recently developed PBV policy, rent to owner will be determined by an independent entity approved by HUD.

- **Loussac Place** contains 120 affordable housing units of which 60 are project-based vouchers in use. The vouchers are distributed throughout the bedroom sizes (one through four bedroom units) in a variety of buildings throughout the development. Based on the configuration of the development (townhouse-style units), it would have been impossible to successfully use project-based vouchers without this waiver. The units have been fully occupied since November 2012.
- **MainTree Apartments** contains 10 affordable housing units reserved for persons with developmental disabilities. The units have been fully occupied since 2012, and all are subsidized with a project-based voucher.
- **Susitna Square** contains 18 affordable housing units in three buildings. All units will be subsidized with project-based vouchers and were available for occupancy on September 1, 2015.
- **Ridgeline Terrace** will contain 70 affordable housing units in several buildings. Sixty-three units will have project-based voucher assistance attached and are anticipated to be available for occupancy on January 1, 2016.

Changes or Modifications
No changes to this activity during this fiscal year.

Metrics, Baselines, Benchmarks
HC #4: Displacement Prevention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of households at or below 80% AMI that would lose assistance or need to move</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(decrease). If units reach a specific type of household, give that type in this box.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AHFC will not be displacing any families; this will only impact those families that choose to no longer live at the project-based voucher development. New developments are trending
towards a townhouse-style of development with five or less units per building. The building cap limits the number of units that can be made available for families at 50 percent or less of area median income. AHFC wants to ensure that families have a wide variety of units from which to choose without worrying about the number of project-based vouchers in each building.

**Original Benchmark:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase the number of affordable housing units.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2012 – 10 units</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2013 – additional 60 units</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2014 – 4 additional units</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total – 74 units</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2012 – MainTree; 2013 – Loussac Place; 2014 – 1248 East 9th Avenue

**Authorization**

Attachment C, paragraphs D.1.e, paragraph D.7.a, and paragraph D.7.b (no change)

**Regulation Citation**

24 CFR 983.56

---

**2012-1 Raise HCV Maximum Family Contribution at Lease-Up to 50 Percent**

**Description and Status**

Waive HUD regulations at 24 CFR 982.508 which limit a family to paying no more than 40 percent of their adjusted monthly income toward their rental portion. With the implementation of 2014-1 Reasonable Rent and Family Self-Sufficiency Initiative, this activity was slightly modified to account for Step Program families that would be transitioning to a fixed HAP subsidy. Once on a fixed subsidy amount, these families will no longer be subject to a maximum family contribution if they decide to move.

This activity was implemented with Numbered Memo 12-09 on February 14, 2012 with a start date of February 16, 2012. This activity is included as part of AHFC’s reasonable rent plan (Activity 2014-1). Reference activity 2014-1h.

**Changes or Modifications**

AHFC will require those families on an income-based formula (Classic and Set Aside) to adhere to this maximum family contribution of 50 percent. Families that are on a fixed subsidy will not have a family maximum due to the automatic decrease in subsidy each year.
### Metrics, Baselines, Benchmarks

#### HC #5: Increase in Resident Mobility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of households able to move to a better unit and/or neighborhood of opportunity as a result of the activity (increase).</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2014 – 87.8 percent in better neighborhood 2015 – 87.6 percent in better neighborhood</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Alaska does not have any designated poverty zones, but does have neighborhoods with a concentration of lower rents. As of the date of this report, of the 2,194 families leased in Anchorage’s jurisdiction, 12.4 percent of families are leased in lower rent neighborhoods. AFHC does not have any baseline data as this measurement was added after the implementation of the activity.

**Original Metrics:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase the number of voucher clients able to lease due to increased income contribution from families.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No rejections due to units being more than 40 percent of income.</td>
<td>2012 – 24 Leased 2013 – 29 Leased 2014 – 25 Leased</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Authorization**

Attachment C, paragraph D.2.a. (no change)

**Regulation Citation**

24 CFR 982.508

---

### 2012-2 Nonpayment of Rent

**Description and Status**

Waive HUD regulations at 24 CFR 966.4(I)(3)(i)(A) that require AHFC to allow 14 days for tenants to cure nonpayment of rent. AHFC implemented its new Public Housing Program Residential Lease Agreement effective January 1, 2012 with Numbered Memo 12-03. The nonpayment of rent period was shortened to seven days to match the Alaska Landlord-Tenant Act. The new lease was offered to each family at their annual anniversary appointment.

**Changes or Modifications**

No changes to this activity during this fiscal year.

**Metrics, Baselines, Benchmarks**

AHFC began this activity on September 1, 2013 with Numbered Memo 13-36. A letter was sent to all public housing residents in July 2013 to remind them of their lease provision and
the new shortened period to pay their late rent. In addition, the grace period for payment of rent was extended to the 7th calendar day of each month.

**CE #1: Agency Cost Savings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total cost of task in dollars (decrease).</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AHFC does not expect to save any money as a result of this task. Staff must still perform the necessary tasks to process the eviction. We expect the savings to the agency to come from a lower balanced owed by tenants due to the shorter nonpayment of rent period.

**CE #2: Staff Time Savings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total time to complete the task in staff hours (decrease).</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AHFC anticipates that this will be a time neutral activity as staff must still process the same paperwork in order to evict a family.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Court-Ordered Evictions</th>
<th>Nonpayment Rent</th>
<th>Nonpayment Utilities</th>
<th>Good Cause</th>
<th>Avg. Days (Rent) to Request Eviction</th>
<th>Avg. Days (Remt) from NTQ to Vacate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>32.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>27.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Original Metric**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduce the amount of rent owed by vacated tenants</td>
<td>36 percent of annual vacated tenant debt is rent.</td>
<td>Reduce rent to 25 percent of annual vacated tenant debt</td>
<td>Tenant notification was in July 2013 with a start date is set for September 1, 2013.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Authorization**

Attachment C, paragraph C.9.b. (no change)

**Regulation Citation**

24 CFR 966.4(l)(3)

**2012-4 Sponsor-Based Rental Assistance Program, Karluk Manor**

**Description and Status**

Fund rental assistance outside Section 8 rules consistent with ‘broader uses of funds’ authority in Attachment D of the Agreement. Provide the funding equivalent for rental assistance of 35 project-based voucher units at a Housing First development, Karluk Manor. Karluk Manor’s 46 units are fully leased, and AHFC continues to monitor the funding requests each month.
Changes or Modifications
Prior to the end of FY2015, AHFC extended rental assistance to all 46 units at Karluk Manor. Records each month show that all individuals at Karluk Manor are income eligible under voucher income limits. Effective July 1, 2015, assistance was extended to all 46 units. Those units occupied by persons with Housing Choice Voucher assistance are excluded.

Metrics, Baselines, Benchmarks

**HC #1: Additional Units of Housing Made Available**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increased rental assistance made available to households at or below 50 percent of area median income.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35 units Increase to 46 units-7/1/15</td>
<td>2014 - 35 units 2015 - 40 units</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Original Metric**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serve 35 chronic homeless individuals with a physical or mental disability, substance abuse, or chronic health condition.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Fill 35 units each year</td>
<td>AHFC monitors the occupancy each month to ensure payment equivalent to 35 vouchers. For FY2013 average HAP per month is $20,115 or $575 per voucher per month. 35 units occupied each month.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Authorization and Changes to Authorization
Attachment D of the MTW Agreement signed January 30, 2012.

2013-1 Youth Aging Out of Foster Care

**Description and Status**
A time-limited (three years), tenant-based rental assistance program targeting youth ages 18 to 24 aging out of Alaskan foster care. The program serves direct referrals from the State of Alaska Department of Health and Social Services, Office of Children’s Services.

Due to the success of the TBRA Parolee/Probationer program with the Alaska Department of Corrections, AHFC partnered with the State of Alaska Office of Children’s Services to provide a similar program for youth aging out of foster care. A Memorandum of Agreement was executed in July 2012. The program began on November 1, 2012.

- HOME Investment Partnership Program funds pay for the monthly HAP for vouchers leased outside the Anchorage jurisdiction. Operational and staff costs are supported with MTW funds. AHFC has developed a fee-for-service for each housing unit month. These HOME administrative fees are booked as Non-MTW revenue. AHFC is following HOME rules at 24 CFR 92 for tenant-based assistance. Family annual income is calculated using the rules at 24 CFR 5.630.
The State of Alaska Department of Health and Social Services provides a small amount of funding to assist ten youth families in Anchorage. The number of families assisted each year is contingent upon available funding. For purposes of consistency and administrative efficiencies, family annual income is calculated using the rules at 24 CFR 5.630.

