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I. **Cover Sheet**

1. Submission date:
2. Submitter name:
3. Type of submission (*e.g.*, single program participant, joint submission):
4. Type of program participant(s) (*e.g.*, State, Insular Area, PHA):
5. For PHAs, State in which the PHA is located:
6. Submitter members (if applicable):
7. Sole or lead submitter contact information:
   a. Name:
   b. Title:
   c. Department:
   d. Street address:
   e. City:
   f. State:
   g. Zip code:
8. Period covered by this assessment:
9. Initial, amended, or renewal AFH:
10. To the best of its knowledge and belief, the statements and information contained herein are true, accurate, and complete and the program participant has developed this AFH in compliance with the requirements of 24 C.F.R. §§ 5.150-5.180 or comparable regulations of the Department of Housing and Urban Development;
11. The program participant will take meaningful actions to further the goals identified in its AFH conducted in accordance with the requirements in §§ 5.150 through 5.180 and 24 C.F.R. §§ 91.225(a)(1), 91.325(a)(1), 91.425(a)(1), 570.487(b)(1), 570.601, 903.7(o), and 903.15(d), as applicable.

   (Signature) (date)

   (Signature) (date)

   (Signature) (date)

12. Departmental acceptance or non-acceptance:

   (Signature) (date)

Comments
II. Executive Summary

1. Summarize the fair housing issues, significant contributing factors, and goals. Also include an overview of the process and analysis used to reach the goals.

III. Community Participation Process

1. Describe outreach activities undertaken to encourage and broaden meaningful community participation in the AFH process, including the types of outreach activities and dates of public hearings or meetings. Identify media outlets used and include a description of efforts made to reach the public, including those representing populations that are typically underrepresented in the planning process such as persons who reside in areas identified as R/ECAPs, persons who are limited English proficient (LEP), and persons with disabilities. Briefly explain how these communications were designed to reach the broadest audience possible. For PHAs, identify your meetings with the Resident Advisory Board and other resident outreach.

2. Provide a list of organizations consulted during the community participation process.

3. How successful were the efforts at eliciting meaningful community participation? If there was low participation, provide the reasons.

4. Summarize all comments obtained in the community participation process. Include a summary of any comments or views not accepted and the reasons why.

IV. Assessment of Past Goals, Actions and Strategies

1. Indicate what fair housing goals were selected by program participant(s) in recent Analyses of Impediments, Assessments of Fair Housing, or other relevant planning documents:

   a. Discuss what progress has been made toward their achievement.

   b. Discuss how you have been successful in achieving past goals, and/or how you have fallen short of achieving those goals (including potentially harmful unintended consequences).

   c. Discuss any additional policies, actions, or steps that you could take to achieve past goals, or mitigate the problems you have experienced.

   d. Discuss how the experience of program participant(s) with past goals has influenced the selection of current goals.

V. Fair Housing Analysis

[Note to Public: Where HUD has not provided data for a specific question and program participants do not have local knowledge or local data, as outlined in 24 C.F.R. § 5.152, that is relevant to answering the question, participants may note the lack of such available information. Program participants should not leave the response blank.]
A. **Demographic Summary**

[Note to Public: While certain questions require a state-wide analysis, States may choose to divide their State into sub-state areas for the purposes of the analysis to help focus on issues that may be unique to certain areas within the State. The term ‘sub-state area’ is used solely for the purposes of this assessment tool to facilitate the analysis. States are still required to conduct a larger regional analysis as further defined in this assessment tool.]

1. If the State is choosing to divide the State into sub-state areas for the purposes of the analysis, identify and describe these sub-state areas and explain why the use of these designated areas in the State will facilitate a meaningful assessment from a fair housing perspective.

2. Describe demographic patterns in the State, and describe trends over time (since 1990).

B. **General Issues**

i. **Segregation/Integration**

1. **Analysis**

   a. Describe and compare segregation levels in different areas within the State, and identify the predominant racial/ethnic, national origin, or LEP group(s) living in each area with relatively high segregation. Identify areas where a particular racial/ethnic group experiences a high level of segregation. To the extent areas with relatively high segregation extend into another state or broader geographic area, identify where that occurs.

   b. Explain how different areas with relatively high segregation and integration in the State have changed over time (since 1990). To the extent areas with relatively high segregation extend into another state or broader geographic area, include a description of such patterns.

   c. Informed by community participation, any consultation with other relevant government agencies, and the program participant’s own local data and local knowledge, discuss whether there are any trends in demographics, laws, policies, or practices that could lead to higher segregation in the State in the future. Participants should focus on trends that affect the state or trends that affect areas of the state rather than creating an inventory of local laws, policies, or practices.

2. **Additional Information**

   a. Beyond the HUD-provided data, provide additional relevant information, if any, about segregation in the State or larger region affecting groups with other protected characteristics.

   b. The program participant may also describe other information relevant to its assessment of segregation, including activities such as place-based investments and mobility options for protected class groups.
3. **Contributing Factors of Segregation**

*Consider the listed factors when identifying factors that significantly create, contribute to, perpetuate, or increase the severity of segregation.*

- Community Opposition
- Displacement of residents due to economic pressures
- Lack of community revitalization strategies
- Lack of private investments in specific areas within the State
- Lack of public investments in specific areas within the State, including services or amenities
- Lack of State, regional, or other inter-governmental cooperation
- Land use and zoning laws
- Lending Discrimination
- Location and type of affordable housing
- Loss of affordable housing
- Nuisance laws
- Occupancy codes and restrictions
- Private discrimination
- Source of income discrimination
- Other

ii. **R/ECAPs**

1. **Analysis**

   a. Identify any R/ECAPs or groupings of R/ECAPs within the State. To the extent groupings of R/ECAPs extend into other states or a broader geographic area, identify where that occurs.

   b. Which protected classes disproportionately reside in R/ECAPs in the State?

   c. Describe how R/ECAPs and groupings of R/ECAPs in the State have changed over time (since 1990). To the extent grouping of R/ECAPs extend into another state or a broader geographic area, include a description of such patterns.

   d. Informed by community participation, any consultation with other relevant government agencies, and the program participant’s own local data and local knowledge, discuss whether there are any trends in demographics, laws, policies, or practices that may impact R/ECAPS in the State, in the future. Participants should focus on trends that affect the State or trends that affect areas of the State rather than creating an inventory of local laws, policies, or practices.

2. **Additional Information**

   The program participant may also describe other information relevant to its assessment of R/ECAPs, including activities such as place-based investments and mobility options for protected class groups.
3. **Contributing Factors of R/ECAPs**  
   *Consider the listed factors when identifying factors that significantly create, contribute to, perpetuate, or increase the severity of R/ECAPs.*

- Community Opposition
- Deteriorated and abandoned properties
- Displacement of residents due to economic pressures
- Lack of community revitalization strategies
- Lack of private investments in specific areas within the State
- Lack of public investments in specific areas within the State, including services or amenities
- Lack of State, regional, or other inter-governmental cooperation
- Land use and zoning laws
- Location and type of affordable housing
- Loss of affordable housing
- Nuisance laws
- Occupancy codes and restrictions
- Private discrimination
- Source of income discrimination
- Other

iii. **Disparities in Access to Opportunity**

1. **Analysis**

   a. **Education**

      i. For the protected class group(s) HUD has provided data, describe any disparities in access to proficient schools in the State.

      ii. For the protected class group(s) HUD has provided data, describe how the disparities in access to education relate to residential living patterns.

      iii. Informed by community participation, any consultation with other relevant government agencies, and the program participant’s own local data and local knowledge, discuss whether there are State programs, policies, or funding mechanisms that affect disparities in access to proficient schools for protected class groups.

   b. **Employment**

      i. For the protected class group(s) HUD has provided data, describe any disparities in access to employment and labor markets in the State.

      ii. For the protected class group(s) HUD has provided data, describe how the disparities in access to employment relate to residential living patterns.
iii. Informed by community participation, any consultation with other relevant government agencies, and the program participant’s own local data and local knowledge, discuss whether there are State programs, policies, or funding mechanisms that affect disparities in access to employment.

c. Transportation

i. For the protected class group(s) HUD has provided data, describe any disparities in access to transportation related to cost and access to public transit in the State.

ii. For the protected class group(s) HUD has provided data, describe how disparities in access to transportation relate to residential living patterns.

iii. Informed by community participation, any consultation with other relevant government agencies, and the program participant’s own local data and local knowledge, discuss whether there are State programs, policies, or funding mechanisms that affect disparities in access to transportation for protected class groups.

d. Access to Low Poverty Areas

i. For the protected class group(s) HUD has provided data, describe any disparities in exposure to poverty in the State.

ii. For the protected class group(s) HUD has provided data, describe how the disparities in access to low poverty neighborhoods relate to residential living patterns.

iii. Informed by community participation, any consultation with other relevant government agencies, and the program participant’s own local data and local knowledge, discuss whether there are State programs, policies, or funding mechanisms that affect disparities in access to low poverty neighborhoods for protected class groups.

e. Access to Environmentally Healthy Areas

i. For the protected class group(s) HUD has provided data, describe any disparities in access to environmentally healthy areas in the State.

ii. For the protected class group(s) HUD has provided data, describe how disparities in access to environmentally healthy neighborhoods relate to residential living patterns.

iii. Informed by community participation, any consultation with other relevant government agencies, and the program participant’s own local data and local knowledge, discuss whether there are State programs, policies, or funding mechanisms that affect disparities in access to environmentally healthy areas for protected class groups.

f. Patterns in Disparities in Access to Opportunity
i. Identify and discuss any overarching patterns of access to opportunity and exposure to adverse community factors by protected class in the State. To the extent these patterns extend into another state or broader geographic area, include a description of such patterns.

ii. Identify areas, if any, that experience limited access to multiple types of opportunity assets and high exposure to adverse community factors. Include how these patterns compare to patterns of segregation and R/ECAPs in the State.

2. Additional Information

   a. Beyond the HUD-provided data, provide additional relevant information, if any, about disparities in access to opportunity in the State or larger region affecting groups with other protected characteristics.

   b. There may also be other categories identified through the community participation process regarding disparities related to protected class and place of residence. Consider any relevant information obtained through the community participation process, and describe any disparities and how they affect access to opportunity by protected class groups and places of residence. Such categories may include state level programs, resources, or services related to:

      i. Public safety (e.g., crime, fire and emergency medical services, and services for survivors of domestic and sexual violence)

      ii. Public health (e.g., chronic disease prevention)

      iii. Housing finance and other financial services (e.g., State lending programs, tax incentives, and other housing finance programs)

      iv. Prisoner re-entry (e.g., Re-entry housing, employment, counseling, education, and other opportunities for offenders transitioning back into the community)

      v. Emergency management and preparedness (e.g., prevention, protection, mitigation, response, and recovery)

      vi. Any other opportunity areas obtained through community participation.

3. Contributing Factors

   Consider the listed factors and any other factors when identifying factors that significantly create, contribute to, perpetuate, or increase the severity of disparities in access to opportunity.

   - Access to financial services
   - Availability and type of public transportation
   - Lack of private investments in specific areas within the State
   - Lack of public investments in specific areas within the State, including services or amenities
   - Lack of State, regional, or other inter-governmental cooperation
   - Land use and zoning laws
   - Lending Discrimination
• Location of employers
• Location of environmental health hazards
• Location of proficient schools and school assignment policies
• Location and type of affordable housing
• Loss of affordable housing
• Occupancy codes and restrictions
• Private discrimination
• Source of income discrimination
• Other

iv. Disproportionate Housing Needs

1. Analysis

a. Which groups in the State (by race/ethnicity and familial status) experience higher rates of housing cost burden, overcrowding, or substandard housing when compared to other groups? Which groups also experience higher rates of severe housing burdens when compared to other groups in the State?

b. In which areas in the State do residents experience the greatest housing burdens? Which of these areas align with segregated areas, integrated areas, or R/ECAPs and what are the predominant race/ethnicity or national origin groups in such areas?

c. Describe any demographic trends, conditions, or other factors that impact disproportionate housing needs in the State. Participants should focus on patterns that affect the state or patterns that affect areas of the state rather than creating an inventory of local conditions or factors.

2. Additional Information

a. Beyond the HUD-provided data, provide additional relevant information, if any, about disproportionate housing needs in the State affecting groups with other protected characteristics.

b. The program participant may also describe other information relevant to its assessment of disproportionate housing needs. For PHAs, such information may include a PHA’s overriding housing needs analysis.

3. Contributing Factors of Disproportionate Housing Needs

Consider the listed factors when identifying factors that significantly create, contribute to, perpetuate, or increase the severity of disproportionate housing needs.

• The availability of affordable units in a range of sizes
• Displacement of residents due to economic pressures
• Lack of private investments in specific areas within the State
• Lack of public investments in specific areas within the State, including services or amenities
• Land use and zoning laws
• Lending Discrimination
• Loss of affordable housing
• Source of income discrimination
• Other

C. Publicly Supported Housing Analysis

1. Analysis

a. Publicly Supported Housing Demographics

i. Are certain racial/ethnic groups in the State more likely to be residing in one category of publicly supported housing than other categories (public housing, project-based Section 8, Other HUD Multifamily Assisted developments, and Housing Choice Voucher (HCV))?  

ii. Compare the demographics, in terms of protected class, of residents of each category of publicly supported housing (public housing, project-based Section 8, Other HUD Multifamily Assisted developments, and HCV) to the population in general, and persons who meet the income eligibility requirements for the relevant category of publicly supported housing. Include in the comparison, a description of whether there is a higher or lower proportion of particular groups based on protected class.

b. Publicly Supported Housing Location and Occupancy

i. Describe patterns in the geographic location of publicly supported housing by program category (public housing, project-based Section 8, Other HUD Multifamily Assisted developments, HCV, and LIHTC) in relation to previously discussed segregated areas and R/ECAPs.

ii. Describe patterns in the geographic location of publicly supported housing in the State that primarily serves families with children, elderly persons, or persons with disabilities in relation to previously discussed segregated areas or R/ECAPs?

iii. Describe how the patterns of demographic composition of occupants of publicly supported housing in the State vary depending on whether they are located in R/ECAPS or outside of R/ECAPS?

iv. Explain how the occupancy demographic patterns by protected class of each category of publicly supported housing in the State relate to the demographic patterns of the areas in the State in which the housing is located.

v. Describe any laws, policies, and practices that affect the ability of protected class groups to access each category of publicly supported housing in the State.

c. Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC)

i. Identify provisions of the State's Qualified Allocation Plan (QAP) or other state or local laws or policies that may influence the location of LIHTC units, and which protected class groups have access to them in relation to areas with relatively high levels of
segregation, R/ECAPS, and areas with access to opportunity, including the influence of the provisions listed below. Consider how the following provisions may affect protected class groups:

1. How “concerted community revitalization plans” are defined for purposes of 26 U.S.C. § 42(m)(1)(B)(ii)(III), and what standards or review processes are in place to assess the plans.
2. Incentives for locating projects in particular areas or areas with particular characteristics.
3. Requirements or preferences for project applicants to have approval or support from the local jurisdiction in which a proposed project would be located.
4. Affirmative marketing requirements related to protected class.
5. Preferences, points or threshold criteria for projects serving particular groups or special purposes (e.g., points for projects or set-aside units serving elderly, or units for persons with disabilities, permanent supportive housing, preservation of existing long-term affordable housing, or particular affordability requirements).

ii. How does the administration of funds used for gap financing or otherwise leveraging LIHTC developments (e.g., HOME, Tax Increment Financing, tax-exempt bonds, other tax credits) affect the location of LIHTC units in relation to patterns of segregation, R/ECAPS, and areas with access to opportunity?

iii. Describe whether the State is aware of information indicating discrimination against voucher holders by LIHTC properties or against members of particular protected classes. Describe the State’s oversight and enforcement of use agreements prohibiting discrimination against voucher-holders.

d. Other State Administered Programs Related to Housing and Urban Development

i. Describe how the administration of CDBG, HOME, and the National Housing Trust Fund programs may affect patterns of segregation, R/ECAPS, disparities in access to opportunity and disproportionate housing needs.

ii. Beyond the HUD-provided data, provide additional information about other related State housing programs relating to fair housing issues, including any State housing trust funds.

e. Disparities in Access to Opportunity

Describe any disparities in access to opportunity for residents of publicly supported housing in the State, including within different program categories (public housing, project-based Section 8, Other HUD Multifamily Assisted Developments, HCV, and LIHTC) and between types of publicly supported housing (housing primarily serving families with children, elderly persons, and persons with disabilities).

