Residential care facilities face an increased liability risk because they provide day-to-day resident care. In 2004, HUD adopted professional liability insurance (PLI) standards for healthcare facilities insured under Section 232 in Housing Notices H04-01 and H04-15. This Appendix supersedes all previous guidance on PLI.

I. SECTION 232 PROGRAMS THAT REQUIRE PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY INSURANCE

PLI requirements apply to the following:

A. New applications on behalf of residential care facilities seeking mortgage insurance under:
   1. Section 232 for new construction or substantial rehabilitation of a residential care facility,
   2. Section 223(f) for the purchase or refinance of an existing facility.

B. All residential care facilities currently insured under Section 232 and seeking approval:
   1. to refinance the facility using the Section 223(a) (7) program,
   2. to refinance the facility using the Section 223(f) program,
   3. finance a supplemental loan under Section 241(a),
   4. of a transfer of physical assets (TPA) or a Change of Ownership (CHOW) and/or a Change of Operator (CHOP) involving Section 232 residential care facilities.

The PLI requirements of this Appendix may be reduced or modified for existing FHA-insured facilities. See Section V for details.

II. WHO REQUIRES PLI

The legal entity that holds the license from the state permitting it to operate the residential care facility and/or the entity responsible for the day-to-day operation of the facility and hands-on resident care (Operator). The Operator must have PLI coverage in compliance with the guidance in this chapter.
A. An entity is considered to be the Operator if any of the following apply:

1. The entity’s name appears on the state issued facility operating license,
2. The entity holds the provider agreements with third party payors (Medicare, Medicaid or private pay),
3. The entity contracts to provide patient services (admissions agreement),
4. The entity holds the state-issued Certificate of Need,
5. A Management Agent that functions as the Operator, as defined above, will be considered an Operator and subject to PLI requirements.

B. If a Management Agent is the entity that functions as the Operator, as defined above, then it will be considered an Operator. However, if the Management Agent is the entity that only provides administrative oversight and performs accounting, financial management, purchasing and other corporate services, and it has no property interest in the license, the license was issued and will be renewed without regard to the Management Agent’s participation and another entity is fully responsible for all licensed activity at the facility, then PLI is not required of this entity.

C. If the Operator is a subsidiary or an affiliate of another entity or in a corporate structure where more than one residential care facility is owned and/or operated, then the term Operator shall refer to the parent or controlling entity. The parent or controlling entity must have PLI in compliance with the guidance in this chapter on all entities that it controls, operates or manages even if some of those facilities do not have FHA mortgage insurance.

The term “parent or controlling” entity refers only to business concerns such as corporate or partnership entities. It does not refer to natural persons operating in their individual capacities unless the owning entity is a sole proprietorship.

III. TYPES OF ACCEPTABLE INSURERS

The PLI insurance must be provided through an insurance carrier that has a Financial Strength Rating of “A-“ or higher from A.M. Best or Financial Stability Rating of “A” or higher from Demotech.

Acceptable forms of insurance include:

A. Commercial Insurance Policy

The PLI may be provided under a commercial insurance policy. The insurance carrier or provider must have a Financial Strength Rating of “A-“ or higher from A.M. Best Company or a Financial Stability Rating of “A” or higher from Demotech.
(the Financial Rating). The insurance company issuing the PLI policy must be
domiciled or licensed in the United States and must be authorized to provide PLI
insurance in the state where the policy is issued as an admitted and/or surplus lines
carrier.

B. Self-Insurance

Self-insurance is permissible subject to the guidance provided in Section IV.B. below.
For self-insurance, an insurance carrier or provider (also referred to as the “fronting
entity”) will be required to issue an insurance policy backed by liquid financial assets.
The carrier or fronting entity must be domiciled and authorized to provide insurance
in the United States. The insurance fronting entity must have an acceptable rating
from A.M. Best or Demotech.

C. State Insurance Providers

1. Joint Underwriting Associations (JUA) are insurance providers that are authorized
by state legislatures, regulated and financially controlled by state governmental
entities. JUA’s or state insurance providers must meet the following criteria to be
eligible providers of PLI for the purposes of this Appendix:

   a. The JUA and/or the state insurance provider must have been authorized by an
      act of the state legislature and must be regulated by the state government in the
      state where the PLI policy is issued.
   b. The insurance provider must have been in continuous operation for four years
      or longer,
   c. The JUA and/or the state insurance provider must provide audited or state
      approved financial statements for the past three years.
   d. The JUA and/or the state insurance provider must have capital or surplus that
      is at least 2.5 times the amount of annual claims, and
   e. ORCF may consider other factors at its sole discretion in order to make a
      reasonable determination regarding the acceptability of a JUA or a state
      insurance provider, and may accept ratings by A.M. Best or Demotech as
      specified in this chapter in lieu of these requirements.

