

**HOUSING AUTHORITY
OF THE
COUNTY OF TULARE
FISCAL YEAR 2021-2022**

Moving-to-Work Report



Housing Authority
of the
County of Tulare

Building the Foundation for a Strong Family Unit since 1945

SUBMISSION TO HUD - SEPTEMBER 30, 2022



TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION	TITLE	PAGE
I	Introduction	3
II	General Housing Authority Operating Information	6
III	Proposed MTW Activities	19
IV	Approved MTW Activities	21
V	MTW Sources and Uses of Funds	59
VI	Administrative	60
	(A) Board Resolution Adopting Report	61

(I) INTRODUCTION

ANNUAL MTW REPORT FISCAL YEAR JULY 1, 2021-JUNE 30,2022

Background: The Housing Authority of the County of Tulare (HATC) was established in 1945. It initially sought to provide affordable housing for returning WWII veterans and their families. However, since its establishment, it has incorporated numerous, different programs into its housing portfolio. These programs are funded by various types of agencies that include the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), the Tax Credit Allocation Committee of the State Treasurer’s Office (LIHTC), California’s Rental Housing Construction Program (RHCP), HOME, City Redevelopment Agencies (RDA) and other local agencies within our Jurisdiction. HATC is also a current participant of the Moving to Work (MTW) Demonstration Program since its inception in 1999. This demonstration is an effort by HUD to facilitate program innovations that work towards enhancing the efficacy of PHAs. HATC has capitalized on the organizational and procedural flexibilities gained through its participation in the MTW Demonstration Program to become a more effective and efficient agency. Currently, HATC provides affordable and well-maintained rental housing to over 6,1887 households throughout Tulare County.

Our Mission Statement: To provide affordable, well-maintained rental housing to qualified low and very low-income families. Priority shall be given to working families, seniors and the disabled. Tenant self-sufficiency and responsibility shall be encouraged. Programs shall be self-supporting to the maximum extent feasible.

Our mission statement was instituted prior to HATC’s participation in the MTW Demonstration Program. However, the commencement of the MTW Demonstration Program provided HATC the opportunity to utilize the program flexibilities to provide our families with the necessary tools to establish responsibility and achieve self-sufficiency. Furthermore, the organizational vision of HATC has always worked to achieve administrative efficiency and effectiveness. HATC’s mission, vision and strategic objectives are effectively aligned with the three primary MTW Demonstration Program statutory objectives:

1. Reduce cost and achieve greater cost effectiveness in federal expenditures;
2. Give incentives to families with children where the head of household is working, seeking work, or is preparing for work by participants in job training, educational programs, or programs that assist people to obtain employment and become economically self-sufficient; and
3. Increase housing choices for low-income families.

Since its inclusion in the MTW Demonstration Program on May 1, 1999 HATC has worked to develop and implement policies that further promote the noted MTW Demonstration Program statutory objectives. The cohesiveness of HATC’s internal mission, vision and goals, along with the three MTW statutory objectives, has constructed a detailed set of MTW short- and long-term goals and objectives for our agency. This report spans the 2021 - 2022 fiscal year (July 1, 2021 to June 30, 2022). In this report, we evaluate the progress of our current short- and long-term goals and objectives. Through the following detailed analysis in this Report, HATC seeks to display the positive outcomes of our MTW Demonstration Program. **Short Term Goals:** HATC’s 2021-2022 MTW Demonstration Program short term goals and objectives for its employees and its agency include:

1. Reducing cost by achieving greater cost effectiveness in federal expenditures.
2. Increase incentives for families to seek employment, meet educational goals, to participate in job-training programs to achieve economic self-sufficiency, and to decrease incentives for families to underreport income by establishing fixed subsidies and fixed rents.
3. Increase housing choices for program participants.
4. Increase organizational efficiency by improving productivity and work quality through the reduction of calculation errors and unnecessary work volume.

The achievement of these short-term goals and objectives was assessed through a set of evaluating HUD Standard Metrics. HATC attained positive statistical outputs in the assessment of all of our short-term goals and objectives. HATC will further elaborate on how these goals and objectives were met for this fiscal year in Section VI: Approved MTW Activities of this Report.

Long Term Goals: HATC has long worked towards developing and implementing a MTW Demonstration Program that emphasizes organizational efficacy, while establishing incentives for participants to become self-sufficient. Our MTW Demonstration Program is driven by the values outlined in the three primary MTW Demonstration Program statutory objectives. In the long term, our goal is to continue to seek program innovations that will further enhance the completion of these objectives.

HATC continuously works to achieve a MTW Demonstration Program that reduces cost through the streamlining and simplification of operations without jeopardizing program integrity. The data and narratives presented in this Report display the overall success and value of our MTW Demonstration Program. We continue to work with the goal of being an innovative MTW agency; one that demonstrates the value of the MTW Program Demonstration. Our long-term goal is to establish a permanent MTW contract with HUD through the consistent achievement of the three MTW Demonstration Program statutory objectives. HATC has met all three of these objectives for this Fiscal Year; the agency continues to work on identifying and implementing new MTW activities that will further enhance our agency's productivity and overall effectiveness. Lastly, HATC currently has a contract through 2028; and will continue to support for the MTW Demonstration to be transitioned into a permanent program.

COVID 19 Response: HATC has worked with all community stakeholders in developing and implementing necessary programmatic and policy modifications to better serve at our community throughout the COVID-19 pandemic. We have worked with the City of Tulare and the City of Dinuba to administering three Emergency Tenant Based Rental Assistance Programs; these programs provided emergency rental and utility assistance to low-income households that experience a reduction in wages or employment as a result of the COVID-19 Pandemic. Under the authority provided under the Coronavirus Aid, Relief and Economic Security Cares and the HUD published Notice PIH 2020-05, PIH 2020-13, PIH 2021-14 and PIH-2021-34 we enacted various waivers to statutory requirements for our Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) and Public Housing (PH) Programs. This included temporary revisions to our initial Housing Quality Standards Inspection requirements for our HCV Program, extending the initial search term for HCVs and temporary revising our Community Service and Self-Sufficiency-Requirement (CSSR) for our PH Program. Lastly, we suspended the implementation of terms limits for our MTW HCV Program participants through all of 2020. We have also suspended the implementation of term limits for our MTW Public Housing Program through September 30, 2021. In addition, as detailed in PIH 2021-34 HATC has determined that some of the regulatory waivers are necessary for effective program administration during the pandemic recovery. As such, we submitted an expedited regulatory waiver request to HUD for Term of Voucher Extension of Term, § 982.303 (b)(1) which allows PHAs to grant a family one or more extensions of the initial

voucher term regardless of the policy described in the Plan. HATC is also utilizing the flexibilities that require no waivers referenced in Section 4 of the PIH 2021-34; PHA oral briefing: PHAs may continue to use alternative methods to provide oral briefings.

HATC will continue to work to address the many challenges that have derived from this global pandemic. Within Tulare County we have seen a significant decrease in available rental units in the open market and a continuous increase in rents. We believe that our participation in the MTW Demonstration Program will provide us with the necessary tools to properly address the dynamic nature of the challenges presented by the COVID-19 pandemic.

(II) GENERAL OPERATING INFORMATION

A. HOUSING STOCK INFORMATION

i. Actual New Project Based Vouchers

Tenant-based vouchers that the MTW PHA project-based for the first time during the Plan Year. These include only those in which at least an Agreement to enter into a Housing Assistance Payment (AHAP) was in place by the end of the Plan Year. Indicate whether the unit is included in the Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD).

PROPERTY NAME	NUMBER OF VOUCHERS NEWLY PROJECT-BASED (Planned*)	NUMBER OF VOUCHERS NEWLY PROJECT-BASED (Actual)	STATUS AT END OF PLAN YEAR**	RAD?	DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT
627 S. Fulgham	15	0	Committed	No	15 one-bedroom units that will have onsite mental health services
Myrtle Ave Senior Apartments	66	0	Committed	No	66-unit project consisting of; 62 one-bedroom units; 4 two-bedroom units for a proposed Senior Housing Project under the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Program.
Total: Planned or Actual Newly Project-Based	81	0			

* Figures in the "Planned" column should match the corresponding Annual MTW Plan.

** Select "Status at the End of Plan Year" from: Committed, Leased/Issued

Please describe differences between the Planned and Actual Number of Vouchers Newly Project-Based:

We did submit a successful tax credit application for the Myrtle Ave. Senior Project during the previous fiscal year and this project is currently under construction. However, the 627 S. Fulgham project with the Tulare County Health and Human Services Agency is pending as they work through allocating the necessary financial resources to move forward with this development.

ii. Actual Existing Project Based Vouchers

Tenant-based vouchers that the MTW PHA is currently project-basing in the Plan Year. These include only those in which at least an AHAP was in place by the beginning of the Plan Year. Indicate whether the unit is included in RAD.

PROPERTY NAME	NUMBER OF PROJECT-BASED VOUCHERS (Planned*)	NUMBER OF PROJECT-BASED VOUCHERS (Actual)	STATUS AT END OF PLAN YEAR**	RAD?	DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT
Tule Vista	30	30	Leased	No	Tax Credit Project
Total: Planned and Actual Existing Project-Based	30	30			

* Figures and text in the "Planned" column should match the corresponding Annual MTW Plan.

** Select "Status at the End of Plan Year" from: Committed, Leased/Issued

Please describe differences between the Planned and Actual Existing Number of Vouchers Project-Based:

There is no difference between Planned and Actual number of vouchers.

iii. Actual Other Changes to MTW Housing Stock in the Plan Year

Examples of the types of other changes can include (but are not limited to): units held off-line due to relocation or substantial rehabilitation, local, non-traditional units to be acquired/developed, etc.

ACTUAL OTHER CHANGES TO MTW HOUSING STOCK IN THE PLAN YEAR
No Changes to Public Housing Stock. There was an increase in MTW housing units, we were able to add 75 of additional housing units by utilizing Voucher funds to fund these property-based through our Local, Non-Traditional activity. Expenses were one-time finding amounts reflected in our MTW-Local, Non-Traditional Program.

iv. General Description of All Actual Capital Expenditures During the Plan Year

Narrative general description of all actual capital expenditures of MTW funds during the Plan Year.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF ALL ACTUAL CAPITAL EXPENDITURES DURING THE PLAN YEAR
HATC continued to own and manage 710 Public Housing units. We did not develop additional public-housing units; nor did we remove any units from our inventory. There was a total expenditure of \$1,665,419 in Capital Fund improvements for the Fiscal Year. The noted expenditures covered maintenance and rehabilitation of public-housing units within the following four AMPS: CA030000805 (Dinuba Area), CA030000810 (Tulare Area), CA030000815 (Visalia Area), and CA030000817 (Porterville Area). Capital Fund expenditures in CA030000805 covered the following rehabilitation projects: replacement of stoves and refrigerators, asphalt improvements, fence replacements, and landscaping improvements. Capital Fund expenditures in CA030000810 covered the following rehabilitation projects: flooring replacements, replacement of refrigerators, rehabilitation of interior paint, repair/replacements of ranges, landscaping improvements, improvements of cabinets and countertops, replacement of doors, and Air Conditioning unit improvements. Capital Fund expenditures in CA030000815 facilitated the completion of a large range of capital rehabilitation projects, including roofing replacements, landscaping improvements, carpet replacement along with Air Conditioning and Heating unit improvements. Lastly, Capital Fund expenditures in CA030000817 covered the following capital rehabilitation projects: gutter replacements, improvements of cabinets and countertops, dishwasher replacements, interior and exterior painting, carpet replacement as well as Air Conditioning and Heating unit improvements.

B. LEASING INFORMATION

i. Actual Number of Households Served

Snapshot and unit month information on the number of households the MTW PHA actually served at the end of the Plan Year.

NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS SERVED THROUGH:	NUMBER OF UNIT MONTHS OCCUPIED or LEASED* Planned^^	NUMBER OF UNIT MONTHS OCCUPIED or LEASED* Actual	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS SERVED** Planned^^	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS SERVED** Actual
MTW Public Housing Units Leased	8,520	7,788	710	649
MTW Housing Choice Vouchers (HCV) Utilized	34,452	27,912	2,871	2,326
Local, Non-Traditional: Tenant-Based	0	0	0	0
Local, Non-Traditional: Property-Based	12,408	13,524	1,034	1,127
Local, Non-Traditional: Homeownership	0	0	0	0
Planned and Actual Totals:	55,380	49,224	4,615	4,102

* “Planned Number of Unit Months Occupied or Leased” is the total number of months the MTW PHA planned to have leased or occupied in each category throughout the full Plan Year (as shown in the Annual MTW Plan).

** “Planned Number of Households to be Served” is calculated by dividing the “Planned Number of Unit Months Occupied or Leased” by the number of months in the Plan Year (as shown in the Annual MTW Plan).

^^ Figures and text in the “Planned” column should match the corresponding Annual MTW Plan.

Please describe any differences between the planned and actual households served:

HATC has been able to increase the number of families assisted during this fiscal year through our local Non-Traditional Property Based activity number #5. As such this year we increased the number of units available in Tulare County by 75. However, we experienced challenges in our HCV utilization due to rents continuing to increase in Tulare County, as such we increased our payment standards to the 130%. In our public housing program, we also experienced delaying in turning units over due to supplies and asbestos discovered in the adhesive in the flooring that required remediation during the rehab work we completed. We are addressing these delays and utilization and foresee an improvement are we move forward in our current fiscal year.

LOCAL, NON-TRADITIONAL CATEGORY	MTW ACTIVITY (NAME and NUMBER)	NUMBER OF UNIT MONTHS OCCUPIED or LEASED Planned^^	NUMBER OF UNIT MONTHS OCCUPIED or LEASED Actual	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS SERVED Planned^^	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS SERVED Actual
Tenant-Based	N/A	0	0	0	0
Property-Based	Development of additional affordable housing/ Activity #5	12,408	13,524	1,034	1,127
Homeownership	N/A	0	0	0	0
Planned and Actual Totals		12,408	13,524	1,034	1,127

* The sum of the figures provided should match the totals provided for each Local, Non-Traditional category in the previous table. Figures should be given by individual activity. Multiple entries may be made for each category if applicable.

