

Appendix H. IDEAS Program Operating Guide

1. General Procedures.

The basic process for administering the IDEAS Program is fairly simple.

The suggestion is submitted to the Ideas Officer or designee. It is reviewed for acceptability, entered into a tracking system, and sent to an appropriate official for evaluation. If it is adopted, the IDEAS Program staff review the cost/benefit estimate, determine job relatedness, and recommend an award. The IDEAS Program staff complete the necessary paperwork, and the appropriate approving authority approves the award. The award is presented to the suggester at an official recognition ceremony. If the Idea is rejected, the suggester is notified of that determination, and a copy of the decision memorandum is placed in the suggester's Official Personnel File.

2. Processing Times.

A. Total Time. Ideas should be processed from receipt to notification to adopt or reject within an average of 60 working days.

B. Initial Processing. Acceptable Ideas should be sent for evaluation within an average of 3 working days from receipt.

C. Evaluation. Decision Officials will be given 30 calendar days to complete their reviews.

(1) Normally, extensions will not be approved unless the Decision Official finds some merit in the Idea and believes there is a possibility it will be adopted.

3. Acceptance.

A. Acknowledgment. When a suggestion is received, the IDEAS Program staff will date it and insert the Idea tracking number. Then they will pull the last page of the Submission Form (acknowledgment), add the name of the IDEAS Program staff member who can be contacted for additional information, and send it to the suggester.

B. Criteria for Acceptance. IDEAS Program staff will review the suggestion carefully prior to acceptance,

2191.1 REV-1

using the policy guide in Section 5.2, Figure 2 of this handbook.

(1) Ideas that have not been developed fully or presented clearly will be returned with an explanation.

(2) If the suggestion appears to have possibilities for significant savings or benefits, the IDEAS Program staff may offer assistance in developing it.

4. Tracking.

A. Tracking Number. Each Idea will be assigned a tracking number. For Ideas submitted in the Field, an eleven-digit number (counting a period) will be assigned. The tracking number for Headquarters Ideas will be six digits long. The tracking number is assigned as follows:

(1) For Field Ideas, the first five spaces indicate the originating office. The first two digits indicate the Region. They are followed by a period and then two more digits which indicate the originating office within the Region. For example, an Idea originating in the Manchester Field Office would be assigned a tracking number beginning with 01.02. Headquarters tracking numbers will not have these first five places;

(2) The next two digits for Field Ideas (and the first two digits for Headquarters Ideas) indicate the fiscal year during which the Idea was received;

(3) The following three digits indicate the sequence in which the Idea was received in the originating office (e.g., the first Idea received in a fiscal year will be assigned the number 001);

(4) The final digit indicates whether the Idea is on its first review (0) or is a reconsideration (1); and

(5) Each series of digits is separated by hyphens. An example of a Field Idea tracking number, then, is 10.03-88-001-0. That number indicates that the Idea came from the Portland Field Office in Region X in FY 1988, that it was the first suggestion submitted during that fiscal year, and that it is being reviewed for the first time. An example of a Headquarters Idea tracking number is 88-003-1. That number indicates

that the Idea was submitted in Headquarters during fiscal year 1988, that it was the third Idea received during that fiscal year, and that it is being reconsidered.

B. Tracking System.

(1) In Headquarters, information about the Idea will be entered into the automated tracking system. Regional

Ideas Officers will establish either automated or manual tracking systems which should capture all essential information (suggested data elements are defined in Appendix I).

(2) Data will be entered as the Idea passes through each step in processing. The tracking system, whether automated or manual, must be updated regularly.

C. Tracking Followup.

(1) The Departmental Ideas Officer will give regular status reports on all open Ideas to the Director, OPMI, and to all Decision Officials with responsibility for open Ideas.

(2) The Regional Ideas Officers will give regular status reports on all open Ideas to the Regional Directors of Administration and to all Decision Officials with responsibility for open Ideas.

(3) The Departmental Ideas Officer will produce regular management reports showing the status of Ideas submitted by the Regional IDEAS Program Officers, sending copies to the Regions. Regional IDEAS Program Officers will monitor these reports and follow up as appropriate.

