

## Office of Appeals U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Washington, D.C. 20410-0001

In the Matter of:

Tammy L. Glawson,

Petitioner

etitioner

Pro se

Claim No.

HUDOA No.

11-H-CH-AWG112

780660608

Tammy L. Glawson P.O. Box 216 Orangefield, TX 77639

James W. Webster, Esq.
U.S. Department of Housing and
Urban Development
Office of Assistant General Counsel
for Midwest Field Offices
77 West Jackson Boulevard
Chicago, IL 60604

For the Secretary

## **RULING ON SECRETARY'S MOTION TO DISMISS**

Petitioner filed a timely request to present evidence that an alleged past-due, legally enforceable debt of Petitioner to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") should not be collected by the Secretary by means of administrative wage garnishment.

Pursuant to 24 C.F.R. §§ 17.170, 20.4(b), and 31 C.F.R. § 285.11(f), the administrative judges of the HUD Office of Appeals are authorized to determine whether certain debts exist and are legally enforceable and whether they can be collected by means of administrative wage garnishment. As a result of Petitioner's request, referral of the debt to the U.S. Department of the Treasury was temporarily stayed by this Office on June 29, 2011. (Notice of Docketing, Order, and Stay of Referral, dated June 29, 2011.)

On July 19, 2011, a Motion to Dismiss was filed by the Secretary in which the Secretary stated that "HUD staff has verified the Petitioner is no longer employed." The Secretary further stated that he moves "that this appeal be DISMISSED, without prejudice, because there are no wages that can be garnished."

The Stay of Referral of this matter to the U.S. Department of the Treasury issued by this Office on June 29, 2011 shall remain in place indefinitely.

Upon due consideration the Secretary's motion is **GRANTED**. It is hereby

ORDERED that this matter is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

/anessa L. Hall

Administrative Judge

August 4, 2011