2. Additional Information

a. Beyond the HUD-provided data, provide additional relevant information, if any, about publicly supported housing in the State, particularly information about groups with other protected characteristics and about housing not captured in the HUD-provided data.
b. The program participant may also describe other information relevant to its assessment of publicly supported housing. Information may include relevant programs, actions, or activities, such as tenant self-sufficiency, place-based investments, or mobility programs.

3. Contributing Factors of Publicly Supported Housing Location and Occupancy

Consider the listed factors when identifying factors that significantly create, contribute to, perpetuate, or increase the severity of fair housing issues related to publicly supported housing, including Segregation, R/ECAPs, Disparities in Access to Opportunity, and Disproportionate Housing Needs. For each contributing factor that is significant, note which fair housing issue(s) the selected contributing factor relates to.

- Admissions and occupancy policies and procedures, including preferences in publicly supported housing
- Community opposition
- Impediments to mobility
- Lack of private investment in specific areas within the State
- Lack of public investment in specific areas within the State, including services and amenities
- Lack of State, regional, or other inter-governmental cooperation
- Lack of source of income protection
- Land use and zoning laws
- Loss of affordable housing
- Occupancy codes and restrictions
- Nuisance laws
- Quality of affordable housing information programs
- Siting selection policies, practices and decisions for publicly supported housing, including discretionary aspects of Qualified Allocation Plans and other programs
- Source of income discrimination
- Other

D. Disability and Access Analysis

1. Population Profile

a. How are persons with disabilities geographically dispersed or concentrated in the State, including R/ECAPs and other segregated areas identified in previous sections?

b. Describe whether these geographic patterns vary for persons with each type of disability or for persons with disabilities in different age ranges.

2. Housing Accessibility

a. Describe the areas in the State where affordable accessible housing units are located. Do they align with R/ECAPs or other areas that are segregated within the State?

b. Describe the extent to which the supply of accessible housing aligns with the demand for such housing within the State.
c. To what extent are persons with different disabilities able to access and live in the different categories of publicly supported housing in the State? To what extent are these categories located near accessible transportation?

d. What programs (including disability-specific housing programs) or incentives does the State provide in order to create or target housing assistance for people with disabilities so that they can live in integrated, accessible, and affordable housing in the community (e.g., Non-Elderly Disabled Vouchers, LIHTC or other set asides, PHA preferences, and targeted remedial preferences, etc.) or to provide reasonable modifications?

3. Integration of Persons with Disabilities Living in Institutions and Other Segregated Settings

a. To what extent do persons with disabilities in the State reside in segregated or integrated settings? Include the extent to which the State uses institutional settings outside of the State to provide health care services to State residents who are individuals with disabilities.

b. Describe the State’s effort to assess the extent to which people with disabilities reside in segregated settings and any barriers to conducting such an assessment.

c. Describe the range of options for persons with disabilities to access affordable housing and supportive services in the State, including access to affordable housing and supportive services.

d. Describe the State’s Olmstead planning efforts, including any Olmstead plans, any existing or efforts to implement remedial preferences to provide housing in integrated, community-based settings for persons with disabilities in the State.

e. To what extent are the following categories of persons with disabilities able to access housing in integrated, community based settings in the State: children (including foster care placements and access to medical services), persons at risk of institutionalization, individuals with mental health disabilities, individuals with intellectual or developmental disabilities; individuals who are blind, individuals who are deaf, individuals with mobility disabilities, and any other identified categories of persons with disabilities.

f. Describe the State’s efforts or plans to transition individuals with disabilities to integrated settings, including timeframes and goals adopted by the State. Include the State’s efforts to transfer individuals with disabilities to integrated, community based settings from the following types of facilities: nursing facilities, board and care and adult care facilities, mental health facilities, institutions for individuals with intellectual or developmental disabilities, day activity centers or sheltered workshops, and schools, among others.

g. Describe the State’s Money Follows the Persons Program, if any, as well as any Medicaid home and community-based waivers or options, and other state programs or services serving people with disabilities in integrated settings.

h. Describe any partnerships between local and state housing agencies and other agencies, including supportive services, healthcare, education, and criminal justice, to facilitate community integration of individuals with disabilities and to identify affordable, accessible, integrated housing opportunities.
4. **Disparities in Access to Opportunity**

a. To what extent are persons with disabilities in the State able to access the following in the State? Identify major barriers faced concerning:

   i. State government services and facilities
   
   ii. State-funded public infrastructure
   
   iii. State-funded transportation
   
   iv. State-funded proficient schools and educational programs, including post-secondary and vocational educational opportunities
   
   v. State jobs and job programs
   
   vi. State parks and recreational facilities
   
   vii. State-funded criminal justice diversion and post-incarceration reentry services

b. Describe the State processes that exist for persons with disabilities to request and obtain reasonable accommodations and accessibility modifications to address the barriers discussed above.

c. Describe any difficulties in achieving homeownership experienced by persons with disabilities and by persons with different types of disabilities in the State.

5. **Disproportionate Housing Needs**

Describe any disproportionate housing needs experienced by persons with disabilities and by persons with certain types of disabilities in the State.

6. **Additional Information**

a. Beyond the HUD-provided data, provide additional relevant information, if any, about disability and access issues in the State or larger region.

b. The program participant may also describe other information relevant to its assessment of disability and access issues.

7. **Disability and Access Issues Contributing Factors**

*Consider the listed factors when identifying factors that significantly create, contribute to, perpetuate, or increase the severity of disability and access issues and the fair housing issues, which are Segregation, R/ECAPs, Disparities in Access to Opportunity, and Disproportionate Housing Needs. For each contributing factor, note which fair housing issue(s) the selected contributing factor relates to.*

- Access for persons with disabilities to proficient schools
- Access to publicly supported housing for persons with disabilities
- Access to transportation for persons with disabilities
- Community Opposition
- Inaccessible government facilities or services
- Inaccessible public infrastructure
- Lack of affordable in-home or community-based supportive services
- Lack of affordable, accessible housing in range of unit sizes
- Lack of affordable, integrated housing for individuals who need supportive services
- Lack of assistance for housing accessibility modifications
- Lack of assistance for transitioning from institutional settings to integrated housing
- Land use and zoning laws
- Lending Discrimination
- Location of accessible housing
- Loss of affordable housing
- Occupancy codes and restrictions
- Regulatory barriers to providing housing and supportive services for persons with disabilities
- Source of income discrimination
- State or local laws, policies, or practices that discourage individuals with disabilities from being placed in or living in apartments, family homes, and other integrated settings
- Other

E. Fair Housing Monitoring and Enforcement, Outreach Capacity, and Resources Analysis

1. Analysis

   a. List and summarize any of the following that have not been resolved involving the State: a charge or letter of finding from HUD concerning a violation of a civil rights-related law, a cause determination from a substantially equivalent state or local fair housing agency concerning a violation of a state or local fair housing law, a letter of findings issued by or lawsuit filed or joined by the Department of Justice alleging a pattern or practice or systemic violation of a fair housing or civil rights law, or a claim under the False Claims Act related to fair housing, nondiscrimination, or civil rights generally, including an alleged failure to affirmatively further fair housing.

   b. Describe any state or local fair housing laws. What characteristics are protected under each law?

   c. Identify any State agencies and organizations that provide fair housing information, outreach, and enforcement, including their capacity and the resources available to them.

   d. Describe the State’s monitoring and enforcement of sub-recipients to ensure compliance with the obligation to affirmatively further fair housing and other fair housing and civil rights laws.

   e. How does the State ensure that projects comply with federal, state, and other accessibility requirements (e.g., monitoring, inspection, training, etc.)? How does the State enforce these requirements when noncompliance is identified?
2. **Additional Information**

   a. Provide additional relevant information, if any, about fair housing enforcement, outreach capacity, and resources in the State or broader geographic area.

   b. The program participant may also include information relevant to programs, actions, or activities to promote fair housing outcomes and capacity.

3. **Fair Housing Monitoring and Enforcement, Outreach Capacity, and Resources Contributing Factors**

   Consider the listed factors when identifying factors that significantly create, contribute to, perpetuate, or increase the severity of fair housing issues related to fair housing enforcement, outreach capacity, and resources and the fair housing issues, which are Segregation, R/ECAPs, Disparities in Access to Opportunity, and Disproportionate Housing Needs. For each significant contributing factor, note which fair housing issue(s) the selected contributing factor impacts.

   - Lack of State or local private fair housing outreach and enforcement
   - Lack of State or local public fair housing enforcement
   - Lack of resources for fair housing agencies and organizations
   - Lack of state or local fair housing laws
   - Unresolved violations of fair housing or civil rights law
   - Other

F. **Qualified PHA Service Area Questions**

   [Note to Public: This section is only to be completed when a Qualified PHA partners with the State. The analysis is intended to meet the requirements of a QPHA service area analysis while relying on the State to complete the regional analysis, provided the regional analysis is sufficiently analyzed under the Assessment Tool. For QPHAs whose service area extends beyond, or is outside of, the Non-Qualified PHA’s CBSA, the analysis must cover the QPHA’s service area and region. QPHAs should refer to the Contributing Factors listed in each section above and will have to identify Contributing Factors. QPHAs must also identify any individual goals]

1. **Segregation/Integration**

   Describe any areas of segregation and integration in the QPHA’s service area. Identify the protected class groups living in any such area. Explain how any area of segregation has changed over time.

2. **R/ECAPs**

   Describe the locations of R/ECAPs, if any, in the QPHA’s service area. Identify the protected class groups living in R/ECAPs and describe how R/ECAPs have changed over time.

3. **Disparities in Access to Opportunity**
Describe any disparities in access to the following opportunities for households in the service area, based on protected class:

- Educational opportunities
- Employment opportunities
- Transportation opportunities
- Low poverty exposure opportunities
- Environmentally healthy neighborhood opportunities

4. Disproportionate Housing Needs

Describe which protected class groups in the PHA’s service area experience higher rates of housing problems (housing cost burden, severe housing cost burden, substandard housing conditions, and overcrowding).

5. Publicly Supported Housing Section

Questions on the location and occupancy of the QPHA’s publicly supported housing

a. Demographics

Provide demographic information, including protected class groups, on the residents of the QPHA and compare these with the demographics of the service area.

b. Segregation and R/ECAPs

i. Describe the location of the QPHA’s developments and Housing Choice Vouchers in relation to areas of segregation and R/ECAPs.

ii. If there are R/ECAPs, describe any differences in the demographics, including by protected class group, of QPHA assisted households who live in R/ECAPs versus those who live outside of R/ECAPs.

iii. Describe the demographics, by protected class group, of each of the QPHA’s publicly supported developments.

c. Disparities in Access to Opportunity

Describe the extent to which assisted households of the QPHA have access to the opportunity assets discussed above. Identify any disparities in access to each opportunity by protected class group.

d. Disproportionate Housing Needs

i. Compare the demographics, including by protected class group, of the QPHA’s assisted households to households in the service area with disproportionate housing needs.
ii. Compare the needs of families with children in the Qualified PHA’s service area for housing units with two, and three or more bedrooms, with the PHA’s available stock of assisted units.

e. Policies and Practices

Describe any policies and practices of the QPHA related to fair housing choice including:

- Affirmative marketing plan
- Admissions preferences or housing designations
- Voucher mobility and portability policies and practices

f. Questions on other categories of publicly supported housing

Describe other publicly supported housing programs, if any, in the QPHA service area. Identify the location by category of publicly supported housing in relation to areas of segregation and R/ECAPs, and the demographics of the households of each category of publicly supported housing, by protected class.

6. Disability and Access

a. Describe how persons with disabilities are geographically dispersed or concentrated in the QPHA service area, including whether persons with disabilities reside in R/ECAPs and other segregated areas identified previously, and describe whether these geographic patterns vary for persons with each type of disability of persons with disabilities in different age ranges.

b. Describe whether the PHA’s service area has sufficient affordable, accessible housing in a range of unit sizes, describe the areas where affordable accessible housing units are located, and identify to what extent persons with different disabilities are able to access and live in the different categories of publicly supported housing.

c. Describe to what extent persons with disabilities in the PHA’s service area reside in segregated or integrated settings.

7. Fair Housing Enforcement

Describe whether the PHA is currently the subject of any of the following: a charge or letter of finding from HUD concerning a violation of a civil rights-related law, a cause determination from a substantially equivalent state or local fair housing agency concerning a violation of a state or local fair housing law, a letter of findings issued by or lawsuit filed or joined by the Department of Justice alleging a pattern or practice or systemic violation of a fair housing or civil rights law, or a claim under the False Claims Act related to fair housing, nondiscrimination, or civil rights generally, including an alleged failure to affirmatively further fair housing.

8. Additional QPHA Information
The QPHA may also describe other information relevant to its assessment of fair housing.

G. **Small Program Participant Insert**

[Note to Public: This section is only to be completed when either: (1) A local government that received a CDBG grant of $500,000 or less in the most recent fiscal year prior to the due date for the joint or regional AFH collaborates with a local government that received a CDBG grant larger than $500,000 in the most recent fiscal year prior to the due date for the joint or region AFH; or (2) A HOME consortia whose members collectively received less than $500,000 in CDBG funds or received no CDBG funding partners with a local government that received a CDBG grant larger than $500,000 in the most recent fiscal year prior to the due date for the joint or region AFH.

For small program participants in the same CBSA as the lead State, the analysis is intended to meet the requirements of jurisdictional analysis while relying on the lead State to complete the regional analysis. For small program participants whose service area extends beyond, or is outside of, the lead State’s CBSA, the analysis must cover the small program participant’s jurisdiction and region. Small program participants should refer to the Contributing Factors listed in each section above and will have to identify Contributing Factors. Small program participants must also identify any individual goals.]

1. **Demographics**

   Describe demographic patterns in the jurisdiction. Explain how demographic trends have changed over time?

2. **Segregation/Integration**

   Describe any areas of segregation and integration in the jurisdiction. Identify the protected class groups living in any such areas. Explain how areas of segregation have changed over time.

3. **R/ECAPs**

   Describe the locations of R/ECAPs, if any, in the jurisdiction. Identify the protected class groups living in R/ECAPs and describe how R/ECAPs have changed over time.

4. **Disparities in Access to Opportunity**

   Describe any disparities in access to the following opportunities for households in the jurisdiction (and region, if applicable), based on protected class:

   - Educational opportunities
   - Employment opportunities
   - Transportation opportunities
   - Low poverty exposure opportunities
   - Environmentally healthy neighborhood opportunities
5. Disproportionate Housing Needs

Describe which protected class groups in the jurisdiction experience higher rates of housing problems (housing cost burden, severe housing cost burden, substandard housing conditions, and overcrowding).

6. Publicly Supported Housing Section

a. Publicly Supported Housing Demographics

Compare the demographic population, including protected class groups, on residents living in publicly supported housing and compare these with the demographics to the population in general in the jurisdiction. Are certain protected class groups more likely to be residing in one category of publicly supported housing than other categories?

b. Segregation and R/ECAPs

i. Describe the location of publicly supported housing in relation to areas of segregation and R/ECAPs in the jurisdiction.

ii. If there are R/ECAPs, describe any differences in the demographics, including by protected class group, of assisted households who live in R/ECAPs versus those who live outside of R/ECAPs in the jurisdiction.

iii. Describe the demographics, by protected class group, of each of the publicly supported housing developments in the jurisdiction.

c. Disparities in Access to Opportunity

Describe the extent to which assisted households in publicly supported housing in the jurisdiction have access to the opportunity assets discussed above. Identify any disparities in access to each opportunity by protected class group.

d. Disproportionate Housing Needs

i. Which protected class groups experience higher rates of housing cost burden, overcrowding, or substandard housing in the jurisdiction (and region, if applicable) when compared to other groups, and how do these groups align with segregated areas, integrated areas, and R/ECAPs.

ii. Compare the needs of families with children in the jurisdiction for housing units with two, and three or more bedrooms, with the available stock of assisted units.

e. Questions on other categories of publicly supported housing
Describe other publicly supported housing programs, if any, in the jurisdiction. Identify the location by category of publicly supported housing in relation to areas of segregation and R/ECAPs, and the demographics of the households of each category of publicly supported housing, by protected class.

7. Disability and Access

a. Describe how persons with disabilities are geographically dispersed or concentrated in the jurisdiction, including whether persons with disabilities reside in R/ECAPs and other segregated areas identified previously, and describe whether these geographic patterns vary for persons with each type of disability of persons with disabilities in different age ranges.

b. Describe whether the jurisdiction has sufficient affordable, accessible housing in a range of unit sizes, describe the areas where affordable accessible housing units are located, and identify to what extent persons with different disabilities are able to access and live in the different categories of publicly supported housing.

c. Describe to what extent persons with disabilities in the jurisdiction reside in segregated or integrated settings.