**Changes or Modifications**

No changes to this activity during this fiscal year.

**Metrics, Baselines, Benchmarks**

**HC #1: Additional Units of Housing Made Available**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serve 40 youth aging out of foster care through direct referral from the State of Alaska Office of Children’s Services</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40 per year</td>
<td>2013 – 15 leased; 6 shopping 2014 – 21 leased; 6 shopping 2015 – 17 leased; 3 shopping</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At this time, the Office of Children’s Services has only three representatives to provide the specialized case work needed to support these youth. We anticipate that as the program develops, additional youth will be housed.

**HC #3: Decrease in Wait List Time**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average applicant time on wait list in months (decrease).</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AHFC does not have any baseline or benchmark data for this metric as this was a population that was not traditionally served by AHFC in the past. The program was developed because AHFC felt that this population was not utilizing rental assistance and was becoming part of the homeless population.

**Authorization**

Attachment D of the MTW Agreement signed January 30, 2012 allows for “broader uses of funds.” AHFC will rely on that authority to use MTW block grant funds to partially offset administrative costs to support this HOME-funded activity.

**2013-2 Empowering Choice Housing Program (ECHP)**

**Description and Status**

In partnership with the State of Alaska Council on Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault and the Alaska Network on Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault (ANDVSA), a set aside of MTW vouchers to exclusively serve families displaced due to domestic violence and sexual assault. This is a time-limited (36 month) program for families referred directly from the ANDVSA member agency.
For those communities without a Voucher Program (Bethel, Cordova, Nome), AHFC continues to offer preferential placement on its Public Housing Program waiting lists for families displaced due to domestic violence. The ANDVSA member agency is responsible for referring those families.

This program is available in every community currently offering an AHFC Housing Choice Voucher Program. This proposal was approved by the AHFC Board of Directors with Resolution 2012-29 and begun on November 1, 2012 with Numbered Memo 12-42.

Changes or Modifications
No changes to this activity during this fiscal year.

Metrics, Baselines, Benchmarks
CE #4: Increase in Resources Leveraged

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amount of funds leveraged in dollars (increase).</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2013-$1.34 million 2014-$1.5 million 2015-$1.5 million</td>
<td>$3.84 million</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AHFC’s block grant HAP is supplemented by an additional appropriation from the State of Alaska to increase the number of ECHP vouchers available to families. These additional funds would not be available to AHFC for rental assistance without this program.

HC #1: Additional Units of Housing Made Available

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serve 100 families displaced due to domestic violence by referral from the Alaska Network on Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100 families per year</td>
<td>2013 – 57 leased; 38 shopping 2014 – 146 leased 2015 – 174 leased</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This program is responsible for leveraging additional funds from the State of Alaska to provide additional units of housing not previously available to AHFC through traditional federal funding.

HC #3: Decrease in Wait List Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average applicant time on wait list in months (decrease).</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2014 (Anch only) – 66 days 2015 (Anch only) – 30 days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AHFC does not have baseline data for the actual decrease in waiting list time. Also, AHFC does not maintain a waiting list for ECHP vouchers for voucher locations outside of Anchorage.
HC #5: Increase in Resident Mobility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of households able to move to a better unit and/or neighborhood of opportunity as a result of the activity (increase).</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>254 – all are eligible</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AHFC provides a waiver to families to move to any Alaskan voucher community upon issuance of a voucher to assist with safety issues.

Original Metric

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serve 150 families with monies provided by the State of Alaska.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>250 per year</td>
<td>As of 06/30/2013, 57 families were leased in nine voucher communities. An additional 38 were shopping.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serve 100 families with monies provided in AHFC's MTW Block Grant.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In June and July 2013, the average HAP decreased to approximately $765 per unit. As of the end of September 2013, HAP was averaging $716 per unit. AHFC and its partners anticipate an increase in the leasing rates for FY2014 to get closer to an increased leasing of 250 families.

Authorization
Attachment C, paragraph B.1.b.iv, paragraph D.2.d, and paragraph D.4. (no change)

2014-1 Reasonable Rent and Family Self-Sufficiency Initiative

Description and Status
This activity addresses the MTW Agreement requirement to establish a reasonable rent policy designed to encourage employment and self-sufficiency by participating families (MTW Agreement, Section III).

- Housing Choice Voucher – This activity was issued to staff on January 13, 2014 with Numbered Memo 14-01. New admission families began effective February 1, 2014; transitioning families began with annual examinations effective May 1, 2014 and later.
- Public Housing Program – This activity was issued to staff on April 21, 2014 with Numbered Memo 14-09. New admission families began effective May 1, 2014; transitioning families began with annual examinations effective August 1, 2014 and later.

Changes or Modifications
Further clarification of this activity was sent to HUD with amendments 1 and 2 to the FY2014 MTW Plan.
Metrics, Baselines, Benchmarks

Setting an income-based rent of 28.5 percent allows AHFC to break even in its first year of operation under the new model. Conservative estimates put annual HAP savings at approximately $1.5 million per year for the voucher program once families begin to transition from Year 2 to Year 3 (projected savings are based on AHFC paying 50 percent of the current payment standard).

CE #1: Agency Cost Savings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total cost of task in dollars (decrease).</td>
<td>February 2014 – 3,719 units leased at $635.14 per unit month</td>
<td>$0 in year 1 $1.5 million in year 2</td>
<td>June 2015 – 3,861 units leased at $628.59 per unit month</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CE #2: Staff Time Savings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total time to complete the task in staff hours (decrease).</td>
<td>1.0 hours per annual examination</td>
<td>0 hours in Year 1 0.5 hours in Year 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>pending</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The period February 2014 through July 2015 included a full examination of all public housing and housing choice voucher families as AHFC transitioned them to the rent reform model. No time was anticipated to be saved. Results from Year 2 examinations will be reported in the FY2016 Annual Report.

CE #3: Decrease in Error Rate of Task Execution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average error rate in completing a task as a percentage. This is based on internal quality assurance reviews.</td>
<td>HCV 2014 • New admission – 96% error free • Annuals – 95% error free</td>
<td>HCV • New admission – 98% error free • Annuals – 90% error free</td>
<td>HCV 2015 • New admission – 96% error free • Annuals – 96% error free</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit of Measurement</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Benchmark</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td>Benchmark Achieved?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| PH 2014             | • New admission – 95% error free  
• Annuals – 91% error free | PH       | • New admission – 98% error free  
• Annuals – 90% error free | PH 2015  
• New admission – 97% error free  
• Annuals – 92% error free | Yes |

### CE #5: Increase in Agency Rental Revenue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rental revenue in dollars (increase).</td>
<td>Rental revenue prior to implementation of the activity (in dollars).</td>
<td>Expected rental revenue after implementation of the activity (in dollars).</td>
<td>Actual rental revenue after implementation of the activity (in dollars).</td>
<td>Pending</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Setting an income-based rent of 28.5 percent allows AHFC to break even in its first year of operation under the new model. Conservative estimates put annual HAP savings at approximately $1.5 million per year for the voucher program once families begin to transition from Year 2 to Year 3 (projected savings are based on AHFC paying 50 percent of the current payment standard). Preliminary data will be available in the FY2016 Annual Report.

### SS #1: Increase in Household Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average earned income of households affected by this policy in dollars (increase).</td>
<td>Average earned income of households affected by this policy prior to implementation of the activity (in dollars).</td>
<td>Increase in number with income as well as average income.</td>
<td>Actual average earned income of households affected by this policy prior to implementation (in dollars).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Unit of Measurement | Baseline | Benchmark | Outcome | Benchmark Achieved?
---|---|---|---|---
2014 Own Business – 72 averaging $11,030 each Federal – 6 averaging $9,323 each PHA – 1 averaging $9,360 each Military – 12 averaging $8,350 each Wages – 1,449 averaging $20,054 each All Wages – 1,540 averaging $11,623 each | 2015 Own Business – 71 averaging $9,250 each Federal – 5 averaging $12,521 each PHA - $0 Military – 15 averaging $6,429 each Wages – 1,730 averaging $19,613 each All Wages – 1,821 averaging $9,563 each | Yes

With the implementation of AHFC’s expanded FSS program, Jumpstart, preliminary data will be available in the FY2016 Annual Report.

