

ATTACHMENT 1

**FISCAL YEAR 1998 ALLOCATIONS FOR SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR THE ELDERLY**  
**FISCAL YEAR 1998 SECTION 202 ALLOCATIONS**

TOTALS ADVANCE OFFICES	METROPOLITAN				NONMETROPOLITAN			
	CAPITAL ADVANCE AUTHORITY	UNITS	AUTHORITY	UNITS	CAPITAL ADVANCE	AUTHORITY	CAPITAL	UNITS
<b>BOSTON HUB</b>								
Boston	\$ 13,928,619	172	731,762	9		14,660,381		181
Hartford	6,942,385	86	405,792	5		7,348,177		91
Manchester	2,938,826	44	2,153,835	33		5,092,661		77
Providence	4,123,859	51	405,792	5		4,529,651		56
TOTAL	\$ 27,933,689	353	3,697,181	52		31,630,870		405
<b>NEW YORK HUB</b>								
New York	\$ 41,649,087	474	439,608	5		42,088,695		479
<b>BUFFALO HUB</b>								
Buffalo	\$ 10,037,944	132	1,939,433	25		11,977,377		157
<b>PHILADELPHIA HUB</b>								
Charleston	\$ 1,339,114	20	1,097,944	16		2,437,058		36
Newark	15,973,798	197	0	0		15,973,798		197
Pittsburgh	5,963,619	84	1,225,255	17		7,188,874		101
Philadelphia	13,091,151	166	1,602,997	20		14,694,148		186
TOTAL	\$ 36,367,682	467	3,926,196	53		40,293,878		520

**FISCAL YEAR 1998 ALLOCATIONS FOR SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR THE ELDERLY  
FISCAL YEAR 1998 SECTION 202 ALLOCATIONS**

OFFICES	METROPOLITAN		NONMETROPOLITAN		TOTALS	
	CAPITAL ADVANCE AUTHORITY	UNITS	CAPITAL ADVANCE AUTHORITY	UNITS	CAPITAL ADVANCE AUTHORITY	UNITS
<b>BALTIMORE HUB</b>						
Baltimore	\$ 5,081,750	72	696,420	10	5,778,170	82
Richmond	4,076,335	68	1,372,856	23	5,449,191	91
D.C.	<u>5,423,833</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>5,423,833</u>	<u>73</u>
<b>TOTAL</b>	\$ 14,581,918	213	2,069,276	33	16,651,194	246
<b>GREENSBORO HUB</b>						
Columbia	\$ 3,114,907	48	1,141,145	17	4,256,052	65
Greensboro	<u>6,014,615</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>2,773,050</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>8,787,665</u>	<u>116</u>
<b>TOTAL</b>	\$ 9,129,522	127	3,914,195	54	13,043,717	181
<b>ATLANTA HUB</b>						
Atlanta	\$ 4,619,633	77	2,061,585	34	6,681,218	111
San Juan	3,040,850	41	1,071,493	14	4,112,343	55
Louisville	<u>3,216,301</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>1,716,799</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>4,933,100</u>	<u>77</u>
Knoxville	2,147,040	38	643,568	11	2,790,608	49
Nashville	<u>3,065,606</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>1,088,217</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>4,153,823</u>	<u>72</u>
<b>TOTAL</b>	\$ 16,089,430	259	6,581,662	105	22,671,092	364