8. Fair Housing Enforcement, Outreach Capacity, and Resources

Describe whether the program participant is currently the subject of any of the following: a charge or letter of finding from HUD concerning a violation of a civil rights-related law, a cause determination from a substantially equivalent state or local fair housing agency concerning a violation of a state or local fair housing law, a letter of findings issued by or lawsuit filed or joined by the Department of Justice alleging a pattern or practice or systemic violation of a fair housing or civil rights law, or a claim under the False Claims Act related to fair housing, nondiscrimination, or civil rights generally, including an alleged failure to affirmatively further fair housing.

9. Additional Program Participant Information

The program participant may also describe other information relevant to its assessment of fair housing.

VI. Fair Housing Goals and Priorities

1. For each fair housing issue, prioritize the identified contributing factors. Justify the prioritization of the contributing factors that will be addressed by the goals set below in Question 2. Give the highest priority to those factors that limit or deny fair housing choice or access to opportunity, or negatively impact fair housing or civil rights compliance.

2. For each fair housing issue with significant contributing factors identified in Question 1, set one or more goals. Explain how each goal is designed to overcome the
identified contributing factor and related fair housing issue(s). For goals designed to overcome more than one fair housing issue, explain how the goal will overcome each issue and the related contributing factors. For each goal, identify metrics and milestones for determining what fair housing results will be achieved, and indicate the timeframe for achievement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Contributing Factors</th>
<th>Fair Housing Issues</th>
<th>Metrics, Milestones, and Timeframe for Achievement</th>
<th>Responsible Program Participant(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion:
Assessment of Fair Housing (AFH) for States and Insular Areas Instructions

Introduction

Program participants conducting an assessment of fair housing as required under the affirmatively furthering fair housing rule, published at 80 FR 42272, are required to use the Assessment Tool when conducting and submitting an Assessment of Fair Housing (AFH). For regulatory requirements of the AFFH rule and the AFH, see 24 C.F.R. §§ 5.150-5.180.

This Assessment Tool, including these instructions, will be used by States and Insular Areas that receive Community Development Block Grants (CDBG), HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME), Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG), or Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) formula funding from HUD when conducting and submitting their own Assessment of Fair Housing (AFH). In this Assessment Tool and Instructions, all references to “States” are inclusive of “Insular Areas.”

All program participants must use the HUD-provided data, which includes data for the State and larger region, to complete the AFH. A joint or regional AFH must reference the HUD-provided data for each program participant’s State and region. The Assessment Tool and HUD-provided data will be used by various types of program participants (e.g. those in urban areas, rural areas, suburban areas, majority-minority communities), which may have unique characteristics, issues, and challenges. The HUD-provided data will help program participants assess local and regional fair housing issues and contributing factors and set priorities and goals to overcome them. However, certain HUD-provided data may have limitations, including limitations in how they apply to geographic areas with different characteristics (e.g., rural versus urban, majority minority areas). For this reason, program participants must supplement the HUD-provided data with local data and local knowledge defined in 24 C.F.R. § 5.152 and discussed below.

Where HUD has not provided data for a specific question and program participants do not have local knowledge or local data, as outlined in 24 C.F.R. § 5.152, that is relevant to answering the question, participants may note the lack of such available information. Program participants should not leave the response blank.

Note to States on Local Data and Local Knowledge
Note on “Local Data” for the State and Insular Area Assessment Tool. The terms “local data” and “local knowledge” are defined terms in the AFFH Final Rule (see § 5.152). They are not meant to require data gathering on a locality-by-locality or neighborhood-by-neighborhood basis. In addition, the requirement to include local data and local knowledge is not meant to require data gathering across all State government agencies and departments. Information on local conditions, policies, market factors and similar conditions may be available to the agency and includes information provided by the public through the community participation process.

A State is not expected to conduct the same analyses that local governments conduct using the Assessment Tool designed for use by Local Governments; however, HUD is providing States with similar data in the AFFH Data and Mapping Tool (AFFH-T) so that more granular analyses can be conducted where appropriate. For example, during the community participation process a State may receive information that is not reflected in the HUD-provided County level maps, which may require further analysis using dot density maps. Additionally, the AFFH-T provides functionality

1 NOTE TO PUBLIC: HUD intends to provide more specific instructions once the AFFH-T for States has been developed and will specify the various levels of geography the data will include for each section.
for States to select sub-State areas to facilitate their analysis. The assessment of areas not covered by AFHs conducted by local governments is an important focus for States as they determine how their AFFH oversight responsibilities should be carried out throughout the State.

At the same time, the AFH must also include an analysis of fair housing issues throughout the State. This analysis may be informed by consulting the Analysis of Impediments (AIs) to Fair Housing Choice or accepted AFHs of local governments to inform the State’s analysis, including the identification of contributing factors and establishment of priorities and goals. States may reference such analyses to inform the State’s AFH. When referencing other AFHs, please note that a State should not adopt other program participants’ analyses completely without conducting its own analysis. A State, conducting the fair housing planning from the State perspective, may come to different conclusions or goals, provided the requirements of the AFFH regulation are met, the questions are answered, and the instructions are followed. The State’s analysis will be reviewed by HUD independently based on the standards of review.

For some States, and especially larger States, it may be helpful to break up the geography of the State into different sub-state areas to conduct analysis of fair housing issues and contributing factors that may be unique in different parts of the State. HUD hopes to be able to provide the capability in the AFFH Data and Mapping Tool (AFFH-T) to allow States to group data based on a selection of counties or equivalent area (e.g., parishes) to help facilitate the identification of sub-state areas.

Note, however, that if the State chooses to divide its State into sub-state areas for the purposes of this analysis, it still must conduct an analysis of the entire State. The assessment requires an analysis of fair housing issues for all parts of the state, including metropolitan areas. This analysis provides an assessment of state-wide fair housing issues. It may also help inform analysis or goals, where applicable, related to programs that can be operated on a state-wide basis, including, in some cases, HOME, LIHTC and the National Housing Trust Fund. States may then choose to add additional focus and level of analysis to particular areas of the State, as appropriate, provided the entire State is analyzed. For instance, State agencies administering the State CDBG program may provide an analysis on the areas of the state where such funds are authorized to be spent (i.e. non-entitlement areas).

HUD is only able to provide data for those protected class groups for which nationally uniform data are available. HUD acknowledges that the HUD-provided data on some opportunity indicators, such as transit and jobs proximity index, while potentially useful for assessing metropolitan and suburban areas may be less applicable for rural areas. State agencies may also need to utilize measures that are more relevant for their rural areas. For example, water and sewer and the need for basic infrastructure may be appropriate and necessary to analyze. For this reason, some questions focus on specific protected classes based on the availability of such data. For those questions, local data and local knowledge may provide information to supplement the analysis for protected classes not covered by the HUD-provided data. Local data and local knowledge can be particularly helpful when program participants have local data that are more up-to-date or more accurate than the HUD-provided data or when the HUD-provided data do not cover all of the protected classes that would be relevant to program participants’ analyses.

Some HUD-provided data may be interpreted differently in rural areas and urban areas (e.g. the R/ECAP thresholds and opportunity indicators). This is not intended to result in comparisons between different parts of the State that would result in inappropriately setting goals for affordable housing and economic development activities. HUD does not intend the analysis to limit investment decisions for affordable housing or community development in rural areas when
compared to other parts of the State. HUD programs, including CDBG, HOME and Section 8 play an important role in addressing the needs of rural areas. The State’s analysis of non-entitlement areas can inform goal setting within those areas. States should consider the unique housing and economic development needs of rural areas in informing their program-related goals.

Fair housing issues can cross geographic, State, or political boundaries, and the laws, policies, practices, and trends of one State may affect fair housing choice in another. As such, regional fair housing issues may be occurring beyond the State’s own borders. Under the AFFH Final Rule, program participants are required to assess fair housing issues in both their State and their “region” (see § 5.154(d)). Fair housing issues can often have a regional component, for example segregation in one State may be affected by a lack of affordable housing opportunities in an adjacent State, or vice versa. Assessment of such conditions can often provide greater context or identify barriers to fair housing choice, even in the case of a State that may lack direct authority to effect change in the greater overall region. For purposes of implementing the regulatory requirement of an assessment of both State and regional issues, HUD is, among others, including specific questions on potential interstate regional considerations that may have an effect on fair housing issues within the State. Regional issues beyond a State’s border may include portions of major metropolitan statistical areas that cross over into another State or may encompass broader regional patterns or trends affecting multiple States. HUD’s AFFH Data and Mapping Tool can be used to help identify such issues in some areas, but HUD expects that local data and local knowledge will be most useful in informing the State’s regional analysis. Consulting the AIs or AFHs of neighboring States and other States may be source of information for the State to consider.

Where a regional analysis beyond the State’s borders is necessary for a particular question or prompt, the question or prompt will state that a regional analysis is required and the corresponding instructions for those questions or prompts will clarify the scope of the regional analysis that is required. These instructions will include specific instructions about the geographic scope of the region, instructions for non-entitlement areas, rural areas, and concentrations of poverty that may not be included in the HUD-provided data.

Although HUD will provide nationally available data to program participants, the regulations recognize the value of local data and knowledge. Local data is defined in the Final Rule at 24 C.F.R. § 5.152, and refers to metrics, statistics, and other quantified information, subject to a determination of statistical validity by HUD, that are relevant to program participants’ geographic areas of analyses, can be found through a reasonable amount of searching, are readily available at little or no cost, and are necessary for the completion of the AFH using the Assessment Tool. Examples of local data include relevant demographic data or program-related data maintained by program participants, another public agency, or another entity that are readily available and easily accessible to program participants at little or no cost.

Local knowledge is defined in the Final Rule at 24 C.F.R. § 5.152, and means information to be provided by program participants that relates to program participants’ geographic areas of analyses and that is relevant to program participants’ AFH, is known or becomes known to program participants, and is necessary for the completion of the AFH using the Assessment Tool. Examples of local knowledge include laws and policies limiting fair housing choice (without creating inventories) and common names of areas within the State or borders of areas within the State (i.e., counties, cities, etc.). HUD does not expect program participants to review every possible source to search out local knowledge. However, local knowledge includes information obtained through the community participation process. Program participants are required to consider the information received during the community participation process as they conduct an AFH using the Assessment
Tool. If HUD-provided data and local data and local knowledge needed to respond to a prompt in the AFH Tool are not available, the State must acknowledge the lack of information. As previously stated, where HUD has not provided data for a specific question and program participants do not have local knowledge or local data, as outlined in 24 C.F.R. § 5.152, that is relevant to answering the question, participants may note the lack of such available information. Program participants should not leave the response blank.

Program participants are required to comply with the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. § 552a), and applicable State laws in the collection, maintenance, use and dissemination of personally identifiable information.

Program participants must use reasonable judgment in deciding what supplemental information from among the numerous sources available would be most relevant to their analysis. HUD does not expect program participants to hire statisticians or other consultants to locate and analyze all possible sources of local data. Program participants are not generally expected to conduct primary data gathering or analysis, conduct surveys, or conduct a quantitative impact evaluation requiring empirical research to objectively determine causation. Note that, subject to the community participation, consultation and coordination process outlined in the Final Rule at 24 C.F.R. § 5.158, program participants are required to consider information relevant to the State or region submitted during the community participation process, including recommendations of other data sources for program participants to assess. Program participants are not required to incorporate all possible information submitted or recommended to them in the community participation process, but they are required to at least summarize and describe such comments and recommendations, including the reasons for not including them. HUD is aware that many private organizations may wish to provide their own analyses which may include complex data and analysis. Program participants are not required to expend extensive staff time or funding to corroborate or verify all such information.

Note, however, that program participants must comply with the requirements for local data with local data and local knowledge outlined in 24 C.F.R. § 5.152 and as discussed in these instructions.

**Contributing Factors**

In conducting the analysis, the program participant must identify significant contributing factors for each section of the analysis. When identifying contributing factors, each section of the analysis contains a discrete list of suggested factors for consideration, which includes factors commonly associated with that section of the analysis. The list contains an “other” option, for program participants to use in identifying other significant contributing factors not included in the list. A more exhaustive list of possible contributing factors is contained in Appendix C, which also includes a description of each contributing factor. Program participants are permitted to include contributing factors that are not listed in Appendix C.

A contributing factor may be outside the ability of program participants to directly control or influence; however, such factors must be identified if they are significant. For program participants submitting jointly, each program participant is responsible for identifying contributing factors within its State. These factors will be prioritized in Section VI and used as a basis for establishing goals.

The Assessment Tool also contains the required analysis of fair housing issues and contributing factors that program participants must undertake in order for an AFH to meet the requirements set forth in 24 C.F.R. §§ 5.150 through 5.180. The content required in the AFH can be found at 24 C.F.R. § 5.154 and is outlined in the applicable Assessment Tool for each program participant.
However, please note that different program participants may work through the Assessment of Fair Housing in different ways. Depending on each program participants’ familiarity with fair housing planning and planning style, each program participant may choose to complete the required components of an Assessment of Fair Housing in a variety of ways. For example, while the AFFH rule requires that program participants identify significant contributing factors, prioritize such factors, and justify the prioritization of the contributing factors that will be addressed in the program participant’s fair housing goals, it does not specify a specific process for meeting these requirements. Program participants may choose to complete the AFH in any order they choose, so long as all requirements are met.

About the Maps

The primary maps for States will consist of thematic maps that generally display information on a county-by-county basis. Thematic maps are shaded maps where the shading represents a certain percentage or value in a particular geographic area. For example, counties or a statistically equivalent area within a State may be shaded either lighter or darker to display information such as population or an Opportunity Index value. The map legend provides the range of the values being displayed.

The AFFH-T will also provide users with the flexibility to shift their level of focus between the maps provided for States at the County level, with more detailed maps that provide data below the County level. For instance, dot density maps are also available in the AFFH-T. A dot density map (also known as dot distribution map) uses a color-coded dot symbols representing the presence of a specified number of individuals sharing a particular characteristic to show a spatial pattern. Thematic maps can obscure patterns of segregation within a County and a dot density map maybe useful to see more granular patterns. When viewing a dot density map, the presence of residential segregation may appear as clusters of a single color of dots representing one protected class, or as clusters of more than one color of dots representing a number of protected classes but still excluding one or more protected classes. More integrated areas will appear as a variety of colored dots.

### Special Instructions for Insular Areas, Puerto Rico, Hawaii, and Alaska

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meaning of “States” for the Purpose of this Assessment Tool</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Please note that where the questions in this Assessment Tool refer to “States,” all questions also apply to insular areas and Puerto Rico. Therefore, for purposes of this Assessment Tool and Instructions, the term “State” also means insular area.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HUD-provided Data Limitations for Insular Areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There is limited nationally data available for insular areas. HUD notes some data limitations for some sources of information used in the overall AFFH Data and Mapping Tool in relation to Insular Areas. The American Community Survey, used for some maps and data elements, is not available for Insular Areas. However, the 2010 Decennial Census along with HUD administrative data on program activities and assisted housing residents are available. HUD anticipates that it will improve the provision of data it will be providing for Insular Areas to assist them in assessing demographic information to better inform local fair housing planning and decision-making.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In cases where data is unavailable, HUD expects that insular areas will consult local data and knowledge (when it meets the criteria in § 5.152 and the instructions above) that may help to respond, and if not, the insular area may note the lack of data and in lieu of leaving their response blank.

Regional Analysis for Insular Areas, Puerto Rico, Hawaii, and Alaska
The AFFH rule requires all program participants to conduct a jurisdictional and regional analysis when completing their AFHs. HUD acknowledges that portions of certain questions requiring a regional analysis may not always be applicable to insular areas. Program participants in these areas should, for instance, focus on demographic and economic trends affecting the fair housing issues in their jurisdiction.

Part I: Cover Sheet with Certification
Complete the cover sheet with all requested information. The official authorized representative of each program participant must sign and date the certifications.

All joint or regional participants are bound by the certifications, except that some of the analysis, goals, or priorities included in the AFH may only apply to an individual program participant as expressly stated in the AFH.

Part II: Executive Summary
To complete the Executive Summary, refer to fair housing contributing factors, issues, and goals identified in parts IV and V of the Assessment Tool, as well as goals identified in the most recent previous Analyses of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice or Assessments of Fair Housing. There is no prescribed format for the Executive Summary—program participant(s) have discretion in this section as to how to summarize their findings in the AFH.