^^ Figures and text in the “Planned” column should match the corresponding Annual MTW Plan.

HOUSEHOLDS RECEIVING LOCAL, NON-TRADITIONAL SERVICES ONLY	AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS PER MONTH	TOTAL NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS IN THE PLAN YEAR
N/A	0	0

ii. Discussion of Any Actual Issues/Solutions Related to Leasing

Discussion of any actual issues and solutions utilized in the MTW housing programs listed.

HOUSING PROGRAM	DESCRIPTION OF ACTUAL LEASING ISSUES AND SOLUTIONS
MTW Public Housing	HATC experienced extended vacancies during the rehab/replacement of flooring in which asbestos was discovered in the adhesive, that required remediation. HATC also experienced delays in getting material and work completed due to COVID-19. We continue to make every effort to order supplies, and complete work as fast as we can, we are hoping to be back at a 30-days turn over in effort to improve our vacancy rate.
MTW Housing Choice Voucher	HATC has experienced a decline in the HCV program in our utilization due to the increase in the cost to rent a unit in our jurisdiction. During this period, we determined the need to increase our payment standards to the 130% in effort to assist families in our jurisdiction.
Local, Non-Traditional	No issues to report, HATC continues to seek increasing units in this activity and we continue to search for property to acquire.

PLEASE REFER TO TABLES 1-5 FOR A DETAIL BREAKDOWN OF THE HOUSING AUTHORITY’S HOUSING STOCK PORTFOLIO. PLEASE NOTE THAT NOT ALL PROJECTS/OR PROGRAMS ARE UNDER MTW REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS OR UTILIZE MTW FUNDING.

TABLE 1

LOW INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDIT (LIHTC) STOCK - CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION FUNDING:

	Property	Location	Year Built/Acquired	No. of Units
1	Euclid Village	Dinuba	2011	57
2	Gateway Village	Farmersville	2008	48
3	Gateway Village II	Farmersville	2009	16
4	Village Grove	Farmersville	2009	48
5	Palomar Court	Farmersville	2011	40
6	Lindsay Senior Apartments	Lindsay	2011	72
7	Sequoia Villas	Lindsay	2013	19
8	Poplar Grove	Poplar	2003	50
9	Sultana Acres	Sultana	1992	36
10	Cypress Cove	Tulare	1993	52
11	Tule Vista	Tulare	2011	57
12	West Trail	Tulare	2011	49
13	Aspen Court	Tulare	2013	47
14	County Manor	Tulare	2013	40
15	Westport Village	Visalia	1989	25
16	Fairview	Visalia	1994	8
17	Willowbrook	Visalia	1996	10
18	Kimball Court	Visalia	2000	95
19	Court & Paradise	Visalia	2008 and 2011	20
20	Parkside Court	Woodlake	2007	24
21	Newcomb Court	Porterville	2015	80
22	Belmont	Exeter	2016	25
23	Mission Court	Tulare	2019	65
TOTAL UNITS				983

TABLE 2

RENTAL HOUSING CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (RHCP) UNITS - STATE OF CALIFORNIA:

	Property	Location	Year Built	No. of Units
1	Clark Court	Visalia	1983	24
2	Visalia Garden Villas	Visalia	1987	60
TOTAL UNITS				84

TABLE 3

NON-MTW HUD FUNDED:

HATC manages by contract two multifamily complexes and four other complex with State Funding each owned by a separate non-profit with their own Board of Directors. La Serena, provides affordable housing for low-income families. Santa Fe Plaza provides affordable senior housing. East Tulare Cottages, 232 S. Sacramento and Lotas/Newcomb is managed in collaboration with Tulare County Mental Health Department to provide housing for those receiving assistance through the Mental Health Department. Encina Triplex is managed in collaboration with Central Valley Regional Center (CVRC), they provide services to clients in connection with housing.

	Property	Location	Year Built	No. of Units
1	Santa Fe Plaza (Section 8/202)	Porterville	1983	105
2	La Serena (Section 8 New Const.)	Porterville	1983	65
3	TMHSA East Tulare Cottages	Visalia	2009	22
4	Encina Triplex (3 units, but has double occupancy)	Visalia	2010	6
5	232 S. Sacramento (10 units, but has double occupancy)	Tulare	2013	20
6	Lotas/Newcomb (*8 Units) (8 units but has double occupancy)	Porterville	2013	16
TOTAL UNITS				234

TABLE 4

FARM LABOR HOUSING STOCK - USDA FUNDING:

	Property	Location	Year Built	No. of Units
1	Terra Bella	Terra Bella	1977	14
2	Sonora	Tulare	1985	52
3	Linnell FLC	Visalia	1938/1967/1972/1977	191
4	La Puente	Visalia	1980	15
5	Woodville FLC	Woodville	1938/1967/1977	178
TOTAL UNITS				450

Table 5

HATC will provide a more detail financial analysis of housing project in which MTW Demonstration Program Funds were used for development cost, in Section Activity IV: Development of additional affordable housing of this report:

Activity Five - Development of Additional Affordable Housing				
Complex	Location	Acquired	No. of Units	Funding Sources
Robinwood Court	Visalia	2007	10	HOME/Visalia RDA/MTW
Millcreek Parkway	Visalia	2008	70	Visalia RDA/MTW ¹
3725-3731 W. Myrtle Ct.	Visalia	11/3/2008	4	HATC Non-Profit/MTW
3716 -3722 W. Mrytle Ct.	Visalia	1/8/2009	4	HATC Non-Profit/MTW
3724-3730 W. Mrytle Ct.	Visalia	1/20/2009	4	HATC Non-Profit/MTW
3709-3715 W. Myrlyte Ct.	Visalia	2/9/2009	4	HATC Non-Profit/MTW
3717-3723 W. Myrtle Ct.	Visalia	2/24/2009	4	HATC Non-Profit/MTW
3732-3738 W. Myrtle Ct.	Visalia	4/7/2009	4	HATC Non-Profit/MTW
3708-3714 W. Myrtle Ct.	Visalia	5/15/2009	4	HATC Non-Profit/MTW
3700-3706 W. Myrtle Ct	Visalia	12/18/2009	4	HATC Non-Profit/MTW
1183 & 1193 S. Vetter Dr. 301 - 445 W. Oakwood Dr.	Tulare	9/4/2009	20	MTW/Tulare RDA ²
2934 County Center Dr.	Visalia	4/1/2010	1	HATC Non-Profit/MTW
4042-2046 S. Tracy Court	Visalia	9/3/2010	3	HATC Non-Profit/MTW
West Oriole	Visalia	2010/2018	12	MTW/Visalia RDA ³
Tulare NSP	Tulare	2011	5	Tulare RDA/NSP/MTW

West Trail	Tulare	2011	49	USDA/ CTCAC/MTW
Tule Vista*	Tulare	2011	57	USDA/CTCAC/BOND/Tulare RDA/MTW ⁴
Lotas & Newcomb	Porterville	2013	8	MTW ⁵
East Kaweah Ave.	Visalia	2013	8	MTW/RDA/HOME ⁶
Aspen Court	Tulare	2013	47	RDA/HATC/MTW/CTCAC ⁷
Country Manor	Tulare	2013	40	MTW ⁸
Sequoia Villas	Lindsay	2014	19	MTW/RDA Successor Agency ⁹
Central	Visalia	2014	6	HATC/MTW
Liberty & Court	Visalia	2014	7	HATC/MTW
Visalia Gardens	Visalia	2014	48	HATC/MTW
E. Cross	Tulare	2014	4	HATC/MTW
1475 S. College	Dinuba	2014	1	HATC/MTW
2724 E. Goshen	Visalia	2015	1	HATC/MTW
1400-1408 S. Crowe	Visalia	2015	6	HATC/MTW
701-719 Lynora	Visalia	2015	4	HATC/MTW
Victor & Woodland	Visalia	2015	3	HATC/MTW
709-731 N. Leslie	Visalia	2015	9	HATC/MTW
Newcomb Court	Porterville	2015	80	HATC/MTW/CTCAC
Orangewood	Lindsay	2016	56	HATC/MTW
Belmont	Exeter	2016	25	HATC/MTW
1321 S. Central	Visalia	2016	13	HATC/MTW
1325 S. Central	Visalia	2016	11	HATC/MTW
200 E. Paradise	Visalia	2016	1	HATC/MTW
1818 S. Garden	Visalia	2016	5	HATC/MTW
617-619 S. Santa Fe	Visalia	2017	6	HATC/MTW
2041-2045 S. Tracy Ct.	Visalia	2017	3	HATC/MTW
1215 S. Central	Visalia	2017	33	HATC/MTW
1916-1938 Vassar	Visalia	2017	4	HATC/MTW
Ashland Apartments	Lindsay	2017	10	HATC/MTW
1001 N. Bates	Dinuba	2017	14	HATC/MTW

1844-1852 S. Garden	Visalia	2018	20	HATC/MTW
605 N. Quince	Exeter	2018	2	HATC/MTW
1001 E. Myrtle	Visalia	2018	1	HATC/MTW
Roosevelt Apartments	Tulare	2018	24	HATC/MTW
3921 - 3977 S. Shady	Visalia	2018	4	HATC/MTW
607 N. Quince	Exeter	2019	2	HATC/MTW
1105 S. 1 St.	Dinuba	2018	2	HATC/MTW
1020 S. Edison	Visalia	2019	2	HATC/MTW
2208 - 2214 N. Vickie Ct.	Visalia	2019	4	HATC/MTW
2222 - 2228 N. Vickie Ct.	Visalia	2019	4	HATC/MTW
2236 - 2242 N. Vickie Ct.	Visalia	2019	4	HATC/MTW
2237 - 2243 N. Vickie Ct.	Visalia	2019	4	HATC/MTW
609 N. Quince	Exeter	2019	2	HATC/MTW
2750 W. Lark Ave.	Visalia	2018	4	HATC/MTW ¹⁰
Cypress Gardens	Visalia	2019	14	HATC/MTW
4242 - 4248, 4440 - 4446 W. Douglas	Visalia	2019	8	HATC/MTW
4130 - 4136, 4110 - 4116 W. Douglas	Visalia	2019	8	HATC/MTW
4340 - 4346 W. Douglas	Visalia	2020	4	HATC/MTW
245 S. Crenshaw	Visalia	2020	3	HATC/MTW
800 N. Jacob	Visalia	2020	6	HATC/MTW
Mission Court	Tulare	2020	65	HATC/MTW ¹¹
99 Palms Hotel	Tulare	2020	55	HATC/MTW ¹²
569 N. Elmwood	Lindsay	2020	21	HATC/MTW
540 E. Lakeview	Woodlake	2020	10	HATC/MTW
514 S. Bridge	Visalia	2020	7	HATC/MTW
2922-2928 N. Zachary	Visalia	2020	4	HATC/MTW
1121 W. Tulare	Visalia	2020	4	HATC/MTW
1634 S. Garden	Visalia	2020	5	HATC/MTW
1488, 1492, 1496 Manzanita	Tulare	2021 (January 29, 2021)	3	HATC/MTW
1800 E. Vassar, 1840-42 S. Regina	Visalia	2021	3	HATC/MTW
2425-35 E. Salle	Visalia	2021	4	HATC/MTW
4240 W. Cypress	Visalia	2021	4	HATC/MTW
621 S. Santa Fe Street	Visalia	2021 (August 20, 2021)	4	HATC/MTW

404 N. Lynora Street	Tulare	2021 (August 11, 2021)	10	HATC/MTW
Added during FY 07/01/202-06/30/2022				
4200-4206 W. Cypress Ave	Visalia	2021 (November 12, 2021)	4	HATC/MTW
4334-4340 W. Cypress Ave	Visalia	2021 (November 12, 2021)	4	HATC/MTW
707 S. Court Street	Visalia	2021 (November 1, 2021)	4	HATC/MTW
711 S. Court Street	Visalia	2021 (November 1, 2021)	4	HATC/MTW
801 S. Conyer St.	Visalia	2021 (December 29, 2021)	4	HATC/MTW
701-719 N. Lynora	Tulare	2021 (October 10, 2021)	4	HATC/MTW
Cherry Heights 1093 N Cherry	Tulare	2022 (June 10, 2022)	36	HATC/MTW
2632-2638 St. Johns Parkway	Visalia	2022 (June 23, 2022)	4	HATC/MTW
Crepe Myrtle 700-736 S. Linwood/4431-4445 W. Myrtle/4430 W. Cypress Ave	Visalia	2022 (June 17, 2022)	11	HATC/MTW
Total MTW Financed 1,127 Units				

C. WAITING LIST INFORMATION

i. Actual Waiting List Information

Snapshot information on the actual status of MTW waiting lists at the end of the Plan Year. The "Description" column should detail the structure of the waiting list and the population(s) served.

WAITING LIST NAME	DESCRIPTION	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS ON WAITING LIST	WAITING LIST STATUS (OPEN, PARTIALLY OPEN OR CLOSED)	WAS THE WAITING LIST OPENED DURING THE PLAN YEAR
Public Housing	Public Housing	18,813	Open	Yes
Housing Choice Voucher	Housing Choice Voucher	9,343	Open	Yes

Please describe any duplication of applicants across waiting lists:

Number of applicants who appear on both the Public Housing waiting list and the Housing Choice Voucher waiting list is 6,978.

ii. Actual Changes to Waiting List in the Plan Year

Please describe any actual changes to the organizational structure or policies of the waiting list(s), including any opening or closing of a waiting list, during the Plan Year.

WAITING LIST NAME	DESCRIPTION OF ACTUAL CHANGES TO WAITING LIST
Public Housing	No changes
Housing Choice Voucher	No changes

D. INFORMATION ON STATUTORY OBJECTIVES AND REQUIREMENTS

i. 75 percent of Families Assisted Are Very Low Income

HUD will verify compliance with the statutory requirement that at least 75 percent of the households assisted by the MTW PHA are very low income for MTW public housing units and MTW HCVs through HUD systems. The MTW PHA should provide data for the actual families housed upon admission during the PHA's Plan Year reported in the "Local, Non-Traditional: Tenant-Based"; "Local, Non-Traditional: Property-Based"; and "Local, Non-Traditional: Homeownership" categories. Do not include households reported in the "Local, Non-Traditional Services Only" category.