2. Patient Compensation Funds

A Patient Compensation Fund (PCF) is a fund enacted through legislation by
some states that provides coverage for judgments or settlements in a medical
liability cause of action above a defined amount. States that have these funds have
different criteria defining which settlements and judgments are eligible. In
assessing the ability of an applicant to meet its PLI requirement, ORCF may
accept insurance provided by a PCF as long as the Operator is a participant.
IV. REQUIRED MINIMUM COVERAGE LIMITS, SELF-INSURANCE, AND MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

A. Minimum Coverage Requirements

ORCF requires a level of PLI that is sufficient to meet professional liability claims and obligations. ORCF has established minimum acceptable levels for the deductible, the coverage amount per occurrence, and an aggregate cap for the term of the policy. The per occurrence and aggregate levels shall reflect historical claims amounts and trends.

ORCF may determine that higher per occurrence or aggregate amounts of PLI coverage are necessary based on its review of the claims history and/or pending claims. More stringent coverage may be required if the Operator’s claim history is trending negative, or if the proposed insurance does not appear to be adequate to meet anticipated annual or long term claims payment obligations. Other circumstances that might lead to more stringent PLI requirements include the financial condition of the Operator or the results of an actuarial study.

1. The minimum required coverage for all residential care facilities is:
   a. $1,000,000 per occurrence and,
   b. An overall aggregate amount that is adequate to fund outstanding claims with a minimum of $3,000,000 and,
   c. The per-occurrence deductible shall not exceed $25100,000.
   d. General Liability and Professional Liability Insurance coverages must have separate limits.

2. Waiver. If the proposed financing is infeasible due to the cost or availability of PLI, applicants may petition ORCF to amend the minimum coverage requirement. The Operator’s lender’s request must include a clear explanation of the specific provision they are requesting to waive, a clear summary of the proposed PLI policy, and the lender’s analysis and justification of the policy. Justification should include the claims history of the projects on the policy, evidence that the cost of a compliant policy would create a financial burden to the project, and the operator’s financial capacity to provide mitigation of claims in excess of the limits allowed. Waiver requests should be submitted in the firm application.

3. Per Occurrence vs. Claims Made. The PLI policy may be either:
   a. An “occurrence” policy, which provides coverage regardless of when the claim is reported, as long as the occurrence giving rise to the claim occurred during the original policy period; or
   b. A “claims-made” policy, which provides coverage for claims that are brought to the insurer during the policy period or during a designated, extended reporting period beyond the policy expiration date. Since the term of the
policy is normally one year, the Operator must also provide extended
reporting period insurance coverage (“tail coverage”) if the policy renewal
does not cover claims from prior years or, in lieu of the tail coverage, the
Operator can provide a dedicated cash equivalent escrow fund for the full
amount of the expected claims. The tail insurance provides coverage for an
extended period that shall be based on the maximum statute of limitations for
filing claims of negligence, injuries, wrongful death, and/or improper care for
the various States where the facilities are located.

B. Self-Insurance

The fronting insurance provider shall obtain an escrow of liquid assets from the
Operator (i.e. cash, cash equivalents, readily marketable securities or a letter-of-
credit) that is sufficient to finance current and anticipated claims expenses under the
self-insurance policy. The amount of the escrow will be determined from an actuarial
study obtained by the Operator.

1. The escrow must be pledged exclusively for the PLI policy, but the self-insurance
policy may also have additional pledges of liquid assets for workers
compensation, property, auto and general liability insurance.

2. The escrow must be placed directly under the control of the fronting entity. A
letter-of-credit may be substituted for liquid assets; however, the letter of credit
must be assigned directly to the fronting entity and must be issued by an
acceptable financial institution.