### SS #2: Increase in Household Savings

| Unit of Measurement | Baseline | Benchmark | Outcome | Benchmark Achieved? |
---|---|---|---|---
Average amount of savings/escrow of households affected by this policy in dollars (increase). | Average savings/escrow amount of households affected by this policy prior to implementation of the activity (in dollars). This number may be zero. | Expected average savings/escrow amount of households affected by this policy after implementation of the activity (in dollars). | Actual average savings/escrow amount of households affected by this policy after implementation of the activity (in dollars). | Pending

With the implementation of AHFC’s expanded FSS program, Jumpstart, preliminary data will be available in the FY2016 Annual Report.
SS #3: Increase in Positive Outcomes in Employment Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Report the following information separately for each category:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Head(s) of households in &lt;&lt;category name&gt;&gt; prior to implementation of the activity (number). This number may be zero.</td>
<td>Expected head(s) of households in &lt;&lt;category name&gt;&gt; after implementation of the activity (number).</td>
<td>Actual head(s) of households in &lt;&lt;category name&gt;&gt; after implementation of the activity (number).</td>
<td>Pending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Employed Full-Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Employed Part-Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Enrolled in an Educational Program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Enrolled in Job Training Program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) Unemployed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of total work-able households in &lt;&lt;category name&gt;&gt; prior to implementation of activity (percent). This number may be zero.</td>
<td>Expected percentage of total work-able households in &lt;&lt;category name&gt;&gt; after implementation of the activity (percent).</td>
<td>Actual percentage of total work-able households in &lt;&lt;category name&gt;&gt; after implementation of the activity (percent).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With the implementation of AHFC’s expanded FSS program, Jumpstart, preliminary data will be available in the FY2016 Annual Report.

SS #4: Households Removed from Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of households receiving TANF assistance (decrease).</td>
<td>Households receiving TANF prior to implementation of the activity</td>
<td>A reduction.</td>
<td>Actual households receiving TANF after implementation of the activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2014 – 318 families receiving an average of $7,864 each. Total $2,482,402.</td>
<td></td>
<td>2015 – 299 families receiving an average of $7,857 each. Total $2,349,380.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With the implementation of AHFC’s expanded FSS program, Jumpstart, preliminary data will be available in the FY2016 Annual Report.
### SS #5: Households Assisted by Services that Increase Self Sufficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of households receiving services aimed to increase self sufficiency (increase).</td>
<td>Households receiving self sufficiency services prior to implementation of the activity (number).</td>
<td>Expected number of households receiving self sufficiency services after implementation of the activity (number).</td>
<td>Actual number of households receiving self sufficiency services after implementation of the activity (number).</td>
<td>Pending</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With the implementation of AHFC’s expanded FSS program, Jumpstart, preliminary data will be available in the FY2016 Annual Report.

### SS #6: Reducing Per Unit Subsidy Costs for Participating Households

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average amount of Section 8 and/or 9 subsidy per household affected by this policy in dollars (decrease).</td>
<td>Average subsidy per household affected by this policy prior to implementation of the activity (in dollars).</td>
<td>A reduction</td>
<td>Actual average subsidy per household affected by this policy after implementation of the activity (in dollars).</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2014 – $635.14 per unit month</td>
<td>June 2015 – $628.59 per unit month</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With the implementation of AHFC’s expanded FSS program, Jumpstart, preliminary data will be available in the FY2016 Annual Report.

### SS #7: Increase in Agency Rental Revenue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PHA rental revenue in dollars (increase).</td>
<td>PHA rental revenue prior to implementation of the activity (in dollars).</td>
<td>Expected PHA rental revenue after implementation of the activity (in dollars).</td>
<td>Actual PHA rental revenue after implementation of the activity (in dollars).</td>
<td>Pending</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Setting an income-based rent of 28.5 percent allows AHFC to break even in its first year of operation under the new model. Conservative estimates put annual HAP savings at approximately $1.5 million per year for the voucher program once families begin to transition from Year 2 to Year 3 (projected savings are based on AHFC paying 50 percent of the current payment standard). Preliminary data will be available in the FY2016 Annual Report.
SS #8: Households Transitioned to Self Sufficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of households transitioned to self sufficiency (increase). The PHA may create one or more definitions for &quot;self sufficiency&quot; to use for this metric. Each time the PHA uses this metric, the &quot;Outcome&quot; number should also be provided in Section (II) Operating Information in the space provided.</td>
<td>Households transitioned to self sufficiency (&lt;&lt;PHA definition of self-sufficiency&gt;&gt; prior to implementation of the activity (number). This number may be zero.</td>
<td>Expected households transitioned to self sufficiency (&lt;&lt;PHA definition of self-sufficiency&gt;&gt;) after implementation of the activity (number).</td>
<td>Actual households transitioned to self sufficiency (&lt;&lt;PHA definition of self-sufficiency&gt;&gt;) after implementation of the activity (number).</td>
<td>Pending</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With the implementation of AHFC’s expanded FSS program, Jumpstart, preliminary data will be available in the FY2016 Annual Report.

Authorization
Attachment C, paragraph C.4 and paragraph C.11 (no change)
Attachment C, paragraph D.2 and paragraph D.3 (no change)

2014-1a Population Definitions

Description and Status
HUD definitions of Working Family, Disabled Family, Elderly Family, and Full-Time Student are currently provided at 24 CFR 5.403. AHFC is using the following definitions as part of its rent reform activity.

1. **Classic Program Family** is defined as 100 percent of adult household members are either a person with a disability (as defined in 24 CFR 5.403) or 62 years of age or older. These families may include a live-in aide (as defined in 24 CFR 5.403), minors, or full-time dependent students.

2. **Full-Time Student** is defined as a dependent adult under the age of 24 who is enrolled as a student at an institution of higher education and meets the school’s definition of full-time enrollment. AHFC will continue to disregard any income earned by an individual while full-time student status is maintained.

3. **Set Aside Program Family** – these are families using special purpose or direct referral vouchers which are using AHFC’s simplified income calculation method. This includes the Empowering Choice Housing Program, Moving Home Program, NonElderly Disabled Vouchers, Port-in Vouchers, Project-Based Vouchers, and Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing Vouchers..
4. **Step Program Family** is defined as any household that does not meet the definition of a Classic Program family.

**Changes or Modifications**
No changes to this activity during this fiscal year.

**Authorization**
Public Housing – Attachment C, paragraph C.2. (no change)
Housing Choice Voucher – Attachment C, paragraph D.4. (no change)

**Requested Regulation Waiver**
HUD definitions of Working Family, Disabled Family, Elderly Family, and Full-Time Student are currently provided at 24 CFR 5.403 and 24 CFR 5.612.

### 2014-1b Minimum Rent

**Description and Status**
This supporting activity is for the purpose of establishing a minimum rent in exception to HUD regulations. HUD regulations require AHFC to establish a minimum monthly rent for the Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher programs that does not exceed $50 per month. AHFC has set the following minimum rents as part of its rent reform activity.

1. **Classic Program family** – the minimum rent will be $25. This is lower than the current $50 minimum rent. Because AHFC is anticipating that these families will not have wage earners and will be subject to fixed income sources, staff felt that it was more reasonable to set a $25 rate. AHFC does not require a waiver for this proposal.

2. **Step Program family** – the minimum rent will be $100. Staff felt that this was a more reasonable minimum rent and prepares the family for the increase in their monthly rental obligation in year 2.

**Changes or Modifications**
No changes to this activity during this fiscal year.

**Authorization**
Public Housing – Attachment C, paragraph C.11. (no change)
Housing Choice Voucher – Attachment C, paragraph D.2.a. (no change)

**Requested Regulation Waiver**
HUD regulations at 24 CFR 5.630.

### 2014-1c Utility Reimbursement Payments

**Description and Status**
HUD regulations require AHFC to establish utility allowance schedules for each Voucher and Public Housing jurisdiction, to update those schedules annually, and to pay a utility reimbursement payment when the utility allowance exceeds the family contribution. This
supporting activity eliminates utility reimbursement payments for the Voucher and Public Housing programs. Families that may need an adjustment of their subsidy due to unusual or excessive utility requirements may ask for a hardship. See supporting activity 2014-1I for a discussion of the hardship policy.

