

## MOVING TO WORK (MTW) DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM

**COHORT 2 WEBINAR** 

#### Logistics for Today



**Audience engagement:** Today's presentation is meant to be interactive. There will be live polling. Please participate!



Availability of Slides: We are recording this webinar and will post the recorded webinar along with the slides on our website (<a href="www.hud.gov/mtw">www.hud.gov/mtw</a>).



Contact Us: If you have questions after the webinar you can email us at <a href="MTWcohort2@hud.gov">MTWcohort2@hud.gov</a>



**Website**: We keep our website updated regularly so please visit us at www.hud.gov/mtw

## Overview of Webinar

- Today's Speakers:
  - Paul Joice, HUD Office of Policy
     Development and Research
- Update on Letters of Interest
- Possible changes to rent policies:
  - Simplify the stepped rents.
  - Minor changes related to measuring income, hardships.



#### Letter of Interest (LOI) **Notice** Proposed Rents

- Tiered Rents: Also known as income bands. Households are placed into income tiers/bands. All households within a tier pay the same rent.
- Stepped Rents: Rent increases (or HAP decreases) over time according to a fixed schedule, regardless of income (except for hardship provisions).



#### Letters of Interest

HUD received 37 Letters of Interest from eligible PHAs.

- 8 PHAs have more than 6,000 total units.
- 20 PHAs listed the Tiered Rent as their first preference.
- 6 PHAs listed the 5% FMR Stepped Rent as their first preference.
- 6 PHAS listed the 3% FMR Stepped Rent as their first preference.
- 5 PHAs listed the PHA-Proposed Policy as their first preference.



#### **Tiered Rent**

- \$2,500 tiers. Households assigned to tiers based on adjusted income.
- Rent set equal to 30% of midpoint of the tier.
- Triennial recertifications.

Tier	Tier minimum	Tier maximum	Tiered rent
1	\$0	\$2,499	\$50
2	\$2,500	\$4,999	\$94
3	\$5,000	\$7,499	\$156
4	\$7,500	\$9,999	\$219
5	\$10,000	\$12,499	\$281
• • •	• • •	• • •	• • •



#### Poll: What do you think of the Tiered Rent?

- 1) Hate it
- 2) Don't like it
- 3) No opinion/Unsure
- 4) Like it
- 5) Love it



## Establishes a stepped rent schedule, by unit size and Fair Market Rent (FMR).

#### Stepped Rents based on FMR

In year 1, households would be placed at a step corresponding to their income.

Each year thereafter, they advance to the next step.

#### Stepped Rent examples

Lexington, KY (current MTW agency)

#### 2019 FMRs:

- Efficiency: \$617
- One bedroom: \$692
- Two bedroom: \$883
- Three bedroom: \$1,231
- Four bedroom: \$1,551



Step	FMR %	Efficiency	1 BR	2 BR	3 BR	4 BR
1	. 15%	\$93	\$104	\$132	\$185	\$233
2	20%	\$123	\$138	\$177	\$246	\$310
3	25%	\$154	\$173	\$221	\$308	\$388
4	30%	\$185	\$208	\$265	\$369	\$465
5	35%	\$216	\$242	\$309	\$431	\$543
6	40%	\$247	\$277	\$353	\$492	\$620
7	45%	\$278	\$311	\$397	\$554	\$698
8	50%	\$309	\$346	\$442	\$616	\$776
9	55%	\$339	\$381	\$486	\$677	\$853
10	60%	\$370	\$415	\$530	\$739	\$931
11	. 65%	\$401	\$450	\$574	\$800	\$1,008
12	70%	\$432	\$484	\$618	\$862	\$1,086
13	75%	\$463	\$519	\$662	\$923	\$1,163
14	80%	\$494	\$554	\$706	\$985	\$1,241
15	85%	\$524	\$588	\$751	\$1,046	\$1,318
16	90%	\$555	\$623	\$795	\$1,108	\$1,396
17	95%	\$586	\$657	\$839	\$1,169	\$1,473
18	100%	\$617	\$692	\$883	\$1,231	\$1,551