3. An acceptable financial institution is one that has assets of not less than
$125,000,000 and/or 50 times the amount of the letter of credit (whichever is
higher), is organized under the laws of the United States or a State thereof and is
regulated and examined (for banking institutions) by the Comptroller of the
Currency, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or the Federal Reserve
System and has a long-term bank deposit rating of “A-1” or better by Moody’s
Investor Services, “A+” by Standard and Poor’s or “A+” by Fitch Ratings. If the
letter of credit provider is an affiliated non-insurance entity of an insurance
company, ORCF may also accept a Long Term Issuer Credit Rating from A.M.
Best of “A+” or higher assigned to such entity. (A Long Term Issuer Credit
Rating assigned to a non-insurance entity by A.M. Best should not be confused
with a Financial Strength Rating issued by A.M. Best, which refers to the
capability of an insurance company to meet its financial obligations to
policyholders.)

4. ORCF will accept a maximum per-occurrence self-insured retention (SIR) of
$100,000. ORCF may require a lower SIR, to an amount not less than $25,000, if
it determines that this is necessary after completing its review of the PLI Package
(Section VII, below).

C. Lower Minimum Required Coverage in Certain States.
Some states have enacted legislation which limits the amount that can be recovered as the result of a professional liability claim. ORCF may allow reduced PLI coverage for facilities in those states after review on a case-by-case basis, and a waiver will be required.

D. Additional Requirements for Certain Operators and Facilities.

If an applicant utilizes self-insurance, a JUA, and/or the Operator or PLI policy has 50 or more residential care facilities, ORCF will determine if the lender must conduct a comprehensive PLI review. Section VII below lists the materials to be reviewed and submitted as part of this review. ORCF will review the lender’s review to determine whether this policy is an acceptable risk.

V. EXISTING FHA INSURED FACILITIES

A. ORCF will review all applications under Section 232 that seek:

1. To refinance an existing FHA insured residential care facility pursuant to Section 223(a) (7) or Section 223(f),

2. To finance a supplemental loan under section 241(a),

3. Approval of TPA Change of Ownership (CHOW) and/or a Change in Operator (CHOP) involving Section 232 residential care facilities.

B. If the current PLI does not meet the requirements in this chapter, ORCF will determine if it is adequate to cover anticipated liabilities and claims. If satisfactory, ORCF will accept the existing PLI. However, if the PLI review provides evidence that the current PLI is not adequate to meet anticipated claims, the PLI insurance requirements of this Appendix will apply.

C. Applicants may petition ORCF to reduce the minimum coverage requirement, if the residential care facility is already FHA-insured and is seeking to lower the level of PLI coverage. The FHA lender should submit a request to ORCF, and include the information with the Firm Application. The Operator’s claims history must provide justification for the request to lower the minimum coverage amount and the additional cost of the insurance for the project must reflect a financial burden to the project. A review of the operator’s financial position must be supplied to provide support for mitigation of claims in excess of the limits allowed.

VI. NEW CONSTRUCTION / SUBSTANTIAL REHABILITATION

A. If the residential care facility is being financed as a new construction or a substantial rehabilitation, evidence of insurability for professional liability (preferably an insurance quote) should be presented to ORCF prior to initial closing. For Operators
of multiple residential care facilities, a PLI policy covering those facilities must be
provided to ORCF. A PLI policy acceptable to ORCF must be in place for the
Operator prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy and commencement of
operations of the new or substantially renovated facility.

B. If the Operator or parent does not currently operate a residential care facility, it must
present evidence of insurability for professional liability. The evidence must consist
of written documentation from an insurance company or an insurance broker
specializing in residential care facilities. The documentation must indicate that the
Operator is eligible to be insured under a policy that meets ORCF’s minimum
coverage requirements. It must also include a current estimate of the cost of the PLI
policy. The insurance policy must be in place prior to the issuance of a certificate of
occupancy and the commencement of operations of the new or substantially
renovated residential care facility, if the facility is not operational at the time of the
firm commitment.

C. In the case of new construction or substantial rehabilitation, the estimated cost must
be adjusted to reflect the anticipated cost at the estimated date that the coverage will
be bound.

II. MATERIALS REQUIRED TO BE SUBMITTED TO ORCF (the PLI PACKAGE)

The following will be submitted for the PLI review:

A. Information about the PLI insurance coverage:

1. Copy of the insurance ACORD – showing the limits of coverage (both facility
and aggregate limits if there is more than one facility) and deductible/SIR and
facilities with bed counts included under this coverage;

2. Evidence of insurance company(‘s) rating. (Printout of Financial Rating.)

B. Current list of all residential care facilities that the Operator operates and the
percentage ownership if that ownership exceeds 25%. Facilities on the PLI policy.

