Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average .5 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. HUD may not collect this information, and you are not required to complete this form, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

All Federal agencies administrating programs subject to Davis-Bacon wage provisions are required to enforce Federal wage and reporting provisions in an accordance with the U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) regulations at 29 CFR Part 5, Section 5.6 paragraphs (a)(1), (2) and (3). This information is being collected to assess compliance with Federal labor standards provisions. This information collection will assist the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) to determine if an agency is in compliance with Federal labor standards wage and reporting requirements on covered HUD-assisted construction and maintenance work pursuant to the Davis-Bacon Act and HUD Davis-Bacon Related Acts. Participation in this collection is required. Failure to provide this information may result in a monitoring finding and sanctions may be imposed in accordance with 29 CFR Section 5. 6. No assurances of confidentiality are provided.

Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions to reduce this burden, to the Reports Management Officer, OMAC, US. Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW, Washington, DC 20410. When providing comments, please refer to OMB Approval No. 2501-0043.

HUD Reviewer:	HUD Review Date(s):

Part A. Agency Overall Labor Standards Administration

1. Agency Information

a.	Agency Name	b.	Agency Address	C.	Agency No. (HUD Use) CPD/PIH No. (if different)
d.	Principal Program Director/ Executive Director	e.	Agency Labor Standards Officer (LSO)	f.	Agency Telephone No.
g.	Agency Personnel Contacted During Review				
Nar	ne:	Titl	e	Ph	one:
1.					
2.					
3.					
4			:		
h.	Projects/Contracts Reviewed				
Pro	ject Name and Identifier:	Bri	ef Description:	Co	ntract/Budget Amount
1.					
2.					
3.					
4.					