**Changes or Modifications**
No changes to this activity during this fiscal year.

**Authorization**
Public Housing – Attachment C, paragraph C.11. (no change)
Housing Choice Voucher – Attachment C, paragraph D.2.a. (no change)

**Requested Regulation Waiver**
Housing Choice Voucher - HUD regulations at 24 CFR 982.517.
Both – HUD regulations at 24 CFR 5.632.

---

**2014-1d Jumpstart Program**

**Description and Status**
This activity was formerly called Family Self-Sufficiency Program. AHFC has operated a voluntary Family Self-Sufficiency Program since 1994. In order to meet the needs of families participating in the Step Program, AHFC proposes to expand its program to all its Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher jurisdictions, as well as increasing the number of families eligible to participate. This new FSS Program is called Jumpstart.

**Changes or Modifications**
This activity has changes proposed under AHFC’s FY2016 Moving to Work Plan as Amendment One.

**Authorization**
Attachment C, paragraph E. (no change)

**Requested Regulation Waiver**
Jumpstart is operated under the regulations at 24 CFR 984 and regulations at parts 5, 882, 887, 960, 966, and 982 (except where specifically exempted by this Plan). Requested waivers are:
- 24 CFR 984.103 – Definition of self-sufficiency; AHFC has developed its own definition
- 24 CFR 984.105 – Minimum program size; AHFC will be expanding the size and jurisdictions under the Jumpstart Program
- 24 CFR 984.202 – Program Coordinating Committee composition; AHFC will be establishing an alternate composition for this committee based on AHFC’s geographic challenges
• 24 CFR 984.203 – Family selection; AHFC has defined Jumpstart family selection priorities
• 24 CFR 984.303 – Contract of Participation; AHFC has developed two Agreements for its Jumpstart participants – Jumpstart Participation Agreement (Level 1) and Jumpstart Incentive Eligibility Agreement (Level 2)
• 24 CFR 984.303(a) – Signature of head of household; AHFC is adding a procedure for an alternate head of household
• 24 CFR 984.303(b)(2) – Independence from welfare assistance; AHFC is waiving this condition for fulfillment of a Jumpstart Agreement
• 24 CFR 984.303(b)(4) – Suitable employment; Any adult family member who signs the Agreement can fulfill this requirement.
• 24 CFR 984.303(c) – Contract term; the Jumpstart Agreement will coincide with the Step Program family’s subsidized housing term (this may be less than 5 years)
• 24 CFR 984.303(d) – Contract extension; AHFC Jumpstart staff may extend an Agreement at their discretion or if authorized by the Bridge Committee
• 24 CFR 984.303(d)(5)(iii) – Consequences of noncompliance; AHFC will not terminate a family’s rental assistance for failure to comply with their Agreement
• 24 CFR 984.303(g) – Completion; An Agreement is complete when the family has fulfilled all of its obligations under the Agreement and the family must be in good standing with AHFC the month they complete the Agreement
• 24 CFR 984.304 – Total tenant payment; AHFC will calculate total tenant payment in compliance with policy in its Housing Choice Voucher Administrative Plan and Public Housing Program Admissions and Occupancy Policy
• 24 CFR 984.305 – FSS Account; AHFC will not offer an FSS Account. AHFC has developed an alternate system of incentives
• 24 CFR 984.306 – Residency and portability requirements; families are not eligible to port Jumpstart participation. Families are not eligible to port FSS Program participation into AHFC’s jurisdiction. AHFC will not accept FSS Account balances from other PHAs. Jumpstart incentives must be earned while in an AHFC jurisdiction.

2014-1e Family Choice of Rent and Flat Rents
Description and Status
This supporting activity waives the annual requirement to offer a public housing family the choice of a flat or income-based rent. AHFC currently sets a contract rent rate for its Public Housing units. This contract rent replaces the flat rent. If a family’s income rises to a point where their required income-based contribution would exceed the contract rent, AHFC offers the family the contract rent.

Changes or Modifications
AHFC will ensure that it establishes a contract rent that reflects, not leads, the market. As one of its tools, staff will use an annual, independent study conducted by AHFC’s Planning and Program Development Department in cooperation with the State of Alaska Department of Labor (DOL). This study surveys Alaska’s communities and landlords about its housing markets including vacancy rates, market conditions, rentals, and utilities.
AHFC will continue to compare the fair market rent, current family rent contributions, local rental market vacancy and rental rates, and local advertising materials when selecting a reasonable contract rent. AHFC will also continue to add an affordability factor as these rents are meant for low-income families. As an internal control, AHFC will set its contract rents within 15 percent of the State of Alaska Department of Labor market survey rate.

**Authorization**
Attachment C, paragraph C.11. (no change)

**Requested Regulation Waiver**
HUD regulations at 24 CFR 960.253.

---

**2014-1f Ineligible Noncitizen Proration**

**Description and Status**
This supporting activity offers an alternate methodology for prorating the assistance available to families with ineligible noncitizen members. Current regulations require:

- Public Housing - AHFC must formulate a “maximum” subsidy each year and update it.
- Voucher - AHFC can give families an estimated figure of their prorated subsidy, but the final figure depends upon the gross rent of the unit rented.

Both procedures are administratively burdensome for the low numbers of ineligible noncitizens in AHFC’s portfolio. For a family with ineligible noncitizen members in the household, AHFC will deduct $50 from the family’s subsidy as long as the ineligible noncitizen members reside in the household.

**Changes or Modifications**
No changes to this activity during this fiscal year.

**Authorization**
Attachment C, paragraph C.4 and paragraph C.11. (no change)
Attachment C, paragraph D.2.a and D.3.a. (no change)

**Requested Regulation Waiver**
HUD regulations at 24 CFR 5.520.

---

**2014-1g Annual Recertification Requirement**

**Description and Status**
This supporting activity develops an alternate recertification schedule for families subject to rent reform activities. AHFC continues to require all families to report changes in family composition within ten business days. AHFC continues to pull the Enterprise Income Verification (EIV) report to track income and how the rent reform activity is affecting its clientele.
• **Classic Program** – these families are moved to a triennial (every three years) examination schedule. In the no examination years for Public Housing, AHFC will continue to verify household composition and certify compliance with community service obligations.

• **Step Program** – these families will receive an income examination at time of admission to determine eligibility under income limit guidelines and set their income-based rent for the first year. AHFC will not conduct any additional income verification processes unless the family requests a hardship. Each year, AHFC will discuss the EIV report with the family, and the family will self-certify to its accuracy. AHFC will report those figures on the 50058.

• **Set Aside Program** – these families will receive an income examination every year.

**Changes or Modifications**
No changes to this activity during this fiscal year.

**Authorization**
Public Housing - Attachment C, paragraph C.4 and paragraph C.11. (no change)
Housing Choice Voucher - Attachment C, paragraph D.1.c, D.2.a, and D.3.b. (no change)

**Requested Regulation Waiver**
Public Housing – HUD regulations at 24 CFR 960.257.
Housing Choice Voucher – HUD regulations at 24 CFR 982.516

---

### 2014-1h Annual and Adjusted Annual Income Calculation

**Description and Status**
This supporting activity develops an alternate methodology for calculating a family’s annual income. AHFC does not propose to deviate from the following regulations:

- Determination of income sources and which sources are included or excluded as part of a family’s annual income.
- Determination of asset sources and when an asset becomes annual income.
- Determination of when a welfare benefit reduction affects annual income.

AHFC has previously requested waivers for the following regulations and has absorbed them into this activity.

- Activity 2010-2 raising the asset threshold from $5,000 to $10,000. Now moved under supporting activity 2014-1j.
- Activity 2010-3 eliminating the Earned Income Disallowance program for persons with disabilities and families engaging in work activities. Now moved under supporting activity 2014-1k.

As part of this plan, AHFC is implementing the following waivers. Families that believe they will suffer a financial hardship due to the elimination of these allowances will be able to request a hardship (see supporting activity 2014-1l of this Plan).

- Elimination of the annual $400 allowance for an elderly/disabled family.
- Elimination of the allowance of $480 for each minor dependent in a household.
• Elimination of the medical allowance for out-of-pocket expenses for elderly/disabled families.
• Elimination of the handicap allowance for out-of-pocket expenses which allow a person with disabilities to engage in work activities.
• Elimination of the childcare allowance for out-of-pocket expenses for care of minors under the age of 13 to allow an adult household member to engage in work activities.

Changes or Modifications
No changes to this activity during this fiscal year.