C. Financial statements for the most recent three years for the Operator and
consolidated financial statements for the parent of the Operator.

D. A six-year loss history of professional liability claims filed or expected to be filed
against it for all facilities controlled by the parent Operator. The six-
year loss history should be provided in annual summary form (prepared by the
insurance company or third-party administrator) and should:

1. Provide a current inventory of all paid or settled claims,
2. Break out the expected cost of claims in a year by year summary in separate line items the amount of the actual and/or anticipated awards including claims expenses, and any funds reserved for estimated claims,

3. Show total actual or estimated claims costs for compensatory damages, medical expenses, punitive damages and legal expenses incurred processing the claim,

4. Total number of insured beds for each of the six years,

5. Identify all potential or expected professional liability claims in excess of $1535,000 that have been or may be filed for all periods within the statute of limitations for the State where the claim occurred,

6. Include a brief discussion or chart that provides the timeframe for the statutes of limitations for filing claims of negligence, injuries, wrongful death, and/or improper care based-the law in the states where the parent Operator’s facilities are located.

E. State licensing surveys for the last three years for all individual facilities of the Operator PLI policy if the Operator policy has less than five facilities. If the Operator PLI policy has five or more facilities, provide copies of state licensing surveys where there has been a pattern of serious unresolved deficiencies (deficiencies where there is actual harm to residents commonly referred to as “G” or higher level deficiencies) that were not removed within a one month period. Please provide a narrative discussion regarding the topic, the risk and how it will be mitigated.

F. A recent actuarial study for the parent Operator if available, or if the parent Operator utilizes self-insurance, and include audited (if available) financial statements for any captive insurance company.

Note: This information is considered proprietary and is exempt from Freedom of Information Act requests.

G. For a substantial rehabilitation of an existing residential care facility, the mortgagee shall provide evidence that the facility had PLI coverage for a period equal to the State’s statute of limitations for filing claims. If during the statute of limitations period there was no insurance coverage or the coverage failed to provide for events that could lead to claims filed in later years, the Operator must provide an estimate of the extent of unfunded insurance liability by occurrence.

H. If the residential care facility has been purchased by a new owner and the new owner and/or Operator has any direct or indirect liability for operations of the residential care facility prior to the date of sale transaction, the Operator must provide an estimate of the extent of unfunded insurance liability by occurrence, if any. If the new owner or Operator has no obligations to pay claims incurred prior to the to the purchase date, the
lender should state this in the Lender Narrative.

Note: Prior claims information should be provided regardless of who may have owned or operated the facility prior to seeking FHA mortgage insurance. This information is important because it provides historical information about the previous Operator. It can indicate the quality of care and management capability that has been present in the facility and identify important Operator issues that need to be reviewed during the ORCF underwriting process.

VIII. QUALIFIED PLI REVIEW

When a Firm Application is submitted under Section 223(f), 232 New Construction, 232 Sub-Rehab or 232/241(a), the lender must provide a PLI review from a qualified professional describing the PLI policy and explaining the risks and mitigants associated with the policy. The review must be in compliance with the Statement of Work found on the ORCF Website.

A qualified PLI review is also required in the following situations:
A. When there are 50 or more projects on one PLI policy.
B. When a policy specific PLI Waiver is requested.

IX. LENDER’S REVIEW OF PLI PACKAGE AND OPINION

The mortgagee shall include as part of its underwriting analysis The lender must review all of the PLI exhibits, clearly explain the operator’s PLI policy and explain how it meets the handbook requirements. If a waiver is requested, the lender must identify which specific provision it is requesting to waive and provide a thorough and compelling justification. The lender should also include, as part of its underwriting analysis, a recommendation to ORCF concerning the acceptability of the sponsor’s PLI and risk management programs—These should include at least the documents Section VII above, the firm application checklist.

X. ANNUAL REVIEW BY MORTGAGEE

Annually, the mortgagee will review the current PLI status of the Operator, including:

A. An update of the loss history; and

B. A copy of insurance ACORD, PLI policy, certificate or memorandum of insurance or other evidence of the required insurance coverage.

The purpose of this annual review is to confirm that there have not been negative trends in the professional liability incidents, claims or insurance coverage (without HUD’s approval) since the time of underwriting. Please refer to Section III, Asset Management, Chapter 3.10.7 for specific details regarding the annual review.