FISCAL YEAR 1998 ALLOCATIONS FOR SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR THE ELDERLY

FISCAL YEAR 1998 SECTION 202 ALLOCATIONS						
OFFICES	METROPOLITAN		NONMETROPOLITAN		TOTALS	
	CAPITAL ADVANCE AUTHORITY	UNITS	CAPITAL ADVANCE AUTHORITY	UNITS	CAPITAL ADVANCE AUTHORITY	UNITS
<b>JACKSONVILLE HUB</b>						
Jacksonville	\$ 14,631,792	231	925,540	15	15,557,332	246
Birmingham	3,441,641	58	1,429,361	24	4,871,002	82
Jackson	<u>1,129,454</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>1,639,704</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>2,769,158</u>	<u>49</u>
TOTAL	\$ 19,202,887	309	3,994,605	68	23,197,492	377
<b>CHICAGO HUB</b>						
Chicago	\$ 17,526,276	216	2,639,561	33	20,165,837	249
Indianapolis	<u>5,358,758</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>1,506,829</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>6,865,587</u>	<u>104</u>
TOTAL	\$ 22,885,034	297	4,146,390	56	27,031,424	353
<b>COLUMBUS HUB</b>						
Cincinnati	\$ 4,164,425	65	321,252	5	4,485,677	70
Cleveland	7,698,346	107	1,024,425	14	8,722,771	121
Columbus	<u>3,154,963</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>1,100,274</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>4,255,237</u>	<u>66</u>
TOTAL	\$ 15,017,734	221	2,445,951	36	17,463,685	257

FISCAL YEAR 1998 ALLOCATIONS FOR SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR THE ELDERLY

FISCAL YEAR 1998 SECTION 202 ALLOCATIONS						
OFFICES	METROPOLITAN		NONMETROPOLITAN		TOTALS	
	CAPITAL ADVANCE AUTHORITY	UNITS	CAPITAL ADVANCE AUTHORITY	UNITS	CAPITAL ADVANCE AUTHORITY	UNITS
<b>DETROIT HUB</b>						
Detroit	\$ 8,364,186	113	370,285	5	8,734,471	118
Grand Rapids	<u>2,831,506</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>1,122,203</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>3,953,709</u>	<u>63</u>
<b>TOTAL</b>	\$ 11,195,692	158	1,492,488	23	12,688,180	181
<b>MINNEAPOLIS HUB</b>						
Milwaukee	\$ 6,193,457	85	2,180,078	30	8,373,535	115
Minneapolis	<u>5,857,191</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>2,134,029</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>7,991,220</u>	<u>102</u>
<b>TOTAL</b>	\$ 12,050,648	160	4,314,107	57	16,364,755	217
<b>FT. WORTH HUB</b>						
Ft. Worth	\$ 5,972,570	102	1,793,110	30	7,765,680	132
Houston	3,854,289	65	699,560	12	4,553,849	77
Little Rock	<u>1,948,353</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>1,392,193</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>3,340,546</u>	<u>62</u>
New Orleans	3,785,765	66	913,775	16	4,699,540	82
San Antonio	<u>3,156,527</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>693,858</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>3,850,385</u>	<u>68</u>
<b>TOTAL</b>	\$ 18,717,504	325	5,492,496	96	24,210,000	421

FISCAL YEAR 1998 ALLOCATIONS FOR SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR THE ELDERLY

FISCAL YEAR 1998 SECTION 202 ALLOCATIONS					
METROPOLITAN			NONMETROPOLITAN		
TOTALS	CAPITAL ADVANCE		CAPITAL ADVANCE		CAPITAL ADVANCE
OFFICES	AUTHORITY	UNITS	AUTHORITY	UNITS	AUTHORITY
					UNITS
<b>KANSAS CITY HUB</b>					
Des Moines	\$ 2,323,760	38	1,679,447	27	4,003,207
Kansas City	3,998,922	62	1,686,002	27	5,684,924
Omaha	1,237,666	20	924,117	15	2,161,783
Oklahoma City	2,506,999	44	1,189,530	21	3,696,529
St. Louis	<u>4,326,741</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>1,429,173</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>5,755,914</u>
					<u>80</u>
<b>TOTAL</b>	\$ 14,394,088	224	6,908,269	110	21,302,357
					334
<b>DENVER HUB</b>					
Denver	\$ 6,803,572	102	2,339,655	38	9,143,227
					140
<b>SAN FRANCISCO HUB</b>					
Honolulu (Guam)	\$ 2,434,752	20	608,688	5	3,043,440
Phoenix	3,606,448	60	578,417	10	4,184,865
Sacramento	4,799,921	60	845,564	11	5,645,485
San Francisco	<u>14,187,613</u>	<u>175</u>	<u>823,829</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>15,011,442</u>
					<u>185</u>
<b>TOTAL</b>	\$ 25,028,734	315	2,856,498	36	27,885,232
					351