Step	FMR %	Efficiency	1 BR	2 BR	3 BR	4 BR
1	. 15%	\$93	\$104	\$132	\$185	\$233
2	20%	\$123	\$138	\$177	\$246	\$310
3	25%	\$154	\$173	\$221	\$308	\$388
4	30%	\$185	\$208	\$265	\$369	\$465
5	35%	\$216	\$242	\$309	\$431	\$543
6	40%	\$247	\$277	\$353	\$492	\$620
7	45%	\$278	\$311	\$397	\$554	\$698
8	50%	\$309	\$346	\$442	\$616	\$776
9	55%	\$339	\$381	\$486	\$677	\$853
10	60%	\$370	\$415	\$530	\$739	\$931
11	. 65%	\$401	\$450	\$574	\$800	\$1,008
12	70%	\$432	\$484	\$618	\$862	\$1,086
13	75%	\$463	\$519	\$662	\$923	\$1,163
14	80%	\$494	\$554	\$706	\$985	\$1,241
15	85%	\$524	\$588	\$751	\$1,046	\$1,318
16	90%	\$555	\$623	\$795	\$1,108	\$1,396
17	95%	\$586	\$657	\$839	\$1,169	\$1,473
18	100%	\$617	\$692	\$883	\$1,231	\$1,551



Step	FMR %	Efficiency	1 BR	2 BR	3 BR	4 BR
1	. 15%	\$93	\$104	\$132	\$185	\$233
2	20%	\$123	\$138	\$177	\$246	\$310
3	25%	\$154	\$173	\$221	\$308	\$388
4	30%	\$185	\$208	\$265	\$369	\$465
5	35%	\$216	\$242	\$309	\$431	\$543
6	40%	\$247	\$277	\$353	\$492	\$620
7	45%	\$278	\$311	\$397	\$554	\$698
8	50%	\$309	\$346	\$442	\$616	\$776
9	55%	\$339	\$381	\$486	\$677	\$853
10	60%	\$370	\$415	\$530	\$739	\$931
11	. 65%	\$401	\$450	\$574	\$800	\$1,008
12	70%	\$432	\$484	\$618	\$862	\$1,086
13	75%	\$463	\$519	\$662	\$923	\$1,163
14	80%	\$494	\$554	\$706	\$985	\$1,241
15	85%	\$524	\$588	\$751	\$1,046	\$1,318
16	90%	\$555	\$623	\$795	\$1,108	\$1,396
17	95%	\$586	\$657	\$839	\$1,169	\$1,473
18	100%	\$617	\$692	\$883	\$1,231	\$1,551



Step	FMR %	Efficiency	1 BR	2 BR	3 BR	4 BR
	1 8%	\$49	\$55	\$71	\$98	\$124
2	2 11%	\$68	\$76	\$97	\$135	\$171
	3 14%	\$86	\$97	\$124	\$172	\$217
4	<b>17</b> %	\$105	\$118	\$150	\$209	\$264
į	5 20%	\$123	\$138	\$177	\$246	\$310
(	3 23%	\$142	\$159	\$203	\$283	\$357
-	7 26%	\$160	\$180	\$230	\$320	\$403
8	3 29%	\$179	\$201	\$256	\$357	\$450
Ç	32%	\$197	\$221	\$283	\$394	\$496
10	35%	\$216	\$242	\$309	\$431	\$543
13	1 38%	\$234	\$263	\$336	\$468	\$589
12	2 41%	\$253	\$284	\$362	\$505	\$636
13	3 44%	\$271	\$304	\$389	\$542	\$682
14	47%	\$290	\$325	\$415	\$579	\$729
15	5 50%	\$309	\$346	\$442	\$616	\$776
16	53%	\$327	\$367	\$468	\$652	\$822
17	7 56%	\$346	\$388	\$494	\$689	\$869
18	59%	\$364	\$408	\$521	\$726	\$915
19	62%	\$383	\$429	\$547	\$763	\$962
20	65%	\$401	\$450	\$574	\$800	\$1,008
2:	1 68%	\$420	\$471	\$600	\$837	\$1,055
22	2 71%	\$438	\$491	\$627	\$874	\$1,101
23	3 74%	\$457	\$512	\$653	\$911	\$1,148
24	4 77%	\$475	\$533	\$680	\$948	\$1,194
25	5 80%	\$494	\$554	\$706	\$985	\$1,241