Part A, continued

2.	Agency Labor Standards Officer (LSO) / Staff	Yes	No	NA
a.	Agency staff includes an LSO or key staff person with primary responsibility for labor compliance?			
b.	LSO is informed of all proposed projects funded/assisted with HUD funds?			
c.	LSO usually has sufficient time to obtain wage decisions and include in bid documents?			
d.	LSO approval required prior to advertisement of contracts?			
e.	LSO approval required on all payments to contractors?			
f.	LSO examines subrecipient/subgrantee/subcontract management firm contract activity as			
	necessary?			
3.	Federal Labor Standards Monitoring Review Process	Yes	No	NA
a.	Procedure established to verify inclusion of correct wage decision and labor standards provisions in contracts?			
b.	Procedure established to verify/document contractor eligibility?			
C.	Payrolls/documents are examined regularly to assess Federal labor standards compliance?			
d.	Procedure established to conduct/target employee interviews?			
	Violations and corrective actions are communicated timely?			
f.	Maintains a system to track deficiencies and resolves them timely, and in accordance with established requirements?			
g.	Procedure established to track complaint resolution?			
	Examines subcontracts/subgrantee/subcontract management entity files as necessary?			
h.				
i.	Schedule for monitoring grantees:			
	Schedule for monitoring grantees: Total subgrantee monitoring reviews performed during past months:	_		
i. j.		_		
i. j.	Total subgrantee monitoring reviews performed during past months:			
i. j. 4.	Total subgrantee monitoring reviews performed during past months: Wage Decision Issuance Process	□ □ Yes	□ No	□ NA
i. j. 4. a.	Total subgrantee monitoring reviews performed during past months: Wage Decision Issuance Process Maintains a system to ensure that applicable wage decisions are obtained/applied timely?	Yes	□ No	□ NA
i. j. 4. a. b.	Total subgrantee monitoring reviews performed during past months: Wage Decision Issuance Process Maintains a system to ensure that applicable wage decisions are obtained/applied timely? Wage decision requests processed correctly (correct WD type and WD number)?	□ Yes	No	NA
i. j. 4. a. b. c. d.	Total subgrantee monitoring reviews performed during past months: Wage Decision Issuance Process Maintains a system to ensure that applicable wage decisions are obtained/applied timely? Wage decision requests processed correctly (correct WD type and WD number)? Wage decision modification issued timely?	□ Yes □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	□ No □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	NA
i. j. 4. a. b. c. d. 5.	Total subgrantee monitoring reviews performed during past months: Wage Decision Issuance Process Maintains a system to ensure that applicable wage decisions are obtained/applied timely? Wage decision requests processed correctly (correct WD type and WD number)? Wage decision modification issued timely? Maintains system to verify wage decision "lock-in"?	□ Yes □ □ □	No	NA
i. j. 4. b. c. d. 5. a.	Total subgrantee monitoring reviews performed during past months: Wage Decision Issuance Process Maintains a system to ensure that applicable wage decisions are obtained/applied timely? Wage decision requests processed correctly (correct WD type and WD number)? Wage decision modification issued timely? Maintains system to verify wage decision "lock-in"? HUD-Determined Maintenance Wage Rate Administration and Enforcement Does the agency effectively administer HUD-determined maintenance wage rates for its regular	□ Yes □ □ □ · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 No No	NA
i. j. 4. a. b. c. d. 5. a. b.	Total subgrantee monitoring reviews performed during past months: Wage Decision Issuance Process Maintains a system to ensure that applicable wage decisions are obtained/applied timely? Wage decision requests processed correctly (correct WD type and WD number)? Wage decision modification issued timely? Maintains system to verify wage decision "lock-in"? HUD-Determined Maintenance Wage Rate Administration and Enforcement Does the agency effectively administer HUD-determined maintenance wage rates for its regular staff? Does the agency effectively administer HUD-determined maintenance wage requirements for	□ Yes □ □ Yes □ ·	No	NA
i. j. 4. a. b. c. d. 5. a. b. c.	Total subgrantee monitoring reviews performed during past months: Wage Decision Issuance Process Maintains a system to ensure that applicable wage decisions are obtained/applied timely? Wage decision requests processed correctly (correct WD type and WD number)? Wage decision modification issued timely? Maintains system to verify wage decision "lock-in"? HUD-Determined Maintenance Wage Rate Administration and Enforcement Does the agency effectively administer HUD-determined maintenance wage rates for its regular staff? Does the agency effectively administer HUD-determined maintenance wage requirements for other force account labor? Does the agency effectively administer HUD-determined maintenance wage rates (routine/non-	Yes Yes Yes	 	NA
i. j. d. d. d. d. d. d. d. d.	Total subgrantee monitoring reviews performed during past months: Wage Decision Issuance Process Maintains a system to ensure that applicable wage decisions are obtained/applied timely? Wage decision requests processed correctly (correct WD type and WD number)? Wage decision modification issued timely? Maintains system to verify wage decision "lock-in"? HUD-Determined Maintenance Wage Rate Administration and Enforcement Does the agency effectively administer HUD-determined maintenance wage rates for its regular staff? Does the agency effectively administer HUD-determined maintenance wage requirements for other force account labor? Does the agency effectively administer HUD-determined maintenance wage rates (routine/non- routine) requirements in contracted work? Does the agency correctly utilize training or apprenticeship programs for workers subject to HUD-	 Yes Yes Yes Yes 	No	Image: Control of the second secon
i. j. d. d. d. d. d. d. d. d. d.	Total subgrantee monitoring reviews performed during past months: Wage Decision Issuance Process Maintains a system to ensure that applicable wage decisions are obtained/applied timely? Wage decision requests processed correctly (correct WD type and WD number)? Wage decision modification issued timely? Maintains system to verify wage decision "lock-in"? HUD-Determined Maintenance Wage Rate Administration and Enforcement Does the agency effectively administer HUD-determined maintenance wage rates for its regular staff? Does the agency effectively administer HUD-determined maintenance wage requirements for other force account labor? Does the agency effectively administer HUD-determined maintenance wage rates (routine/non- routine) requirements in contracted work? Does the agency correctly utilize training or apprenticeship programs for workers subject to HUD- determined maintenance wage rates?	Yes	No Image: Second seco	NA

Part A, continued

7.	Other Requirements – Reports and Escrows	Yes	No	NA
a.	Make reports using HUD Form 4710 for CWHSSA liquidated damages?			
b.	Submits Labor Standards Enforcement Reports (5.7), timely and complete?			
c.	Number of active escrow agreements: #			
d.	Total amount of funds escrowed for HUD/DBRA/CWHSSA violations: \$			
8.	Summary – Labors Standards Administration	Α	NI	NA
a.	Staffing			
b.	Information systems/tracking mechanisms			
C.	Enforcement files/records			
d.	Labor standards review – procedures			
e.	Labor standards review - effectiveness			
f.	Training			
g.	Wage decision issuances			
h.	Complaints			
i.	Restitution and escrow administration		Π	
j.	Reporting requirements – Semi-annual reports, Enforcement Reports			
k.	HUD-determined wage rate administration			

Summary Key: A = Acceptable; NI = Needs Improvement; NA = Not Applicable

□ Additional deficiencies identified are listed on a separate page and attached to this forms, along with copies of supporting documentation.