FISCAL YEAR 1998 ALLOCATIONS FOR SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR THE ELDERLY

FISCAL YEAR 1998 SECTION 202 ALLOCATIONS						
ADVANCE OFFICES	METROPOLITAN			NONMETROPOLITAN		
	AUTHORITY	UNITS	CAPITAL ADVANCE	AUTHORITY	UNITS	CAPITAL ADVANCE
<b>LOS ANGELES HUB</b>						
Los Angeles	28,051,923	350		400,720	5	28,452,643
<b>SEATTLE HUB</b>						
Anchorage	\$ 2,434,752	20		608,688	5	3,043,440
Portland	4,377,067	61		1,552,869	22	5,929,936
Seattle	6,088,705	80		1,239,291	16	7,327,996
TOTAL	\$ 12,900,524	161		3,400,848	43	16,301,372
<b>NATIONAL TOTAL</b>	<b>\$342,037,612</b>	<b>4,647</b>		<b>60,359,578</b>	<b>895</b>	<b>402,397,190</b>
						<b>5,542</b>

## ATTACHMENT 2

**Fiscal Year 1998 Allocations for Supportive Housing for Persons  
with Disabilities  
[Fiscal Year 1998 Section 811 Allocations]**

<b>Office</b>	<b>Capital Advance Authority</b>	<b>Units</b>
<b>Boston HUB:</b>		
Boston**	1,830,164	24
Hartford	1,341,593	17
Manchester	632,702	10
Providence	775,704	10
Total	4,580,163	61
<b>New York HUB:</b>		
New York	4,201,487	50
Total	4,201,487	50
<b>Buffalo HUB:</b>		
Buffalo	1,539,093	21
Total	1,539,093	21
<b>Philadelphia HUB:</b>		
Newark	2,332,929	30
Pittsburgh	1,375,826	20
Philadelphia	2,436,828	32
Charleston	1,027,837	16
Total	7,173,420	98
<b>Baltimore HUB:</b>		
Baltimore	1,235,651	18
Richmond	1,166,701	20
D.C.	1,311,197	19
Total	3,713,549	57

**Fiscal Year 1998 Allocations for Supportive Housing for Persons  
with Disabilities  
[Fiscal Year 1998 Section 811 Allocations]**

<b>Office</b>	<b>Capital Advance Authority</b>	<b>Units</b>
<b>Greensboro HUB:</b>		
Columbia	1,266,240	20
Greensboro	2,033,243	28
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,299,483</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>Atlanta HUB:</b>		
Atlanta	1,559,825	27
San Juan	1,474,968	21
Louisville	1,279,740	21
Knoxville	880,234	16
Nashville	969,444	18
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,164,211</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>Jacksonville HUB:</b>		
Jacksonville	2,857,268	47
Birmingham	1,312,196	23
Jackson	1,027,605	19
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,197,069</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>Chicago HUB:</b>		
Chicago	2,933,910	38
Indiana	1,436,832	23
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,370,742</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>Columbus HUB:</b>		
Cincinnati	999,946	16
Cleveland	1,652,626	24
Columbus	1,003,249	16
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,655,821</b>	<b>56</b>



**Fiscal Year 1998 Allocations for Supportive Housing for Persons  
with Disabilities  
[Fiscal Year 1998 Section 811 Allocations]**

Office	Capital Advance Authority	Units
<b>Detroit HUB:</b>		
Detroit	1,936,041	27
Grand Rapids	597,939	10
Total	2,533,980	37

**Fiscal Year 1998 Allocations for Supportive Housing for Persons  
with Disabilities  
[Fiscal Year 1998 Section 811 Allocations]**