### Poll: How well do you understand these two Stepped Rent policies?

- 1) Not at all
- 2) Somewhat
- 3) Very well

### Poll: What do you think of the <u>5%</u> FMR Stepped Rent?

- 1) Hate it
- 2) Don't like it
- 3) No opinion/Unsure
- 4) Like it
- 5) Love it



### Poll: What do you think of the <u>3%</u> FMR Stepped Rent?

- 1) Hate it
- 2) Don't like it
- 3) No opinion/Unsure
- 4) Like it
- 5) Love it



#### **Stepped Rent Complications**

- Tenant impacts.
- The Stepped Rent tables are large. Could be overwhelming.
- LOI Notice says the Stepped Rent schedule will be updated every 5 years. Could result in even larger rent increases.
- Should the rents be based on FMR? Or Small Area FMRs? Or payment standards?

Step	FMR %	Efficiency	1 BR	2 BR	3 BR	4 BR
1	15%	\$93	\$104	\$132	\$185	\$233
2	20%	\$123	\$138	\$177	\$246	\$310
3	25%	\$154	\$173	\$221	\$308	\$388
4	30%	\$185	\$208	\$265	\$369	\$465
5	35%	\$216	\$242	\$309	\$431	\$543
6	40%	\$247	\$277	\$353	\$492	\$620
7	45%	\$278	\$311	\$397	\$554	\$698
8	50%	\$309	\$346	\$442	\$616	\$776
9	55%	\$339	\$381	\$486	\$677	\$853
10	60%	\$370	\$415	\$530	\$739	\$931
11	65%	\$401	\$450	\$574	\$800	\$1,008
12	70%	\$432	\$484	\$618	\$862	\$1,086
13	75%	\$463	\$519	\$662	\$923	\$1,163
14	80%	\$494	\$554	\$706	\$985	\$1,241
15	85%	\$524	\$588	\$751	\$1,046	\$1,318
16	90%	\$555	\$623	\$795	\$1,108	\$1,396
17	95%	\$586	\$657	\$839	\$1,169	\$1,473
18	100%	\$617	\$692	\$883	\$1,231	\$1,551

## What if get rid of the "stepped rent table"?



FMR %	Efficiency	1 BR	2 BR	3 BR	4 BR
5%	\$31	\$35	\$44	\$62	\$78

## ... and replace it with this?

Annual rent change.



### Poll: Should we get rid of the stepped rent table and just specify the annual change?

- 1) Yes—get rid of the big table
- 2) No—keep the table
- 3) No opinion/Unsure

#### Size of the Annual Rent Change

The LOI Notice policies are very precise: rents increase by exactly 3% of FMR or 5% of FMR.

5% of 2-bedroom FMR for Lexington = \$44.15

But what about rounding?  $$44.15 \rightarrow $44$ 

What about even numbers?  $$44 \rightarrow $40$ 



#### Poll: Annual Rent Change—how much?

Think about a 2-bedroom unit. If <u>you</u> were choosing the annual rent change for your PHA, where would you set it?

- 1) No opinion—I am not interested in stepped rents
- 2) Between \$1 and \$40
- 3) Between \$41 and \$80
- 4) Between \$81 and \$120
- 5) Greater than \$120



#### Poll: Annual Rent Change—how much?

Now think about your PHA's 2-bedroom FMR. If <u>you</u> were choosing the annual rent change for your PHA, where would you set it, <u>as a percentage of the FMR</u>?

- 1) No opinion—I am not interested in stepped rents
- 2) Less than 3% of FMR
- 3) Between 3% and 5% of FMR
- 4) Greater than 5% of FMR

#### **Updating the Stepped Rent**

Should the rent steps be fixed for the study period?

Would be simple and predictable

Or re-evaluated and updated (if needed) each year?

 Would enable you (the PHA) to respond to new information and changing conditions.



#### Poll: Updating the Annual Rent Change

Would you prefer to have the annual rent change fixed for the study period (6 years) or updated as needed?

- 1) No opinion—I am not interested in stepped rents
- 2) Fixed for 6 years
- 3) Re-evaluate and update each year
- 4) Other





#### Questions/ Comments on Stepped Rents?

#### Measurement of Income—Prior Year

"Each household will be assigned to a tier based on its adjusted income in the <u>prior year</u>."

