Exhibit 17-6 6509.2 REV-7 CHG-2 Community Compass Technical Assistance and Capacity Building Program

Guide for Review of Community Compass TA Program Procurement				
Name of Recipient:				
Name of Subrecipient (if applicable):				
Award Numbers Reviewed:				
Staff Consulted:				
Name(s) of Reviewer(s):	Date:			

NOTE: Most questions that address requirements contain the citation for the source of the requirement (statute, regulation, *Federal Register* notice/NOFA, or cooperative agreement). However, in some instances, a controlling document (i.e., cooperative agreement or *Federal Register* Notice/NOFA) is provided without a specific citation. This is because requirements can vary significantly by award, causing the applicable cooperative agreements and published Notices/NOFA to vary accordingly. If requirements are not satisfied in these instances, HUD should ensure that citations to the source of the requirement are appropriately noted in the section identified as "Describe Basis for Conclusion." In addition, certain requirements may only apply to certain award recipients; carefully review the citation to determine its applicability. If a requirement is not met, HUD must select "NO" in response to the question and make a finding of noncompliance. Other questions may not address requirements, but are included to assist the reviewer in understanding the recipient's program more fully and/or to identify issues that, if not properly addressed, could result in deficient performance. Negative conclusions to these questions may result in a "concern" being raised, but not a "finding."

Instructions: Use this Exhibit to evaluate the recipient's compliance with the procurement requirements as described in 24 CFR parts 84 and 85 (2013 edition), for awards issued before December 26, 2014. This Exhibit is intended to assist the HUD reviewer in evaluating a representative sample of procurement transactions undertaken by recipients monitoring. The reviewer must evaluate the documentation related to the selected transactions and use this information to verify non-Federal entity responses to the questions in this Exhibit. If the non-Federal entity's accounting system includes information on contracts, purchase orders, etc., related to the Community Compass TA program, it can be a convenient starting point for selecting a sample of procurement transactions to review. Note that, in this Exhibit, the following terms are interchangeable when referring to recipients: program participant, recipient, non-Federal entity, and awardees. This Exhibit is divided into seven sections: Sample; General Provisions/Procedures; Small Purchases; Sealed Bids; Competitive Proposals; Noncompetitive Proposals; and Contracting with Small and Minority Firms, Women's Business Enterprises, and Labor Surplus Area Firms. For awards issued on or after December 26, 2014 and, therefore, subject to 2 CFR Part 200, use Exhibit 34-3 in this Handbook.

A. SAMPLE

1.

Use the following table to list the selected sample of procurement transactions.							
(Add more rows if needed.)							
Contractor	Amount Budgeted	Amount Obligated	Amount Expended	Procurement			
				Method*			
1.							
2.							
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							

^{*} Use the following numbers for these methods of procurement [see 24 CFR 84.40 and 2 CFR 85.36]: (1) Small

Exhibit 17-6

Community Compass Technical Assistance and Capacity Building Program

Purchase:

(2) Sealed Bids; (3) Competitive Proposals; and (4) Noncompetitive Proposals.

	(2) Scaled Bids, (3) Competitive Proposals, and (4) Noncompetitive Proposals.			
B. 2.	GENERAL PROVISIONS/PROCEDURES			
2.	Has the recipient established its own written procurement procedures? [24 CFR 84.44(a) or 24 CFR 85.36(b)]	Yes	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
3.				
	Can the recipient demonstrate that it maintains oversight to ensure that			
	contractors perform in accordance with the terms, conditions, and	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		LJ
	specifications of their contracts or purchase orders? [24 CFR 84.47]	Yes	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
4.				
	Does the recipient have written standards of conduct covering conflicts of			
	interest and governing the actions of its employees, officers, or agents	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		L
	engaged in the selection, award and administration of contracts supported	Yes	No	N/A
	by grant funds?			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
5.				
	Do the records for each sample transaction show that the recipient took the		П	
	required steps to determine that the contractor was not debarred, suspended,	Yes		L
	or otherwise excluded from, or ineligible for, participation in Federal	Yes	No	N/A
	assistance programs or activities?			
	[24 CFR 84.47 or 24 CFR 85.36]	<u> </u>		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
6.				
	For the procurement transactions selected for review, is there documentation	suffi	cient	to
	detail the procurement history including, but not limited to:			
	a. The basis for contractor selection?			
	[24 CFR 84.46(a) or 24 CFR 85.36]	Yes	No	N/A
	b. Justification for lack of competition when competitive bids or offers are	П		
	not maintained?	Yes	No	N/A
	[24 CFR 84.46(b) or 24 CFR 85.36] c. The basis for the cost or price of the contract?	\vdash		
	[24 CFR 84.46(c) or 24 CFR 85.36]			
		Yes	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

09/2020 17-2

Exhibit 17-6 6509.2 REV-7 CHG-2 Community Compass Technical Assistance and Capacity Building Program