<b>Office</b>	<b>Capital Advance Authority</b>	<b>Units</b>
<b>Minneapolis HUB:</b>		
Milwaukee	1,322,695	19
Minneapolis	1,291,346	17
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,614,041</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>Ft. Worth HUB:</b>		
Ft. Worth	1,682,494	30
Houston	1,220,144	21
Little Rock	905,754	18
New Orleans	1,235,594	22
San Antonio	1,099,397	20
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,143,383</b>	<b>111</b>
<b>Kansas City HUB:</b>		
Des Moines	591,474	10
Kansas City	1,189,668	20
Omaha	591,474	10
Oklahoma City	970,253	18
St. Louis	1,235,942	18
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,578,811</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>Denver HUB:</b>		
Denver	1,514,967	24
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,514,967</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>San Francisco HUB:</b>		
Honolulu (Guam)	1,163,556	10
Phoenix	1,019,473	18
Sacramento	766,008	10

**Fiscal Year 1998 Allocations for Supportive Housing for Persons  
with Disabilities  
[Fiscal Year 1998 Section 811 Allocations]**

<b>Office</b>	<b>Capital Advance Authority</b>	<b>Units</b>
San Francisco	2,319,414	30
Total	5,268,451	68
<b>Los Angeles HUB:</b>		
Los Angeles	4,137,246	54
Total	4,137,246	54
<b>Seattle HUB:</b>		
Alaska	1,163,556	10
Portland	1,188,282	18
Seattle	1,335,167	18
Total	3,687,005	46
<b>National Total</b>	<b>74,372,922</b>	<b>1,096</b>

**\*\* The amount for the Boston Office includes Capital Advance Authority of \$529,300 to fund Employment Options, Inc., Marlborough, Massachusetts. Since this 6-unit project was not selected in Fiscal Year 1997 by HUD error, this application will be funded from the Fiscal Year 1998 allocation to the Boston Office.**

SECTION 811 WORKSHOP INSTRUCTIONS

The local HUD Office will send a copy of the Funding Notification and information regarding the date, time and place of the workshop (ATTACHMENT 5) to the following:

- Disabled and minority media, and minority and other organizations involved in housing and community development within the Office's jurisdiction;
- Groups with a special interest in housing for persons with disabilities, including State and local disability agencies (e.g., Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities); State Independent Living Councils and Centers for Independent Living;
- The applicable State Single Point of Contact (Executive Order 12372) and Chief Executive Officers of appropriate units of State/local government in all instances where there is a Consolidated Plan.

In addition, the following must be notified, where feasible:

- Trade association journals;
- Associations representing persons with disabilities;
- State Agencies, such as Departments of Human Resources;
- Fair Housing Groups (the names and addresses of such organizations and groups shall be provided to the PC&R staff by the Equal Opportunity Division Directors).

FUNDING NOTIFICATION FOR FISCAL YEAR 1998  
SECTION 202 SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR THE ELDERLY  
CAPITAL ADVANCE PROGRAM

The Department of Housing and Urban Development will accept applications from private nonprofit organizations for rental or cooperative housing under the Section 202 Capital Advance Program for Supportive Housing for the Elderly subject to the following:

	<u>Units</u>	<u>Capital Advance</u>
METROPOLITAN AREA:	_____	\$ _____
NONMETROPOLITAN AREA:	_____	_____

This represents the funding available for the \_\_\_\_\_ Office. The minimum number of units per application is 5 and the maximum number is 125\* (including the manager's unit). Applicants submitting applications for units in either of the areas identified above may not request more units than advertised for the specific area (metropolitan or nonmetropolitan).

Appropriate filing information is contained in an Application Kit which may be obtained from the SuperNOFA Information Center at 1-800-HUD-8929 (TDD: 1-800-483-2209); or from \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ (HUD Office Address) \_\_\_\_\_; or on the Internet by accessing the HUD Homepage at <http://www.hud.gov>.

This office will conduct a workshop on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) \_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_ (time) \_\_\_\_\_ for interested applicants to explain the Section 202 program, to distribute Application Kits and to discuss application procedures. The facility for the workshop is accessible to individuals with disabilities. The VOICE/TDD telephone number is \_\_\_\_\_.