INCOME LEVEL	NUMBER OF LOCAL, NON-TRADITIONAL HOUSEHOLDS ADMITTED IN THE PLAN YEAR
80%-50% Area Median Income	12
49%-30% Area Median Income	22
Below 30% Area Median Income	17
Total Local, Non-Traditional Households Admitted	51

ii. Maintain Comparable Mix

HUD will verify compliance with the statutory requirement that MTW PHAs continue to serve a comparable mix of families by family size by first assessing a baseline mix of family sizes served by the MTW PHA prior to entry into the MTW demonstration (or the closest date with available data) and compare that to the current mix of family sizes served during the Plan Year.

BASELINE MIX OF FAMILY SIZES SERVED (upon entry to MTW)

FAMILY SIZE	OCCUPIED PUBLIC HOUSING UNITS	UTILIZED HCVs	NON-MTW ADJUSTMENTS*	BASELINE MIX NUMBER	BASELINE MIX PERCENTAGE
1 Person	99	485	0	584	17%
2 Person	102	456	0	558	16%
3 Person	106	468	0	574	16%
4 Person	156	665	0	821	23%
5 Person	142	424	0	566	16%
6+ Person	105	303	0	408	12%
TOTAL	710	2,801	0	3,511	100%

* "Non-MTW Adjustments" are defined as factors that are outside the control of the MTW PHA and/or unrelated to the MTW PHA's local MTW program. An example of an acceptable "Non-MTW Adjustment" would include demographic changes in the community's overall population. If the MTW PHA includes "Non-MTW Adjustments," a thorough justification, including information substantiating the numbers given, should be included below. MTW PHAs must continue to adhere to all fair housing obligations as detailed in the MTW Certifications of Compliance.

Please describe the justification for any “Non-MTW Adjustments” given above:

N/A

MIX OF FAMILY SIZES SERVED (in Plan Year)

FAMILY SIZE	BASELINE MIX PERCENTAGE**	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS SERVED IN PLAN YEAR^	PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLDS SERVED IN PLAN YEAR^^	PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM BASELINE YEAR TO CURRENT PLAN YEAR
1 Person	17%	951	32%	63%
2 Person	16%	449	15%	-20%
3 Person	16%	422	14%	-26%
4 Person	23%	516	17%	-37%
5 Person	16%	361	12%	-36%
6+ Person	12%	276	9%	-32%
TOTAL	100%	2,975	100%	-15%

- ** The “Baseline Mix Percentage” figures given in the “Mix of Family Sizes Served (in Plan Year)” table should match those in the column of the same name in the “Baseline Mix of Family Sizes Served (upon entry to MTW)” table.
- ^ The “Total” in the “Number of Households Served in Plan Year” column should match the “Actual Total” box in the “Actual Number of Households Served in the Plan Year” table in Section II.B.i of this Annual MTW Report.
- ^^ The percentages in this column should be calculated by dividing the number in the prior column for each family size by the “Total” number of households served in the Plan Year. These percentages will reflect adjustment to the mix of families served that are due to the decisions of the MTW PHA. Justification of percentages in the current Plan Year that vary by more than 5% from the Baseline Year must be provided below.

Please describe the justification for any variances of more than 5% between the Plan Year and Baseline Year:

We are seeing an increase in our agency population.

iii. Number of Households Transitioned to Self-Sufficiency in the Plan Year

Number of households, across MTW activities, that were transitioned to the MTW PHA’s local definition of self-sufficiency during the Plan Year.

MTW ACTIVITY (NAME and NUMBER)	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS TRANSITIONED TO SELF SUFFICIENCY*	MTW PHA LOCAL DEFINITION OF SELF-SUFFICIENCY
Administrative Cost Savings and Self Sufficiency/Activity One	259	Non-elderly or non-disabled households that time out after five years from a MTW Program (Section 8 or Public Housing) and do not re-apply to a HATC Program within three-months.
	259	<i>(Households Duplicated Across MTW Activities)</i>
	259	Total Households Transitioned to Self-Sufficiency

* Figures should match the outcome reported for all activities where the goal of increased self-sufficiency is used in Section IV of this Annual MTW Report.

(III) PROPOSED MTW ACTIVITIES: HUD Approval Requested

N/A

(IV) APPROVED MTW ACTIVITIES: HUD Approval Previously Granted

Activity Number	Activity Name	Year Identified/Implemented	Authorizations
One	Administrative Cost Savings and Self-Sufficiency	1999/1999 and 2008/2009	<i>Attachment C: Section C.11 and Section D.2</i>
Two	Increase Housing Choices	2008/2009	<i>Attachment C: Section D.2 (a)</i>
Three	Encourage Self-Sufficiency and Transition of Pre-1999 Families to the MTW Program	2009/2009	<i>Attachment C: Section C.11 and D.2</i>
Four	Project Base Section 8	2008/2011	<i>Attachment C: Section D.1(e) and Section D.7</i>
Five	Development of Affordable Housing	2008/2009	<i>Attachment C: Section B.1 (b) and Section B.2 and Attachment D of the Standard MTW Agreement</i>
Six	Minimum or 'imputed' income for work-able adults in elderly or disabled households	2014/2015	<i>Attachment C: Section C.11 and D.2.a</i>
Seven	Security Deposit Loan	2017/2019	<i>Attachment C: Section B.1b. Biii and B.1b.viii</i>
Eight	Increase in Payment Standards to 130% <i>*Approval 06/16/2022 in Amendment FY 2021-22</i>	2021/2022	<i>Attachment C, D (2)(a)</i>

(IV) Approved MTW Activities: (A) Implemented Activities

Activity One – Administrative Cost Savings and Self Sufficiency:

Planned Year Approved, Implemented, and Amended: This Activity was approved and implemented in 1999. The activity was amended in 2009.

Description/Impact/Update: From the beginning of the MTW Demonstration Program, HATC has participated in activities which help reduce administrative errors, increase efficiency and potentially reduce staffing in an effort to achieve greater cost effectiveness in federal expenditures. HATC suspended term limits to this activity due to the COVID-19 Pandemic and utilized the CARES Act Waiver Authority through September 30, 2021. These objectives were accomplished through the implementation of the following components:

- A. Fixed-proration amounts for mixed-family households with ineligible-alien-status family members. This was implemented at the onset of MTW in 1999 for program participants receiving fixed subsidies and subject to time limits; for all remaining families, this was planned in 2008 and implemented in 2009.
- B. Requiring Section 8 landlords to use the HUD-model lease. This was planned and implemented in 1999.
- C. Changing the definition of income to include “all income into the home of all MTW families.” This was planned in 2008 and implemented in 2009.
- D. Elimination of UAP payments by the establishment of a \$0 minimum rent. This was planned in 2008 and implemented in 2009.
- E. Allowing qualified participants to select a flat- or fixed-medical deduction instead of going through the extensive medical-expense-verification process. This was planned in 2008 and implemented in 2009.
- F. Fixed rents on the public-housing program for non-elderly or disabled families. This was planned and implemented in 1999.
- G. Fixed subsidies on the Section 8 program for non-elderly or disabled families. This was planned and implemented in 1999.
- H. A five-year time limit on assistance for non-elderly or disabled families. This was planned and implemented in 1999.
- I. Converting all able-bodied families who entered our program before May 1999 to programs with fixed rents/subsidies and time limits. This was planned in 2008 and implemented in 2009.
- J. Transitioning families who are not elderly or disabled and who began Section 8 HCV or Public Housing assistance in Tulare County prior to May 1, 1999 to the MTW Program. This was planned in 2009 and implemented in 2009.

Actual Non-Significant Changes: There was not any non-significant changes to this activity for this year.

Actual Changes to Metrics/Data Collection: There were no changes to the metrics or data collection methods this activity will be assessed during this year.

Actual Significant Changes: There were not any non-significant changes to this activity for this year.

CE#1: Agency Cost Savings

Components B, E & I of Activity One help achieve administrative cost effectiveness and reduce overall federal expenditures. By utilizing the projected amount of total time savings (CE#2) we calculated the average amount of money that is being saved through the implementation of Activity One to subject MTW households. We had previously utilized a similar methodology to calculate the achieved agency cost savings in our past MTW Reports and Plans. The projection was based on the amount that is being saved in comparison to the amount of time that would be spend on managing and processing a non MTW file at La Serena, which is operated under traditional Section 8 Regulations.

CE #1: Agency Cost Savings				
Unit of Measurement	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcome	Benchmark Achieved?
Total cost of task in dollars (decrease).	Cost of task prior to implementation of the activity (in dollars).	Expected cost of task after implementation of the activity (in dollars).	Actual cost of task after implementation of the activity (in dollars).	Whether the outcome meets or exceeds the benchmark.
Total cost to process a File without components of Activity One in dollars (decrease).	Clerk Labor → 851 Total Hours (See CE#2) x \$41.91 per hour = \$35,665 Occupancy Program Specialist Labor → 168 Total Hours (See CE#2) x \$57.49 per hour = \$9,658 TOTAL COST PRIOR TO ACTIVITY = \$45,323	Expected Clerk Labor → 0 Total Hours (See CE#2) x \$41.91 per hour = \$0 Expected Occupancy Program Specialist Labor → 0 Total Hours (See CE#2) x \$57.49 per hour = \$0 ANTICIPATED TOTAL COST AFTER IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTIVITY = \$0	Actual Clerk Labor → 0 Total Hours (See CE#2) x \$41.91 per hour = \$0 Actual Occupancy Program Specialist Labor → 0 Total Hours (See CE#2) x \$57.49 per hour = \$0 ACTUAL TOTAL COST AFTER IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTIVITY = \$0	The outcome meets the anticipated cost savings. HATC saved an estimated total of \$45,323 as result of the activity. The savings was due to the time savings achieved in processing an MTW File as outlined in CE#2. The noted savings would not be feasible without the programmatic modifications reported in Activity One.

CE#2: Staff Time Savings

The implementation of components B, E & I helped achieve administrative efficiency and increase administrative savings. Requiring Section 8 landlords to use the HUD-model lease, allowing qualified participants to select a flat-or fixed medical deduction and converting all able-bodied families to our MTW Section 8 HVC and Public Housing Programs with fixed subsidies/fixed rents and time limits present the opportunity for our staff to streamline the file review process for subject MTW households. The following metric calculated the amount an eligibility clerk and our Occupancy Program Specialist dedicate to process a file that doesn't utilize the noted components of this MTW activity.

The methodology of this metric was constructed during the submittal of the 2014-2015 MTW Plan. The imputed baseline was derived based on the amount of time it takes to process a non MTW file for our La Serena Project, which is operated under Traditional Section 8 Regulations, and projecting how much time was saved based on to the number of subject MTW households that utilized the noted components of this activity during FY 2022. This baseline measures how much time it takes staff to conduct and calculate interim income verifications and conduct retroactive rents on Traditional Files that are prohibited from utilizing time savings components. The imputed baseline also factored in the amount of staff time that it takes to calculate medical allowances, this calculation was based on the number of families that choose to have flat medical allowances in subject MTW households for this fiscal year.

CE #2: Staff Time Savings				
Unit of Measurement	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcome	Benchmark Achieved?
Total time to complete the task in staff hours (decrease).	Total amount of staff time dedicated to the task prior to implementation of the activity (in hours).	Expected amount of total staff time dedicated to the task after implementation of the activity (in hours).	Actual amount of total staff time dedicated to the task after implementation of the activity (in hours).	Whether the outcome meets or exceeds the benchmark.
Total number of hours spent to process a File without components of Activity One.	<p><i>Clerk's hours to review Non MTW Case=</i></p> <p>Interim Income Verification: 468</p> <p>Retro Rents: 216</p> <p>Medical Allowance: 167</p> <p><i>Occupancy Program Specialist hours to review Non MTW Case=</i></p> <p>Retro Rents: 108</p> <p>Leases: 60</p> <p>TOTAL STAFF HOURS SPENT PRIOR TO ACTIVITY=1,019</p>	<p><i>Expected Clerk hours to review MTW Case=</i></p> <p>Interim Income Verification: 0</p> <p>Retro Rents: 0</p> <p>Medical Allowance: 0</p> <p><i>Expected Occupancy Program Specialist hours to review MTW Case= 0</i></p> <p>Retro Rents: 0</p> <p>Leases: 0</p> <p>ANTICIPATED TOTAL STAFF HOURS= 0</p>	<p><i>Actual Clerk hours to review MTW Case=</i></p> <p>Interim Income Verification: 0</p> <p>Retro Rents: 0</p> <p>Medical Allowance: 0</p> <p><i>Actual Occupancy Program Specialist hours to review MTW Case= 0</i></p> <p>Retro Rents: 0</p> <p>Leases: 0</p> <p>ACTUAL TOTAL STAFF HOURS= 0</p>	The outcome meets the time savings anticipated. HATC saved an estimated total of 1,109 Staff Hours as result of the activity. The noted savings would not be feasible without the MTW Program time saving modifications described in Activity One.

CE#3: Decrease in Error Rate of Task Execution

The implementation of components B, C, D, E F, G & I of Activity One work towards achieving administrative efficiency by simplifying case processing. The implementation of the noted elements helps towards decreasing the overall error rate of eligibility clerks in the processing of cases. HATC had not been required to track such data in prior years; therefore, HATC developed administrative mechanisms to begin to track errors in the processing of MTW cases for the FY 2022.

Our Occupancy Program Specialist will inspect and oversee the effective filing of new MTW cases and review case files during interim examinations. The Occupancy Program Specialist will track all errors and present a monthly report to our Executive Director, which displays an average error rate (*number of errors/number of MTW cases*). The monthly reports will be calculated to achieve a yearly figure of average error rate. Data compiled in the next fiscal year will be utilized to develop a statistically significant baseline. Our projection is that data will show that our error rate will be low, and that it will remain the same or decrease as it's measured in the future. We do not expect high decreases in errors, as the noted components of Activity One have been implemented for a number of years.