Authorization
Attachment C, paragraph C.4 and paragraph C.11. (no change)
Attachment C, paragraph D.2.a and D.3.a. (no change)

Requested Regulation Waiver
Both Programs - HUD regulations at 24 CFR 5.611, 24 CFR 5.617, and 24 CFR 5.628
Public Housing - 24 CFR 960.225 and 24 CFR 966.4(b)(1)
Housing Choice Voucher – 24 CFR 982.503, 24 CFR 982.505, and 24 CFR 982.508

2014-1i Portability

Description and Status
This supporting activity changes AHFC’s Housing Choice Voucher Administrative Plan requirements that Step Program families must meet before allowing a family to port AHFC’s voucher to another housing authority’s jurisdiction. These changes will not impact current HUD regulations regarding portability for Nonelderly Disabled (NED) Vouchers or Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (VASH) Vouchers. AHFC will also continue to offer portability under current HUD regulations to all MTW tenant-based voucher holders that are classified as Classic Program families.

AHFC does not plan to make any changes to the rules governing port-in vouchers, except to streamline the calculation of family income as specified in Activity 2014-1h. AHFC will continue to enforce the regulations regarding nonresident applicants under 24 CFR 982.353(c). AHFC will also continue to enforce the regulations regarding income eligibility under 24 CFR 982.353(d). AHFC does not propose any changes to the regulations under 24 CFR 982.355 regarding administration by receiving PHAs.

AHFC proposes the following limitations for Step Program families seeking to port a voucher from AHFC’s jurisdiction.

• **Absorption by the Receiving PHA** – if a receiving PHA is absorbing vouchers, the Step Program family may port their tenant-based voucher if they meet the requirements under 24 CFR 982.353(b).

• **Reasonable Accommodation** – if a Step Program family needs to move their tenant-based voucher to another PHA’s jurisdiction in order to accommodate a family member with a disability, AHFC will allow those with appropriate documentation. The family must meet the requirements under 24 CFR 982.353(b).
- **VAWA Protections** – if a Step Program family needs to move their tenant-based voucher to another PHA’s jurisdiction in order to receive protections afforded under the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA), AHFC will allow those with appropriate documentation. The family must meet the requirements under 24 CFR 982.353(b).

**Changes or Modifications**
No changes to this activity during this fiscal year.

**Authorization**
Housing Choice Voucher - Attachment C, paragraph D.1.g. (no change)

**Requested Regulation Waiver**
Housing Choice Voucher – 24 CFR 982.353

---

### 2014-1j Income from Assets

**Description and Status**
AHFC allows a family to self-certify total family assets up to $10,000 and excludes the income generated from a family’s total assets when assets total less than $10,000.

This was implemented on October 26, 2009 with Numbered Memo 09-28.

**Changes or Modifications**
This was formerly numbered as activity 2010-2 and updated as part of the FY2016 Annual Plan. This was wrapped into Activity 2014-1 because it is part of the new AHFC rent calculation method.

**Previous Metrics, Baselines, Benchmarks**

#### CE #1: Agency Cost Savings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HCV - Decrease cost of performing asset verifications for small asset accounts</td>
<td>$9,432 - 2,985 asset transactions (as of 10/31/09)</td>
<td>$8,500 (reduce time by 10 percent)</td>
<td>2010 – 1,580 transactions 2011 – 182 transactions 2012 – 104 transactions</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH - Decrease cost of performing asset verifications for small asset accounts</td>
<td>$3,311 - 1,048 asset transactions (as of 10/31/09)</td>
<td>$2,980 (reduce time by 10 percent)</td>
<td>2010 – 771 transactions 2011 – 43 transactions 2012 – 53 transactions</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CE #2: Staff Time Savings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HCV - Decrease time spent performing asset verifications for small asset accounts</td>
<td>PH – 1,048 clients with assets entered (as of 10/31/2009)</td>
<td>87.33 staff hours</td>
<td>2010 – 64.25 hours 2011 – 3.58 hours 2012 – 4.42 hours</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH - Decrease time spent performing asset verifications for small asset accounts</td>
<td>HCV – 1,580 clients with assets entered (as of 10/31/2009)</td>
<td>248.75 staff hours</td>
<td>2010 – 131.67 hours 2011 – 15.17 hours 2012 – 8.67 hours</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Authorization
Attachment C, paragraphs C.11 and D.2.a. (no change)

Regulation Citation
24 CFR 5.609

2014-1k Earned Income Disallowance
Description and Status
Eliminate the Earned Income Disallowance (EID) and its associated tracking/paperwork times. Existing clients are allowed to finish the program.

This was implemented on October 26, 2009 with Numbered Memo 09-28. As of the FY2012 MTW Report, no enrollees remained.

Changes or Modifications
This was formerly numbered as activity 2010-3 and updated as part of the FY2016 Annual Plan. This was wrapped into Activity 2014-1 because methods for disregarding new earned family income were considered when developing the new AHFC rent calculation method.

Previous Metrics, Baselines, Benchmarks
In order to calculate a time savings, AHFC calculated that staff spent an average of 20 hours total per adult during an EID activity. It is difficult to provide a measure of actual time saved for an activity that does not occur.

AHFC did observe that once the disregard incentive was exhausted, individuals did not retain employment. A short-term incentive does not appear to encourage families to increase current earnings or secure long-term employment.
CE #1: Agency Cost Savings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HCV - Decrease the cost associated with EID calculations</td>
<td>$9,859 (13 clients)</td>
<td>$2,465 (reduce costs by 75 percent)</td>
<td>2010 - $1,517 2011 - $5,309 2012 - $0 2013 - $0 2014 - $0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH - Decrease the cost associated with EID calculations</td>
<td>$21,992 (29 clients)</td>
<td>$5,498 (reduce costs by 75 percent)</td>
<td>2010 - $6,067 2011 - $18,959 2012 - $0 2013 - $0 2014 - $0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CE #2: Staff Time Savings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HCV - Decrease staff time associated with EID calculations</td>
<td>260 hours (13 clients at 20 hours each)</td>
<td>65 hours (reduce times by 75 percent)</td>
<td>2010 - 40 hours 2011 - 140 hours 2012 - 0 hours 2013 - 0 hours 2014 - 0 hours</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH - Decrease staff time associated with EID calculations</td>
<td>580 hours (29 clients at 20 hours each)</td>
<td>145 hours (reduce times by 75 percent)</td>
<td>2010 - 160 hours 2011 - 500 hours 2012 - 0 hours 2013 - 0 hours 2014 - 0 hours</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Authorization
Attachment C, paragraphs C.11 and D.2.a. (no change)

Regulation Citation
24 CFR 5.617 and 960.255

2014-1i Hardship Policy and Process

Description and Status
As a Moving to Work agency, AHFC must develop a reasonable rent policy that encourages employment and self-sufficiency. AHFC refers to this policy as Rent Reform. As part of this overall rent reform, AHFC must also adopt a hardship policy to meet the individual needs of families that request a modification to, exemption from, or temporary waiver to:

- Family requirements under Moving to Work Activity 2014-1 Reasonable Rent and Family Self-Sufficiency; or
- A family’s requirement to pay a minimum rent under 24 CFR 5.630; or
- AHFC’s elimination of interim examinations under Moving to Work Activity 2014-1.
AHFC’s hardship policy is called the Bridge Process. The temporary hardship policy for families transitioning from the traditional rent calculation method to AHFC’s rent reform model had access to a one-time “Safety Net”. Each of these hardship policies is summarized below. AHFC continues to offer a Minimum Rent Exemption procedure for those families subject to the minimum rent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bridge Request</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2014 – 33 requests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistics</td>
<td></td>
<td>• 10 approved for Bridge Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• 23 did not meet qualifiers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2015 (through June 30) – 83 requests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• 24 approved for Bridge Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• 6 approved for rent change outside the Bridge Process due to disability or other circumstances</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safety Net</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>02/01/2014 through 06/30/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistics</td>
<td></td>
<td>• 170 safety net exceptions processed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Changes or Modifications**
This was formerly listed in the Appendix of the Annual Plan and updated as part of the FY2016 Annual Plan. This was wrapped into Activity 2014-1 as establishing a method for families to grieve an adverse impact due to a rent reform activity is an MTW Agreement obligation.

**Authorization**
Attachment C, paragraphs C.11 and D.2.a. (no change)

**Regulation Citation**
24 CFR 5.617 and 960.255

---

**2014-3 PBV Inspection Requirements**

**Description and Status**
For project-based voucher (PBV) developments, AHFC requires flexibility when determining the number of annual and quality control inspections. The number required may vary depending on the development configuration and number of PBV units.