- End of Part A -

Part B. Agency Labor Standards Contract Compliance – Davis-Bacon Covered Projects

1. Contract Identification

a.	Agency Name and Identification		b.	Grant No. & Ty	/pe (Funding	g Source)		
C.	Project Name		d.	Project Identifi	er (Agency's	s contract n	umber,	etc.)
e.	Location of Project (City, County, State)		f.	Prime Contrac	tor			
g.	Description (Scope) of Work – Include number of	units & stories for hou	Ising pro	jects		h. Contr \$	act Amo	unt
i.	Labor Standards Provisions (HUD Form Used)	j. Wage Decision modification dat		ed – Include type	e and	k. Bid O	pening	Date
Ι.	Contract Award Date	m. Construction St	art Date		n. % Cor	nplete or C	Completi	on Date
2.	Contract Documents					Yes	No	NA
a. b. c.	Correct labor standards provisions incorporated in Correct wage decision incorporated in contract? Was the prime contractor eligibility verification on							
3.	Weekly Payrolls					Yes	No	NA
a.	Did the agency obtain all payrolls (first to current/l	ast) for each contracto	or on the	e job?				
b.	All contractors / trades represented by payrolls red	ceived?						
c.	Payroll data consistent with inspection & employed	e interviews (HUD-11))?					
d.	Payroll certification statements signed by employe	er or authorized repres	sentative	e?				
e.	Apprentices or trainees reported on payrolls?							
f.	Apprentice/trainee certification obtained?							
g.	Contractors in compliance with apprentice/trainee	ratio requirements?						
h.	Do payrolls report generic job classifications (e.g., wage decision?	"mechanic," "operato	or," "insta	aller," "journeyma	an") not on			
i.	Do payrolls report job classifications for which an	"Additional Classificat	ion" req	uest was necess	sary?			
j.	Did the agency obtain DOL approval to add classi	fications to the wage o	decision	?				
k.	Did the agency review to ensure that the correct w	vages were paid in ac	cordanc	e with the wage	decision?			
I.	Where reported, were payroll deductions permissi	ble per DOL regulatio	ns?					
m.	If reported, were fringe benefits acceptable per DO	OL regulations?						
n.	Was the Contract Work Hours and Safety Standar	ds Act (CWHSSA) thr	eshold ı	met?				
о.	Has any laborer or mechanic worked over 40 hour	rs per week on this pr	oject?					
p.	If yes to (n) and (o), has overtime (1.5 times the re 40/wk?	egular rate of pay) bee	en paid f	or hours worked	lover			
q.	If answer to (n) is yes and (p) is no, was the emplo computed?	oyer notified to pay re	stitution	& were liquidate	ed damages			

Part B, Continued

4.	Employee Interviews	Yes	No	NA
a.	Were employee interviews completed?			
b.	Did the agency target interviews?			
c.	Did interviews record work performed by worker and observed by the interviewer?			
d.	Were interviews compared to payrolls?			
e.	Did the agency send mail-out questionnaires to employees if needed?			
f.	Did the agency follow up on leads developed from interviews/questionnaires?			
5.	Contract Administration	Yes	No	NA

a.	Were contract records available, including all documentation required?		
b.	Were violations (misclassification, underpayment, etc.) corrected/addressed?		
c.	Were violations reported to HUD or DOL?		
d.	Were any complaints received on this contract?		
e.	Do records indicate other violations (not covered above) that the agency failed to address?		

Additional deficiencies identified are listed on a separate page and attached to this form, along with copies of supporting documentation (contracts, payrolls, interviews, correspondence, etc.)