(4) The Departmental Ideas Officer should be prepared to supply statistics about Ideas processing (e.g., number received, number adopted, etc.) at the request of the Director, OPMI, or the Assistant Secretary for Administration. Likewise, Regional Ideas Officers should be prepared to supply statistics about their processing efforts at the request of the DOAs or the Departmental Ideas Officer.

D. Status Reports. IDEAS Program staff should contact suggesters regularly to update them on the status of their Ideas. The Regional IDEAS Program staff will update Regional and Field suggesters on the status of Ideas referred to Headquarters.

5. Evaluation.

A. Decision Official. The Decision Official will be at least an Office Director in Headquarters or a Field Office Manager or Regional Director in the Field who is identified as having the primary or sole authority to

2191.1 REV-1

implement the suggestion. A suggester cannot be the Decision Official for his/her Idea. Typically, the IDEAS Program staff will identify only one Decision Official for

each Idea. In Headquarters, Ideas may be routed through the manager of the program administrative office, depending on the preference of the Assistant Secretary.

B. Transmittal. The IDEAS Program staff will send to the Decision Official:

(1) A copy of the suggestion, including any attachments; and

(2) An Evaluation Form (HUD-20000B).

C. Anonymous Ideas. If the suggester has indicated that he/she prefers to remain anonymous, only the lower portion of the Submission Form will be sent for evaluation.

D. Field Evaluation.

(1) Suggestions received in the Regional Office should be evaluated there, if at all appropriate. If they appear to have broader applicability, Regional IDEAS Program staff will send two copies of the Idea, along with a copy of the Regional evaluation, to OPMI for Headquarters evaluation. Suggestions received in the Regional Office for which Headquarters approval must be obtained prior to implementation should be forwarded to Headquarters for evaluation immediately. Once an Idea is referred to Headquarters, it will be processed independent of the Regional evaluation.

(2) If the Decision Official in the Region decides to adopt the Idea for Regional use, typically there is no reason to delay local recognition, including cash awards.

If Headquarters officials adopt the Idea for broader implementation, a separate award will be authorized. In that case, the Regional Ideas Officer should deduct the amount of the Regional award from the amount authorized by Headquarters before processing the request for payment. The reason for that policy is that the Headquarters Decision Official will determine the overall benefit of the suggestion to the Department and the award amount determined by the Departmental Ideas Officer will be based on the Departmentwide benefit.

E. Completed Evaluations. Once an evaluation is received, the IDEAS Program staff will review it to make sure it is both complete and convincing. If it is not, they may return it to the Decision Official with suggestions for additional facts or data needed to support the conclusion.

6. Advocating Ideas.

When an Idea appears both to be feasible and to have potential for yielding significant savings or benefits, the Ideas Officer and staff may act as advocates for acceptance and may work to expedite the evaluation. They may meet with Decision Officials and, if necessary, with higher level officials to promote adoption of the suggestion.

7. Cost/Benefit Verification.

A. Award Determination Form. When an Idea is adopted, an Award Determination Form, HUD-20000C (Appendix C) will be established for the file. The cost/benefit verification is the first documentation entered on that form.

B. IDEAS Program Staff Review. The accuracy of the cost/benefit analysis is important for two reasons:

- (1) Ideas should be adopted only if the benefit outweighs the cost, including implementation costs; and
- (2) Monetary awards typically will be based on the anticipated first-year savings.

The cost/benefit analysis of the Decision Official should be reviewed carefully to see that it is complete, that the methodology and calculations are clear, and that it appears to be accurate. Staff members assigned to review cost/benefit analyses should be sure they understand the way savings were calculated and that the evidence is completely defensible before signing that portion of the form.

C. Inspector General Verification. If estimated benefits are \$250,000 or greater, the Inspector General (IG) or Regional Inspector General for Audit (RIGA) must verify the savings. The Departmental Ideas Officer should approve all Ideas of this magnitude before they are submitted to either the IG or RIGA.

8. Job-Relatedness Determination.

A. Purpose. Before the type of award can be decided for an adopted Idea, the IDEAS Program staff will determine whether or not the Idea is job related, according to the criteria defined in Section 6-3-a of the handbook. The outcome of this determination will have an impact on the type of award the suggester receives.