AHFC is basing its initial and annual inspection requirement on the needs of each individual development. AHFC reserves the right to inspect any time it suspects that the owner is not in compliance with Housing Quality Standards (HQS) or if the fail rate reaches 20 percent at the development. AHFC will continue to investigate tenant complaints regarding the condition of a PBV unit. AHFC will also continue to conduct the initial property and unit inspections before entering into a HAP Contract for the development.
AHFC has an additional quality assurance process for those developments with PBV and Low Income Housing Tax Credit Programs, as AHFC’s Internal Audit Department conducts reviews of the property which includes unit inspections. AHFC’s quality assurance staff will review Internal Audit’s findings and consider those inspections as part of its inspection universe.

**Changes or Modifications**
No changes to this activity during this fiscal year.

**Metrics, Baselines, Benchmarks**
AHFC will measure the success of this activity by analyzing the number of failed inspections at PBV properties as a percentage of the inspections conducted in a particular period.

- The baseline is zero as PBV units are new (both developments were constructed in 2012) to AHFC’s portfolio.
- AHFC will count the number of inspections conducted during the period under review. AHFC will look at the number of failed inspections as a percentage of the total inspections at a particular development. AHFC will also examine the types and severity of fails to see if they are owner or tenant caused.
- AHFC will increase its inspection requirements if a property experiences more than a 20 percent fail rate for major fail items.

AHFC will examine its computer records to determine the number of move-in, annual, complaint, and quality assurance inspections at each PBV property. AHFC will also review the number of failed inspections, the types of fails (minor or major), and the owner’s responsiveness to the failed inspections.

### CE #1: Agency Cost Savings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total cost of task in dollars (decrease)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AHFC anticipates that this will be a revenue neutral activity as staff will still perform inspections whether it be voucher, audit, or quality assurance staff.

### CE #2: Staff Time Savings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total time to complete the task in staff hours (decrease)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AHFC anticipates that this activity will not result in time savings as staff will still perform inspections whether it be voucher, audit, or quality assurance staff.

### CE #3: Decrease in Error Rate of Task Execution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average error rate in completing a task as a percentage (decrease)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AHFC does not have errors for completion of annual or quality assurance inspections. All are completed as required, and AHFC does not anticipate that this will change.
Authorization
Attachment C, paragraph D.5 and paragraph D.7.d. (no change)

Requested Regulation Waiver
- HUD regulations at 24 CFR 983.103(c) for turnover inspection requirements.
- HUD regulations at 24 CFR 983.103(d)(1) for annual inspection random sample requirements.
- HUD regulations at 24 CFR 983.103(d)(2) for annual inspection failed unit inspection requirements.
- HUD regulations at 24 CFR 983.103(e)(2) for failed inspection follow-up requirements.

2014-4 Ridgeline Terrace and Susitna Square
Description and Status
This activity was formerly named Mountain View and San Roberto Development. AHFC has updated the name to match the newly named developments. AHFC will use its MTW funds and its development expertise to support affordable housing acquisition and development. AHFC will also pursue disposition and redevelopment of its current Public Housing portfolio through its subsidiary entity, Alaska Corporation for Affordable Housing (ACAH).

Construction of the new units and buildings continues at both Ridgeline Terrace and Susitna Square. Susitna Square was ready for occupancy September 1, 2015. All units at Ridgeline Terrace are scheduled to be ready for occupancy by January 1, 2016.

Changes or Modifications
No changes to this activity during this fiscal year.

Metrics, Baselines, Benchmarks
CE #4: Increase in Resources Leveraged

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amount of funds leveraged in dollars (increase)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$24.5 million</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Construction of these two developments would not have been possible without the flexibility provided under Moving to Work.
- Susitna Square – units were available for occupancy on September 1, 2015.
- Ridgeline Terrace – units will be available for occupancy on January 1, 2016.

HC #1: Additional Units of Housing Made Available

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Add new units of housing for seniors at or below 80 percent of area median income.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20 new units in Mountain View</td>
<td>Available on January 1, 2016</td>
<td>Pending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add new units of housing for families at or below 80 percent of area median income.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50 new units in Mountain View</td>
<td>Available on January 1, 2016</td>
<td>Pending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add new units of housing for families at or below 80 percent of area median income.</td>
<td>16 public housing family units on San Roberto Avenue</td>
<td>18 new units on San Roberto Avenue</td>
<td>15 units occupied as of 10/31/2015</td>
<td>Pending</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HC #2: Units of Housing Preserved**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of housing units preserved for households at or below 80% AMI that would otherwise not be available (increase). If units reach a specific type of household, give that type in this box.</td>
<td>16 units of family housing at 80 percent of area median income</td>
<td>16 units of family housing at 50 percent of area median income</td>
<td>15 units occupied as of 10/31/2015</td>
<td>Pending</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HC #5: Increase in Resident Mobility**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of households able to move to a better unit and/or neighborhood of opportunity as a result of the activity (increase).</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Available on January 1, 2016</td>
<td>Pending</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This data is for Ridgeline Terrace only. This is a new construction development consisting of 70 units; 20 units are reserved for elderly/disabled families and 50 units are reserved for families. These units will be a combination of project-based vouchers, tax credit, and market rate rental units.

**Authorization**


**Regulation Citation**

AHFC will follow the guidance set forth in PIH Notice 2011-45.
B. Not Yet Implemented Activities

2015-1 Modify Reasonable Rent Procedure for 5 Percent FMR Decrease

Description and Status
Current HUD regulations require a PHA to re-determine rent reasonableness for any unit under contract within 60 days of a five percent decrease in the Fair Market Rent (FMR). Under Moving to Work Activity 2011-2, Local Payment Standards, AHFC sets each voucher jurisdiction’s payment standard to respond to local market conditions. These are monitored annually and any changes of 5 percent or more in the local market require an adjustment of the payment standard. Payment standard evaluation and adjustment will not typically occur at the same time that HUD publishes revised FMRs.

AHFC will continue to evaluate rent reasonableness prior to signing any new HAP contracts for families that wish to move and for landlord rent increase requests. For those families that are renewing their HAP Contract for their current unit, AHFC would like to conduct rent reasonableness as part of each family’s regular examination process. The revised payment standard and rent reasonableness would coincide with the effective date of the family’s examination.

Reason for Hold
AHFC still plans to implement this waiver in its policy. We are anticipating that this will be ready for staff by February 1, 2016.

Implementation Plan and Timeline
Based on the current timeline, AHFC anticipates that this will be ready for implementation by February 1, 2016.

Changes or Modifications
No changes to this activity during this fiscal year.

Metrics
CE #1: Agency Cost Savings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total cost of task in dollars (decrease).</td>
<td>Cost of task prior to implementation of the activity (in dollars).</td>
<td>Expected cost of task after implementation of the activity (in dollars).</td>
<td>Actual cost of task after implementation of the activity (in dollars).</td>
<td>Pending</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preliminary data will be available in the FY2016 Annual Report.
**CE #2: Staff Time Savings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total time to complete the task in staff hours (decrease).</td>
<td>Total amount of staff time dedicated to the task prior to implementation of the activity (in hours).</td>
<td>Expected amount of total staff time dedicated to the task after implementation of the activity (in hours).</td>
<td>Actual amount of total staff time dedicated to the task after implementation of the activity (in hours).</td>
<td>Pending</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preliminary data will be available in the FY2016 Annual Report.

**CE #3: Decrease in Error Rate of Task Execution**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Benchmark Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average error rate in completing a task as a percentage (decrease).</td>
<td>Average error rate of task prior to implementation of the activity (percentage).</td>
<td>Expected average error rate of task after implementation of the activity (percentage).</td>
<td>Actual average error rate of task after implementation of the activity (percentage).</td>
<td>Pending</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preliminary data will be available in the FY2016 Annual Report.

**Authorization and Changes to Authorization**

Attachment C, paragraph D.2.c (no change)

**Regulation Citation**

24 CFR 982.507(a)(2)(ii)

**C. Activities on Hold**

**2010-11 Project-Based Voucher Assistance in Transitional Housing**

**Description and Status**

Project-base vouchers for no longer than 24 months in transitional housing that serves homeless populations. AHFC is serving part of the homeless population through its Prisoner Re-Entry (Activity 2010-9), Youth Aging Out of Foster Care (2013-1) and Empowering Choice Housing Program (2013-2).