- End of Part B -

	Part C. Agency Contract Labor Standards Compliance – HUD-Determined Wage Rates for Routine and Non-routine Maintenance Contracts									
1.	Contract Identification									
a.	Agency Name			b.	Agency	/ ID and Activity Type				
C.	Project Name			d.	Project	Identifier (e.g. Agency	y's Cor	ntract Nurr	iber)	
e.	Location of Project			f.	Prime (Contractor				
g.	Description (Scope) of Work			h.	Contrac	ct Amount				
i.	Wage Decision Used – Include Effective Date and Routine or Non-Routine	j.	Labor Standards Pr applicable)	ovisio	ons Used	(HUD form used, if	k.	Bid Ope	ning Date	9
I.	Contract Award Date	m.	Work Start Date	n.	% Com	plete, or Completion I	Date			
2.	Contract Documents							Yes	No	NA
a.	Labor standards requirements incorporated in cor	ntract,	, purchase order, o	or sin	nilar agr	eement?				
b.	Wage decision incorporated in contract, purchase	e orde	r, or similar agree	ment	?					
C.	Wage Rates correct?									
d.	Prime contractor eligibility verification, when requi	ired, c	on file?							
3.	Wage Payment							Yes	No	NA
a.	Has the agency established a method to ensure v Describe methodology on separate sheet.	workei	rs are paid not les	s tha	n the rat	te determined by Hl	JD?			
b.	Are site inspections or other on-site reports used	to cor	roborate proper c	assio	cation/pa	ayment?				
с.	Does work require classifications not included on	the a	pplicable HUD wa	ge de	ecision?					
d.	If yes to (c), did the agency obtain HUD approval	to add	d classifications to	the a	applicab	le wage decision?				
e.	Were the correct wages paid in accordance with t	the ap	plicable wage dec	sision	(HUD-5	52158)?				
f.	Has the agency established a method to ensure la this project have been paid overtime?	abore	rs or mechanics w	orkir/	ng over 4	40 hours per week c	on			
g.	Was the Contract Work Hours and Safety Standa \$100,000)?	rds A	ct (CWHSSA) thre	sholo	d met? (prime contract exce	eds			
h.	Has any laborer or mechanic worked over 40 hou	ırs per	r week on this proj	ect?						
i.	If yes to (g) and (h), has overtime (1.5 x the regula	ar rate	e of pay) been pai	d for	hours w	orked over 40/wk?				
j.	If answer to (h) is yes and (i) is no, was the emplo computed?	oyer n	otified to pay resti	tutior	n & were	e liquated damages				

Part C, Continued

4.	Employee Interviews	Yes	No	NA
a.	Were employee interviews completed?			
b.	Did the agency target interviews?			
C.	Did interviews record work actually performed by the worker and observed by the interviewer?			
d.	Were interviews compared to the HUD-determined rate schedule?			
e.	Did the agency conduct mail-out questionnaires if needed?			
f.	Did the agency follow up on leads developed from interviews/questionnaires/on-site reports, etc?			
5.	Contract Administration	Yes	No	NA
a.	Were records available, including all documentation required?			
b.	Were violations/discrepancies (misclassificatons, underpayment, etc.) corrected?			
С.	Were any complaints received on this contract?			
d.	Do records indicate other violations (not covered above) that the agency failed to address?			\Box

□ Additional deficiencies identified are listed on a separate page and attached to this form, along with copies of supporting documentation (contract payrolls, interviews, correspondence, etc.)

- End of Part C -

Pa	Part D. Agency Maintenance Wage Rate Administration							
Age	ency Name:	Agency ID No.:						
1.	Maintenance Wage Enforcement		Yes	No	NA			
a.	Are the agency's personnel policies for maintenance laborers and	mechanics acceptable?						
b.	Does the agency have position descriptions that accurately describe the work performed by maintenance staff?							
C.	Is a copy of the <u>current</u> HUD-52158 on file?							
d.	Do the maintenance classification/wage rates identified in the curr current HUD-52158?	ent operating budget correspond to the						
e.	Are employees working within assigned classifications?							
f.	Are employees properly compensated for hours worked over 40 p	er week?						
g.	Were maintenance employees interviewed? Number interviewed:							
h.	Has the agency conducted work employing force account workers force account employees on part E, Force Account Work.	? If yes, record individual projects using						
2.	Training, Apprenticeship, & Special Employme	ent Programs	Yes	No	NA			
a.	Does the agency participate in an apprenticeship program for main	ntenance employees?						
b.	Has the agency obtained HUD approval for maintenance apprention	ceship programs?						
C.	Does agency participate in job/skill training for agency residents o	r other low-income persons?						
d.	Does the agency employ youth in maintenance at any time during	the year?						
e.	Are youth compensated at less than prevailing rates?							
	\neg Additional deficiencies identified are listed on a congrate page and attached to this form along with conject							

Additional deficiencies identified are listed on a separate page and attached to this form, along with copies of supporting documentation (contract payrolls, interviews, correspondence, etc.)