Part III: Community Participation Process
Complete all four questions based on the community participation, consultation, and coordination process outlined in the Final Rule at 24 C.F.R. § 5.158. Program participants should employ communications means designed to reach the broadest possible audience. Such communications may be met as appropriate, by publishing a summary of each document in one or more newspapers of general circulation, and by making copies of each document available on the Internet, on program participants’ official government Web sites, and as well at libraries, government offices, and public places.

Please note that for public housing agencies, community participation requirements are described in 24 C.F.R. §§ 903.13, 903.15, 903.17, and 903.19. For consolidated plan program participants, Citizen Participation requirements are described in 24 C.F.R. part 91. As required by applicable regulations, program participants must ensure meeting are held in physically accessible locations, provide appropriate auxiliary aids and services necessary to ensure effective communication with individuals with disabilities, and provide limited English proficient persons meaningful access to programs and services.

For question (1), provide a summary of the outreach activities undertaken.
For question (2), provide a list of any organizations consulted during the community participation process. For consolidated plan program participants, 24 C.F.R. § 5.158(a)(1), states that consolidated plan program participants must follow the policies and procedures described in 24 C.F.R. part 91 (see 24 C.F.R. §§ 91.110, 91.115, 91.235, and 91.401).

For question (3), describe how successful the community participation process was, and provide an explanation for any low participation rates. Assessing the success of public hearings can include items such as comparing the turnout for public meetings, the number of substantive comments submitted and the number and quality of responses to public and stakeholder outreach efforts. State agencies should include a description of whether comments were received from different parts of the State.

In question (4), pursuant to 24 C.F.R. § 5.154(d)(6), program participants must include an explanation for why any comments or views submitted through the community participation process were not accepted – note that this includes information, such as supplemental data and reports.

Part IV: Assessment of Past Goals, Actions, and Strategies

For question (1)(a), provide an explanation of what past goals program participants selected and what progress has been made toward their achievement. Use the metrics and milestones identified in past Analyses of Impediments or past Assessments of Fair Housing in assessing progress. New program participants may still answer this question based on any other relevant planning documents and/or any past fair housing goals, actions, or strategies.

For question (1)(b), discuss how successful the State has been in achieving past goals. Provide an explanation of any goals that were not achieved, or that were only partially achieved. Include a description of any oversight of, coordination with, or assistance to other public entities within the State or region (e.g., local governments, sub-grantees of the State, another State, local governments bordering but outside of the State, etc.).

For question (1)(c), program participants may provide any additional information about policies, actions, or steps that address fair housing issues in program participants’ geographic areas of analyses.

To answer question (1)(d), explain how the past goals selected influenced the selection of current goals.

Part V: Fair Housing Analysis

For all questions, program participants must use the HUD-provided data and supplement that information with local data and local knowledge when it meets the criteria under 24 C.F.R. § 5.152 (described above). HUD-provided maps are located in Appendix A and HUD-provided tables are located in Appendix B.

Where HUD has not provided data for a specific question in the Assessment Tool and program participants do not have local data or local knowledge that would assist in answering the question, program participants are expected to note this rather than leaving the response blank.

A. Demographic Summary
For some States, and especially larger States, it may be helpful to break up the geography of the State into different sub-state areas to conduct analysis of fair housing issues and contributing factors that may be unique in different parts of the State. If the State is choosing to conduct its analysis using these designated sub-state areas, answer question (1) by identifying and describing those areas and provide an explanation for why those areas will facilitate a meaningful assessment from a fair housing perspective. Note that, if breaking up the geography into sub-state areas, a State must ensure that all areas of the State are nonetheless analyzed.

For question (2), refer to [HUD-provided tables] which present demographic summary data for the State, including trends over time (since 1990). The demographics analyzed must include an overview of: racial/ethnic populations; national origin populations, including any limited English proficient populations; individuals with disabilities by disability type; and families with children.

**B. General Issues**

**Segregation/Integration**

The AFFH-T provides several maps and tables to answer the questions in this section.

These include maps and tables with population demographics at different levels of geography and also include maps and tables based on the Dissimilarity Index.

The maps with population demographics include race/ethnicity, national origin and Limited English Proficiency (LEP) groups as a percentage of the total population for each county. Data with population demographics are also provided for: the State as a whole; all entitlement areas, combined; all non-entitlement areas, combined; and for Sub-State Areas that the program participant has selected, as well as by County.

The maps and tables for the dissimilarity index measure the degree to which two groups are evenly distributed across a geographic area and is commonly used for assessing residential segregation between two groups. Values range from 0 to 100, where higher numbers indicate a higher degree of segregation among the two groups measured. Dissimilarity index values between 0 and 39 generally indicate low segregation, values between 40 and 54 generally indicate moderate segregation, and values between 55 and 100 generally indicate a high level of segregation. There are some limitations with the Dissimilarity Index, such as when a particular group has a very low population in a given geographic area relative to the total population in that area.

The Dissimilarity Index is displayed in maps showing dissimilarity index values for each county or statistically equivalent area (e.g., city, parish, township, etc.) in the State for the following race/ethnicity comparisons: white/non-white, black/white, Hispanic/white, and Asian/white populations. Tables are also provided showing Dissimilarity Index values for: all entitlement areas, combined; all non-entitlement areas, combined; and for Sub-State Areas that the program participant has selected.²

² **NOTE TO PUBLIC:** HUD is continuing to consider methodological issues with presenting the Dissimilarity Index at appropriate geographic levels. For instance, where the combined entitlement areas in a state would exceed the size of a large CBSA, the Dissimilarity Index may not provide useful information due to such methodological issues. At the same time, HUD will ensure that an appropriate analysis of segregation at the appropriate geography using HUD-provided data results in appropriate fair housing outcomes and that HUD-provided data will not be provided in such a way that it obscures fair housing issues.
For question (1)(a), refer to [HUD-provided maps and tables] for both population demographics and the Dissimilarity Index. Indicate whether the measures shown indicate low, moderate, or high levels of segregation in different areas within the State for each group represented in the HUD-provided data. Use the [HUD-provided maps] to identify areas in the State with relatively high segregation and note the predominant racial/ethnic national origin, or LEP group(s) living in each such area and to identify areas where areas with relatively high segregation extends into another State or broader geographic area.

For questions (1)(b), refer to [HUD-provided maps and tables], which shows changes over time for population demographics and to [HUD-provided maps] which show changes in the dissimilarity index values from 1990, 2000, and 2010. From [the HUD-provided maps and tables] identify whether areas in the State are experiencing increasing or decreasing segregation over time.

For both questions (1)(a) and (1)(b), include any areas with relatively high segregation that extend into another state or broader geographic area. This includes metropolitan statistical areas that extend into another state, or non-metropolitan areas of the state with relatively high segregation that may cross into another state.

For question (1)(c), use information obtained through the community participation process, other relevant government agencies, and local data and local knowledge to discuss any trends in demographics, laws, polices, or practices that could lead to higher segregation in the State in the future. Understanding the limitations of the HUD-provided data discussed in the introduction to these instructions, using local data and knowledge, complete question (2)(a). The Fair Housing Act protects individuals on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, familial status, national origin, or having a disability or a particular type of disability. HUD has provided data for this section only on race/ethnicity and national origin. Include any relevant information about other protected characteristics – but note that the analysis of disability is specifically considered in Section V(D). Program participants may include relevant information relating to persons with disabilities here, but still must address the questions in Section V(D).

For question (2)(b), program participants may include any additional relevant information related to their analysis of segregation in the State and region, including the removal of barriers that prevent people from accessing housing in areas of opportunity, the development of affordable housing in such areas, housing mobility programs, housing preservation, and community revitalization efforts, where any such actions are designed to achieve fair housing outcomes such as increasing integration.

For question (3), identify all significant contributing factors. Consider the non-exhaustive list of factors provided and identify those factors that significantly create, contribute to, perpetuate, or increase the severity of segregation. For additional instructions on selecting contributing factors, refer to the introduction of these instructions.

R/ECAPs

For question (1)(a), refer to [HUD-provided maps], which identify any counties with any census tracts that meet the threshold criteria for racially or ethnically concentrated areas of poverty (R/ECAPs). R/ECAP census tracts, including groupings of R/ECAPs, within counties can be identified by zooming in on the map. To answer question (1)(a), use the [HUD-provided maps] to identify any R/ECAPs or groupings of R/ECAPs in the State, including any areas that extend into another State or broader geographic area.
To answer question (1)(b), use [HUD-provided maps and tables]. The [HUD-provided table] shows the percentage of persons living in R/ECAPS with certain protected characteristics (race/ethnicity, families with children, national origin) in the State. Also refer to [HUD-provided maps], which are thematic maps showing the percent of persons with a certain protected characteristic living in R/ECAPs by county. For a more detailed analysis, zoom in on the map to view dot density distributions for these populations. The table provides the demographics by protected class of the population living within R/ECAPs. It does not show the proportion of each protected class group that live in R/ECAPs compared to the proportion of each protected class that live in the jurisdiction outside of R/ECAPs or the jurisdiction as a whole.

To answer question (1)(c), refer to [HUD-provided maps]. The first map shows the outlines of current R/ECAPs. The second map shows the outlines of R/ECAPs in past years (1990 and 2000). Compare the current R/ECAP outlines with previous R/ECAP outlines and describe whether R/ECAPs have remained constant, whether new R/ECAPs have emerged, or whether certain R/ECAPs no longer exist, including R/ECAP areas or groupings that extend into another State or broader geographic area. The [HUD-provided maps] also show dot density distributions by race/ethnicity, national origin and LEP, including R/ECAP outlines. Note whether the maps show any changes in areas that have moved in or out of R/ECAP status over time and the groups most affected by R/ECAPs.  

For question (1)(d), use information obtained through the community participation process, other relevant government agencies, and local data and local knowledge to discuss any trends in demographics, laws, polices, or practices that may impact R/ECAPs in the State in the future.

Understanding the limitations of the HUD-provided data discussed in the instruction’s introduction, using local data and knowledge, complete question (2)(a). The Fair Housing Act protects individuals on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, familial status, national origin, or having a disability or a particular type of disability. HUD has provided data for this section only on race/ethnicity and national origin. Include any relevant information about other protected characteristics, but note that the analysis of disability is specifically considered in Section V(D). Program participants may include relevant information relating to persons with disabilities here, but still must address the questions in Section V(D).

For question (3), consider the non-exhaustive list of factors provided, which are those most commonly associated with R/ECAPs, and identify those factors that significantly create, contribute to, perpetuate, or increase the severity of R/ECAPs. For additional instructions on selecting contributing factors, refer to the introduction of these instructions.

**Disparities in Access to Opportunity**

The Fair Housing Act prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, familial status, national origin, and disability. As noted, HUD provides to program participants certain nationally-uniform data in the form of maps and tables to answer specific questions. Where HUD does not provide maps and tables, program participants must supplement the HUD-provided data with local data and local knowledge outlined in 24 C.F.R. § 5.152. In this section of the Assessment Tool, HUD asks specific questions about disparities for protected classes for which HUD is providing data and notes in these instructions which HUD-provided maps and tables should be used to answer particular questions. Note, however, that Question 2(a) asks about these

---

HUD is evaluating how to best provide R/ECAP trend data at this time.
disparities in access to opportunity using local data and local knowledge beyond the HUD-provided data for all protected classes.

For the questions in (1), refer to the [HUD-provided maps and tables]. The table provides index values for the following opportunity indices:

- The School Proficiency Index
- The Labor Market Engagement Index
- The Low Transportation Costs Index
- The Transit Trips Index
- The Low Poverty Index
- The Environmental Health Index

**Using the Opportunity Indices**

These Opportunity Indices are provided by race/ethnicity, and includes measures for households of each racial/ethnic group below the poverty line. A higher value in each HUD-provided Index represents better access to that opportunity indicator: better access to higher proficiency schools; higher levels of labor engagement; lower transportation costs; better access to public transportation; lower neighborhood poverty rates and greater neighborhood environmental quality (i.e., lower exposure to harmful air pollution).

Using the Opportunity Indices, program participants will be able to compare access to key opportunity assets with relative ease by consulting a single table and a series of maps. These indices are based on nationally available data sources. As such, these are just some indicators of opportunity that may be relevant to a community and States may find that certain opportunity indicators are more or less relevant in certain areas of the state. States will also have the flexibility to use and analyze the HUD-provided data at various scales. As such, States have the flexibility in the AFFH-T to drill down into local analysis of areas in the State in order to have a more localized view of fair housing issues.

The maps provide the opportunity indices by race/ethnicity and are presented at the County level. This will allow for comparisons of the relative access experienced by each racial/ethnic group to each opportunity indicator (e.g. schools, jobs, etc.) at the County level across the State. The maps will assist in displaying which parts of the State afford greater access to opportunity assets by race/ethnicity, and which areas may have less access.

For broader questions about policies and laws, HUD expects that States use information available to it through the community participation and consultation process and does not expect the State to collect all possible sources of data or create inventories of local laws or policies throughout the State. Program participants can reference studies or reports issued by other State agencies, and these studies or reports may be necessary and relevant for the completion of the AFH. Referencing such studies and reports may be useful in certain areas of the fair housing analysis when the program participant does not, itself, have first-hand knowledge of the topic at hand. HUD acknowledges that such reports will have been conducted for purposes other than informing an AFFH analysis but they may still provide valuable information.

---

4 Please note that the Opportunity Indices provided at the Local Government level include one additional index: the Jobs Proximity Index. Because the Jobs Proximity Index is calculated only at the Core Based Statistical Area (CBSA) level, it would not display comparable values that could be used for valid comparisons at the State level. For this reason, it is not provided at the State level.
Education

For the questions in (1)(a)(i), use the School Proficiency Index in the [HUD-provided table] and refer to the [HUD-provided map]. The School Proficiency Index measures access to higher proficiency elementary schools by race/ethnicity at the neighborhood level. The values for the School Proficiency Index are determined by the performance of 4th grade students on state exams. The Index uses data for elementary schools because they are much more likely to have neighborhood-based enrollment policies. The [HUD-provided table] shows index values by race/ethnicity groups.

To answer questions (1)(a)(ii), use the [HUD-provided maps] that show County-Level School Proficiency Index values by race/ethnicity, to assess how residency patterns relate to the location of proficient schools. The [HUD-provided maps] include several sub-maps that show the Index value aggregated to the County level for one race/ethnicity group per sub-map. Darker shading represents a higher value on the Index indicating better access to higher proficiency schools for that race/ethnicity group. Lighter shading represents lower index values, indicating neighborhoods in that County with lower proficiency elementary schools (as measured by the Index). The maps can be used to compare relative access to higher proficiency schools in different parts of the State for each race/ethnicity group. They can also be used to compare relative access by race/ethnicity by comparing between maps for different racial/ethnic groups.

Please note the HUD-provided School Proficiency Index is based on data on elementary schools, which are more likely to use neighborhood based enrollment policies. Local data and local knowledge may be particularly useful for information on alternative types of enrollment policies (e.g. magnet schools, district wide enrollment, etc) as well as information on middle and high schools.

For Questions (1)(a)(iii), use information obtained through the community participation process, any consultation with other relevant government agencies, and local data and local knowledge to discuss whether there are State programs, policies, or funding mechanisms that affect disparities in access to proficient schools for protected class groups. Program participants may find it useful to consider existing information and sources of local data, such as studies or reports completed by other relevant State agencies. Relevant information that could apply to the State depending on the circumstances may include:

- State early education programs (e.g., Head Start, assisted pre-kindergarten programs, child care programs).
- State education-related laws, policies, and practices, such as admissions policies, tuition assistance, financial aid, and funding, affect the ability of residents of different areas of the State to attend postsecondary and vocational education.

Employment

5 The School Proficiency Index uses two methods for linking schools to census tracts: either 1) using the attendance area (where this information is available) of individuals sharing a protected characteristic; or 2) using the proficiency of elementary schools within 1.5 miles of individuals with a protected characteristic where attendance boundary data are not available.
For question (1)(b)(i), refer to the Labor Market Engagement Index in the [HUD-provided table]. The Labor Market Engagement Index provides a measure of unemployment rate, labor-force participation rate, and percent of the population ages 25 and above with at least a bachelor’s degree, calculated at the neighborhood level. Thus, this index measures the likelihood that a household of a particular race/ethnicity lives in a neighborhood with higher measures of employment rate, labor-force participation rate and percent of the population with at least a bachelor’s degree.6

For question (1)(b)(ii), assess areas in the State, using the [HUD-provided Map], which displays values for the Labor Market Engagement Index at the County level by race/ethnicity. Darker shading represents a higher value on the Index, indicating that households by race/ethnicity that have a greater likelihood of living in a neighborhood with greater labor market engagement in that County. Lighter shading represents a lower index value for that protected class group, indicating that households in that racial/ethnic group have a greater likelihood of living in a neighborhood with lower labor market engagement in that County.