**Reason for Hold**

AHFC has not pursued project-based vouchers in a transitional facility as AHFC has not had excess funds in its Voucher Program to fund these vouchers. Activity is still in development.

**Implementation Plan and Timeline**

None at this time. Once AHFC is able to operate its voucher program without a loss, AHFC will again explore this activity.
Changes or Modifications
No changes to this activity during this fiscal year.

Authorization and Changes to Authorization
Attachment C, paragraph B.4 (no change)

Regulation Citation
24 CFR 983.53

2010-13 Homeownership Program
Description and Status
Offer down payment assistance in lieu of a monthly HAP payment.

AHFC currently has 41 homeowners receiving assistance for homeownership under a HAP plan. AHFC suspended applications for this program in 2008, when administrative costs exceeded planned expenses. The Board of Directors approved the permanent closure on March 9, 2011.

Reason for Hold
Further development of this activity is tied to future leasing rates and available funds. Staff is also exploring the possibility of other funding sources that may be available to fund the down payment while using MTW funds to cover the administrative cost. Activity is on hold.

Implementation Plan and Timeline
None at this time. Once AHFC is able to operate its voucher program without a loss, AHFC will again explore this activity.

Changes or Modifications
No changes to this activity during this fiscal year.

Original Benchmarks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduce administrative costs of the homeownership program.</td>
<td>$6,250 per participant</td>
<td>$1,562 per participant</td>
<td>Lack of available funds has postponed implementation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Authorization and Changes to Authorization
Attachment C, paragraph D.8.a (no change)

Regulation Citation
24 CFR 982.625
D. Closed Out Activities

2010-1 Reexamination of Income
Description and Status
Transition elderly and disabled families on fixed income to a biennial examination schedule.

This activity was implemented by staff with Numbered Memo 10-45 on December 7, 2010. After comments from staff, AHFC implemented this for elderly/disabled Public Housing residents only with Numbered Memo 11-08 on January 27, 2011.

Reason for Closure and Year Closed
This activity is closed as AHFC’s reasonable rent plan implements an alternate annual family income calculation. This activity has been incorporated into MTW Activity 2014-1 Reasonable Rent and Family Self-Sufficiency Initiative. This activity is completed.

Metrics, Baselines, Benchmarks
Because this activity was changed from all elderly/disabled households to just Public Housing elderly/households, the original benchmark was revised.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of reexaminations a year</td>
<td>Zero</td>
<td>Reduction of 1,300 reexaminations a year</td>
<td>Modified in January 2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revised Metric</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Revised Benchmark</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staff time to perform annual examinations for a population on fixed income</td>
<td>Zero</td>
<td>Reduction of hours spent in reexamination of 100 percent elderly/disabled families.</td>
<td>462 families are 100 percent elder/disabled. This equates to a savings of 347 staff hours every year (1.5 hrs/exam x (462 ÷ 2) exams/yr.).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Authorization
Attachment C, paragraph C.4 (changed, HCV eliminated)

Regulation Citation
24 CFR 960.257

2010-4 Rent Simplification
Description and Status
Alternate rent structure. This activity began with non-MTW activity Interim Reexamination Policy and MTW activities 2010-2 and 2010-3. With the implementation of Activity 2014-1 Reasonable Rent and Family Self-Sufficiency, this activity is no longer needed. This activity is now closed.
Reason for Closure and Year Closed
This activity was closed in the FY2013 MTW Report for the period ending June 30, 2013.

Authorization and Changes to Authorization
Attachment C, paragraph C.11 and paragraph D.2.a (no change)

Regulation Citation
24 CFR 5.609

2010-8 Live-In Aides
Description and Status
Restructure the live-in aide program to coordinate with the state-funded agencies that provide most of the live-in aides for low-income Alaskans.

Reason for Closure and Year Closed
PIH Notice 2009-22 revised guidance issued in 2008-20. With issuance of revised guidance, the waiver was not needed. Activity completed.

Authorization and Changes to Authorization
Attachment C, paragraph D.4 (no change)

Regulation Citation
24 CFR 982.316

2010-12 Local Preferences
Description and Status
Remove a homeless or substandard housing preference from a family that refuses to accept an offer of one or more Public Housing units.

Reason for Closure and Year Closed
On July 1, 2012, AHFC altered its application process to remove the availability of preferences in favor of a list that is ranked by date and time of application. AHFC continues to honor those families who applied for a preference-based waiting list. Because AHFC is exhausting those lists, this activity is no longer necessary. This activity is closed.

Authorization and Changes to Authorization
Attachment C, paragraph C.2 (no change)

Regulation Citation
24 CFR 982.205
2010-14 AHFC Alternate Forms
Description and Status
Using HUD forms as a base, develop customized AHFC forms to coincide with MTW activities. All custom forms are forwarded to the MTW coordinator for review.

Reason for Closure and Year Closed
As suggested by HUD, this activity is closed with the publication of the FY2015 Annual Plan. AHFC will continue to develop forms for implementation of rent reform activities that are based on HUD forms and will identify those needed forms as part of each activity.

Changes or Modifications
No changes to this activity during this fiscal year.

Authorization and Changes to Authorization
Attachment C, paragraph D.1 (no change)

2011-4 Establish a Sponsor-Based Rental Assistance Program
Description and Status
Serve additional families through a program that mirrors the Voucher Program with savings from HAP efficiencies.

Reason for Closure and Year Closed
After advice from the MTW office in 2011, AHFC discovered this was a two-part process. As each opportunity is identified, AHFC will seek individual approval. This activity is closed.

Authorization and Changes to Authorization
Attachment D signed by HUD on January 30, 2012

2012-3 Waiver of Automatic Termination of HAP Contract
Description and Status
Waive HUD regulations at 24 CFR 982.455 that require AHFC automatically terminate a HAP contract 180 days after the last housing assistance payment to the owner.

Reason for Closure and Year Closed
With the implementation of Activity 2014-1 Reasonable Rent and Family Self-Sufficiency Initiative, AHFC has already time-limited its workable families. The remaining population, Classic Program families, consist of elderly and disabled families. These are the most vulnerable families, and AHFC does not wish to place restrictions on these families.

This activity is closed as part of the submission of the FY2016 Annual Plan.

Authorization and Changes to Authorization
Attachment C, paragraph D.1.a and paragraph D.2.d. (no change)
Regulation Citation
24 CFR 982.455 and language in the Housing Assistance Payments Contract, Part B, Section 4, Term of HAP Contract.

2013-3 Income Limits
Description and Status
In order to address community concerns about services to those most disadvantaged due to inadequate access to decent, safe, and sanitary housing, AHFC is proposing to lower its income limits to serve those populations most in need.

Reason for Closure and Year Closed
This activity has been incorporated into MTW Activity 2014-1 Reasonable Rent and Family Self-Sufficiency Initiative. With the implementation of time limits for work-able families and set asides for vulnerable populations, AHFC feels it has addressed the need for affordable housing for its poorest families.

Authorization and Changes to Authorization
Attachment C of the MTW Agreement, paragraph C.5 (Public Housing admission) (no change)
Attachment C of the MTW Agreement, paragraph D.3 (Housing Choice Voucher admission) (no change)

Regulation Citation
In the Moving to Work Agreement (Section II.D), AHFC agreed to ensure that at least 75 percent of families assisted are very low income (50 percent of area median income) families. AHFC continues to measure this compliance each year as part of its annual reporting process.

2014-2 Use of TIC Sheets for PBV Income Calculations
Description and Status
For project-based voucher (PBV) developments that also utilize Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) Program financing, AHFC would like to substitute the LIHTC Tenant Income Certification (TIC) for income and asset verification and determination of subsidy.

Reason for Closure and Year Closed
AHFC began talks with the operator for its project-based vouchers and discovered after further consultation that AHFC staff would prefer to mirror traditional Classic and Step Program calculations for ease of administration. AHFC will begin the process of converting its current traditional project-based voucher families to a streamlined rent calculation instead.

This activity is closed as part of the submission of the FY2016 Annual Plan.

Authorization and Changes to Authorization
Attachment C, paragraph D.2.a. and paragraph D.3. (no change)
Requested Regulation Waiver
HUD regulations at 24 CFR 983.2(c)(6)(ii) which refers to 24 CFR 982.516.

