

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT  
OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS

In the Matter of:

**Tiffany Compton**

Petitioner,

20-AM-0176-AG-100

721015276

June 29, 2020

**RULING AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

On June 17, 2020, the Secretary filed his *Motion to Dismiss* this case on the grounds that the Secretary has determined that imposition of a repayment plan would cause undue financial hardship for Petitioner at this time. *Secretary's Motion to Dismiss*, ¶ 2. Therefore, the Secretary seeks dismissal of this matter at this time while reserving the right to refile debt collection actions in the future when and if deemed appropriate in the Secretary's discretion. For good cause shown, and without objection, The Secretary's motion is GRANTED. It is,

**ORDERED** that this appeal is **DISMISSED**, *without prejudice*. It is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that the Stay of Referral of this matter to the U.S. Department of the Treasury for collection that was entered on May 29, 2020, is hereby **VACATED**.

**SO ORDERED,**



H. Alexander Manuel  
Administrative Judge

**APPEAL NOTICE:** Petitioner has the right to move for reconsideration of this case before the HUD Office of Hearings and Appeals within 20 days of the date of this ruling or decision; or, thereafter, to reopen this case. Ordinarily, such motions will not be granted unless it can be shown that there is new evidence to present that could not have been previously presented. Petitioner may also appeal this decision to the appropriate United States District Court. For wage garnishment cases, See 24 C.F.R. § 17.81, 31 C.F.R. § 285.11(f), and 5 U.S.C. 701, *et seq.* For administrative offset cases, See 24 C.F.R. § 17.73(a), and 5 U.S.C. § 701, *et seq.*