7.					
	Fo	r the sample reviewed, did the documentation show that:	П		
	•	Purchase orders and contracts were signed by an authorized program official?	Yes	No	N/A
	•	Items delivered and paid for were consistent with the items contained in			
		the corresponding purchase order and/or contract?			
	De	scribe Basis for Conclusion:			
O					
8.	T 4	the man amount activities and an arrain a that its arrands are not made to any name.			
		the program participant ensuring that its awards are not made to any party	l \square		
		at was excluded, disqualified, or otherwise ineligible (e.g., suspension,	Yes	No	N/A
		barment or limited denial of participation) for Federal procurement and nprocurement programs?			
		4 CFR 24, Subparts C and J] scribe Basis for Conclusion:			
	De	scribe basis for Conclusion.			
C.	SM	IALL PURCHASES			
9.					
	a.	Can the program participant document receipt of an adequate number of			
		price or rate quotations from qualified sources for procurements of		Ш	Ш
		\$100,000 or less?	Yes	No	N/A
		[24 CFR 84.44(e) or 24 CFR 85.36]			
	De	scribe Basis for Conclusion:			
	b.	Describe types of purchases and price or rate quotes received.			
	De	scribe Basis for Conclusion:			
D.	SE.	ALED BIDS			
10.					
	For	r each sample transaction that followed the sealed bids procurement method	od:		
	a.	Does the program participant receive at least two or more responsible			
		bids for each procurement transaction?	\		LJ
		[24 CFR 84.44 or 24 CFR 85.36(d)(2)(i)(B)]]	Yes	No	N/A
	b.	Do the procurements lend themselves to firm, fixed price contracts and			П
		can selections of successful bidders be made principally on the basis of	\		LJ
		price?	Yes	No	N/A
		[24 CFR 84.44 or 24 CFR 85.36(d)(2)(i)(C)]]			
	c.	Do the Invitations For Bids (IFBs) include specifications and pertinent			
		attachments that clearly define the items or services needed in order for	V	N-	□
		the bidders to properly respond?	Yes	No	N/A
		[24 CFR 84.44(a)(3)(i) or 24 CFR 85.36(d)(2)(ii)(A)]			

17-3 09/2020

Exhibit 17-6

Community Compass Technical Assistance and Capacity Building Program

	Are contracts awarded to the lowest responsive and responsible bidders? [24 CFR 84.44(d) or 24 CFR 85.36(d)(2)(ii)(D)]	es N	lo	N/
Des	scribe Basis for Conclusion:			
COI	MPETITIVE PROPOSALS			
For each sample transaction that followed the competitive proposals procurement me				
a.	Do the Request for Proposals (RFPs) clearly and accurately state the		$\overline{\Box}$	
	technical requirements for the goods or services to be procured?			
	[24 CFR 84.44(a)(3)(i) or 24 CFR 85.36(d)(3)]	Yes	No	ı
b.	Were proposals solicited from an adequate number of qualified sources?			
	[24 CFR 84.43 or 24 CFR 85.36(d)(3)(ii)]	Yes	No	
		res	NO	
c.	Does the program participant publicize RFPs and honor reasonable			
	requests by parties to compete to the maximum extent practicable?	Yes	No	ı
Ļ	[24 CFR 84.43 or 4 CFR 85.36(d)(3)(i)]			
d.	Does the program participant conduct technical evaluations of submitted			
	proposals?	Yes	No	ı
	[24 CFR 84.40 or 24 CFR 85.36(d)(3)(iii)]			
e.	Does the program participant determine responsible offerors from such evaluations?			
	[24 CFR 84.40 or 24 CFR 85.36(d)(3)(iii)]	Yes	No	ı
f.	Was the contract awarded to the responsible firm whose proposal was		_	
1.	most advantageous to the program, with price and other factors			
	considered?	Yes	No	ı
	[24 CFR 84.40 or 24 CFR 85.36(d)(3)(iv)]			
De	escribe Basis for Conclusion:	1		
1				
101	NCOMPETITIVE PROPOSALS			
f th	ne program participant procured services noncompetitively, can it show to other methods of procurement (small purchases, sealed bids or appetitive proposals) were infeasible because:	es N	lo	
f th	ne program participant procured services noncompetitively, can it show to other methods of procurement (small purchases, sealed bids or inpetitive proposals) were infeasible because: • the items were available from a single source; OR • a public exigency or emergency was of such urgency to not permit use of competitive solicitation, after solicitation of a number of sources; OR	es M	lo	N,
f th	ne program participant procured services noncompetitively, can it show to other methods of procurement (small purchases, sealed bids or inpetitive proposals) were infeasible because: • the items were available from a single source; OR • a public exigency or emergency was of such urgency to not permit use of competitive solicitation, after solicitation of a number of sources; OR • competition is determined inadequate; OR	es N	lo	N,
If that	ne program participant procured services noncompetitively, can it show to other methods of procurement (small purchases, sealed bids or inpetitive proposals) were infeasible because: • the items were available from a single source; OR • a public exigency or emergency was of such urgency to not permit use of competitive solicitation, after solicitation of a number of sources; OR	es N	lo	

09/2020 17-4

Exhibit 17-6 6509.2 REV-7 CHG-2 Community Compass Technical Assistance and Capacity Building Program

G.	CONTRACTING WITH SMALL AND MINORITY FIRMS, WOMEN'S I	BUSIN	VESS	
	ENTERPRISES AND LABOR SURPLUS AREA FIRMS			
13.				
	Is the program participant taking affirmative steps to use small, minority- owned and women-owned businesses in line with 24 CFR 84.44(b)?			
	[24 CFR 84.44(b) or 24 CFR 85.36(e)]	Yes	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

17-5 09/2020