THE DEADLINE DATE FOR THE SUBMISSION OF APPLICATIONS IS JULY 7, 1998.

\* If your office's allocation is less than 125 units, then insert that number instead of 125.

FUNDING NOTIFICATION FOR FISCAL YEAR 1998  
SECTION 811 SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES  
CAPITAL ADVANCE PROGRAM

The Department of Housing and Urban Development will accept applications from nonprofit organizations for rental or cooperative housing under the Section 811 Capital Advance Program for Supportive Housing for Persons with Disabilities subject to the following:

<u>Units</u>	<u>Capital Advance</u>
_____	\$ _____

This represents the funding available for the \_\_\_\_\_ Office. Applicants must not request more units than available.

Appropriate filing information is contained in an Application Kit which may be obtained from the SuperNOFA Information Center at 1-800-HUD-8929 (TDD-1-800-483-2209); or from \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
(HUD Office Address) \_\_\_\_\_; or on the Internet by HUD Homepage at <http://www.hud.gov>.

This office will conduct a workshop on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) at \_\_\_\_\_ (time) for interested applicants to explain the Section 811 program, to distribute Application Kits and to discuss application procedures. The facility for the workshop is accessible to individuals with disabilities. The VOICE/TDD telephone number is \_\_\_\_\_.

THE DEADLINE DATE FOR THE SUBMISSION OF APPLICATIONS IS JULY 7, 1998.

Fiscal Year 1998 Policy for Section 202 and Section 811  
Applications Processing and Selections

The modifications outlined below eliminate the need for technical review documents being forwarded to Headquarters for review.

Separate selection lists, lists of unfunded but approvable applications and lists of applications that received base scores below 60 for the Section 202 and Section 811 programs are still to be submitted to Headquarters prior to completion of the selection and announcement process.

Residual funds not used by Multifamily Hubs for each program shall be identified in the transmittal memorandum to accompany the above lists. These funds will be recaptured by Headquarters and will be used to restore units, where possible, to projects that had units reduced in order to be selected and to fund additional applications based on a national rank order.

Headquarters will coordinate Congressional notification of selected applicants with the Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations based upon Congressional Notification Memoranda completed by HUD Offices. See Attachment 7 for current Congressional Notification Memorandum format.

Responsibility for notifying State Points of Contact of nonaccommodations has been transferred from Headquarters to the local HUD Offices.

**REVISED REVIEW, RATING AND SELECTION PROCEDURES**

The following revised review, rating and selection procedures are to be used in place of Paragraphs 3-51 through 3-58 of Handbooks 4571.3 REV-1 and 4571.2.

A. Considerations Prior to Forwarding Applications to the Rating Panel.

1. Applications found unapprovable during technical processing cannot be rated or considered by the Rating Panel. NOTE: Sponsors whose applications were found technically unapprovable must be promptly notified when all technical reviews are complete. The letters shall be sent by certified mail and shall innumerate all reasons for technical rejection. Sponsors shall have 14 days from the date of the letter to appeal the rejection.

2. The selection process cannot take place until after receipt of comments from both the State Single Point of Contact or upon expiration of the comment period, whichever occurs first.
  3. HUD Offices should alert the Rating Panel of any applications with adverse State comments.
  4. The Environmental Assessment and Compliance Findings for the Related Laws Form (Form 4128) must be completed for applications with satisfactory evidence of site control, all compliance findings made, including any Finding of No Significant Impact, and properly executed by the before technical processing can be completed. For projects that required the WRC 8-Step procedure (Floodplain-Wetlands), the Form 4128 should indicate that Steps 1 through 6 have been completed, documentation attached. Also, if the application does not include the letter from the SHPO indicating that the site has not historic significance the applicable determination under Historic Preservation procedures must be made and documented by HUD Office staff. After completion of technical processing, the Form 4128 must be executed by the Multifamily Director and attached to the Valuation Technical Processing and Review Findings Memorandum.
  5. HUD Offices should have initiated the eight-step process for sites located in the 100-year floodplain prior to submission to the Rating Panel. The first six steps must be completed prior to submission to Headquarters.
- B. Notification of Technical Rejection. Upon completion of technical processing, a marked-up copy of the Application Log shall be sent to Headquarters, Attention: Office of Business Products, Room 6138, noting each technical reject application.
- C. Determining Approvable Applications.
1. Establishing the Rating Panel. The HUD Office will convene a Rating Panel to assure each Section 202 and Section 811 application is approvable and to rate the approvable applications.
  2. Composition of Panel. The Panel will include the Project Manager and staff from the following Technical Disciplines:
    - a. Valuation
    - b. Architectural and Engineering