The [HUD-provided map] can also be used to compare relative access to neighborhoods with greater labor market engagement by race/ethnicity in areas of the State that may extend into a bordering state (e.g., a metropolitan area that crosses state boundaries) by zooming out on the map or panning across the State’s boundary by dragging the map.

For question (1)(b)(iii), use information obtained through the community participation process, any consultation with other relevant government agencies, and local data and local knowledge to discuss whether there are State programs, policies, or funding mechanisms that affect disparities in access to employment opportunities for protected class groups. Program participants may find it useful to consider existing information and sources of local data, such as studies or reports completed by other relevant State agencies. Relevant information that could apply to the State depending on the circumstances may include:

- State programs designed to create jobs and job training programs, including areas in the State that such programs serve.
- Any fair housing issues affecting migrant worker populations.

Transportation

For questions (1)(c)(i), refer to the [HUD-provided table], which includes two transportation-related Opportunity Indices: the Low Transportation Cost Index and the Transit Trips Index. The Low Transportation Cost Index measures the overall cost of transportation and proximity to public transportation by neighborhood. The Transit Trips Index measures how often low-income families in a neighborhood use public transportation. The higher the value of the Transit Trips Index, the more likely that low-income residents in those areas utilize public transit.

---

6 Please note that a separate Index related to employment - the Jobs Proximity Index - is also available in the Data and Mapping Tool when viewing maps at the local government level. The Jobs Proximity Index measures the physical distances between place of residence and jobs by race/ethnicity. The maps for the Jobs Proximity Index also include national origin and families with children. Note however, that while this information may be useful to consider for areas within a given metropolitan area, the Jobs Proximity Index values are not comparable between different CBSAs. For this reason, the Jobs Proximity Index is not provided at the State-wide level.
For question (1)(c)(ii), refer to [HUD-provided maps] that show the index values for the Low Transportation Cost Index and the Transit Trips Index. One sub-map is provided for each of five race/ethnicity groups for each index. Darker shading on these maps represents a higher value on the Index. Thus, darker shading on the map indicates that the race/ethnicity group shown on the map has better access to the opportunity indicator (lower transportation costs or better access to public transit) for that map. Lighter shading represents a lower index value and thus relatively less access to that opportunity indicator (i.e., higher transportation costs or less access to transit) by race/ethnicity. The maps can be used to compare relative access by race/ethnicity in different parts of the State. They can also be used to compare relative access between the racial/ethnic groups for which HUD is providing data by comparing between maps for the different groups.

For question (1)(c)(ii), refer to the [HUD-provided maps] to describe any patterns of transportation, by race/ethnicity, in the State, including areas that extend into another State or broader geographic area and the predominant racial/ethnic groups living in each.

The [HUD-provided map] can also be used to compare relative access to transportation in areas of the State, by race/ethnicity, that may extend into a bordering state (e.g., a metropolitan area that crosses state boundaries) by zooming out on the map or panning across the State’s boundary by dragging the map.

For question (1)(c)(iii), use information obtained through the community participation process, any consultation with other relevant government agencies, and local data and local knowledge to discuss whether there are State programs, policies, or funding mechanisms that affect disparities in access to transportation for protected class groups. Program participants may find it useful to consider existing information and sources of local data, such as studies or reports completed by other relevant State agencies. Program participants should consider whether transportation systems designed for use of personal vehicles impact the ability of protected class groups’ access to transportation due to the lack of vehicle ownership. Include a description of any relevant interstate compacts or other arrangements that may affect access to transportation opportunities for protected class groups residing in the State. Relevant information that could apply to the State depending on the circumstances may include:

- State transportation programs and policies, such as public transportation infrastructure, routes or transportation systems, including transportation considerations requiring personal vehicles.
- Relevant interstate compacts or other arrangements that may affect access to transportation opportunities for protected class groups.

Low Poverty Areas

For question (1)(d)(i), refer to the Low Poverty Index in the [HUD-provided table]. The Low Poverty Index measures concentration of poverty by neighborhood. In effect, a higher value on this index indicates that a household in a given racial/ethnic group has a greater likelihood of living in a low poverty neighborhood. A lower value on the Index indicates that households in the protected group have lower likelihood of living in a lower poverty neighborhood, or conversely a higher likelihood of living in a higher poverty neighborhood.

For question (1)(d)(ii), refer to the [HUD-provided maps] showing the Low Poverty Index values by race/ethnicity at the County level. The maps show the Low Poverty Index value for a particular racial/ethnic group measured at the County level. Darker shading on the map indicates that households of that race/ethnicity group have a greater likelihood of living in a low poverty
neighborhood in that County. Lighter shading indicates that households of that racial/ethnic group have a greater likelihood of living in a higher poverty neighborhood. The maps can be used to compare relative access by race/ethnicity in different parts of the State. They can also be used to compare relative access between the racial/ethnic groups for which HUD has provided data by comparing between maps for the different groups.

For question (1)(d)(ii), include an assessment of the State by race/ethnicity, including areas extending into another State or broader geographic area with respect to disparities in access to low poverty. The [HUD-provided map] can be used by zooming out or panning across the State’s boundary by dragging the map.

For question (1)(d)(iii), use information obtained through the community participation process, any consultation with other relevant government agencies, and local data and local knowledge to discuss whether there are State programs, policies, or funding mechanisms that affect disparities in access to low poverty areas for protected class groups. Program participants should consider how the State programs, policies, or funding mechanisms affects the ability of protected class groups to access low poverty areas. Program participants may find it useful to consider existing information and sources of local data, such as studies or reports completed by other relevant State agencies. Relevant information that could apply to the State depending on the circumstances may include:

- State programs and policies affecting disparities in access to low poverty areas for protected class groups.

Environmentally Healthy Areas

For question (1)(e)(i), refer to the Environmental Health Index in the [HUD-provided table]. The Environmental Health Index measures exposure based on EPA estimates of air quality carcinogenic, respiratory, and neurological toxins by neighborhood. A higher value on the Index indicates that households by race/ethnicity are more likely to live in neighborhoods that have better air quality. A lower value on the Index indicates that households by race/ethnicity are less likely to live in neighborhoods with better air quality.

For question (1)(e)(ii), refer to the [HUD-provided map]. The map shows index values for five race/ethnicity groups, at the county level. Darker shading represents a higher value on the Index indicating that households of a particular racial/ethnic group shown on the map in that County are more likely to live in neighborhoods with better air quality. Lighter shading for a County indicate that households of a particular racial/ethnic group have a lower likelihood of living in a neighborhood with better air quality, and conversely a higher likelihood of living in neighborhoods with worse air quality.

While the Environment Health Index is limited to measurements of air quality, program participants may also discuss other indicators of environmental health, based on local data and local knowledge. Environmental-related policies may include the siting of highways, industrial plants, or waste sites.

The [HUD-provided map] can also be used to compare relative access to environmentally healthy neighborhoods by race/ethnicity in areas of the State that may extend into a bordering state (e.g., a metropolitan area that crosses state boundaries) by zooming out on the map or panning across the State’s boundary by dragging the map.
To answer question (1)(e)(iii), use information obtained through the community participation process, any consultation with other relevant government agencies, and local data and local knowledge to discuss whether there are State programs, policies, or funding mechanisms that affect disparities in access to environmentally healthy areas for protected class groups. Program participants should describe any State programs, policies, or funding mechanisms that affect access to environmentally healthy places of residence of members of protected class groups. Program participants may find it useful to consider existing information and sources of local data, such as studies or reports completed by other relevant State agencies. Relevant information that could apply to the State depending on the circumstances may include:

- Environmental laws, programs, practices, or policies (e.g., emissions standards, clean drinking water standard, lead-based paint standards, siting of industrial and energy facilities) that affect access to environmentally healthy places of residence for members of protected class groups in the State. Include any relevant interstate compacts or other arrangements.

Patterns in Disparities in Access to Opportunity

For question (1)(f)(i), refer to the answers provided in question (1)(a)-(e). To the extent patterns are discussed in question (2)(b), below, include those other categories of opportunities.

Additional Information

Understanding the limitations of the HUD-provided data discussed in the introduction to the instructions, using local data and knowledge, complete question (2)(a). The Fair Housing Act protects individuals on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, familial status, national origin, or having a disability or a particular type of disability. HUD has provided data for this section only on race/ethnicity, national origin, and familial status. Include any relevant information about other protected characteristics, but note that the analysis of disability is specifically considered in Section V(D). Program participants may include relevant information relating to persons with disabilities here, but still must address the questions in Section V(D).

For question (2)(b), program participants may include any additional relevant information related to their analysis of disparities in access to opportunity in the State and region, including the removal of barriers that prevent people from accessing housing in areas of opportunity, the development of affordable housing in such areas, housing mobility programs, housing preservation and community revitalization efforts, where any such actions are designed to achieve fair housing outcomes such as increasing access to opportunity. There may also be other categories of opportunity in which the State has identified disparities. For these other categories (e.g., emergency preparedness, public safety, public health, housing finance and financial services, and prisoner re-entry), describe the disparities by protected class group.

Contributing Factors

For question (3), consider the non-exhaustive list of factors provided, which are those most commonly associated with disparities in access to opportunity, and identify those factors that significantly create, contribute to, perpetuate, or increase the severity of disparities in access to opportunity. For additional instructions on selecting contributing factors, refer to the introduction of these instructions.

Disproportionate Housing Needs
For question (1)(a), refer to the [HUD-provided tables]. The first [HUD-provided table] shows the percentage of race/ethnicity groups and families with children experiencing two potential categories of housing need in the State. The first category is households experiencing one of four housing problems: housing cost burden (defined as paying more than 30% of income for monthly housing costs including utilities), overcrowding, lacking a complete kitchen, or lacking plumbing. The second category is households experiencing “one of four severe housing problems” which are: severe housing cost burden (defined as paying more than half of one’s income for monthly housing costs including utilities), overcrowding, and lacking a complete kitchen, or lacking plumbing. The second [HUD-provided table] shows the number of persons by race/ethnicity and family size experiencing severe housing cost burden.

For question (1)(b), refer to the [HUD-provided maps] that show the percent of households experiencing any of four housing problems. There are separate maps for different race/ethnicity groups. The maps are shaded, by county, with darker shading indicating a higher percentage of households of that group experiencing such housing problems. The first [HUD-provided map] shows the residential living patterns for persons by race/ethnicity, overlaid by shading indicating the percentage of households experiencing one or more housing problems. Darker shading indicates a higher prevalence of such problems. The map also includes R/ECAP outlines. The second [HUD-provided map] shows the same information overlaid on residential living patterns by national origin.

For question (1)(c), local data and local knowledge may be particularly useful in answering this question.

Understanding the limitations of the HUD-provided data discussed in the introduction to the instruction, using local data and local knowledge, complete question (2). The Fair Housing Act protects individuals on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, familial status, national origin, or having a disability or a particular type of disability. HUD has provided data for this section only on race/ethnicity, national origin, and familial status. Include any relevant information about other protected characteristics, but note that the analysis of disability is specifically considered in Section V(D). Program participants may include any relevant information relating to persons with disabilities here, but still must address the questions in Section V(D).

For question (2)(b), program participants may include any additional relevant information related to their analysis of disproportionate housing needs in the State and region, including the removal of barriers that prevent people from accessing housing in areas of opportunity, the development of affordable housing in such areas, housing mobility programs, housing preservation and community revitalization efforts, where any such actions are designed to achieve fair housing outcomes such as reducing disproportionate housing needs.

For question (3), consider the non-exhaustive list of factors provided, which are those most commonly associated with disproportionate housing needs, and identify those factors that significantly create, contribute to, perpetuate, or increase the severity of disproportionate housing needs. For additional instructions on selecting contributing factors, refer to the introduction of these instructions.

Assessing Disproportionate Housing Needs can also benefit from the use of local data and local knowledge to supplement the HUD-provided data. For instance, the HUD-provided tables do not include data on homeless persons. Information on homeless individuals and families, including some information on their demographic characteristics (e.g., race/ethnicity, persons with
disabilities) is available from a variety of sources. [HUD guidance can provide additional information on this topic, including potential sources of available information.]

C. **Publicly Supported Housing Analysis**

The term “publicly supported housing” as used in this section refers to housing assisted, subsidized, or financed with funding through Federal, State, or local agencies or programs as well as housing that is financed or administered by or through any such agencies or programs. HUD is currently providing data on five specific categories of housing: Public Housing; Project-Based Section 8; “Other HUD Multifamily Housing” (including Section 202 – Supportive Housing for the Elderly and Section 811 – Supportive Housing for Persons with Disabilities); Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) housing; and Housing Choice Vouchers (HCV). Other publicly supported housing relevant to the analysis includes housing funded through state and local programs, other federal agencies, such as USDA and VA, or other HUD-funded housing not captured in the five categories listed above.

Relevant information may also include assisted housing converted under the Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) program. Data on RAD-converted properties are not provided separately, but are included in the overall data on Project-based Section 8 and for Project Based Vouchers in the overall data on Housing Choice Vouchers. HUD has included RAD as a separate category for two specific questions in this section for policy reasons. Some tables and maps provided include information on some of the program categories but not others based on availability of the data. Where a housing development includes more than one category of publicly supported housing, this development is reported in data for each housing category (e.g., project-based Section 8 combined with LIHTC). Note that other publicly supported housing programs, for instance those funded through state and local programs or by other federal agencies, such as USDA’s Rural Housing Service and the Veteran’s Administration, or other HUD programs that are not covered in the HUD-provided data may be relevant to the analysis.

Data related to public housing may be affected by asset management project (AMP) groupings. For instance, where public housing agencies report data for developments located at different sites as one AMP, the map showing the locations of the categories of publicly supported housing will only display this data at one location. Similarly, the table showing the census tract and occupancy of public housing will only show AMP groupings once, rather than for each site. In certain circumstances AMP groupings may affect the fair housing analysis. For example, AMP groupings will impede siting and occupancy analyses where AMP groupings have combined buildings that are in demographically different neighborhoods. For this reason, local data and local knowledge relating to the siting and occupancy of publicly supported housing may be particularly useful in answering the questions in this section.

**Publicly Supported Housing Demographics**

For questions (1)(a)(i) and (ii), refer to the [HUD-provided tables]. The [HUD-provided tables] present data by race/ethnicity for persons occupying four categories of publicly supported housing (public housing, project-based Section 8, Other HUD Multifamily, and HCV) in the State. The tables also provide race/ethnicity data for the total population in the State and for persons meeting

---

7 The Operating Fund Program final rule, published on September 19, 2005, required PHAs to convert to asset management. In practice, this allowed PHAs to group buildings under asset management. All of the AMP groupings are reported as one unit and tied together through the assignment of the same project number.
the income eligibility requirements for a relevant category of publicly supported housing. Relevant information may also include housing converted through RAD, which may be analyzed as part of project-based Section 8 or Housing Choice Vouchers.

**Publicly Supported Housing Location and Occupancy**

For questions (1)(b)(i) and (ii), refer to the [HUD-provided maps], which show the location of housing units, by program category (public housing, project-based section 8, other HUD multifamily assisted developments, and LIHTC), shaded by county. The other [HUD-provided map] shows the density of use of Section 8 vouchers, shaded by county. Compare these maps to the demographics maps to assess their location in relation to previously discussed segregated areas and R/ECAPs.

For questions (1)(b)(i)-(iii), use the [HUD-provided table], which shows the percentage of occupants in four publicly supported housing program categories (public housing, project-based Section 8, Other HUD Multifamily, and HCV) in units located either within R/ECAPs or outside of R/ECAPs in the State. The [HUD-provided table] also breaks out this information by race/ethnicity, elderly, and disability status. To answer the question, compare the percentage of occupants sharing a protected characteristic living in units located in R/ECAPs to the percentage of occupants sharing the same protected characteristic living in units outside of R/ECAPs. Relevant information may also include housing converted through RAD, which may be analyzed as part of project-based Section 8 or Housing Choice Vouchers.

For question (1)(b)(iv), compare the demographics of the residents of each category of publicly supported housing in the State to the demographics patterns of the areas in the State in which the housing is located. The [HUD-provided maps and tables] may be relevant and useful in answering this question. Additionally, local data and local knowledge may be particularly useful for both properties converted under RAD and for LIHTC properties.

For question (1)(b)(v), local data and local knowledge may be particularly useful in answering this question.

**Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC)**

For all LIHTC questions it may be useful to refer to any relevant data maintained by the housing finance agency responsible for allocating credits or other State agencies – which may have more up-to-date and complete data than the HUD-provided data. Local Data, including local data compiled by or research publications produced by other local governmental or non-governmental entities may also be particularly useful in answering these questions. In answering these questions, program participants may distinguish between different uses of LIHTC, including new construction and rehabilitation as well as between nine percent and four percent LIHTCs. Four percent LIHTCs are provided on a non-competitive basis, automatically provide for tax-exempt bonds, and are almost exclusively used for rehabilitation of existing housing; and nine percent LIHTCs are a highly competitive award governed by the State’s Qualified Allocation Plan (QAP) selection criteria and significantly influence the location of new construction of affordable housing.

For question (1)(c)(i), local data and local knowledge may be particularly useful in answering this question. For example, refer to the State’s Qualified Allocation Plan (QAP), public comments made regarding revisions to the QAP, zoning and land use laws, and public comments made regarding zoning and land use laws, or other State or local laws or policies that may influence the
location of LIHTC units and which protected class groups have access to them. Include consideration of threshold requirements as well as ranking criteria in the QAP.

For question (1)(c)(i)(5), the response must consider whether the QAP provides incentives for projects serving particular populations or targeted purposes. Examples may include set-asides for persons with disabilities, permanent supportive housing for the disabled or homeless persons, preservation of existing long-term affordable rental housing, incentives to coordinate with Project-based Vouchers.

For question (1)(c)(ii), local data and local knowledge may be particularly useful in answering this question. For example, refer to the State’s policies, practices and procedures for administering funds used for gap financing or otherwise leveraging LIHTC developments and how such administration affects the location of LIHTC units in the State. This question asks states to consider the extent to which high opportunity areas tend to have more limited access to forms of gap financing than do relatively high-poverty areas.

For question (1)(c)(iii), local data and local knowledge may be particularly useful in answering this question. For example, describe whether the state has received complaints about discrimination against voucher holders by LIHTC owners, consider available data and mapping of voucher usage, and describe any oversight and enforcement efforts the state has undertaken.

Other State Administered Programs Related to Housing and Urban Development

There are currently limited sources of nationally uniform data on the administration of CDBG, HOME, and the National Housing Trust Fund. HUD may provide program participants with data concerning the administration of CDBG, HOME and the National Housing Trust Fund in the future. Until such time, local data and local knowledge may be particularly useful in completing this section, including, but not limited to, information provided by the public, outside organizations, and other government agencies in the community participation process. [Note that HUD is considering adding additional information to the HUD-provided data concerning CDBG and HOME. Information on the National Housing Trust Fund may also be provided in the future.]

For question (d)(i), local data and local knowledge may be particularly useful in answering this question.

For question (d)(ii), local data and local knowledge may be particularly useful in answering this question. For example, information about other State housing programs and any State housing trust funds may be particularly useful.

Disparities in Access to Opportunity

For question (1)(e)(i), refer to the opportunity indicators analyzed in Section (V)(B)(iii) and the [HUD-provided maps]. Compare the locations of publicly supported housing in the first [HUD-provided map] to the [HUD-provided maps] for the Opportunity Indices to assess disparities in access to opportunity for residents of publicly supported housing by protected class. Note that while the location of housing may be relevant to analysis, it is not the only factor in analyzing disparities in access to opportunity. “Access” in this context encompasses consideration of infrastructure or policies related to where a person lives that impact an individual’s ability to benefit from an opportunity, such as available transportation to a job, school enrollment policies, program eligibility criteria, or local labor laws. As noted above, the HUD-provided map for publicly supported housing does not distinguish between developments that serve families, elderly,
or persons with disabilities; however, projects serving these populations often reveal distinct patterns. Local knowledge may be particularly useful in answering this portion of the question.

**Additional Information**

For question 2(a), understanding the limitations of the HUD-provided data discussed in the introduction to the instructions, using local data and knowledge, complete question (2). The Fair Housing Act protects individuals on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, familial status, national origin, or having a disability or a particular type of disability. HUD has provided data for this section only on race/ethnicity, national origin, familial status, and limited data on disability. Include any relevant information about other protected characteristics – but note that the analysis of disability is also specifically considered in Section V(D). Program participants may include an analysis of disability here, but still must include such analysis in Section V(D).

For question (2)(b), program participants may include any additional relevant information related to their analysis of publicly supported housing in the State, including the removal of barriers that prevent people from accessing housing in areas of opportunity, the development of affordable housing in such areas, housing mobility programs, housing preservation and community revitalization efforts, where any such actions are designed to achieve fair housing outcomes such as reducing disproportionate housing needs, transforming R/ECAPs by addressing the combined effects of segregation coupled with poverty, increasing integration, and increasing access to opportunity, such as high-performing schools, transportation, and jobs. Relevant information may also include efforts to maintain and preserve affordable rental housing, including those at risk of opting out or otherwise losing long-term affordability restrictions.

**Contributing Factors**

For question (3), consider the non-exhaustive list of factors provided, which are those most commonly associated with publicly supported housing, and identify those factors that significantly create, contribute to, perpetuate, or increase the severity of the fair housing issues of segregation, R/ECAPs, access to opportunity and disproportionate housing needs in relation to publicly supported housing. For additional instructions on selecting contributing factors, refer to the introduction of these instructions.

**D. Disability and Access Analysis**

There are limited sources of nationally uniform data on the extent to which individuals with disabilities are able to access housing and other community assets. Local data and local knowledge may be particularly useful in completing this section, including, but not limited to, information provided by the public, outside organizations, and other government agencies in the community participation process. In addition, States often have data and information relevant to the analysis required in this section.

**Population Profile**

For question (1)(a), refer to the [HUD-provided map and table]. The [HUD-Provided map] depicts a dot density distribution by disability type (hearing, vision, cognition, ambulatory, self-care, independent living)\(^8\) for the State. The [HUD-provided map] also includes R/ECAP outlines. The

\(^8\) HUD anticipates adding further guidance on different types of disabilities to assist program participants in evaluating the population profile in their States and regions.
[HUD-provided table] provides data on the percentage of the population with types of disabilities in the State.

For question (1)(b), refer to the [HUD-provided maps and table]. The [HUD-provided map] depicts a dot density distribution of persons with disabilities by age (5-17, 18-64, and 65+) in the State. The HUD-provided table] provides data on the percentage of the population with disabilities by age in the State.

**Housing Accessibility**

For questions (2)(a) HUD is unable to provide data at this time, as there is limited nationally available disability-related data at this time, including data relating to accessible housing; however, to assist with answering these questions, program participants may refer to the maps provided by HUD to identify R/ECAPs or other segregated areas identified in previous sections.

For questions (2)(b) HUD is unable to provide data at this time. Single-family housing is generally not accessible to persons with disabilities unless state or local law requires it to be accessible or the housing is part of a HUD-funded program or other program providing for accessibility features. The Fair Housing Act requires that most multifamily properties built after 1991 meet federal accessibility standards. As a result, multifamily housing built after this date, if built in compliance with federal law would meet this minimum level of accessibility, while buildings built before this date generally would not be accessible. The age of housing stock can be a useful measure in answering this question. In addition, affordable housing subject to Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act must include a percentage of units accessible for individuals with mobility impairments and units accessible for individuals with hearing or vision impairments. The [HUD-provided map] for publicly supported housing that shows the location of four categories of publicly supported housing, may also be useful in answering this question.

For question (2)(c), refer to the [HUD-provided table]. The [HUD-provided table] contains data on the number and percentage of persons with disabilities residing in four categories of publicly supported housing in both the State and the region. In answering the question, consider policies and practices that impact individuals’ ability to access the housing, including such things as wait list procedures, admissions or occupancy policies (e.g., income targeting for new admissions), residency preferences, availability of different accessibility features, and website accessibility.

For question (2)(d), local data and local knowledge may be particularly useful in answering this question. For example, describe any disability-specific housing programs or preferences in the State. This includes Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) vouchers, LIHTC or other set-asides, PHA preferences, and HUD-approved targeted remedial preferences under Olmstead agreements, consent decrees, and plans.

**Integration of Persons with Disabilities Living in Institutional and Other Segregated Settings**

The Fair Housing Act, Section 504, and the ADA contain mandates related to integrated settings for persons with disabilities. Integrated settings are those that enable individuals with disabilities to live and interact with individuals without disabilities to the greatest extent possible and receive the healthcare and supportive services from the provider of their choice. To answer questions
(3)(a) and (b), refer to HUD’s “Statement of the Department of Housing and Urban Development on the Role of Housing in Accomplishing the Goals of Olmstead.”

Local data and local knowledge will likely be particularly useful in answering questions (3)(a) through (g). A useful starting place may be to identify whether there is an existing State Olmstead plan, which may include a formal agreement or other documented affirmative Olmstead planning and implementation efforts. Such plans will often include useful baseline information and data as well as planned goals and actions. Program participants are encouraged to reference relevant Olmstead-related plans and to structure their responses consistent with such plans while using the Assessment Tool’s basic format of question prompts. Related documents can be uploaded and included as attachments in the online User Interface and referenced as appropriate. While Olmstead plans may be useful for AFHs, program participants should also be aware of the limitations of Olmstead plans in the context of AFFH.

Additional information may also be obtained during the community participation process. To ensure a meaningful analysis of these questions, program participants may consult with state disability service authorities, which may include, for example, the developmental disabilities authority, mental health authority, social or human services department, and the state Medicaid agency, each of which is likely to have ready access to reliable information concerning the location and frequency of individuals with disabilities.

Other potential sources of location data and local knowledge may include, among others, CMS data from the Money Follows the Person demonstration or on persons with disabilities living in nursing facilities and intermediate care facilities, HUD data on persons with disabilities experiencing homelessness, information provided by individuals with disabilities, federally-funded independent living centers, state protection and advocacy organizations, advocacy organizations representing the spectrum of disabilities, state developmental disability councils and agencies, and state mental health/behavioral health agencies. Topics for consideration may include the length of wait lists for accessible units in publicly supported housing, availability of accessible units in non-publicly supported housing available to HCV participants, whether public funding (e.g. CDBG funds) or tax credits are available for reasonable modifications in rental units and/or for homeowners, whether accessible units are occupied by households requiring accessibility features, and whether publicly supported housing is in compliance with accessibility requirements.

**Disparities in Access to Opportunity**

For questions (4)(a)-(c), HUD is unable to provide data, as there is limited nationally available disability-related data. Local data and local knowledge will likely be particularly useful in answering questions.

**Disproportionate Housing Needs**

For question (5)(a), program participants may refer to the [HUD-provided tables and maps] for data relating to disproportionate housing needs. However, this data is not specific to individuals with disabilities, as such local data and local knowledge may be particularly useful in answering this question.

**Additional Information**

Understanding the limitations of the HUD-provided data discussed above, complete question (6)(a). The Fair Housing Act protects individuals on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, familial status, national origin, or having a disability or a particular type of disability. HUD has provided data for this section only on certain types of disabilities and for the ages of persons with disabilities. Include any relevant information about other protected characteristics.

For question (6)(b), program participants may include any additional relevant information related to their analysis of disability and access in the State, including the removal of barriers that prevent people from accessing housing in areas of opportunity, the development of affordable housing in such areas, housing mobility programs, housing preservation, and community revitalization efforts, where any such actions are designed to achieve fair housing outcomes such as reducing disproportionate housing needs, transforming R/ECAPs by addressing the combined effects of segregation coupled with poverty, increasing integration, and increasing access to opportunity, such as high-performing schools, transportation, and jobs.

**Contributing Factors**

For question (7), consider the list of factors provided, which are those most commonly associated with disability and access, and identify those factors that significantly create, contribute to, perpetuate, or increase the severity of the fair housing issues of segregation, R/ECAPs, access to opportunity and disproportionate housing needs in relation to disability and access. For additional instructions on selecting contributing factors, refer to the introduction of these instructions.

**E. Fair Housing Monitoring and Enforcement, Outreach Capacity, and Resources Analysis**

For question (1)(a), complete the question. A summary of cases would typically include the parties, claims, and current status.

Complete question (1)(b). Note that in the context of State fair housing and civil rights laws, program participants may also discuss additional protected classes covered under those laws.

For question (1)(c), list the agencies and organizations that provide fair housing information in the State, including those operating in areas that extend into another State or broader geographic area. Include a description of their capacity and resources available to them.

For question (2)(a) and (b), program participants may include any additional relevant information related to their analysis of fair housing enforcement, outreach capacity, and resources in the State, including the removal of barriers that prevent people from accessing housing in areas of opportunity, where any such actions are designed to achieve fair housing outcomes such as reducing disproportionate housing needs, transforming R/ECAPs by addressing the combined effects of segregation coupled with poverty, increasing integration, and increasing access to opportunity, such as high-performing schools, transportation, and jobs.

For question (3), consider the list of factors provided, which are those most commonly associated with fair housing enforcement, outreach capacity, and resources, and identify those factors that significantly create, contribute to, perpetuate, or increase the severity of the fair housing issues of segregation, R/ECAPs, access to opportunity and disproportionate housing needs in relation to fair housing enforcement, outreach capacity, and resources. For additional instructions on selecting contributing factors, refer to the introduction of these instructions.
F. Instructions for Qualified PHA Insert

General

A QPHA will address each of the following questions for its service area. The regional portion of the QPHA analysis is expected to be fulfilled by the State’s analysis of the entire State or of a sub-state area in which the QPHA is located if the State has opted to conduct such sub-state analysis. For QPHAs whose service area is the entire State, for purposes of this assessment tool, the QPHA region is defined as the State.

HUD is aware of the data limitations of the HUD-provided data, especially for rural areas, and for small geographies such as those where many QPHAs are often located. As such, local data and local knowledge, including information gathered from community participation, including from the Resident Advisory Board, may be particularly useful in addressing the questions below.

The QPHA analysis is offered only for the purposes of submitting the service area analysis of a QPHA collaborating with a State. If the QPHA analysis does not meet the standards for an acceptable AFH, then HUD may decide not to accept the AFH with respect to the QPHA and accept the State assessment. By collaborating with a QPHA, the State is not making itself responsible for carrying out the QPHA portion of the assessment nor accountable for AFH goals that are specifically designated as QPHA goals, unless the State and QPHA have joint goals.

Segregation/Integration
To answer this question, use the [HUD-provided maps] to identify any areas of segregation in the service area. If the HUD-provided data are not applicable or do not fully cover this issue for the QPHA’s service area, local data and local knowledge, including information obtained during the community participation process, may be particularly useful in answering this question.

R/ECAPs
To answer this question, refer to [HUD-provided maps], which include outlined census tracts that meet the threshold criteria for racially or ethnically concentrated areas of poverty (R/ECAPs). The area within the outline meets the definition of an R/ECAP.

If the HUD-provided data are not applicable or do not fully cover this issue for the QPHA’s service area, local data and local knowledge, including information obtained during the community participation process, may be particularly useful in answering this question.

Disparities in Access to Opportunity
To answer this question, refer to [HUD-provided maps] that show where members of protected class groups live in the service area, by protected class, and the locations of certain opportunities (education, employment, transportation, low poverty exposure, and environmentally healthy neighborhoods). Use the maps to identify any disparities in access to these opportunities for households in the service area.

If the HUD-provided data are not applicable or do not fully cover this issue for the QPHA’s service area, local data and local knowledge, including information obtained during the community participation process, may be particularly useful in answering this question.

Disproportionate Housing Needs
To answer this question, refer to [HUD-provided tables] that show the percentage of race/ethnicity groups and families with children experiencing two potential categories of housing need. The first category is households experiencing one of four housing problems: housing cost burden (defined as paying more than 30% of income for monthly housing costs including utilities), overcrowding, lacking a complete kitchen, or lacking plumbing. The second category is households experiencing “one of four severe housing problems” which are: severe housing cost burden (defined as paying more than half of one’s income for monthly housing costs including utilities), overcrowding, and lacking a complete kitchen, or lacking plumbing. The second [HUD-provided table] shows the number of persons by race/ethnicity and family size experiencing severe housing cost burden.

Next refer to [HUD-provided maps] that show the residential living patterns for persons by race/ethnicity, overlaid by shading indicating the percentage of households experiencing one or more housing problems. Darker shading indicates a higher prevalence of such problems. The map also includes R/ECAP outlines. Another [HUD-provided map] shows the same information overlaid on residential living patterns by national origin.

If the HUD-provided data are not applicable or do not fully cover this issue for the QPHA’s service area, local data and local knowledge, including information obtained during the community participation process, may be particularly useful in answering this question.

