

## Office of Appeals U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Washington, D.C. 20410-0001

In the Matter of:

Ronald Hostick,

Petitioner

HUDOA No.

<u>Pro se</u>

11-H-CH-AWG54

Claim No.

770718093-0A

Ronald Hostick 2325 39<sup>th</sup> Street

San Diego, CA 92105

Sara Mooney, Esq. U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Assistant General Counsel for Midwest Field Offices 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago, IL 60604

For the Secretary

١

## RULING AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Petitioner filed a timely request to present evidence that an alleged past-due. legally enforceable debt of Petitioner to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") should not be collected by the Secretary by means of administrative wage garnishment.

Pursuant to 24 C.F.R. §§ 17.170, 20.4(b), and 31 C.F.R. § 285.11(f), the administrative judges of the HUD Office of Appeals are authorized to determine whether certain debts exist and are legally enforceable and whether they can be collected by means of administrative wage garnishment. As a result of Petitioner's request, referral of the debt to the U.S. Department of the Treasury was temporarily stayed by this Office on February 17, 2011. (Notice of Docketing, Order, and Stay of Referral, dated February 17, 2011.)

On March 23, 2011, a Motion to Dismiss was filed by the Secretary which the Secretary stated that "Ronald Hostick (Petitioner") and HUD have entered into a repayment agreement."

Upon due consideration the Secretary's motion is **GRANTED**. It is hereby

**ORDERED** that this matter is **DISMISSED** WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

The Stay of Referral of this matter to the U.S. Department of the Treasury issued by this Office on February 17, 2011 shall remain in place indefinitely.

Vanessa L. Hall Administrative Judge

March 28, 2011