CE #3: Decrease in Error Rate of Task Execution				
Unit of Measurement	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcome	Benchmark Achieved?
Average error rate in completing a task as a percentage (decrease).	Average error rate of task prior to implementation of the activity (percentage).	Expected average error rate of task after implementation of the activity (percentage).	Actual average error rate of task after implementation of the activity (percentage).	Whether the outcome meets or exceeds the benchmark.
Average error rate in completing a MTW File, as a percentage. (decrease)	Average error rate in processing MTW Files. Total Tracked Errors during FY 2015= 202 Total MTW Files= 2,231 $202/2,231 = .09$ AVERAGE ERROR RATE OF PROCESSING MTW FILES= 9%	ANTICIPATED AVERAGE ERROR RATE OF PROCESSING MTW FILES = 6%	Average error rate in processing MTW Files. Total Tracked Errors during FY 2022= 548 Total MTW Files= 1,753 $548/1,753 = 31\%$ ACTUAL AVERAGE ERROR RATE OF PROCESSING MTW FILES= 31%	The outcome did not meet the expected benchmark. We saw an increase in the percentage of errors within the MTW Files. We believe that this a result our staff turnover and staffing issues as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. We still strongly believe that the implementation of this activity is valuable in decreasing staff error and we are currently reinforcing training staff as such we think we will see in improvement next FY.

SS#1: Increase in Household Income

From the onset of the HATC MTW Program in 1999, families have been encouraged to become self-sufficient. This objective was accomplished through the implementation of components F, G, & H of Activity One. HATC has collected household income data on all MTW participants since the inception of the activity. We continuously collect this data at the participants’ annual reexaminations. HATC’s baseline is the average income that our participants had when they entered our MTW programs. Its benchmark was a snap shot of the current gross-income increase/decrease of MTW program participants.

SS #1: Increase in Household Income				
Unit of Measurement	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcome	Benchmark Achieved?
Average earned income of households affected by this policy in dollars (increase).	Average earned income of households affected by this policy prior to implementation of this activity (in dollars).	Expected average earned income of households affected by this policy prior to implementation of the activity (in dollars).	Actual Average earned income of households affected by this policy prior to implementation (in dollars).	Whether the outcome meets or exceeds the benchmark.
Average earned income of households enrolled in a MTW Program (increase).	Average earned income of households prior to participating in a MTW Program. TOTAL INCOME= \$15,055	10% average earned income increase from the time they first enrolled in a MTW Program. \$15,055 x .10= \$1,505 \$15,055+\$1,505= ANTICIPATED TOTAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME= \$16,560	ACTUAL AVERAGE HOUSEHOLD INCOME = \$33,649	The outcome exceeded the benchmark in this category. On average earned household incomes increased by \$18,594. That’s a 124% average earned income increase from the time they first enrolled in a MTW Program. The noted outcome, displays the effectiveness of this activity in enhancing the self-sufficiency of program participants.

SS #3: Increase in Positive Outcomes in Employment Status:

One of HATC’s objectives is to increase incentives for families to seek employment, meet educational goals or to participate in job-training programs in order to achieve economic self-sufficiency. The implementations of components F, G & H of Activity One have assisted in the achievement of positive employment status for participants of our MTW programs.

HATC has gathered data of MTW program participants as they entered our program to determine their employment status. HATC has tracked the employment status of head of household(s) in two categories: 1) employed full-time 2) employed part-time. HATC imputed a baseline to calculate the percentage of head of household(s) that participate in a MTW Program that were considered to be: 1) employed full-time 2) employed part-time prior to them participating in a MTW program. This baseline was compared to the current percentage of MTW head household(s) that report their employment status to be 1) employed full-time 2) employed part-time. Our benchmark expected an increase of five *pp* (percentage points) in the number of MTW head(s) of households that increased their employment status to be employed full-time, and a five *pp increase* in the total of MTW head(s) of households that reported to be employed part-time.

SS #3: Increase in Positive Outcomes in Employment Status (Full-Time)				
Unit of Measurement	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcome	Benchmark Achieved?
Report the following information separately for each category: (1) Employed Full- Time	Head(s) of households employed Full-Time prior to implementation of Activity One. This number may be zero.	Expected Head(s) of households employed Full-Time prior to implementation of Activity One.	Actual head(s) of households employed Full-Time after implementation of Activity One.	Whether the outcome meets or exceeds the benchmark.
	367 PH & Section 8 work-able head(s) of households employed Full-Time (across 1,117 households) = 33% TOTAL PRIOR TO ACTIVITY ONE= 33%	Expected 5 (<i>pp</i>) Increase in PH & Section 8 work-able head(s) of households employed Full-Time (across total 1,117 households) =33% <i>33pp + 5pp= 38pp</i> TOTAL ANTICIPATED DUE TO ACTIVITY ONE=38%	959 PH & Section 8 work-able head(s) of households employed Full-Time (across 1,753 households) = 55% ACTUAL TOTAL= 55%	The outcome exceeds the anticipated benchmark.

SS #3: Increase in Positive Outcomes in Employment Status (Part-Time)				
Unit of Measurement	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcome	Benchmark Achieved?
Report the following information separately for each category: (2) Employed Part-Time	Head(s) of households employed Part-Time prior to implementation of the Activity One. This number may be zero.	Expected head(s) of households employed Part-Time after implementation of the Activity One.	Actual head(s) of households employed Part-Time after implementation of the Activity One.	Whether the outcome meets or exceeds the benchmark.
	144 PH & Section 8 work-able head(s) of households employed Part-Time (across 1,117 households) = 13% TOTAL PRIOR TO ACTIVITY ONE= 13%	Expected 5 (<i>pp</i>) Increase in PH & Section 8 work-able head(s) of households employed Part-Time (across total 1,117 households) =13% <i>13pp + 5pp = 18pp</i> TOTAL ANTICIPATED DUE TO ACTIVITY ONE=18%	383 PH & Section 8 work-able head(s) of households employed Full-Time (across 1,753 households) = 22% ACTUAL TOTAL= 22%	The outcome drastically exceeded the expected outcome.

SS#4: Households Removed from TANF

Our agency has worked to accomplish the objective of increasing incentives for families to seek employment, meet educational goals and/or to participate in job-training programs to achieve economic self-sufficiency. Our goal is that the implementation of components F, G & H from Activity One help non-elderly or non-disabled families to achieve self-sufficiency and eliminate their need to rely on any type of governmental monetary assistance once timed out of the MTW Demonstration Program.

The methodology to develop a historical baseline was calculated by reporting on the number of households receiving TANF assistance prior to our agency participating in our MTW Program; this figure was based on the total amount of TANF beneficiaries in the month of February. HATC will ensure that there is data consistency, by always calculating the outcome from the data reported in the month of February. Reporting on the month of February will ensure that months that are statistical outliers are not interfering with the effective reporting that our agency is trying to achieve. This is necessary, as our agency serves populations that are employed in the agricultural sector; which tends to have erratic employment patterns due to high employment months during the time of harvest, and minimal employment opportunities during the winter months. Our benchmark was based on the projection that there was going to be a 15 percent decrease in the current number of MTW households that receive TANF assistance.

SS #4: Households Removed from Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)				
Unit of Measurement	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcome	Benchmark Achieved?
Number of households receiving TANF assistance (decrease).	Households receiving TANF prior to implementation of the Activity One.	Expected number of households receiving TANF after implementation of Activity One.	Actual households receiving TANF after implementation of Activity One.	Where the outcome meets or exceeds the benchmark.
Number of households receiving TANF assistance.	Households (across 1,117 households) receiving TANF prior to implementation of Activity One= 632 TOTAL PRIOR TO ACTIVITY ONE= 632	Expected 15% decrease in the Households (across 1,117 households) receiving TANF prior to implementation of Activity One=632 632 x .15= 95 632-95= 537 TOTAL ANTICIPATED DUE TO ACTIVITY ONE=537	Actual Households (across 1,753 households) receiving TANF after the implementation of Activity One= 529 ACTUAL TOTAL HOUSEHOLDS RECEIVING TANF ASSISTANCE = 529	HATC did meet the decrease total number of current households receiving TANF. The decrease was by 16%. The increase in households subject to this activity also increased by 57%. HATC comparison of the percentage, of current subject households receiving TANF to the percentage of such households prior to the implementation of Activity as an effective methodology to calculate this metric.

**Based on the data reported above there is a 27-percentage point decrease in the subject households receiving TANF, this output displays a more statistically significant measure of the effectiveness of this activity, as it relates to promoting self-sufficiency to subject households. (Methodology: 632/1,117 = 57% Households Receiving TANF Prior to Activity One, 529/1,753= 30 % Actual Households Receiving the Implementation of Activity One, .57 -.30 = .27)*

SS #5: Households Assisted by Services that Increase Self-Sufficiency

HATC was instructed to include SS#5 in the list of metrics to assess this activity. However, HATC clarified that our agency does not administer any social services within any of the rental assistance programs or properties it administers. Therefore, we don't expect to produce any statistically significant data for this metric. Our baseline, benchmark, and outcome are zero.

SS #5: Households Assisted by Services that Increase Self-Sufficiency				
Unit of Measurement	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcome	Benchmark Achieved?
Number of households receiving services aimed to increase self-sufficiency (increase).	Households receiving self-sufficiency services prior to implementation of Activity One.	Expected number of households receiving self-sufficiency services after implementation of the Activity One.	Actual number of households receiving self-sufficiency services after implementation of Activity One.	Whether the outcome meets or exceeds the benchmark.
Number of households receiving services aimed to increase self-sufficiency.	Current number of households receiving services aimed to increase self-sufficiency prior to implementation of Activity One. TOTAL PRIOR TO ACTIVITY ONE=0	Expected number of households receiving self-sufficiency services after the implementation of Activity One. TOTAL ANTICIPATED DUE TO ACTIVITY ONE=0	ACTUAL HOUSEHOLDS RECEIVING SELF-SUFFICIENCY SERVICES DUE TO ACTIVITY ONE= 0	The outcome meets the established benchmark.

SS #6: Reducing Per Unit Subsidy Costs for Participating Households

The implementation of component H of Activity One is programmatically designed to try to reduce the per-unit subsidy costs for families that participate in our MTW Section 8 HCV Program. Unfortunately, HATC had not been required to track such data in prior years; therefore, HATC did not have statistically valid data to calculate a historical baseline. HATC constructed an imputed a baseline that was utilized to display if there was a reduction in the per-unit subsidy costs for participating Section 8 HCV MTW households. The baseline was derived by using the per-unit subsidy cost for the noted program at the Fresno Housing Authority.

We compared their per-unit subsidy costs for their traditional Section 8 HCV Program and compared it to our 2016-2017 average per-unit subsidy costs for our modified MTW Section 8 HCV Program. We projected that our per-unit subsidy costs were going to be 15 percent lower for the year 2016-2017, in comparison to the per-unit subsidy costs of the traditional Section 8 HCV Program managed by the Fresno Housing Authority. The data to develop the baseline was provided by the Fresno Housing Authority’s Information Technology Department.

SS #6: Reducing Per Unit Subsidy Costs for Participating Households (Section 8)				
Unit of Measurement	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcome	Benchmark Achieved?
Average amount of Section 8 subsidy per household affected by this policy in dollars (decrease).	Average subsidy per household affected by this policy prior to implementation of the activity (in dollars).	Expected average subsidy per household affected by this policy after implementation of the activity (in dollars).	Actual Average subsidy per household affected by this policy of the activity (in dollars).	Whether the outcome meets or exceeds the benchmark.
Average amount of Section 8 subsidy per household affected by Activity One.	Current average Section 8 subsidy per household for Fresno Housing Authority participants. TOTAL PRIOR TO ACTIVITY ONE=\$490	Expected 15% decrease in the average Section 8 subsidy per household for HATC participants. 490 x .15= 74 490-74= 416 ANTICIPATED TOTAL DUE TO ACTIVITY ONE=\$416	 ACTUAL TOTAL AVERAGE SECTION 8 SUBSIDY= \$ 601	The outcome did not meet the decrease in Section 8 subsidy. In retrospect, the benchmark we set forward in the initial metric methodology is not a feasible output. The components of this Activity are not designed to have a substantial impact on the overall reduction in subsidy pay outs to subject Section 8 participants. Furthermore, Section 8 payment standards have increased over the years; this results in an increase the per unit subsidy over time.

SS#7: Increase in Agency Rental Revenue:

Component F of Activity One systematically increases the agency’s rental revenue. Unfortunately, HATC had not been required to track such data in prior years; therefore, HATC did not have statistically valid data to calculate a historical baseline. HATC imputed a baseline to display an increase in agency rental revenue due as a direct result of the implementation of Activity One.

HATC developed the baseline by utilizing data from the Fresno Housing Authority, which operates a Public Housing Program under traditional HUD Regulations. We compared the current average rental revenue of the Fresno Housing Authority’s Public Housing Program and compared it to our 2021-2022 average rental revenue for our modified MTW Public Housing Program. We projected that rental revenue from our MTW Public Housing Program was going to be 15 percent higher for the year 2021-2022, in comparison to the rental revenue the Fresno Housing Authority collects in 2014 from their traditional Public Housing Program. The data to develop the baseline was provided by the Fresno Housing Authority’s Information Technology Department.

SS #7: Increase in Agency Rental Revenue (Public Housing)				
Unit of Measurement	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcome	Benchmark Achieved?
PHA rental revenue in dollars (increases).	PHA rental revenue prior to implementation of the activity (in dollars).	Expected PHA rental revenue after implementation of the activity (in dollars).	Actual PHA rental revenue after implementation of the activity (in dollars).	Whether the outcome meets or exceeds the benchmark.
PHA rental revenue in dollars. (Per Unit Average)	Fresno Housing Authority’s per unit rental revenue from its Public Housing Program. TOTAL PRIOR TO ACTIVITY ONE=\$165	Expected 15% increase in HATC’s per unit rental revenue from its MTW Public Housing Program. 165 x .15= 25 165 + 25 =190 ANTICIPATED TOTAL DUE TO ACTIVITY ONE=\$190	Actual HATC per unit rental revenue from its MTW Public Housing Program. ACTUAL PER UNIT RENTAL REVENUE=\$509	The outcome significantly exceeds the expected per unit rental revenue (a per unit increase of \$344).