- LOI Notice, page 16 (emphasis added)

Standard practice is to calculate *current income* – that is, the amount a household is making at the time of recertification, estimated for the upcoming year. (also referred to as "current/anticipated income".)

A better approach might be to measure income as the amount a household made in the year <u>prior</u> to recertification. More accurate and harder to manipulate.

#### Measurement of Income—Adjusted

"Each household will be assigned to a tier based on its <u>adjusted</u> <u>income</u> in the prior year."

- LOI Notice, page 16 (emphasis added)

Standard practice is to calculate adjusted income, including allowances/deductions.

"Gross income" might be simpler. But income exclusions would not be affected, and rent burden would increase for some families.

### Poll: What do you think of "prior year" income?

- 1) Good idea; we're willing to try it even though it's different.
- 2) No opinion/not sure.
- 3) Bad idea; we'd prefer to stick with "current/anticipated" income

#### Poll: What do you think of "gross" income?

- 1) Good idea; we're willing to try it even though it's different.
- 2) No opinion/not sure.
- 3) Bad idea; we'd prefer to stick with "adjusted" income



#### Hardship policies—LOI Notice

"Full hardship" applies for all policies. Available if a family has a decrease in income, increase in expenses, and other situations at PHA discretion.

"Limited hardship" applies for stepped rents. A one-year rent freeze if the stepped rent would cause high rent burden. Available only once or twice.

#### Hardship policies—Stepped Rents

"A full hardship resets the household's step level based on their actual income. For example, a household that is at step 6, but experiences job loss that reduces their income to \$0 resets to step 1. The next year they resume the step schedule, advancing to step 2."

- LOI Notice, page 19

The <u>full hardship</u> provides a significant long-term benefit. But HUD-assisted families have incomes that go up and down—for example, \$12,000 in 2015, down to \$6,000 in 2016, back up to \$12,000 in 2017; then \$16,000 in 2018.

The <u>limited hardship</u> provides a one-year rent freeze. This may be *not* generous enough.

#### **Triennial Reexaminations**

In the LOI Notice, all three policies include triennial reexaminations. However:

- Under the Tiered Rent, the triennial reexamination will be used to set the rent.
- Under the Stepped Rents, the triennial reexamination would be used to verify eligibility, not to set the rent.

To prevent tenant hardships, should Stepped Rents also be reset at the triennial reexamination?

#### **Alternative Hardship policy**

Goal: provide temporary rent relief, but make it available multiple times.

Tiered Rent: If the household's income drops to a lower tier, they pay the lower tiered rent for 6 months.

Stepped Rent: If the household's rent burden exceeds 40% of current income, they pay 30% of current income for 6 months.

After 6 months, the hardship rent expires and rent would go back to what it was; but hardship rents can be renewed.

## Poll: Triennial income reexaminations and hardships

Would triennial income reexaminations and 6-month renewable hardship rents provide adequate <u>protection for tenants?</u>

- 1) Yes
- 2) No
- 3) No opinion/not sure.

## Poll: Triennial income reexaminations and hardships

Would triennial income reexaminations and 6-month renewable hardship rents result in a <u>reasonable</u> <u>administrative burden for your PHA?</u>

- 1) Yes
- 2) No
- 3) No opinion/not sure.

## Poll: Triennial income reexaminations and hardships

In general, would triennial income reexaminations and 6-month renewable hardship rents balance <u>PHA burden</u>, <u>tenant protection</u>, and positive <u>earnings incentives</u>?

- 1) Yes
- 2) No
- 3) No opinion/not sure.



Questions/ Comments on Hardships, Triennial Reexams, and measuring income?



## OTHER QUESTIONS?

#### Next Steps



Slides will be posted in the coming days.

If you have additional questions or comments, please email <a href="MTWcohort2@hud.gov">MTWcohort2@hud.gov</a>

HUD leadership will consider possible changes. Final policies will be presented in the Selection Notice.

Applicants should go to <a href="www.hud.gov/mtw">www.hud.gov/mtw</a> and click on the "Cohort 2 – Rent Reform" link for updates.