- End of Part D -

Part E. Agency Labor Standards Compliance – Force Account Work

Part E is for work performed by the regular employees of a PHA, IHA, TDHE, or DHHL or by other workers hired by the agency specifically for a project or work item (i.e. "Force Account" labor). Depending on the type of work, HUD-Determined or Davis-Bacon wage rates could apply to the work. If a contractor performed part of the force account work, fill out Part B or Part C to review the contracted work.

1. Project Identification

a.	Agency Name	b. Activity Type (development or operations) / Grant No. (if any)
C.	Project Name	d. Project Identifier / Work Item Number
e.	Location of Project f. Descriptio	of Work (include number of stories for housing projects)
g.	HUD Maintenance Wage Rate Decision - Include Effective Date or if Davis-Bacon Wage Decision - Include Modification Number	nd if Routing/Non-Routing h. Budgeted Cost (Labor & Materials)

j.

i.	Work Start Date	

% Complete, or Completion Date

2.	Enforcement – General	Yes	No	NA
a.	Has the agency established a method to ensure force account workers are paid not less than the rate required?			
b.	Did the agency maintain work records for employees showing employee name, address, Social Security Number?			
C.	Did the agency maintain weekly work records showing daily hours worked, classification (trade), pay rate & deductions for each worker?			
d.	Were apprentices or trainees employed on the project?			
e.	Was the apprentice/trainee program approved? (if no apprentices, answer 'NA')			
f.	Were apprentices/trainee ratio requirements met? (if no apprentices, answer 'NA')			
g.	Did the applicable wage decision include all classifications needed for completion of the project?			
h.	If no to (g), did the agency obtain approval from/through HUD DBLS to add classifications to the wage decision?			
3. Project Administration		Yes	No	NA
a.	Procedure established to track complaint resolution?			
b.	Were any complaints received from workers or others?			
C.	Were violations (misclassification, underpayment, etc.) corrected?			

□ Additional deficiencies identified are listed on a separate page and attached to this form, along with copies of supporting documentation (contract payrolls, interviews, correspondence, etc.)

- End of Part E -

Part X. Exit Conference				
a.	Agency Name	b.	Agency ID No.	
C.	Conference Location	d.	Conference Date and Time	

e. Conference Attendees/Participants - Name, Organization, and Title (attach list to this form if more room needed)

f. Reviewer(s) - Name and Title

Agency representatives were advised of problem areas, and were provided an opportunity to question and discuss the basis for preliminary conclusions. The following principal areas were discussed; additional items are covered on a separate page and attached to this form.

Staffing Knowledge, capacity, sufficient number for volume of work. Is the work that is being done effective? Is there a disproportionate amount of covered activity not being reviewed?
Information Systems / Tracking Mechanisms Note: Agencies have very wide latitude in the configuration, locations, and functioning of the system.
Enforcement Files / Records
Note: Agencies have very wide latitude in the configuration, locations, and functioning of the system. The focus in this section is that complete records for covered activities are maintained for the duration required and that they be retrievable in a reasonable time. As with management system, "blind spots" can be brought to the attention of the agency. Reviewers are cautioned about requiring revisions in the absence of violations resulting from a flawed system.
Labor Standards Review – Procedures Agency processes to review funded/assisted projects for applicability of labor standards ensure appropriate wage decisions/determinations and labor standards provisions are included in agreements/orders for covered work and that project records are established and managed with the knowledge of appropriate officials and offices of the agency.

Part X., continued				
	Labor Standards Review – Effectiveness Outcome of the agency's systems and efficiency, including actions of grantee agencies and management firms.			
	Technical Assistance and Training Quality and effectiveness of the technical assistance and training provided by the agency to its grantees, contractors, and management firms. Also covers the technical assistance and training needs of the agency's staff.			
	Wage Decision Issuances The agency's application of wage decisions/determinations, including applying for changes.			
	Complaints Actual complaints received or procedures established/needed.			
	Restitution and Escrow Administration Conclusions concerning the "perfection" of restitution. Were certified payroll reports submitted for the restitution payments, as well as an assurance of future compliance? Were sufficient funds retained when needed? Were funds handled appropriately? Does the agency have appropriate documentation on the circumstances requiring escrows?			
	Reporting Requirements (Semi-Annual Reports, Enforcement Reports) Conclusions about the validation of the reports were sent to HUD/DOL.			
	Other (summarize below or provide details on separate sheet and attach to this form)			
	Preliminary Conclusions			

Part X., continued

Areas of Disagreements, if any
Required follow-up actions by LCA or Reviewers, if any
Additional Comments

- End of Form -