SS#8: Households Transitioned to Self Sufficiency

HATC has been committed to develop and manage programs that encourage and facilitate self-sufficiency to its participants. Components F, G & H of Activity One directly work towards the accomplishment of the MTW statutory objective, “give incentives to families with children where the head of household is working, seeking work, or is preparing for work by participants in job training, educational programs, or programs that assist people to obtain employment and become economically self-sufficient”. HATC collaborates with local non-profits in order to provide the necessary supportive programs that will assist program participants to achieve self-sufficiency. All interested participants are referred to the local non-profits that provide educational enhancement programs, first time home buyers programs, financial literacy programs and employment assistance programs.

As noted in Section (II) Operating Information, self-sufficiency is defined by HATC in this Plan as those non-elderly or non-disabled households that time out after five years from a MTW Program (Section 8 HCV or Public Housing) and do not re-apply to a HATC program within three months. HATC projected that 25 percent of those timing out in 2021-2022 were not going to re-apply to another HATC program within three months of timing out. There was a total of 259 MTW families that timed out during the 2022 FY.

<i>SS #8: Households Transitioned to Self Sufficiency</i>				
Unit of Measurement	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcome	Benchmark Achieved?
Number of households transitioned to self-sufficiency (increase). The PHA may create one or more definitions for “self-sufficiency” to use for this metric. Each time the PHA uses this metric, the “Outcome” number should also be provided in Section (II) Operation Information the space provided.	Households transitioned to self-sufficiency prior to implementation of Activity One. This number may be zero.	Expected households transitioned to self-sufficiency after the implementation of Activity One.	Actual households transitioned to self-sufficiency after implementation of Activity One.	Whether the outcome meets or exceeds the benchmark.
Local Definition (from Section II): Number of non-elderly or non-disabled households that time out after five years from a MTW Program (Section 8 or Public Housing) and do not re-apply to a HATC program within three months.	PH work-able head(s) of households meeting this definition (across 201 households) = 0 PRIOR TO ACTIVITY = 0	PH work-able head(s) of households meeting this definition (across 201households) $201 \times .25 =$ TOTAL =50	PH work-able head(s) of households meeting this definition (across 321 households) =274 ACTUAL = 259	The outcome here significantly exceeded the benchmark. During this FY 83% of the households that timed out did not re-apply to another HATC Program within three months.

HC#3: Decrease in Wait List Time

Unlike other PHAs in our area, HATC currently maintains open waiting lists for both Section 8 HCV and Public Housing Programs. We strongly believe that the implementation of five-year time limits on assistance for non-elderly or non-disabled families has enabled us to continuously maintain open waiting lists. External economic variables beyond our agency’s control have driven the demand and need for Section 8 and Public Housing Programs. In the year 2000, a year into the participation of the MTW demonstration, our agency had 3,064 applicants for the Public Housing Program and 5,256 applicants for the Section 8 HCV Program.

HC #3: Decrease in Wait List Time				
Unit of Measurement	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcome	Benchmark Achieved?
Average applicant time on wait list in months (decrease).	Average applicant time on wait list prior to implementation of the activity (in months).	Expected Average applicant time on wait list after implementation of the activity (in months).	Actual Average applicant time on wait list after implementation of the activity (in months).	Whether the outcome meets or exceeds the benchmark.
Average applicant time on wait list in months (decrease).	Average applicant time on public housing wait list= 13 months Average applicant time on HCV wait list= 36 months $13 + 36=49$ $49/2 =25$ AVERAGE TIME PRIOR TO ACTIVITY= 25 months	Expected average applicant time on public housing wait list= 13 months Expected average applicant time on HCV wait list= 36 months $13 + 36=49$ $49/2 =25$ EXPECTED AVERAGE TIME PRIOR TO ACTIVITY= 25 months	Actual average applicant time on public housing wait list= 46 months Actual average applicant time on HCV wait list= 44 months $46+44= 90$ $90/2= 45$ ACTUAL AVERAGE TIME = 45	The outcome did not meet the benchmark. As projected, the demand for Rental Assistance and Affordable Housing in our area as doubled the average applicant time on the Public Housing and HCV wait list. This increase in demand has doubled the time applicants spend on our waiting list

** We currently have 318% increase Public Housing applicants (18,813) and have a 312% increase in applicants for Section 8 (9,343). Thus, we anticipated that even with the implementation of time limits, the average wait list time will not be reduced. However, our agency is confident that the participation in the MTW Demonstration Program allows us to keep our waiting lists open. The additional turnover as a result of time limits provides a fairer method of distributing housing subsidies and serves to keep waiting lists shorter in duration than what they would otherwise be.*

MTW Hardship Policy: This activity utilizes elements of rent reform; the following is HATC's Hardship Policy:

The Housing Authority recognizes that substantial, unforeseen hardships may arise, such that families cannot pay their full rent or would experience a significant rent increase. In such cases, the families may apply to the Housing Authority for relief. The Housing Authority shall consider such a request, taking into consideration other local resources available to the family. Such requests must be in writing, stating the reason for the hardship and the expected duration.

Relief may consist of the following:

- 1. Deferral of a portion of the rent.*
- 2. Extension of the assistance period*
- 3. Conversion to the income-based program (if family is on the program with a fixed subsidy and time limit)*
- 4. A full or partial waiver of imputed income amounts per work-able family member*

Consideration will be given for hardship when a family has suffered a catastrophic change, which caused the death, illness or long-term disability of an adult family member, which resulted in the loss of income to the family. These families will be referred to CSET for an assessment of options and links to other community resources for recovery. A contract will be signed with the family stipulating the change to their Moving-to-Work assistance/rent and the steps the family will take to work toward self-sufficiency. The contract will specify the amount by which the family's portion of rent has changed, and for what duration. The amount by which the rent will be changed will be determined by Housing Authority staff on a case-by-case basis. If all possible work-able family member(s) become(s) permanently disabled, the family will automatically be changed to a traditional income-based program with no time limit, without having an assessment done by CSET or submitting a hardship request in writing.

In cases where a CSET evaluation is not possible or productive, and where there are still possible work-able family members, the hardship request will be presented to a Hardship Committee made up of community citizens who have sufficient knowledge of the MTW program to make informed decisions as to the disposition of rental assistance for such families. Decisions of the Hardship Committee will be final.

Since the commencement of the MTW Demonstration Program, HATC has had a total of 827 hardship requests. Participants are allowed to request more than one hardship so the total number includes second- and third-time requests. The committee will at times only allow certain participants the right to request multiple hardships. However, they may rule that the one hardship given is the only extension allowed and no further request will be honored. During FY 2022, we had a total of 54 requests. These requests solicited different types of actions, such as requesting to be converted to the income-based program or requesting additional time on the program. Families that submitted these requests had medical problems or they felt that they did not have enough income to pay the total contract rent due to changes in familial status.

Of the 54 requests; 35 families were given full one-year extensions; 28 families were denied full twelve-month extensions and three families were switched to the income-based program. However, as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, since March 27 2020, HATC temporarily suspended the implementation of term limits on both Section 8 and Public Housing participants that were set to time during the 2021 fiscal year. Therefore, families that were denied were able to retain their tenancy or rental assistance through September 30, 2021. All

households set to timeout during the 2022 FY were given the opportunity to retain their Section 8 rental assistance or their tenancy in our Public Housing Program; regardless of whether they submitted a request for hardship.

Challenges in Achieving Benchmarks and Possible Strategies: The analysis of the outcomes to baselines and benchmarks indicate that this activity is effective in achieving administrative cost savings and encouraging and facilitating participants to become self-sufficient.

Activity Two-Increasing Housing Choices:

Planned Year Approved, Implemented, and Amended: This Activity was approved and implemented in 2009. The activity has not been amended.

Description/Impact/Update: In the 2008/2009 Plan, HATC discussed the necessity of increasing the housing choices for program participants. Traditional Section 8 Regulations require that families who move to a unit for the first time are limited to paying 40% of their income toward rent. This has caused problems for families who, for one reason or another, want to rent a particular unit either in a safer neighborhood, near family or services, or with particular amenities that fit their needs. Under our current MTW Program, this is not a limitation to households that are under a fixed subsidy. However, many elderly and/or disabled families prefer to not take the fixed subsidy option and are under traditional Section 8 subsidy calculations. This activity allows households with an elderly and/or disabled head of household that is receiving an income-based rental subsidy, to move to a unit that is best for their overall best interest; without being restricted by the aforementioned 40% rule. HATC believes that the elimination of the 40% rule for families on the income-based program will achieve the MTW statutory objective on increasing housing choices for low-income families. This activity is still on-going.

Actual Non-Significant Changes: There were not any non-significant changes to this activity for this year.

Actual Changes to Metrics/Data Collection: There were no changes to the metrics or data collection methods this activity will be assessed during this year.

Actual Significant Changes: There were not any non-significant changes to this activity for this year.

HC#5: Increase in Resident Mobility

HC #5: Increase in Resident Mobility				
Unit of Measurement	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcome	Benchmark Achieved?
Number of households able to move to a better unit and/or neighborhood of opportunity as a result of the activity (increase).	Households able to move to a better unit/and or neighborhood of opportunity prior to implementation of the Activity Two.	Expected households able to move to a better unit and/or neighborhood of opportunity after implementation of the Activity Two.	Actual increase in households able to move to a better unit and/or neighborhood of opportunity after implementation of Activity Two.	Whether the outcome meets or exceeds the benchmark.
Number of households able to move to a better unit and/or neighborhood of opportunity as a result of the elimination of the 40% rule for income based-participants	TOTAL HOUSEHOLDS= 0	EXPECTED TOTAL= 20	ACTUAL TOTAL= 9	The expected outcome was not achieved. The activity produced positive results; allowing a total of 9 additional households that were able to choose units they would not have been allowed to rent without this activity. However, we have seen a reduction of households that utilize this activity due to increase in Market Rents our County is experiencing.

Challenges in Achieving Benchmarks and Possible Strategies: The outcomes display an increase in housing choices for low-income families. Such outcomes display the continuous positive outcomes as a result of this activity.

Activity Four – Project Based Section 8:

Planned Year Approved, Implemented, and Amended: This Activity was approved in 2008 and implemented in 2011. The activity is being amended in this plan year.

Description/Impact/Update: In our 2009-2010 MTW Plan, HATC discussed the building of 30 single-family units in the city of Tulare that would allow HATC to participate in the project-based voucher program for the first time. This complex, Tule Vista, was built in conjunction with the City of Tulare Redevelopment Agency. HATC was authorized to undertake such initiative by its Moving-to-Work Agreement, Attachment C, Section (D)(1)(e) and D (7). These regulations authorize waiving of a competitive process by which an agency requests to project base Section 8 vouchers at units that are owned and/or managed by the MTW PHA. They also, authorize waiving the limitation on only allowing 25% of units in a complex to be project based. These subsidies can be particularly crucial in the rating and ranking of Tax Credit Allocation Committee of the State Treasurer’s Office (LIHTC) applications. This process continues to become more competitive and the ability to offer this option increases financial guarantees which increase interest from possible investors. Tule Vista was constructed and all 30 project-based vouchers are under lease

In our 2021-2022 MTW Plan we also discussed adding an additional 81 project-based vouchers; for two new projects: 627 S. Fulgham and Myrtle Ave. Senior Apartments. Through a partnership with Tulare Mental Health Service Act Housing Inc. (TMHSA) this new housing project will provide housing and on-site mental health services to fifteen individuals. TMHSA is leveraging funding in order to develop this new project; in order for the project to be financially attainable and retain the necessary funding for the development; it was requested to utilize our ability to project based each unit. The completion of this project will meet a great demand within our community and will allows us to effectively leverage various public funds and private investment. We initially anticipated that project would be completed during this fiscal year; but due to the inability of securing enough funding we anticipate that the project will not begin construction until we establishing funding. The Myrtle Ave. Senior Apartments is a 66-unit project consisting of; 62 one-bedroom units, 4 two-bedroom units for a proposed Senior Housing Project under the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Project. Myrtle Ave., is currently under construction and we foresee completion of this development in 2023.

We also, project-based 8 Mainstream Vouchers for a new development in Dinuba Sierra Village that we will soon begin to house once the development is done. In addition, we received HUD approval to release another Request for Proposal for the opportunity to project-base an additional 35 Mainstream Vouchers.

We are also evaluating all other project-based options for future developments too.

Actual Non-Significant Changes: There were not any non-significant changes to this activity for this year.

Actual Changes to Metrics/Data Collection: There were no changes to the metrics or data collection methods this activity will be assessed during this year.

Actual Significant Changes: There were not any non-significant changes to this activity for this year

HC#4: Displacement Prevention

We will report the achievement of this objective by reporting the number of households (at or below 80 AMI) that would have lost rental assistance. The baseline for this metric will be zero. Our projection is that 30 of those households at or below 80 AMI would lose rental assistance, this will be our benchmark.

HC #4: Displacement Prevention				
Unit of Measurement	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcome	Benchmark Achieved?
Number of households at or below 80% AMI that would lose assistance or need to move (decrease).	Households losing assistance/moving prior to implementation of Activity Four.	Expected households losing assistance/moving after implementation of Activity Four.	Actual households losing assistance/moving after the implementation of Activity Four.	Whether the outcome meets or exceeds the benchmark.
Number of households at or below 80% AMI that would lose assistance or need to move.	0	30	0	The outcome meets the benchmark, 0 household lost rental assistance and were not displaced.

CE#1: Agency Cost Savings

HATC has not project based any additional Section 8 HCVs since the utilization of this activity in 2011; therefore, we don't anticipate or have any agency cost savings to report; the baseline and benchmark will be zero.

CE #1: Agency Cost Savings				
Unit of Measurement	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcome	Benchmark Achieved?
Total cost of task in dollars (decrease).	Cost of task prior to implementation of the activity (in dollars).	Expected cost of task after implementation of the activity (in dollars).	Actual cost of task after implementation of the activity (in dollars).	Whether the outcome meets or exceeds the benchmark.
Total cost to request to Project Base Section 8 HCVs in dollars (decrease).	TOTAL COST PRIOR TO ACTIVITY = \$ 0	TOTAL COST AFTER THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE ACTIVITY = \$0	0	The outcome meets the expected benchmark.

CE#2: Staff Time Savings

HATC has not project based any additional Section 8 HCVs since the utilization of this activity in 2011; therefore, we don't anticipate or have any staff time savings to report, the baseline and benchmark will be zero.