V. MTW SOURCES AND USES OF FUNDS

A. Actual Sources and Uses of MTW Funds
These have been submitted electronically to HUD.

AHFC has expended its Replacement Housing Factor (RHF) funds that were formerly included in the Appendix of this Report.

A.1 Activities that Used Only MTW Single Fund Flexibility
N/A

B. Local Asset Management Plan
Has the PHA allocated costs within statute during the plan year? Yes or No
Has the PHA implemented a local asset management plan (LAMP)? Yes or No
Has the PHA provided a LAMP in the appendix? Yes or No

C. Commitment of Unspent MTW Funds
N/A - per guidance given on the current HUD-50900, this section is not yet required.

VI. ADMINISTRATIVE

A. HUD Reviews, Audits, or Physical Inspection Issues
None.

B. PHA-Directed Evaluations of the Demonstration

B.1 External Auditors
AHFC’s 2015 fiscal year was audited by BDO USA, LLP. As part of this audit, a sampling of program files from the public housing, housing choice voucher, and multifamily housing program were selected for review. There were no significant findings as a result of this review.
B.2 Internal Auditors
AHFC's Internal Audit department conducted the following audits of Public Housing Program locations and programs:

- Anchorage – Housing Choice Voucher Program, Asset Management Property 274, S8N Multifamily Housing Properties Chugach View and Ptarmigan Park, Intake office functions
- Cordova – S8N Multifamily Housing Property Sunset View
- Fairbanks – Housing Choice Voucher Program, S8N Multifamily Housing Property Golden Towers
- Juneau – Housing Choice Voucher Program
- Central Office functions in Anchorage

B.3 Internal Quality Assurance
PHD Quality Assurance conducted the following independent reviews during this period.

- Bethel – Asset Management Property 257
- Cordova – Asset Management Property 216, S8N Multifamily Housing Property Sunset View
- Fairbanks – Asset Management Property 275, S8N Multifamily Housing Property Golden Towers
- Homer – Housing Choice Voucher Program
- Juneau – Asset Management Property 277
- Ketchikan – Housing Choice Voucher Program, Asset Management Property 279
- Kodiak – Housing Choice Voucher Program, Asset Management Property 265
- Nome – Asset Management Property 260
- Petersburg – Housing Choice Voucher Program
- Seward – S8N Multifamily Housing Property Glacier View
- Sitka – Housing Choice Voucher Program, Asset Management Property 280
- Soldotna – Housing Choice Voucher Program
- Valdez – Housing Choice Voucher Program, Asset Management Property 263
- Wasilla – Housing Choice Voucher Program, Asset Management Property 244
- Wrangell – Housing Choice Voucher Program, Asset Management Property 213, Market Property Etoolin Heights II

PHD Quality Assurance also conducted the following independent reviews of our partners:

- Homer – MainTree Apartments (project-based vouchers)
- Anchorage – Adelaide Apartments (S8 Moderate Rehabilitation SRO)
- Anchorage – Loussac Place (project-based vouchers)
- Anchorage – Karluk Manor (sponsor-based rental assistance)

B.4 Congressional Visit
In August 2015 AHFC received a site visit from four Congressional appropriations staff and two HUD staff. The Appropriations Liaison Division within the CFO Budget Office arranges site visits several times a year to various locations across the country. These visits provide
an opportunity to see how HUD programs are utilized on the ground. The visit was not an evaluation or an audit, but was an opportunity for appropriators to see whether communities could benefit from additional resources or streamlining of current available programs. It was also an opportunity for AHFC to highlight challenges we face when implementing HUD programs. In addition to visiting AHFC properties and staff, the visit also included various Indian Housing Authorities throughout the state of Alaska.

B.5 MOU with ISER
On July 23, 2015, AHFC signed a Memorandum of Understanding with the University of Alaska, Anchorage, Institute of Social and Economic Research (ISER) to conduct research on families participating in the Moving to Work Activity 2014-1 Reasonable Rent and Family Self-Sufficiency. ISER will research the social impacts, policy issues, and program evaluation related to the need for adequate housing in Alaska.

C. PHA Certification of Statutory Requirements
For FY2015, AHFC admitted 1,050 new families from the waiting lists. Of those:
- 767 (73 %) were extremely low income (30 percent of area median income)
- 261 (25 %) were very low income (50 percent of area median income)
- 22 (2 %) were low income (80 percent of area median income)

Alaska Housing Finance Corporation (AHFC) certifies:

At least 75 percent of the families assisted by the Agency are very low-income families;

We continue to assist substantially the same total number of eligible low-income families as would have been served had the amounts not been combined;

A comparable mix of families (by family size) is served, as would have been provided had the amounts not been used under the demonstration.

D. Appendix

D.1 Non-MTW Activities
AHFC submits these activities to its Board of Directors for approval as part of its overall Plan. These activities fall within current authority granted under HUD regulations and do not require HUD MTW approval.
1. Preliminary Development Activities

**Anchorage East**

**Boston Street**
AHFC demolished three units of Public Housing on Boston Street under a *de minimis* disposition process in FY2012. AHFC is working with the Municipality of Anchorage to construct a new complex of three to four Public Housing units that will be similar to the four-unit complex built at 1248 East 9th Avenue. That complex consists of four efficiency and one-bedroom units with an accessible unit in each bedroom size. AHFC is still in the planning process with the Municipality and is not currently showing these units as part of its Public Housing unit portfolio.

**Kodiak**
AHFC has been asked by members of the legislature about development options on a nine acre parcel AHFC owns on Kodiak Island. Since a recent increase in U.S. Coast Guard personnel, vacancy rates in Kodiak are less than one percent. The AHFC lot is steep and located on bedrock making utility upgrades expensive. No funding sources are currently available to pursue development of the site. If access to financing were available, AHFC would consider using its Faircloth subsidy to add additional, affordable units for low income families or seniors.

2. Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010N-7 Designated Housing</td>
<td>Designation of certain Public Housing buildings as elderly or disabled only</td>
<td>HUD approved the designation of 104 units at Chugach Manor as elderly. Sixteen units are reserved for persons with disabilities. HUD granted approval of the designated housing plan on May 10, 2011. Numbered Memo 12-10 dated February 24, 2012 with an effective date of March 1, 2012 issued waiting list and operational procedures for staff. Activity is ongoing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010N-8 Recognition of Outstanding Performance</td>
<td>Recognition of staff innovations and outstanding performance</td>
<td>Activity is ongoing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2011N-2 Utilize HUD’s Operating Subsidy-Only Regulation</strong></td>
<td>Use the “banked” units (Faircloth Amendment) under Annual Contribution Contract (ACC) and apply them to existing units in the market using a competitive procurement process</td>
<td>AHFC received legislative approval and formed its development subsidiary, Alaska Corporation for Affordable Housing (ACAH). AHFC continues to investigate available properties and possible development options. Activity is ongoing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2011N-3 Bond Financing for Rebuilding of the Anchorage San Roberto Properties</strong></td>
<td>Work with the Municipality on increased code enforcement and develop a strategy for assembling contiguous parcels for redevelopment to spur neighborhood revitalization</td>
<td>This activity has been accomplished. Please see Activity 2014-4 for more information on this project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2011N-6 Elder Housing Preference</strong></td>
<td>Mimic the policies governing the Section 8 Multifamily project-based assistance units that AHFC owns and operates to allow for an elderly super-preference, with a clause reserving at least ten percent of the units for younger, disabled households</td>
<td>AHFC is monitoring its use of the “super elderly” preference at its buildings in Fairbanks and Anchorage. AHFC will be investigating elder/disabled buildings in other communities to research if this option is appropriate for those communities. Activity is ongoing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2014N-1 Smoke-Free Housing</strong></td>
<td>To increase the quality of indoor air, reduce smoking-related fires, and reduce maintenance costs associated with units occupied by smokers, AHFC is proposing a “smoke-free” environment in all its units, buildings, and common areas.</td>
<td>AHFC has conducted several surveys of its residents, is securing and providing signage for designated smoking areas, and is reviewing HUD’s recently issued guidance on implementing smoke-free housing. AHFC also plans to submit comments in support of HUD’s smoke-free proposal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2014N-2 Electronic Fund Transfers for All HAP Distributions</strong></td>
<td>AHFC would like to pay 100 percent of its Housing Assistance Payment (HAP) distributions through direct deposit to vendor accounts.</td>
<td>AHFC has gradually transferred the majority of its vendors to an electronic payment for HAP. AHFC is in the process of personally contacting remaining vendors to convert those accounts.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>