Publicly Supported Housing
Data related to public housing may be affected by asset management project (AMP) groupings. For instance, where public housing agencies report data for developments located at different sites as one AMP, the map showing the locations of the categories of publicly supported housing will only display these data at one location. Similarly, the table showing the census tract and occupancy of public housing will only show AMP groupings once, rather than for each site. In certain circumstances AMP groupings may affect the fair housing analysis. For example, AMP groupings will impede siting and occupancy analyses where AMP groupings have combined buildings that are in demographically different neighborhoods. For this reason, local data and local knowledge relating to the siting and occupancy of publicly supported housing may be particularly useful in answering the questions in this section.

This section also uses the term “assisted households.” For purposes of this assessment tool, the term “assisted households” means those households residing in public housing or receiving assistance through the Housing Choice Voucher program.

Demographics
To answer this question, refer to the [HUD-provided tables], which present data by race/ethnicity for residents of the QPHA in the QPHA’s service area. The tables also provide race/ethnicity data for the total population in the service area and for persons meeting the income eligibility requirements for the QPHA. Relevant information may also include housing converted through RAD, which may be analyzed as part of project-based Section 8 or Housing Choice Vouchers.

If the HUD-provided data are not applicable or do not fully cover this issue for the QPHA’s service area, local data and local knowledge, including information obtained during the community participation process, may be particularly useful in answering these questions.

10 The Operating Fund Program final rule, published on September 19, 2005, required PHAs to convert to asset management. In practice, this allowed PHAs to group buildings under asset management. All of the AMP groupings are reported as one unit and tied together through the assignment of the same project number.
Segregation and R/ECAPs
To answer this question, refer to the [HUD-provided maps], which are race/ethnicity dot density maps with a publicly supported housing overlay, including outlines of R/ECAPs. The maps also contain symbols representing the location of the QPHA’s developments and shading for the density of Housing Choice Vouchers in the service area. Darker shading represents a heavier concentration of vouchers.

If the HUD-provided data are not applicable or do not fully cover this issue for the QPHA’s service area, local data and local knowledge, including information obtained during the community participation process, may be particularly useful in answering this question.

Next, refer to the [HUD-provided table], which shows the percentage of occupants of the QPHA in units located either within R/ECAPs or outside of R/ECAPs. The [HUD-provided table] also breaks out this information by race/ethnicity, elderly and disability status. To answer the question, compare the percentage of occupants sharing a protected characteristic living in units located in R/ECAPs to the percentage of occupants sharing the same protected characteristic living in units outside of R/ECAPs. Relevant information may also include housing converted through RAD, which may be analyzed as part of project-based Section 8 or Housing Choice Vouchers.

Finally, refer to the [HUD-provided tables] that present data by race/ethnicity for persons occupying the QPHA’s publicly supported housing developments. Note that data for this question may be affected by AMP groupings, therefore, local data and local knowledge may be particularly useful when answering this question.

Disparities in Access to Opportunity
To answer this question, refer to the opportunity indicators analyzed above, and the [HUD-provided maps], which are race/ethnicity dot density maps showing the location of both public housing and Housing Choice Vouchers in the service area. Compare the locations of these assisted households to the [HUD-provided maps], which depict the opportunity indicators. Note that while the location of housing may be relevant to analysis, it is not the only factor in analyzing disparities in access to opportunity. “Access” in this context encompasses consideration of infrastructure or policies related to where a person lives that impact an individual’s ability to benefit from an opportunity, such as available transportation to a job, school enrollment policies, program eligibility criteria, or local labor laws. As noted above, the HUD-provided map for publicly supported housing does not distinguish between developments that serve families, elderly, or persons with disabilities; however, projects serving these populations often reveal distinct patterns.

If the HUD-provided data are not applicable or do not fully cover this issue for the QPHA’s service area, local data and local knowledge, including information obtained during the community participation process, may be particularly useful in answering these questions.

Disproportionate Housing Needs
To answer this question, refer to the [HUD-provided tables], which present data by race/ethnicity for residents of the QPHA in the QPHA’s service area. Compare these tables to the [HUD-provided tables] that show the disproportionate housing needs of the QPHA’s assisted households and households with disproportionate housing needs in the service area and region.
Next, refer to the [HUD-provided table] that shows the percentage of race/ethnicity groups and families with children experiencing two potential categories of housing need. To assess these needs with respect to the QPHA’s available stock of assisted units, local data and local knowledge may be particularly useful.

If the HUD-provided data are not applicable or do not fully cover this issue for the QPHA’s service area, local data and local knowledge, including information obtained during the community participation process, may be particularly useful in answering these questions.

**Policies and Practices**

To answer this question, local data and local knowledge will be particularly useful.

**Questions on Other Categories of Publicly Supported Housing**

To answer this question, refer to [HUD-provided maps and tables]. The [HUD-provided maps] are race/ethnicity dot density maps with a publicly supported housing overlay, including outlines of R/ECAPS. The [HUD-provided tables] show the percentage of occupants in four publicly supported housing program categories (public housing, project-based Section 8, Other HUD Multifamily, and HCV) in units located either within R/ECAPs or outside of R/ECAPs. The [HUD-provided table] also breaks out this information by race/ethnicity, elderly and disability status.

If the HUD-provided data are not applicable or do not fully cover this issue for the QPHA’s service area, local data and local knowledge, including information obtained during the community participation process, may be particularly useful in answering these questions.

**Disability and Access**

There are limited sources of nationally uniform data on the extent to which individuals with disabilities are able to access housing and other community assets. Local data and local knowledge may be particularly useful in completing this section, including, but not limited to, information provided by the public, outside organizations and other government agencies in the community participation process.

To answer this question, refer to the [HUD-provided map and table]. The [HUD-provided map] depicts a dot density distribution by disability type (hearing, vision, cognition, ambulatory, self-care, independent living) for the State. The [HUD-provided map] also includes R/ECAP outlines. The [HUD-provided table] provides data on the percentage of the population with types of disabilities in the service area. Compare where persons with disabilities reside to areas of segregation and R/ECAPs discussed in previous questions.

To answer this question, local data and local knowledge may be particularly useful.

If the HUD-provided data are not applicable or do not fully cover this issue for the QPHA’s service area, local data and local knowledge, including information obtained during the community participation process, may be particularly useful in answering these questions.

**Fair Housing Enforcement, Outreach Capacity, and Resources Analysis**

Complete the question. A summary of cases would typically include the parties, claims, and current status.

**Additional QPHA Information**
Program participants may include any additional relevant information related to their analysis of the QPHA’s assessment of fair housing.

G. Instructions for Small Program Participant Insert B

As the rule makes clear, when collaborating to submit a joint or regional AFH, program participants may divide work as they choose. However, this Assessment Tool provides a potential division of work for either: (1) A local government that received a CDBG grant of $500,000 or less in the most recent fiscal year prior to the due date for the joint or regional AFH collaborates with a local government that received a CDBG grant larger than $500,000 in the most recent fiscal year prior to the due date for the joint or region AFH; or (2) A HOME consortia whose members collectively received less than $500,000 in CDBG funds or received no CDBG funding partners with a with a local government that received a CDBG grant larger than $500,000 in the most recent fiscal year prior to the due date for the joint or region AFH.

Small program participants that collaborate with a State may use the main portion of the Local Government Assessment Tool or this insert to analyze their jurisdiction. This insert is also intended to reduce burden for small program participants by providing a streamlined set of questions for their jurisdiction. If small program participants elect to collaborate with a State and use the insert, it must be completed for each collaborating small program participant. Additionally, in this instance, the regional portion of the small program participant’s analysis is expected to be fulfilled by the State’s analysis of the entire State.

HUD is aware of the data limitations of the HUD-provided data, especially for rural areas, and for small geographies such as those where many small program participants are often located. As such, local data and local knowledge, including information gathered from community participation, will be particularly useful in answering questions.

This analysis is offered only for the purposes of submitting the jurisdictional analysis of a small program participant collaborating with a local government that receives more than $500,000 in CDBG funding. If the small program participant’s analysis does not meet the standards for an acceptable AFH, then HUD may decide not to accept the AFH with respect to the small program participant and accept as to the local government serving as the lead entity’s assessment. By collaborating with a small program participant, the local government serving as the lead entity is not making itself responsible for carrying out the small program participant’s portion of the assessment nor accountable for AFH goals that are specifically designated as small program participant goals, unless the local government serving as the lead entity and small program participant have joint goals.

If the local government serving as the lead entity and the small program participant believe the small program participant insert provided in this assessment tool is not beneficial for the purposes of conducting the required analysis, they may exclude this set of questions from their analysis and complete the questions in the main assessment tool instead for all program participant jurisdictions and regions. All program participants are accountable for the analysis conducted at the jurisdictional and regional level as well as any joint goals and priorities. Program participants are also accountable for their individual analysis, goals, and priorities. (See § 5.156(a)(3).) For example, in a regional collaboration involving two local governments and a small program participant, the local government may conduct certain parts of the joint analysis and the small program participants may conduct other parts, provided all necessary parts are completed. HUD believes it is best left to the program participants in a joint or regional collaboration to decide how
their individual expertise may best contribute to a joint or regional AFH, provided it is consistent with the AFFH rule.

**Demographics**

For question 1, refer to [HUD-provided Tables]. Local data and local knowledge, including information obtained through the community participation process, will be particularly useful in answering the question. Program participants may refer to the instructions for the Demographics section of the main assessment tool for additional information related to the maps and tables.

**Segregation/Integration**

For question 2, refer to [HUD-provided Maps]. Local data and local knowledge, including information obtained through the community participation process, will be particularly useful in answering the question. Program participants may refer to the instructions for the Segregation section of the main assessment tool for additional information related to the maps and tables.

**R/ECAPs**

For question 3, refer to [HUD-provided Maps] and [HUD-provided Table]. Local data and local knowledge, including information obtained through the community participation process, will be particularly useful in answering the question. Program participants may refer to the instructions for the R/ECAPs section of the main assessment tool for additional information related to the maps and tables.

**Disparities in Access to Opportunity**

For question 4, refer to [HUD-provided Table] and [HUD-provided Maps]. Local data and local knowledge, including information obtained through the community participation process, will be particularly useful in answering the question. Program participants may refer to the instructions for the Disparities in Access to Opportunity section of the main assessment tool for additional information related to the maps and tables.

**Disproportionate Housing Needs**

For question 5, refer to [HUD-provided Tables]. Local data and local knowledge, including information obtained through the community participation process, will be particularly useful in answering the question. Program participants may refer to the instructions for the Disproportionate Housing Needs section of the main assessment tool for additional information related to the maps and tables.

**Publicly Supported Housing**

For question 6.a., refer to [HUD-provided Table]. Local data and local knowledge, including information obtained through the community participation process, will be particularly useful in answering the question. Program participants may refer to the instructions for the Demographics subsection of the Publicly Supported Housing section of the main assessment tool for additional information related to the maps and tables.

For question 6.b.i., refer to [HUD-provided Maps]. Local data and local knowledge, including information obtained through the community participation process, will be particularly useful in
answering the question. Program participants may refer to the instructions for the Segregation and R/ECAPs subsection of the Publicly Supported Housing section of the main assessment tool for additional information related to the maps and tables.

For question 6.b.ii., refer to [HUD-provided Table]. Local data and local knowledge, including information obtained through the community participation process, will be particularly useful in answering the question. Program participants may refer to the instructions for the Segregation and R/ECAPs subsection of the Publicly Supported Housing section of the main assessment tool for additional information related to the maps and tables.

For question 6.b.iii., refer to [HUD-provided Table] and the [HUD-provided Map Query Tool]. Local data and local knowledge, including information obtained through the community participation process, will be particularly useful in answering the question. Program participants may refer to the instructions for the Segregation and R/ECAPs subsection of the Publicly Supported Housing section of the main assessment tool for additional information related to the maps and tables.

For question 6.c., refer to [HUD-provided Maps]. Local data and local knowledge, including information obtained through the community participation process, will be particularly useful in answering the question. Program participants may refer to the instructions for the Disparities in Access to Opportunity subsection of the Publicly Supported Housing section of the main assessment tool for additional information related to the maps and tables.

For question 6.d.i., refer to [HUD-provided Tables]. For question 6.d.ii., refer to [HUD-provided Table]. Local data and local knowledge, including information obtained through the community participation process, will be particularly useful in answering the question. Program participants may refer to the instructions for the Disproportionate Housing Needs subsection of the Publicly Supported Housing section of the main assessment tool for additional information related to the maps and tables.

For question 6.e., refer to [HUD-provided Map] and [HUD-provided Table]. Local data and local knowledge, including information obtained through the community participation process, will be particularly useful.

**Disability and Access**

For questions 7.a., refer to [HUD-provided Maps]. For questions a-c., Local data and local knowledge, including information obtained through the community participation process, will be particularly useful in answering the question. Program participants may refer to the instructions for the Disability and Access section of the main assessment tool for additional information related to the maps and tables.

**Fair Housing Enforcement, Outreach Capacity, and Resources**

For question 8, local data and local knowledge, including information obtained through the community participation process, will be particularly useful in answering the question. Program participants may refer to the instructions for the Fair Housing Enforcement section of the main assessment tool for additional information related to the maps and tables.

**Additional QPHA Information**
For question 9, local data and local knowledge, including information obtained through the community participation process, will be particularly useful in answering the question.

**Part VI: Fair Housing Goals and Priorities**

To answer question (1), use the contributing factors selected in prior sections and prioritize them. In prioritizing contributing factors, program participants shall give the highest priority to those factors that limit or deny fair housing choice or access to opportunity, or negatively impact fair housing or civil rights compliance. Provide a justification for the prioritization of the factors. Also describe the prioritization method used. For example, if using a 1 through 5 ranking system, identify whether 1 or 5 reflects the highest priority.

Note that contributing factors may be outside the ability of program participants to directly control or influence. In such cases, those factors must be included in the prioritization. There still may be policy options or goals that program participants should identify, while recognizing the limitations involved.

For question (2), set one or more goals to address each fair housing issue with significant contributing factors. For each goal, program participants must identify one or more contributing factors that the goal is designed to address, describe how the goal relates to overcoming the identified contributing factor(s) and related fair housing issue, and identify metrics and milestones for determining what fair housing results will be achieved. For instance, where segregation in a development or geographic area is determined to be a fair housing issue, with at least one significant contributing factor, HUD would expect the AFH to include one or more goals to reduce the segregation.

In answering question (2), use the table provided. Provide at least one goal addressing each fair housing issue. In the “Goals” column, state the goal that is being set. In the “Contributing Factors” column, identify the contributing factors the goal is designed to overcome. In the “Fair Housing Issues” column, identify the related fair housing issues the goal is designed to address. In the “Metrics and Milestones” column, identify the metrics and milestones program participants will use for determining what fair housing results will be achieved and a timeframe for achievement. Finally, in the “Discussion” row, provide an explanation of how the goal being set is going to address the contributing factors and related fair housing issues. For program participants submitting jointly, denote which program participant is responsible for each particular goal. If program participants are setting joint goals, explain the responsibilities of each program participant with respect to the joint goal. Please note that the table provided does not limit the number of goals. Program participants are encouraged to set more goals than the table allows for currently.

While the statutory duty to affirmatively further fair housing requires program participants to affirmatively further fair housing, the final rule does not mandate specific outcomes for the planning process. Instead, recognizing the importance of local decision-making, the analysis conducted in the AFH is meant to help guide public sector housing and community development planning and investment decisions in being better informed about fair housing concerns and consequently help program participants to be better positioned to fulfill their obligation to affirmatively further fair housing.

Program participants should note that the strategies and actions, and the specifics of funding decisions, subject to the consolidated plan, PHA plan, or other applicable planning process are not required to be in the AFH. However, the goals set by program participants will factor into these planning processes. These goals will form the basis for strategies and actions in the subsequent
planning documents. As stated in the regulatory text at 24 C.F.R. § 5.150, “a program participant’s strategies and actions must affirmatively further fair housing and may include various activities, such as developing affordable housing, and removing barriers to the development of such housing, in areas of high opportunity; strategically enhancing access to opportunity, including through targeted investment in neighborhood revitalization or stabilization; through preservation or rehabilitation of existing affordable housing; promoting greater housing choice within or outside areas of concentrated poverty and access to areas of high opportunity; and improving community assets such as quality schools, employment, and transportation.” Goals addressing fair housing choice may include, for example, enhanced mobility options that afford access to areas of high opportunity.