CE #2: Staff Time Savings				
Unit of Measurement	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcome	Benchmark Achieved?
Total time to complete the task in staff hours (decrease).	Total amount of staff time dedicated to the task prior to implementation of the activity (in hours).	Expected amount of total staff time dedicated to the task after implementation of the activity (in hours).	Actual amount of total staff time dedicated to the task after implementation of the activity (in hours).	Whether the outcome meets or exceeds the benchmark.
Total number of hours spent to put together a request to Project Base Section 8 HCVs.	TOTAL STAFF HOURS SPENT PRIOR TO ACTIVITY=0	ANTICIPATED TOTAL STAFF HOURS= 0	0	The outcome meets the expected benchmark.

Challenges in Achieving Benchmarks and Possible Strategies: The analysis of the outcomes to baselines and benchmarks indicate that this activity is effective in increasing housing choices for low-income families.

Activity Five - Development of Additional Affordable Housing:

Activity Five - Development of Additional Affordable Housing				
Complex	Location	Acquired	No. of Units	Funding Sources
Robinwood Court	Visalia	2007	10	HOME/Visalia RDA/MTW
Millcreek Parkway	Visalia	2008	70	Visalia RDA/MTW ¹
3725-3731 W. Myrtle Ct.	Visalia	11/3/2008	4	HATC Non-Profit/MTW
3716 -3722 W. Myrtle Ct.	Visalia	1/8/2009	4	HATC Non-Profit/MTW
3724-3730 W. Myrtle Ct.	Visalia	1/20/2009	4	HATC Non-Profit/MTW
3709-3715 W. Myrtle Ct.	Visalia	2/9/2009	4	HATC Non-Profit/MTW
3717-3723 W. Myrtle Ct.	Visalia	2/24/2009	4	HATC Non-Profit/MTW
3732-3738 W. Myrtle Ct.	Visalia	4/7/2009	4	HATC Non-Profit/MTW
3708-3714 W. Myrtle Ct.	Visalia	5/15/2009	4	HATC Non-Profit/MTW
3700-3706 W. Myrtle Ct	Visalia	12/18/2009	4	HATC Non-Profit/MTW
1183 & 1193 S. Vetter Dr. 301 - 445 W. Oakwood Dr.	Tulare	9/4/2009	20	MTW/Tulare RDA ²
2934 County Center Dr.	Visalia	4/1/2010	1	HATC Non-Profit/MTW
4042-2046 S. Tracy Court	Visalia	9/3/2010	3	HATC Non-Profit/MTW
West Oriole	Visalia	2010/2018	12	MTW/Visalia RDA ³
Tulare NSP	Tulare	2011	5	Tulare RDA/NSP/MTW
West Trail	Tulare	2011	49	USDA/ CTCAC/MTW

Tule Vista*	Tulare	2011	57	USDA/CTCAC/BOND/Tulare RDA/MTW ⁴
Lotas & Newcomb	Porterville	2013	8	MTW ⁵
East Kaweah Ave.	Visalia	2013	8	MTW/RDA/HOME ⁶
Aspen Court	Tulare	2013	47	RDA/HATC/MTW/CTCAC ⁷
Country Manor	Tulare	2013	40	MTW ⁸
Sequoia Villas	Lindsay	2014	19	MTW/RDA Successor Agency ⁹
Central	Visalia	2014	6	HATC/MTW
Liberty & Court	Visalia	2014	7	HATC/MTW
Visalia Gardens	Visalia	2014	48	HATC/MTW
E. Cross	Tulare	2014	4	HATC/MTW
1475 S. College	Dinuba	2014	1	HATC/MTW
2724 E. Goshen	Visalia	2015	1	HATC/MTW
1400-1408 S. Crowe	Visalia	2015	6	HATC/MTW
701-719 Lynora	Visalia	2015	4	HATC/MTW
Victor & Woodland	Visalia	2015	3	HATC/MTW
709-731 N. Leslie	Visalia	2015	9	HATC/MTW
Newcomb Court	Porterville	2015	80	HATC/MTW/CTCAC
Orangewood	Lindsay	2016	56	HATC/MTW
Belmont	Exeter	2016	25	HATC/MTW
1321 S. Central	Visalia	2016	13	HATC/MTW
1325 S. Central	Visalia	2016	11	HATC/MTW
200 E. Paradise	Visalia	2016	1	HATC/MTW
1818 S. Garden	Visalia	2016	5	HATC/MTW
617-619 S. Santa Fe	Visalia	2017	6	HATC/MTW
2041-2045 S. Tracy Ct.	Visalia	2017	3	HATC/MTW
1215 S. Central	Visalia	2017	33	HATC/MTW
1916-1938 Vassar	Visalia	2017	4	HATC/MTW
Ashland Apartments	Lindsay	2017	10	HATC/MTW
1001 N. Bates	Dinuba	2017	14	HATC/MTW
1844-1852 S. Garden	Visalia	2018	20	HATC/MTW
605 N. Quince	Exeter	2018	2	HATC/MTW

1001 E. Myrtle	Visalia	2018	1	HATC/MTW
Roosevelt Apartments	Tulare	2018	24	HATC/MTW
3921 - 3977 S. Shady	Visalia	2018	4	HATC/MTW
607 N. Quince	Exeter	2019	2	HATC/MTW
1105 S. 1 St.	Dinuba	2018	2	HATC/MTW
1020 S. Edison	Visalia	2019	2	HATC/MTW
2208 - 2214 N. Vickie Ct.	Visalia	2019	4	HATC/MTW
2222 - 2228 N. Vickie Ct.	Visalia	2019	4	HATC/MTW
2236 - 2242 N. Vickie Ct.	Visalia	2019	4	HATC/MTW
2237 - 2243 N. Vickie Ct.	Visalia	2019	4	HATC/MTW
609 N. Quince	Exeter	2019	2	HATC/MTW
2750 W. Lark Ave.	Visalia	2018	4	HATC/MTW ¹⁰
Cypress Gardens	Visalia	2019	14	HATC/MTW
4242 - 4248, 4440 - 4446 W. Douglas	Visalia	2019	8	HATC/MTW
4130 - 4136, 4110 - 4116 W. Douglas	Visalia	2019	8	HATC/MTW
4340 - 4346 W. Douglas	Visalia	2020	4	HATC/MTW
245 S. Crenshaw	Visalia	2020	3	HATC/MTW
800 N. Jacob	Visalia	2020	6	HATC/MTW
Mission Court	Tulare	2020	65	HATC/MTW ¹¹
99 Palms Hotel	Tulare	2020	55	HATC/MTW ¹²
569 N. Elmwood	Lindsay	2020	21	HATC/MTW
540 E. Lakeview	Woodlake	2020	10	HATC/MTW
514 S. Bridge	Visalia	2020	7	HATC/MTW
2922-2928 N. Zachary	Visalia	2020	4	HATC/MTW
1121 W. Tulare	Visalia	2020	4	HATC/MTW
1634 S. Garden	Visalia	2020	5	HATC/MTW
1488, 1492, 1496 Manzanita	Tulare	2021 (January 29, 2021)	3	HATC/MTW
1800 E. Vassar, 1840-42 S. Regina	Visalia	2021	3	HATC/MTW
2425-35 E. Salle	Visalia	2021	4	HATC/MTW
4240 W. Cypress	Visalia	2021	4	HATC/MTW
621 S. Santa Fe Street	Visalia	2021 (August 20, 2021)	4	HATC/MTW

404 N. Lynora Street	Tulare	2021 (August 11, 2021)	10	HATC/MTW
Added during FY 07/01/2022-06/30/2022				
4200-4206 W. Cypress Ave	Visalia	2021 (November 12, 2021)	4	HATC/MTW
4334-4340 W. Cypress Ave	Visalia	2021 (November 12, 2021)	4	HATC/MTW
707 S. Court Street	Visalia	2021 (November 1, 2021)	4	HATC/MTW
711 S. Court Street	Visalia	2021 (November 1, 2021)	4	HATC/MTW
801 S. Conyer St.	Visalia	2021 (December 29, 2021)	4	HATC/MTW
701-719 N. Lynora	Tulare	2021 (October 10, 2021)	4	HATC/MTW
Cherry Heights 1093 N Cherry	Tulare	2022 (June 10, 2022)	36	HATC/MTW
2632-2638 St. Johns Parkway	Visalia	2022 (June 23, 2022)	4	HATC/MTW
Crepe Myrtle 700-736 S. Linwood/4431-4445 W. Myrtle/4430 W. Cypress Ave	Visalia	2022 (June 17, 2022)	11	HATC/MTW
Total MTW Financed 1,127 Units				

**There are 30 Project Based Vouchers within the Tule Vista Project; the ability to allocate these vouchers was essential in the feasibility to develop the project. Although, they are counted in the total number of MTW Financed Units, they are not counted in property based local, non-traditional category on pg.9. Per HUD's determination, Project Based Vouchers are counted in the Federal MTW Voucher Category.*

Planned Year Approved, Implemented, and Amended: This Activity was approved and implemented in 2009. The activity has not been amended.

Description/Impact/Update: This activity allows combining of funding and partnerships with non-profit agencies and contributions of MTW funds to these projects are authorized to make use of the “Broader Uses of Funds” in HATC’s Attachment D of the Standards MTW Agreement.

The historical impact of this activity on the development of additional affordable housing has been significant. Our ability to utilize unspent funding and program reserves from our Voucher Program to finance the acquisition of additional affordable housing units through our partnership with Kaweah Management Company, a non-profit agency managed by HATC, has resulted in an addition of 1,127 units to our housing stock portfolio. This is critical to our agency’s ability to meet the demand for more affordable housing within our county. During the 2022 FY we were able to acquire an additional 75 housing units. A total of \$11,440,000 of unspent funding and program reserves from our voucher program were utilized to acquire these units. The table above displays a historical outlook on the number of additional affordable housing units that have been acquired through the utilization of unspent funding and program reserves from our voucher program.

¹ HATC used MTW reserve money to provide Gap financing between the bank loan from US Bank and trust and the total development costs. The MTW money allowed for the project to be attractively financed at a below market rate interest and the ability to build the \$13,500,000 project. The MTW funds will still earn much more than would have been earned on them had they been invested in a traditional bank savings account.

² The Housing Authority board approved the use of unspent funding and program reserves from our voucher in the amount of \$950,000 to purchase the 20-unit project in the City of Tulare redevelopment area in cooperation with the City of Tulare Redevelopment Agency, which authorized the use of tax-increment funds to be granted to Kaweah Management Company. MTW flexibility allowed for the quick closing on this project to help fight blight in the City of Tulare.

³ This project was the purchase of two foreclosed fourplex properties in the City of Visalia Redevelopment Target Area. The initial purchase of each property was at \$365,000. The two properties were then financed by our local banking partner Valley Business Bank in the amount of \$250,000 per property at 5% interest for 25 years, allowing for the MTW proceeds to be used again for another project.

⁴ The Tule Vista project is financed by multiple sources. One source is unspent funding and program reserves from our Voucher Program in the amount of \$3,900,000 to be used as a bridge loan during the 15-year, tax-credit-compliance period. The project is 57 units of single-family homes with ARRA Bond financing, 4% tax credit proceeds, Housing Authority MTW Financing, HOME program financing and City of Tulare redevelopment grants and loans. The total project development costs were approximately \$14,381,000. The project is the first in the State of California to have approval to convert to home-ownership for qualifying families at the end of the 15-year tax credit compliance period thus than providing for a first-time home buyer program. Once, (if), the units are sold, the sale proceeds will be repaid to HATC.

⁵ The Lotus & Newcomb property is an existing apartment complex that came up for sale as part of an estate sale. The property consists of four buildings: the estate owner’s principal residence (three bedrooms and two baths 1,800 sq. ft.); the detached garage that has been converted into two studio apartments, approximately 300 sq. ft. each (we count this as two buildings); then there are two fourplex buildings, eight units total that are 870 sq. ft. with two bedrooms and one bath. We successfully negotiated with the estate trustee and court to purchase this property with unspent Voucher Program funding and program reserves for \$562,500 plus closing costs. The advantage to this property is that the estate owner’s property sits on over ½ an acre and has multi-family zoning which could allow for the development of 8 units on that parcel.

⁶ Kaweah Management Company bought two triplexes in the City of Visalia on East Kaweah Avenue for \$240,000 (about the value of the lots) with the idea of a major renovation project. The City of Visalia Redevelopment Agency committed \$480,000 of Low-Mod Redevelopment funds to reimburse Kaweah Management Company for the purchase price of \$240,000 and another \$240,000 for renovation. After much review, it has been determined that it is cheaper to tear down the triplexes and reconstruct a new designed 8-unit project on the site and meet all the new City planning and design ideas. The project construction was completed and units were available to rent as of December 2012. The units were fully occupied by the end of January 2013. The total Construction cost was approximately \$1,210,000 of which the MTW funds of \$730,000 were combined with the City of Visalia funds of \$480,000.

⁷ The Aspens project is a 47-unit project utilizing multiple layers of financing, including two million dollars of MTW funding as a project-residual-receipts loan. The project was built on once was 13 individual lots, now all combined into one lot, all in an excellent location utilizing the full cul-de-sac of the street. The project includes 16 two-bedroom units and 31 three-bedroom units, along with a community center that is 2,000 square feet in size. The project construction was completed and units were available to rent as of December, 2013. The project was fully leased as of February, 2014. The total development cost for the project was \$11,375,000.

⁸ Country Manor is a 40-unit project in the City of Tulare. The project is a Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Property that had reached the end of 15-year tax credit compliance period. HATC saw the opportunity to purchase the property in order to maintain the property available for households at or below 80% AMI. The purchase was feasible by utilizing MTW reserves in the sum of \$1,300,000 for the acquisition of the property. The purchase was finalized in December, 2013.