B. Process.

- (1) To make the job-relatedness determination, the IDEAS Program staff have several options. They may review copies of the suggester's position description and performance standards for the job the suggester

held at the time the suggestion was submitted. They

2191.1 REV-1

may contact the suggester's supervisor at the time the suggestion was submitted to determine whether the suggester had been given any specific assignments or had received any management directives from which the Idea could have resulted or whether the suggester could have implemented the Idea without the approval of someone else.

If a clear determination cannot be made based on these steps, the IDEAS Program staff may do one or more of the following:

- o Review the classification standards for the series and grade of the job held at the time the suggestion was submitted;
- o Review the qualification standards for the suggester's job at the time the suggestion was submitted; or
- o Review the functional statements for the employee's organization at the time the suggestion was submitted, found in the Department's Organization Handbook.

(2) If doubt remains, the determination will be made in favor of the suggester.

C. Regional Ideas. The Regional Ideas Officer will determine job relatedness for those Ideas adopted in the Region. The Departmental Ideas Officer will make the job-relatedness determination for Ideas adopted in Headquarters, including those forwarded by the Regions.

D. Job-Related Ideas. In exceptional cases, even if an adopted suggestion is job related, it can be rewarded monetarily via the IDEAS Program if the potential savings are significant. The Director, OPMI, will approve all such awards, determining each on a case-by-case basis.

It may be more appropriate to reward such a suggestion with a performance award. Contact the Personnel staff for additional guidance on performance awards. Typically, the IDEAS Program staff will consult with the suggester's supervisor in these cases to determine the appropriate type of recognition.

E. Documentation. The section of the Award Determination Form relating to the job-relatedness determination should be documented appropriately, with the reviewer's analysis and reasons for making the decision. Supporting evidence such as the position description, performance

standards, and/or supervisor's assessment should be attached.

H-3

2/89

9. Determination of Type and Amount of Award.

A. Award Type. Once a job-relatedness determination has been made for an adopted Idea, the IDEAS Program staff decides what type of award is appropriate. Normally, if the Idea is not job related, a monetary award will be approved, in accordance with Appendix G. If the Idea is job related, a nonmonetary award is approved.

The Ideas staff member who recommends the award type and amount on the Award Determination Form must document the reason(s) for the recommendation. If the award is based on tangible benefits, calculations should be recorded on the form so that anyone reviewing the form will know exactly how the award was determined. Similarly, if the award is based on intangible benefits, the reviewer should document the award range and the reasons for selecting the award amount within that range.

B. Honorary Recognition. All suggesters of adopted Ideas will receive Certificates of Commendation. Copies of the Certificates will be sent by the Ideas Officer to the servicing personnel office for filing in the suggesters' Official Personnel Files. The latter practice is important since this documentation is needed to enable merit staffing panels to consider suggestion awards in their decisions.

C. Nonmonetary Awards. Other nonmonetary awards, to be granted in addition to the Certificates of Commendation, can be determined by each IDEAS Program Officer. Possible awards are plaques, desk sets, paperweights, etc.

D. Multiple Suggesters. When there are multiple suggesters of an adopted Idea, each should get a Certificate of Commendation. The cash award, if any, should be divided among them equally. If all suggesters agree, the award may be divided in proportion to the amount of effort of each.

E. Documentation. Once all determinations have been made, the IDEAS Program staff submit the Award Determination Form to the Ideas Officer for signature. For monetary, awards, the Award Determination Form should be accompanied by a form HUD-840, Recommendation for Performance and Incentive Awards (Appendix D). The Ideas Officer will forward these forms to the appropriate authorizing official for approval.

F. Notification of Award. Suggesters are notified of their

awards via memoranda signed by the appropriate IDEAS Program official. At no time will the award amount be discussed with the suggesters until the appropriate authorizing official has approved the award.

2191.1 REV-1

10. Payment of Cash Awards.

A. Implemented Ideas. For suggestions that are already implemented at the time of adoption, full payment is made.

B. Ideas Not Yet Implemented. For suggestions that have not been implemented at the time of adoption:

(1) Awards of \$1,000 or less are paid in full upon adoption.