- c. Economic and Market Analysis
  - d. Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity
  - e. Community Planning and Development
3. Area of Competition (Section 202 Only). All metropolitan applications will compete against each other and all nonmetropolitan applications will compete against each other within each local HUD Office's jurisdiction.
  4. Review for Consistency. If the Supervisory Project Manager's review reveals that a particular Technical Discipline's review comments have violated or are inconsistent with any outstanding instructions, the Manager shall take corrective action prior to making selections. Such items should be noted and maintained in the application file.
  5. Recommended Scores. Based on the findings from the Technical Processing Review and Findings Memoranda, the Panel will assign recommended points for each of the rating criteria and Rating Factors on the appropriate Standard Rating Criteria Form (Attachment 12 for 202, Attachment 13 for 811).
  6. Rank Order. All approvable applications are to be placed in rank order.
- D. Selection of Applications. A Panel shall be convened to select applications according to the following process:
1. Descending Order. Applications shall be selected in descending order which most reasonably approximate the number of units and capital advance authority allocated to each HUD Office without skipping over a higher rated application. For Section 202, this process must be done separately for the metropolitan and nonmetropolitan categories.
  2. Units and Dollars Control. The number of units and dollars stated in the NOFA and this Notice controls. Therefore, a HUD Office may not select more units nor approve more funds than it was allocated.
  3. Minimum Score. Only those applications that receive a score of 60 base points or above may be considered for selection. (The base score does not include bonus points.)

NOTE: In no case may applications with technical deficiencies (e.g., ineligible Sponsor,

missing or unsatisfactory Supportive Services Certification (Section 811), be considered by HUD Office panels, or included on the lists described in E. 1. and 2. below.

4. Residual Funds. After making the initial selections, any residual funds may be utilized to fund the next rank-ordered application by reducing the units by no more than 10 percent rounded to the nearest whole number; provided the reduction will not render the project infeasible. Applications proposing 9 units or less may not be reduced. For Section 202, the HUD Office may then combine its unused metropolitan and nonmetropolitan funds in order to select the next ranked application in either category, using the unit reduction policy, if necessary.
5. Approvable but Unfunded Applications. After the above process has been completed, HUD Offices must identify all unfunded but otherwise approvable applications.
6. Multifamily Hub's Use of Residual Funds. After the HUD Offices within each Hub have funded all possible projects for the Section 202 and Section 811 programs, the residual funds will be combined within each program (for Section 202, metropolitan and nonmetropolitan funds are to be combined). These funds will first be used to restore units to projects reduced by HUD Offices. Then, additional applications within each Multifamily Hub will be selected in rank order with no more than one application selected per HUD Office unless there are insufficient approvable applications in other HUD Offices within the Multifamily Hub. This process will continue until there are no more approvable applications within the Multifamily Hub that can be selected with the remaining funds. If necessary, any remaining residual funds may be used to fund the next rank-ordered application by reducing the number of units by no more than 10 percent, rounded to the nearest whole number, provided the reduction will not render the project infeasible. HUD will not reduce the number of units in projects of nine units or less.
7. Headquarters' Use of Residual Funds. Headquarters will use residual funds first to restore units to projects that were reduced by HUD Offices and/or Multifamily Hubs (with the exception that in the Section 202 program the residual funds will be used first to fund a FY 1996 application submitted by AHEPA which was not selected due to HUD error) and, second, for selecting additional applications on a national rank order. However, no more than one application will be selected