⁹ The Sequoia Villas project is a 9% TCAC project that utilized MTW funding of approximately \$700,000 as a long-term permanent financing. The City of Lindsay Redevelopment Agency was left with a defunct and abandoned single family subdivision of two completed homes which were never sold and 17 developed lots that were never finalized. The City was in jeopardy of having to repay \$885,000 of Block Grant funds used on the site for infrastructure as the non-completion of the project meant that the funds were not properly utilized. The HATC agreed to assume the development from the City redevelopment agency and do a 9% tax credit rental project instead of a single family for sale project if the site would be given to the HATC free of any encumbrances. The City and redevelopment agency agreed the homes and lots were then transferred to the new partnership at full market value which gave credit for local contribution on the TCAC scoring. The MTW funds allowed leveraging of a total development project of approximately \$4,375,000. The project construction was completed and units were available to rent as of December, 2013. The project was fully leased as of March, 2014. The MTW funding was \$700,000

¹⁰ 2750 W. Lark is a project located in Visalia. HATC is acquired this 4 two-bedroom units; the project is next to our units at West Oriole. The project is in great shape and is located in high opportunity area. It would be acquired through MTW funds for \$625,000 and was made available to households at or below 80% AMI.

¹¹ The Mission Court Apartments is a 65-unit 9% TCAC project in the City of Tulare. The project is located between Bardsley and Morrison Avenues. The project utilized multiple layers of financing, including a \$2.75 million dollars of MTW funding as a project-residual-receipts loan. The project consists of 24 two-bedroom units, 33 three-bedroom units and 8 four-bedroom units. The project is currently fully leased.

¹² 99 Palms Hotel is unique project that will provide permanent supportive housing to homeless individual in Tulare County. As a result of the COVID-19 pandemic; Tulare County Health and Human Services Agency (HHSA) received funding to operate Project Room Key. Through a collaboration with the Kings/Tulare Continuum of Care; homeless individuals that were infected with COVID-19 or whose medical conditions made them extremely

vulnerable to the health risk associated with COVID-19 were housed in various hotels. The 99 Palms Hotel was one of the hotels that was housing homeless individuals through Project Room Key; the owners of the hotel reached out to Tulare County HHSA and noted the hotel was listed for sale. Subsequently, in March 2020 HATC adopted the Tulare County Strategic Plan on Homelessness; which sought to increase number of housing units available for permanent supportive housing. The hotel was purchased for \$1,950,000 and it will serve 55 homeless individuals. HATC executed a 10-year contract with Tulare County HHSA; through a partnership with the Kings/Continuum of Care they will provide permanent supportive housing to 55 households.

Actual Non-Significant Changes: There were not any non-significant changes to this activity for this year.

Actual Changes to Metrics/Data Collection: There were no changes to the metrics or data collection methods this activity will be assessed during this year.

Actual Significant Changes: There were not any non-significant changes to this activity for this year.

In order to address the findings outlined in HUD’s Quality Assurance Division Corrective Action Plan issued on November 24, 2017 and the Letter of Non-Compliance issued out by HUD on July 27, 2020. HATC reported funds that have been allocated for future projects as an expenditure under FDS Line Item 510.3, as Committed Fund Balance. Furthermore, this balance, as well as all excess Section 8 HCV HAP and Administrative Fees and Section 8 Public Housing funds will be deposited into a domestic interest-bearing account with a General Depository Agreement. This action complies with the HUD cash management requirements. Unpent funding and program reserves are being held by either the Bank of West or Stifel Nicholas; who have approved General Depository Agreements between themselves and HATC. In order to remain in compliance HATC is required to provide copies of these account to our HUD Regional Office on a quarterly basis. These funds, as well as HCV HAP and Administrative Fees met cash management requirements.

HC#1: Additional Units of Housing Made Available

The methodology to measure this objective is establishing a zero baseline and measuring the number of new housing units that are made available during the current fiscal year. The development of these additional units is made possible through the financing flexibilities outlined in Activity Five. HATC had projected to increase its housing stock portfolio by 25 units. However, HATC was very proactive in development and acquisition of additional housing units during the 2022 FY. The aforementioned housing projects were acquired during the 2022 FY and were made available for households at or below 80% of the Area Median Income (AMI), which added a total of 75 additional housing units to our operating housing stock portfolio. The table below outlines the 75 additional units and costs:

Properties Purchased During Fiscal Year 7/1/21 - 6/30/22		
Property Address	Number of Units	Purchase Price
4200-4206 & 4334-4340 W. Cypress Avenue, Visalia, CA 93277	8	\$ 1,030,000
707 & 711 S. Court Street, Visalia, CA 93292	8	\$ 980,000
701-719 N. Lynora, Tulare, CA 93274	4	\$ 325,000
801 S. Conyer, Visalia, CA 93277	4	\$ 570,000
Cherry Heights - 10936 N. Cherry Street, Tulare, CA 93274	36	\$ 5,500,000
2632-2638 St. Johns Parkway	4	\$ 735,000
Crepe Myrtle - 700-736 S. Linwood/4431-4445 W. Myrtle/4430 W. Cypress Ave. Visalia, CA 93277	11	\$ 2,300,000
Total	75	\$ 11,440,000

HC #1: Additional Units of Housing Made Available

Unit of Measurement	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcome	Benchmark Achieved?
Number of new housing units made available for households at or below 80% AMI as a result of the activity (increase).	Housing units of this type prior to implementation of Activity Five.	Expected housing units of this type after implementation of Activity Five.	Actual Housing units of this type after implementation of Activity Five.	Whether the outcome meets or exceeds the benchmark.
Number of new housing units made available for households at or below 80% AMI as a result of the Activity Five.	TOTAL UNITS= 0	EXPECTED TOTAL = 25	TOTAL NEW UNITS = 75	The outcome here exceeded the benchmark. HATC was able to make available a total of 75 housing as a result of this funding flexibility.

HC #2: Units of Housing Preserved

HATC has utilized MTW funds to purchase existing housing units that are made available for households at or below 80% AMI. In many instances these housing units are coming to the end of existing HUD contracts or Tax Credit Limited Partnerships. Our agency has been able to purchase these units and assure that they continue to be made available for households at or below 80% AMI.

This is the first utilization of this metric as an evaluator of this activity; the methodology was developed for this report. The methodology utilized to report this objective is to calculate the number of existing units (below 80% AMI) that will be purchased this year with MTW funds. The baseline for this metric will be zero due to the fact that HATC would not be able to engage in this activity without the flexibility gained through its MTW participation.

HC #2: Units of Housing Preserved

Unit of Measurement	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcome	Benchmark Achieved?
Number of housing units preserved for households at or below 80% AMI that would otherwise not be available (increase).	Housing units preserved prior to implementation of Activity Five.	Expected housing preserved after implementation of Activity Five.	Actual housing units preserved after the implementation of Activity Five.	Whether the outcome meets or exceeds the benchmark.
Number of housing units preserved for households at or below 80% AMI that would otherwise not be available.	TOTAL UNITS= 0	EXPECTED TOTAL= 5	ACTUAL TOTAL OF UNITS PRESERVED = 0	The outcome met the expected benchmark. There were no opportunities to preserve existing affordable housing units during this FY.

HC#4: Displacement Prevention

As previously noted in *HC#2*, HATC has utilized MTW funds to purchase existing housing units that were made available to households at or below 80% AMI but were in danger of being purchased by market rate management companies.

We assessed the achievement of this objective by reporting the number of households (at or below 80% AMI) that would have lost rental assistance and/or be forced to move. The baseline was calculated by reporting the number of existing units that were acquired this year to assure that they are available for households at or below 80% AMI. Our projection was that zero of those households at or below 80% AMI will lose rental assistance and/or be forced to move, this was our benchmark.

<i>HC #4: Displacement Prevention</i>				
Unit of Measurement	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcome	Benchmark Achieved?
Number of households at or below 80% AMI that would lose assistance or need to move (decrease).	Households losing assistance/moving prior to implementation of Activity Five.	Expected households losing assistance/moving after implementation of Activity Five.	Actual households losing assistance/moving after the implementation of Activity Five.	Whether the outcome meets or exceeds the benchmark.
Number of households at or below 80% AMI that would lose assistance or need to move.	TOTAL HOUSEHOLDS = 0	ANTICIPATED HOUSEHOLDS= 0	ACTUAL TOTAL HOUSEHOLDS LOOSING ASSISTANCE= 0	The outcome met the expected benchmark.

CE#4: Increase in Resources Leveraged

Through the utilization of the financing flexibilities outlined in this MTW activity, we have utilized unspent funding and program reserves for the development and acquisition of additional housing units. We have calculated the total amount of unspent funding and program reserves from our Voucher Program that were spent, during the 2021 FY, in the acquisition of land and projects as outlined below. The baseline for this metric is zero, as without the inclusion of this Activity our agency will not be able to increase the number of resources leveraged.

<i>CE #4: Increase in Resources Leveraged</i>				
Unit of Measurement	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcome	Benchmark Achieved?
Amount of funds leveraged in dollars (increase).	Amount leveraged prior to implementation of the activity (in dollars). This number may be zero.	Expected amount leveraged after implementation of the activity (in dollars).	Actual amount leveraged after implementation of the activity (in dollars).	Whether the outcome meets or exceeds the benchmark.
Amount of funds leveraged in dollars.	TOTAL = \$0	EXPECTED TOTAL=\$500,000	ACTUAL TOTAL=\$11,440,000	The outcome exceeded the benchmark. HATC was extremely active and effective in the leveraging of MTW reserves for the development and acquisition of additional housing projects that are to be utilized to provide affordable housing to families at or below at 80%.

Challenges in Achieving Benchmarks and Possible Strategies: The analysis of the outcomes to baselines and benchmarks indicate that this activity is extremely effective in increasing housing choices for low-income families.

Activity Six –Minimum or Imputed Income for Work-able adults in elderly or disabled households:

Planned Year Approved, Implemented, and Amended: This Activity was approved and implemented in 2014 and implemented in 2014. The activity has not been amended.

Description/Impact/Update: In 2014 HATC proposed to use a minimum or ‘imputed’ income for work-able adults in elderly or disabled households. HATC encourages families who do not fall into the elderly or disabled head of household category to become self-sufficient by establishing a time limit, fixed rents, and fixed subsidies. Elderly and disabled households have not been subjected to time limits and the rent for these households is computed using traditional Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCV) and Public Housing program regulations with some minor differences in the income and rent computation. As a result, HATC believes that in some cases, families have taken advantage and have used family members who are elderly/disabled as head of household to exclude them from time limits and fixed rents/fixed subsidies; albeit with work-able family members in the household.

As a result, HATC implemented an ‘imputed’ annual income of \$15,000 per work-able adult in an elderly or disabled household. This ‘imputed’ income amount is used to compute the household’s rent portion. In order to determine the ‘imputed’ income amount, HATC is using California’s 2022 minimum wage (\$14.00/\$15.00 per hour), multiplied it by a twenty (20) hour work week, and rounded down to the nearest thousand. Should the minimum wage increase or decrease over time, the ‘imputed’ income amount will be updated to reflect those changes. Previously in January 1, 2019 the minimum wage rate was increased from \$11.00 per hour to \$12.00 per hour in the State of California. Therefore, the annual imputed income is increased from \$11,000 to \$12,000 per work-able adult in an elderly or disabled household.

If a work-able individual already has income which they are reporting, HATC uses that income or the ‘imputed’ amount, whichever amount is greater. Work-able is defined as an adult under the age of fifty-five (55) who is not a dependent and who does not meet HUD’s definition of a disabled person. This definition also applies to full-time students. These households would still be entitled to eligible income deductions and would continue to be excluded from any imposed time limits. ‘Imputed’ income is not used to determine income qualification under established income limits.

A Hardship policy is available so that families with extenuating circumstances are able to request permanent or temporary exclusion from the ‘imputed’ income provision.

Actual Non-Significant Changes: There were not any non-significant changes to this activity for this year.

Actual Changes to Metrics/Data Collection: There were no changes to the metrics or data collection methods this activity will be assessed during this year.

Actual Significant Changes: There were not any non-significant changes to this activity for this year.

CE #5: Increase in Agency Rental Revenue in Dollars				
Unit of Measurement	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcome	Benchmark Achieved?
Average amount in dollars of annual rental revenue from participants affected by Activity Six	\$64,260 in annual rental revenue from participants affected by Activity Six	\$89,760 in annual rental revenue from participants affected by Activity Six	\$70,920 in annual rental revenue from the Public Housing participants affected by Activity Six.	The outcome did not meet the benchmark.

SS#1 Increase in Household Income				
Unit of Measurement	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcome	Benchmark Achieved?
Average amount in dollars of annual earned income per Moving-to-Work participant affected by Activity Six	\$2,500 in average annual earned income per Moving-to-Work participant affected by Activity Six	\$3,000 in average annual earned income per Moving-to-Work participant affected by Activity Six	\$1,246 in average annual earned income per Moving-to-Work participant affected by Activity Six.	The outcome did not exceed the benchmark.

SS #3: Increase in Positive Outcomes in Employment Status				
Unit of Measurement	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcome	Benchmark Achieved?
Number/Percentage of households with able bodied member that are employed full-time affected by Activity Six	Seventeen (17) number of households with able members that are employed full time affected by Activity Six	Twenty-seven (27) number of households with able members that are employed full time affected by Activity Six	Two (2) number of households with able bodied members that are employed full time affected by Activity Six	The outcome did not meet the benchmark.
	8% of households with able members that are employed full time affected by Activity Six	10% of households with able members that are employed full time affected by Activity Six	5% of households with able members that are employed full time affected by Activity Six	The outcome did not meet the benchmark.

SS #3: Increase in Positive Outcomes in Employment Status				
Unit of Measurement	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcome	Benchmark Achieved?
Number/Percentage of households with able bodied member that are employed part-time affected by Activity Six	Forty-three (43) number of households with able members that are employed part-time affected by Activity Six	Fifty-two (52) number of households with able members that are employed part-time affected by Activity Six	five (5) number of households with able bodied members that are employed part-time affected by Activity Six	The outcome did not meet the benchmark.
	22% of households with able members that are employed part-time affected by	26% of households with able members that are employed part-time affected by Activity Six	22% of households with able bodied members that are employed part-time affected by Activity Six.	The outcome did meet the benchmark.

SS#4: Households Removed from Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)				
Unit of Measurement	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcome	Benchmark Achieved?
Decrease in number of households receiving assistance affected by Activity Six	17 households receiving assistance affected by Activity Six	0 decrease in the number of households receiving assistance affected by Activity Six	9 households receiving assistance affected by Activity Six	The outcome did meet the anticipated benchmark. The number of households receiving TANF did decrease.