(2) Awards greater than \$1,000 are paid in two parts. Typically, the first payment of \$1,000 plus 5 percent of the balance of the award amount is paid at the time of adoption; the remainder is paid upon implementation.

C. Award Approval. If a monetary award has been determined, the Ideas Officer will secure the signature of the appropriate approving official (see Section 6.4, Figure 3 in the Handbook) on the Award Determination Form HUD-20000C) and on the HUD-840.

(1) The concurrences of all intermediate approving officials should appear on the Award Determination Form. For example, if a Regional Office recommends a \$6,000 award for an Idea, the Award Determination Form must be signed by the Assistant Secretary for Administration and must include the concurrences of the DOA and the Director, OPMI. These concurrences are not necessary on the HUD-840.

D. Payment Procedures.

(1) Headquarters.

a. For adopted Ideas submitted in Headquarters, the HUD-840 is sent to the Office of Personnel and Training (OPT), for preparation of a check. When the check is produced, it is sent to the Departmental Ideas Officer for presentation at a recognition ceremony.

b. When monetary awards are to be split, only the first check is presented at a recognition ceremony. The second check is generated by OPT upon receipt of a second HUD-840 signed by the Director, Office of Productivity and Management Improvements. This second payment will be sent directly to the suggester

through the normal payroll distribution process.

(2) Field.

a. Monetary awards for adopted Ideas submitted by Field employees are paid similarly. If the Idea is adopted in the Region, the Regional Ideas Officer prepares the Award Determination Form and the

H-4

2/89

HUD-840, securing all appropriate signatures, and sends them to the Regional Personnel officer to produce a check.

b. If the Idea is adopted in Headquarters (either in addition to or instead of being adopted in the Region), the Departmental Ideas Officer will send a memorandum to the Regional Ideas Officer authorizing him/her to direct the Regional Personnel Division to prepare a check.

c. Checks in the Field, too, are presented at recognition ceremonies. Second payments are sent directly to the suggester via normal payroll distribution processes.

E. Adopted But Never Implemented.

(1) Sometimes changing technology or management priorities may result in a management decision not to implement an adopted Idea because it no longer is cost effective or feasible or it no longer advances the goals of management. In those cases, the suggester will not have to return any part of the award he/she already has received, but normally, no additional award will be paid.

11. Recognition Ceremonies.

A. Purpose. Since public recognition is an important part of the incentives program, all award checks and Certificates of Commendation will be presented at official recognition ceremonies.

B. Location. Headquarters suggesters and Regional Office and Field Office suggesters receiving major awards will be recognized in ceremonies at Headquarters. Other Regional and Field Office suggesters will be recognized in ceremonies at the local level. Former employees who submitted Ideas while they were employed by the Government or employees of other agencies should be recognized at the HUD office nearest to them.

C. Coordination. In Headquarters, the Director, OPMI, is responsible for ensuring that recognition ceremonies are held. In the Field, the Regional Directors of Administration have the responsibility for ensuring that

ceremonies are held in the Region.

D. Officiating Managers. In Headquarters, the Assistant Secretary for the program area adopting the Idea or his/her designee will officiate at the recognition ceremonies. In the Regional Offices, the DOA or a program manager of equal or greater rank will officiate. In the Field Offices, the Field Office Manager or his/her deputy should officiate.

E. Attendees. The suggesters, their supervisors, and the Decision Officials who adopted the Ideas should be

2191-1 REV-1

invited to the ceremonies. Ceremonies may honor a group of suggesters or individual suggesters.

F. Frequency. Ceremonies should be held as soon as the check is generated, normally within 6 weeks of notification of adoption. If the ceremony will be delayed for any reason, the Ideas Officer should notify the suggester immediately, providing an approximate date by which the ceremony will be held.

G. Verification of Presentation. Adopted Ideas will not be closed until the Ideas staff verify that awards were presented at recognition ceremonies. For Headquarters-adopted Ideas submitted by Regional or Field Office employees, the Regional Ideas Officer is responsible for notifying the Departmental Ideas Officer that the award has been presented at a recognition ceremony.