SS# 8: Households Transitioned to Self-Sufficiency				
Unit of Measurement	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcome	Benchmark Achieved?
Increase in number of households (percentage) transitioned to self-sufficiency as a result of this activity.	Zero (0) of households transitioned to self-sufficiency as a result of this activity.	0% increase in the number of households transitioned to self-sufficiency as a result of this activity.	Zero (0) households transitioned to self-sufficiency.	To outcome met the benchmark; this activity was not developed with the intention to promote or facilitate self-sufficiency.

HC #4: Displacement Prevention				
Unit of Measurement	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcome	Benchmark Achieved?
Number of Public Housing households that have been evicted for non-payment of rent	Two (2) Public Housing households have been evicted for non-payment of rent	Three (3) Public Housing households evicted for non-payment of rent	Zero (0) Public Housing households have been evicted for non-payment of rent	The outcome met the benchmark, we did not have any additional Public Housing households be evicted for non-payment of rent; as a result of this activity.

HC #4: Displacement Prevention				
Unit of Measurement	Baseline	Benchmark	Outcome	Benchmark Achieved?
Number of Section 8 households that have reached zero subsidy	Thirteen (13) Section 8 households currently at zero subsidy	Four (4) additional Section 8 households reaching zero subsidy	(0) additional Section 8 households reaching zero subsidy.	The outcome met the benchmark, we did not have any additional Housing Choice Voucher participants reach zero subsidy; as a result of this activity.

MTW Hardship Policy: Since HATC's established Hardship Committee only meets two to three times per year due to the schedules of the committee members, HATC believes that such a schedule would be unfair to families requesting hardships created by the imposition of imputed income for work-able family members of affected households. These requests would typically be made at either the initial eligibility screening for assistance, at the time of their annual re-examination, or during an interim redetermination. Therefore, HATC has determined that any request(s) made that requires immediate review will be forwarded to the Hardship Committee members as soon as possible, and a phone conference will be held in which committee members are able to discuss the request(s) and render their decision(s). Any request(s) that would not impact the family immediately may be held and reviewed until meeting time.

Families can request a hardship which would grant them an exemption or temporary stay of the implementation of an imputed income calculation if there are unforeseen or extenuating circumstances that would create a hardship if such income were used in the calculation of rent for the household. These circumstances would be considered on a case-by-case basis.

Families may also request a hardship in which the disabled household member requires one of his/her work-able family members to act as a caretaker on their behalf. Such work-able family members are not considered live-in aides. These work-able members are obligated for the support of the person and would be living in the unit if such care was not necessary. Written certification from a doctor or rehabilitation agency that such care is needed will be requested as an attachment to the hardship request.

Decisions by the committee could include complete exclusion for a member or members if circumstances warranted, or could include a temporary exclusion for conditions such as maternity leave, temporary disability, etc. Such temporary exclusions could include a requirement by the committee that excluded family members attend an assessment to determine their future ability to be employed.

Requests for hardships would be made in writing and presented to the committee for consideration. Families would be informed of committee decisions in writing. Any decisions made by the Hardship Committee are final. There were zero hardship requests during the 2021 FY.

Challenges in Achieving Benchmarks and Possible Strategies: This is the eighth year of the activity's implementation, and did see some positive outcomes, as it pertains to some of the presented list of Standard Metrics. Furthermore, there were no requests for hardship; this is positive indicator of the effectiveness of this activity. We are certain that such activity will deter able-body household members from systematically bypassing the term limits and other MTW approved procedural modifications that all able-body HCV and Public Housing program participants are subject to. We will continue to monitor the outcomes and data of this activity in future years.

Activity Eight –Increase in Payment Standards:

Planned Year Approved, Implemented, and Amended: This Activity was approved and implemented in 2022 after we submitted our amended MTW Plan for FY 2021-22. The activity has not been amended.

Description/Impact/Update: The Housing Authority of the County of Tulare (HATC) set the payment standards at 110 percent of the published Fair Market Rents (FMRs) for Fiscal Year 2022. HATC is requested HUD approval to increase payment standards to 130 percent of the FMRs released by HUD in order to increase Housing Choice Voucher utilization. Tulare County is experiencing significant rental market fluctuations. Our program participants are having a hard time finding acceptable units or struggling to find affordable units. We understand the importance of keeping payment standards high enough to allow families a reasonable selection of modest, decent, safe, and sanitary housing in a wide range of neighborhoods in our County. In an effort to support families and provide the opportunity for them to find units within our jurisdiction we feel it is important that our payments standards are increased to 130 percent of the FMRs. In doing so clients we be able to have a broader selection to find an affordable unit to rent.

Actual Non-Significant Changes: There were not any non-significant changes to this activity for this year.

Actual Changes to Metrics/Data Collection: There were no changes to the metrics or data collection methods this activity will be assessed during this year.

Actual Significant Changes: There were not any non-significant changes to this activity for this year.

Unit of Measurement	Baseline	Bench Mark	Outcome	Benchmark Achieved?
Improve Housing Choice Voucher utilization by increasing payment standards.	Housing Choice Voucher Utilization Rate is 79.51% at the time of inception of this activity 08/2022.	Increase Utilization Rate to 97% or higher	Current Utilization Rate is 80.15%	This Activity did not meet the benchmark, but we have not had enough time since it was implemented to see an increase in utilization. We did see a very small increase of .64% increase.

(V) Approved MTW Activities: (B) Not Yet Implemented Activities

Activity Seven – Security Deposit Loan:

Description of MTW

Our Board and our Executive Director continue on developing policy modifications, within our MTW Program, to ensure that we continue to increase housing choices for low-income families and individuals. We have examined some of the barriers that are preventing very low income, to extremely low-income participants; with an active Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher (HCV), from successfully utilizing their HCV. We analyzed the incomes of households that were issued a HCV in our last disbursement of HCVs and we found that 66% of all households were at or below 30% of the Area Median Income (AMI). Therefore, it's our conclusion that in some situations it can be an extreme financial burden for such households to have enough savings or cash on hand to pay for the required security deposit as well as their share of their first month's rent.

The inability to have enough cash on hand to pay for both the security deposit and the first month's portion of their rent for such households is a barrier for them successfully utilizing their HCV. We saw a direct correlation once we started to see our agency's HCV utilization rate fall below 90%. Given that most households spent on average of five years waiting to reach the top of the Section 8 HCV waiting list; we want to do our best to assist them in successfully utilizing the long waited and much needed rental assistance.

Therefore, HATC proposed to utilize our MTW Program Flexibility to provide an interest-free security deposit loan to new HCV Program Participants; in which their household income is at or below 40% of the Area Median Income (AMI). Each eligible household is able to receive a security deposit loan in the amount of one months of their determined rental subsidy. This interest-free security deposit loan is to be repaid within six months to HATC.

Relation to Statutory Objective

This activity will increase housing choices for very low-income families and individuals. We believe that assistance with their security deposit will give eligible HCV Program participants the ability to afford the financial burden of the initial costs of moving into a new unit. HATC also believes that this activity will provide necessary financial assistance for new HCV program participants to move into higher opportunity areas; which tend to have higher rental costs.

Anticipated Impact

HATC believes that it will see an increase in the number of eligible households that are able to utilize their HCVs. We also anticipate that we will see households that utilize this activity move into higher opportunity areas.

Anticipated Schedule

This activity was first proposed in the FY 2017-2018 MTW Annual Plan; the activity and noted Plan were approved on January 18, 2018. However, we have not had any program participants use this resource. We will continue our efforts to promote this activity by training staff to provide this information to program participants. We are currently assessing why we do not have any interest from program participants and will provide upon completion. In addition, we are currently increasing marketing to our clients so they are aware of the proposed activity.

(IV) Approved MTW Activities: (C) Activities on Hold

Not applicable, no MTW Activities are currently on hold.

(IV) Approved MTW Activities: (D) Closed Out Activities

Activity Three- Encourage Self-Sufficiency and Transition of Pre-1999 Families to the MTW Program: As mentioned in our 2017-2018 MTW Plan Activity One; to lessen the reporting burden Activity Three has been moved to the Closed-Out Activities Section. These families chose to not be transitioned into the MTW Program, allowing them to be excluded from the rent reform provisions enforced on all MTW Program participants. In 2009 our agency concluded that all able body participants should strive to become self-sufficient regardless of when they had been enrolled in one of our programs; therefore the remaining 73 families were to be transitioned into our MTW Program.

This activity administers the same rental assistance model outlined in Activity One, therefore applicable data for the remaining families is included within the datasets of the all the applicable Standard Metrics within Activity One of this Report. The applicable data will continue to be reported on in Activity One until all the applicable households are no longer participating in one of the MTW Programs. This activity was approved in 2009-2010 and implemented in 2009. This activity was closed per HUD's request on February 209, 2016. This was implemented in our 2015-2016 MTW Plan.

(VI) MTW SOURCES AND USES OF FUNDS

A. ACTUAL SOURCES AND USES OF MTW FUNDS

i. Available MTW Funds in the Plan Year

The MTW PHA shall submit unaudited and audited information in the prescribed Financial Data Schedule (FDS) format through the Financial Assessment System – PHA (FASPHA), or its successor system.

ii. Expenditures of MTW Funds in the Plan Year

The MTW PHA shall submit unaudited and audited information in the prescribed FDS format through the FASPHA, or its successor system.

iii. Describe Application of MTW Funding Flexibility

The MTW PHA shall provide a thorough narrative of actual activities that use only the MTW funding flexibility. Where possible, the MTW PHA may provide metrics to track the outcomes of these programs and/or activities. Activities that use other MTW authorizations in Attachment C and/or D of the Standard MTW Agreement (or analogous section in a successor MTW Agreement) do not need to be described here, as they are already found in Section (IV) of the Annual MTW Report. The MTW PHA shall also provide a thorough description of how it used MTW funding flexibility to direct funding towards specific housing and/or service programs and/or other MTW activity, as included in an approved MTW Plan.

APPLICATION OF “MTW FUNDING” FLEXIBILITY

HATC does not have any approved or proposed MTW activities that solely use MTW Funding Flexibility. As outlined in Section III: Proposed MTW Activities and Section IV: Approved MTW Activities, HATC utilizes a variety of other MTW waivers to implement activities that work to further the three MTW statutory objectives: cost effectiveness in federal expenditures, promote economic self-sufficiency among program participants and to increase housing choices for low-income families. However, the budgetary fungibility that is available due to MTW Funding flexibility provides a vital component to further enhance MTW statutory objectives. For example, the implementation of Activity Five: Development of Additional Affordable Housing has been essential in increasing housing choices for low-income families. The utilization of unspent funding and program reserves from our Voucher Program to finance the additional 1,127 housing units has allowed HATC to meet the growing demand for affordable, well-maintained housing in Tulare County. The budgetary flexibility acquired through the MTW Single Fund is fundamental as HATC continuously works to increase cost effectiveness in federal expenditures.

B. LOCAL ASSET MANGEMENT PLAN

i. Did the MTW PHA allocate costs within statute in the Plan Year?

ii. Did the MTW PHA implement a local asset management plan (LAMP) in the Plan Year?

iii. Did the MTW PHA provide a LAMP in the appendix?

iv. If the MTW PHA has provided a LAMP in the appendix, please provide a brief update on implementation of the LAMP. Please provide any actual changes (which must be detailed in an approved Annual MTW Plan/Plan amendment) or state that the MTW PHA did not make any changes in the Plan Year.

(VII) ADMINISTRATIVE

A. REVIEWS, AUDITS AND INSPECTIONS

Deficiencies have not been cited or observed in any of our HUD reviews, audits or physical inspections during the report year.

B. EVALUATION RESULTS

As part of the administrative procedures of the MTW Plan, HATC did not do any specific evaluations or assessments with regards to the MTW Demonstration Program.

C. MTW STATUTORY REQUIREMENT CERTIFICATION

The agency has met all three of the statutory requirements of 1) assuring that at least 75 percent of the families assisted by the Agency are very low-income families; 2) continuing to assist substantially the same total number of eligible low-income families as would have been served had the amounts not been combined; and 3) maintaining a comparable mix of families (by family size_ are served, as would have been provided had the amounts not been used under the demonstration. The agency certification that the agency has met all three noted statutory requirements is included as item "A" in the end of Section VI of this report.

D. MTW ENERGY PERFORMANCE CONTRACT (EPC) FLEXIBILITY DATA

N/A

**BEFORE THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
OF THE
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF TULARE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

-oOo-

**IN THE MATTER OF APPROVING
CERTIFICATIONS OF COMPLIANCE
FOR THE ANNUAL MOVING TO
WORK REPORT**

)
)
)
)
)

RESOLUTION NO. 2022-16

-oOo-

At a duly constituted meeting of the Board of Commissioners of the Housing Authority of the County of Tulare, a public body corporate and politic (the "Housing Authority"), held on September 21, 2022, the following resolution was adopted:

The Executive Director is authorized to act on behalf of the Board of Commissioners for the "Housing Authority", to approve the submission of the Annual Moving to Work Report for the PHA fiscal year beginning July 1, 2021, hereinafter referred to as "the Report", of which this document will be made a part and to make the following certifications to the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) in connection with the submission of the Report:

1. "The Housing Authority" has met the statutory requirement to house at least 75 percent of the families assisted by the agency who have income in the "very low" category;
2. "The Housing Authority" has met the statutory requirement to continue to assist substantially the same total number of eligible low-income families as would have been served had the amounts not been combined;
3. "The Housing Authority" has met the statutory requirement to maintain a comparable mix of families (by family size) as would have been served had the amounts not been used under the MTW demonstration Program.

Housing Authority of the County of Tulare

CA030

PHA Name

PHA Number/HA

KEN KUGLER

Executive Director

Name of Authorized Official

Title

Signature

Date



9-30-22

4. This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon adoption.

The foregoing resolution was adopted upon a motion presented by Commissioner Silicato, and seconded by Commissioner Chavarria, at a regular meeting of the Board of Commissioners held on the 21 day of September 2022. Motion carried by the following vote:

AYES: Hess, Silicato, Velasquez, Chavarria, Macareno

NAYES: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Walters

**HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE
COUNTY OF TULARE**



JOHN L. Hess, Chairperson