12. Implementation.

A. Timeliness. Adopted Ideas should be implemented as quickly as possible so that the Department can begin to reap the benefits. In many cases, final award payments cannot be made until implementation is complete. For these reasons, the Ideas staff are active in ensuring that implementation is timely.

B. Implementation Plans. The Decision Official must indicate on the Evaluation Form the anticipated implementation date, unless the Idea already has been implemented. For major initiatives which will require 90 days or more to implement, the Decision Official also may be asked by the Ideas Officer to submit an implementation plan, with milestones that can be tracked.

C. Monitoring Implementation. The Ideas staff monitor implementation, alerting the appropriate managers to any delays. If needed, the DOA or the Director, OPMI, will take appropriate action to elevate implementation problems to the management level at which they can be resolved.

D. Productivity Improvement Program. OPMI may include Ideas which will produce significant savings in the Departmental Productivity Improvement Program (PIP). Ideas which may fall into this category are referred by Ideas staff to the Director, Office of Productivity and Management Improvements, for consideration.

E. Documenting Implementation. Decision Officials must confirm the fact that an Idea is fully implemented. In many cases, a telephone call to the Ideas Officer will be sufficient confirmation. For major initiatives, however, confirmation should be supplied via memorandum or official issuance or both.

F. Final Award Payments. Once implementation is documented, the IDEAS Program staff will complete the

H-5

2/89

paperwork to issue any additional award payments due the suggesters.

13. Publicity.

A. Activities. One of the most important jobs of the Ideas staff is to increase participation in the program. To do this, a number of actions should be taken:

- (1) IDEAS Program displays and posters should be placed in prominent locations throughout the office space;
- (2) Supplies of IDEAS Program Submission Forms (HUD 20000A) should be maintained and made accessible to potential suggesters;
- (3) Staff Bulletins, newsletters, and other forms of communication should be used to describe and promote the program and its successes; and
- (4) Suggesters of adopted Ideas should be recognized publicly.

14. Records Management.

A. Ideas Files. An individual file folder must be established and maintained for each Idea, from its receipt. This practice is essential both for effective program management and for possible future audits.

B. Assembly of Files. Files must be assembled with two-hole fasteners, fastened at the top. The Idea number must be typed or written on the file tab. Information in the file will be arranged as follows:

- (1) On the right side of the file, in order from top to bottom:

- o For adopted Ideas, copies of any awards, including memoranda or Certificates of Commendation;
- o For adopted Ideas, copies of the Award Determination Form (HUD 20000C) and, if appropriate, the HUD Form 840, with supporting documentation such as the position description and performance standards of the suggester;
- o The original Evaluation Form (HUD 20000B), with all attachments; and

2191.1 REV-1

-
- o The original Submission Form (HUD 20000A), with all attachments.

(2) On the left side of the file, in chronological order with most recent on top:

- o Copies of all correspondence prepared by the Ideas staff relating to the Idea; and
- o Copies of all correspondence received by the Ideas staff relating to the suggestion.

C. Records Disposition. Ideas files will be retained by the IDEAS Officers for a minimum of 2 years from the date of adoption or rejection.

(1) Files for rejected Ideas are retained for 2 years from the date of rejection. After that, the files are destroyed.

(2) Files of adopted Ideas are retained for 2 years from the date of adoption. Then they are transferred to the Federal Records Center for an additional 3 years. After that, they are destroyed.

15. Reporting Requirements

A. Reports. Regional Ideas Officers will submit reports of program activity to Headquarters on a quarterly basis. specific requirements are defined in the reporting format found in Appendix E.

B. Annual Report to OPM. The Departmental Ideas Officer prepares the annual reports of program activity to the Office of Personnel Management (OPM). Regional Ideas Officers will be asked to supply whatever additional data is necessary to meet OPM's requirements.

16. Performance Reviews

A. Explanation. The management of the IDEAS Program will be reviewed during regular Field Performance Reviews. The Departmental Ideas Officer supplies information on Regional program activity to each of the Field Performance Review teams. Typically, this information includes data from the quarterly reports from the Regional Ideas Officers, summarized to show comparative workload and performance among the Regions, and briefings on any specific problems or issues relating to the Region being reviewed.