**Certification and Submission**

Sign and date the certification.
Access to financial services
The term “financial services” refers here to economic services provided by a range of quality organizations that manage money, including credit unions, banks, credit card companies, and insurance companies. These services would also include access to credit financing for mortgages, home equity, and home repair loans. Access to these services includes physical access - often dictated by the location of banks or other physical infrastructure - as well as the ability to obtain credit, insurance or other key financial services. Access may also include equitable treatment in receiving financial services, including equal provision of information and equal access to mortgage modifications. For purposes of this contributing factor, financial services do not include predatory lending including predatory foreclosure practices, storefront check cashing, payday loan services, and similar services. Gaps in banking services can make residents vulnerable to these types of predatory lending practices, and lack of access to quality banking and financial services may jeopardize an individual’s credit and the overall sustainability of homeownership and wealth accumulation.

Access for persons with disabilities to proficient schools
Individuals with disabilities may face unique barriers to accessing proficient schools. In some States, some school facilities may not be accessible or may only be partially accessible to individuals with different types of disabilities (often these are schools built before the enactment of the ADA or the Rehabilitation Act of 1973). In general, a fully accessible building is a building that complies with all of the ADA's requirements and has no barriers to entry for persons with mobility impairments. It enables students and parents with physical or sensory disabilities to access and use all areas of the building and facilities to the same extent as students and parents without disabilities, enabling students with disabilities to attend classes and interact with students without disabilities to the fullest extent. In contrast, a partially accessible building allows for persons with mobility impairments to enter and exit the building, access all relevant programs, and have use of at least one restroom, but the entire building is not accessible and students or parents with disabilities may not access areas of the facility to the same extent as students and parents without disabilities. In addition, in some instances school policies steer individuals with certain types of disabilities to certain facilities or certain programs or certain programs do not accommodate the disability-related needs of certain students.

Access to publicly supported housing for persons with disabilities
The lack of a sufficient number of accessible units or lack of access to key programs and services poses barriers to individuals with disabilities seeking to live in publicly supported housing. For purposes of this assessment, publicly supported housing refers to housing units that are subsidized by federal, state, or local entities. “Accessible housing” refers to housing that accords individuals with disabilities equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling. The concept of “access” here includes physical access for individuals with different types of disabilities (for example, ramps and other accessibility features for individuals with mobility impairments, visual alarms and signals for individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing, and audio signals, accessible signage, and other accessibility features for individuals who are blind or have low vision), as well as the provision of auxiliary aids and services to provide effective communication for individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing, are blind or have low vision, or individuals who have speech impairments. The concept of “access” here also includes programmatic
access, which implicates such policies as application procedures, waitlist procedures, transfer procedures and reasonable accommodation procedures.

**Access to transportation for persons with disabilities**
Individuals with disabilities may face unique barriers to accessing transportation, including both public and private transportation, such as buses, rail services, taxis, and para-transit. The term “access” in this context includes physical accessibility, policies, physical proximity, cost, safety, reliability, etc. It includes the lack of accessible bus stops, the failure to make audio announcements for persons who are blind or have low vision, and the denial of access to persons with service animals. The absence of or clustering of accessible transportation and other transportation barriers may limit the housing choice of individuals with disabilities.

**Admissions and occupancy policies and procedures, including preferences in publicly supported housing**
The term “admissions and occupancy policies and procedures” refers here to the policies and procedures used by publicly supported housing providers that affect who lives in the housing, including policies and procedures related to marketing, advertising vacancies, applications, tenant selection, assignment, and maintained or terminated occupancy. Procedures that may relate to fair housing include, but are not limited to:

1. Admissions preferences (e.g. residency preference, preferences for local workforce, etc.)
2. Application, admissions, and waitlist policies (e.g. in-person application requirements, rules regarding applicant acceptance or rejection of units, waitlist time limitations, first come first serve, waitlist maintenance, etc.).
3. Credit or criminal record policies.
4. Designations of housing developments (or portions of developments) for the elderly and/or persons with disabilities.
5. Domestic violence (displacement due to domestic violence, defined as actual or threatened physical violence directed against another person, and accessibility to permanent affordable housing is a crucial step toward safety and stability for domestic violence survivors).
6. Eviction policies and procedures.
7. Housing providers’ policies for processing reasonable accommodations and modifications requests.
8. Income thresholds for new admissions or for continued eligibility.

**Availability of affordable units in a range of sizes**
The provision of affordable housing is often important to individuals with certain protected characteristics because groups are disproportionately represented among those who would benefit from low-cost housing. What is “affordable” varies by circumstance, but an often used rule of thumb is that a low- or moderate-income family can afford to rent or buy a decent-quality dwelling without spending more than 30 percent of its income. This contributing factor refers to the availability of units that a low- or moderate-income family could rent or buy, including one-bedroom units and multi-bedroom units for larger families. When considering availability, consider transportation costs, school quality, and other important factors in housing choice. Whether affordable units are available with a greater number of bedrooms and in a range of different geographic locations may be a particular barrier facing families with children.

**Availability and type of public transportation**
Public transportation is shared passenger transport service available for use by the general public, including buses, light rail, and rapid transit. Public transportation includes paratransit services for persons with disabilities. The availability, type, frequency, and reliability of public transportation affect which
households are connected to community assets and economic opportunities. Transportation policies that are premised upon the use of a personal vehicle may impact public transportation. “Availability” as used here includes geographic proximity, cost, safety and accessibility, as well as whether the transportation connects individuals to places they need to go such as jobs, schools, retail establishments, and healthcare. “Type” refers to method of transportation such as bus or rail. “Frequency” refers to the interval at which the transportation runs. “Reliability” includes such factors as an assessment of how often trips are late or delayed, the frequency of outages, and whether the transportation functions in inclement weather.

**Community opposition**
The opposition of community members to proposed or existing developments—including housing developments, affordable housing, publicly supported housing (including use of housing choice vouchers), multifamily housing, or housing for persons with disabilities—is often referred to as “Not in my Backyard,” or NIMBY-ism. This opposition is often expressed in protests, challenges to land-use requests or zoning waivers or variances, lobbying of decision-making bodies, or even harassment and intimidation. Community opposition can be based on factual concerns (concerns are concrete and not speculative, based on rational, demonstrable evidence, focused on measurable impact on a neighborhood) or can be based on biases (concerns are focused on stereotypes, prejudice, and anxiety about the new residents or the units in which they will live). Community opposition, when successful at blocking housing options, may limit or deny housing choice for individuals with certain protected characteristics.

**Deteriorated and abandoned properties**
The term “deteriorated and abandoned properties” refers here to residential and commercial properties unoccupied by an owner or a tenant, which are in disrepair, unsafe, or in arrears on real property taxes. Deteriorated and abandoned properties may be signs of a community’s distress and disinvestment and are often associated with crime, increased risk to health and welfare, plunging decreasing property values, and municipal costs. The presence of multiple unused or abandoned properties in a particular neighborhood may have resulted from mortgage or property tax foreclosures. The presence of such properties can raise serious health and safety concerns and may also affect the ability of homeowners with protected characteristics to access opportunity through the accumulation of home equity. Demolition without strategic revitalization and investment can result in further deterioration of already damaged neighborhoods.

**Displacement of residents due to economic pressures**
The term “displacement” refers here to a resident’s undesired departure from a place where an individual has been living. “Economic pressures” may include, but are not limited to, rising rents, rising property taxes related to home prices, rehabilitation of existing structures, demolition of subsidized housing, loss of affordability restrictions, and public and private investments in neighborhoods. Such pressures can lead to loss of existing affordable housing in areas experiencing rapid economic growth and a resulting loss of access to opportunity assets for lower income families that previously lived there. Where displacement disproportionately affects persons with certain protected characteristic, the displacement of residents due to economic pressures may exacerbate patterns of residential segregation.

**Impediments to mobility**
The term “impediments to mobility” refers here to barriers faced by individuals and families when attempting to move to a neighborhood or area of their choice, especially integrated areas and areas of opportunity. This refers to both Housing Choice Vouchers and other public and private housing options. Many factors may impede mobility, including, but not limited to:

- Lack of quality mobility counseling. Mobility counseling is designed to assist families in moving from high-poverty to low-poverty neighborhoods that have greater access to opportunity assets appropriate for each family (e.g. proficient schools for families with children or effective public
transportation.). Mobility counseling can include a range of options including, assistance for families for “second moves” after they have accessed stable housing, and ongoing post-move support for families.

- Lack of appropriate payment standards, including exception payment standards to the standard fair market rent (FMR). Because FMRs are generally set at the 40th percentile of the metropolitan-wide rent distribution, some of the most desirable neighborhoods do not have a significant number of units available in the FMR range. Exception payment standards are separate payment standard amounts within the basic range for a designated part of an FMR area. Small areas FMRs, which vary by zip code, may be used in the determination of potential exception payment standard levels to support a greater range of payment standards.
- State fragmentation among multiple providers of publicly supported housing that serve single metropolitan areas and lack of regional cooperation mechanisms, including PHA State limitations.
- HCV portability issues that prevent a household from using a housing assistance voucher issued in one State when moving to another State where the program is administered by a different local PHA.
- Lack of a consolidated waitlist for all assisted housing available in the metropolitan area.
- Discrimination based on source of income, including SSDI, Housing Choice Vouchers, or other tenant-based rental assistance.

Inaccessible buildings, sidewalks, pedestrian crossings, or other infrastructure
Many public buildings, sidewalks, pedestrian crossings, or other infrastructure components are inaccessible to individuals with disabilities including persons with mobility impairments, individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing, and persons who are blind or have low vision. These accessibility issues can limit realistic housing choice for individuals with disabilities. Inaccessibility is often manifest by the lack of curb cuts, lack of ramps, and the lack of audible pedestrian signals. While the Americans with Disabilities Act and related civil rights laws establish accessibility requirements for infrastructure, these laws do not apply everywhere and/or may be inadequately enforced.

Inaccessible government facilities or services
Inaccessible government facilities and services may pose a barrier to fair housing choice for individuals with disabilities by limiting access to important community assets such as public meetings, social services, libraries, and recreational facilities. Note that the concept of accessibility includes both physical access (including to websites and other forms of communication) as well as policies and procedures. While the Americans with Disabilities Act and related civil rights laws require that newly constructed and altered government facilities, as well as programs and services, be accessible to individuals with disabilities, these laws may not apply in all circumstances and/or may be inadequately enforced.

Lack of affordable, accessible housing in a range of unit sizes
What is “affordable” varies by circumstance, but an often used rule of thumb is that a low- or moderate-income family can afford to rent or buy a decent-quality dwelling without spending more than 30 percent of its income. For purposes of this assessment, “accessible housing” refers to housing that accords individuals with disabilities equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling. Characteristics that affect accessibility may include physical accessibility of units and public and common use areas of housing, as well as application procedures, such as first come first serve waitlists, inaccessible websites or other technology, denial of access to individuals with assistance animals, or lack of information about affordable accessible housing. The clustering of affordable, accessible housing with a range of unit sizes may also limit fair housing choice for individuals with disabilities.

Lack of affordable in-home or community-based supportive services
The term “in-home or community-based supportive services” refers here to medical and other supportive
services available for targeted populations, such as individuals with mental illnesses, cognitive or developmental disabilities, and/or physical disabilities in their own home or community (as opposed to in institutional settings). Such services include personal care, assistance with housekeeping, transportation, in-home meal service, integrated adult day services and other services (including, but not limited to, medical, social, education, transportation, housing, nutritional, therapeutic, behavioral, psychiatric, nursing, personal care, and respite). They also include assistance with activities of daily living such as bathing, dressing, eating, and using the toilet, shopping, managing money or medications, and various household management activities, such as doing laundry. Public entities must provide services to individuals with disabilities in community settings rather than institutions when: 1) such services are appropriate to the needs of the individual; 2) the affected persons do not oppose community-based treatment; and 3) community-based services can be reasonably accommodated, taking into account the resources available to the public entity and the needs of others who are receiving disability-related services from the entity. Assessing the cost and availability of these services is also an important consideration, including the role of state Medicaid agencies. The outreach of government entities around the availability of community supports to persons with disabilities in institutions may impact these individuals’ knowledge of such supports and their ability to transition to community-based settings.

**Lack of affordable, integrated housing for individuals who need supportive services**
What is “affordable” varies by the circumstances affecting the individual, and includes the cost of housing and services taken together. Integrated housing is housing where individuals with disabilities can live and interact with persons without disabilities to the fullest extent possible. In its 1991 rulemaking implementing Title II of the ADA, the U.S. Department of Justice defined “the most integrated setting appropriate to the needs of qualified individuals with disabilities” as “a setting that enables individuals with disabilities to interact with nondisabled persons to the fullest extent possible.” By contrast, segregated settings are occupied exclusively or primarily by individuals with disabilities. Segregated settings sometimes have qualities of an institutional nature, including, but not limited to, regimentation in daily activities, lack of privacy or autonomy, policies limiting visitors, limits on individuals’ ability to engage freely in community activities and manage their own activities of daily living, or daytime activities primarily with other individuals with disabilities. For purposes of this tool “supportive services” means medical and other voluntary supportive services available for targeted populations groups, such as individuals with mental illnesses, intellectual or developmental disabilities, and/or physical disabilities, in their own home or community (as opposed to institutional settings). Such services may include personal care, assistance with housekeeping, transportation, in-home meal service, integrated adult day services and other services. They also include assistance with activities of daily living such as bathing, dressing, and using the toilet, shopping, managing money or medications, and various household management activities, such as doing laundry.

**Lack of assistance for housing accessibility modifications**
The term “housing accessibility modification” refers here to structural changes made to existing premises, occupied or to be occupied by a person with a disability, in order to afford such person full enjoyment and use of the premises. Housing accessibility modifications can include structural changes to interiors and exteriors of dwellings and to common and public use areas. Under the Fair Housing Act, landlords are required by fair housing laws to permit certain reasonable modifications to a housing unit, but are not required to pay for the modification unless the housing provider is a recipient of Federal financial assistance and therefore subject to Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act or is covered by the Americans with Disabilities Act (in such cases the recipient must pay for the structural modification as a reasonable accommodation for an individual with disabilities). However, the cost of these modifications can be prohibitively expensive. States may consider establishing a modification fund to assist individuals with disabilities in paying for modifications or providing assistance to individuals applying for grants to pay for modifications.
**Lack of assistance for transitioning from institutional settings to integrated housing**
The integration mandate of the ADA and *Olmstead v. L.C.*, 527 U.S. 581 (1999) (*Olmstead*) compels states to offer community-based health care services and long-term services and supports for individuals with disabilities who can live successfully in housing with access to those services and supports. In practical terms, this means that states must find housing that enables them to assist individuals with disabilities to transition out of institutions and other segregated settings and into the most integrated setting appropriate to the needs of each individual with a disability. A critical consideration in each state is the range of housing options available in the community for individuals with disabilities and whether those options are largely limited to living with other individuals with disabilities, or whether those options include substantial opportunities for individuals with disabilities to live and interact with individuals without disabilities. For further information on the obligation to provide integrated housing opportunities, please refer to HUD’s Statement on the Role of Housing in Accomplishing the Goals of *Olmstead*, the U.S. Department of Justice’s Statement on *Olmstead* Enforcement, as well as the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services’ Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services final rule and regulations regarding Home and Community-Based Setting requirements. Policies that perpetuate segregation may include: inadequate community-based services; reimbursement and other policies that make needed services unavailable to support individuals with disabilities in mainstream housing; conditioning access to housing on willingness to receive supportive services; incentivizing the development or rehabilitation of segregated settings. Policies or practices that promote community integration may include: the administration of long-term State or locally-funded tenant-based rental assistance programs; applying for funds under the Section 811 Project Rental Assistance Demonstration; implementing special population preferences in the HCV and other programs; incentivizing the development of integrated supportive housing through the LIHTC program; ordinances banning housing discrimination of the basis of source of income; coordination between housing and disability services agencies; increasing the availability of accessible public transportation.

**Lack of community revitalization strategies**
The term “community revitalization strategies” refers here to realistic planned activities to improve the quality of life in areas that lack public and private investment, services and amenities, have significant deteriorated and abandoned properties, or other indicators of community distress. Revitalization can include a range of activities such as improving housing, attracting private investment, creating jobs, and expanding educational opportunities or providing links to other community assets. Strategies may include such actions as rehabilitating housing; offering economic incentives for housing developers/sponsors, businesses (for commercial and employment opportunities), bankers, and other interested entities that assist in the revitalization effort; and securing financial resources (public, for-profit, and nonprofit) from sources inside and outside the State to fund housing improvements, community facilities and services, and business opportunities in neighborhoods in need of revitalization. When a community is being revitalized, the preservation of affordable housing units can be a strategy to promote integration.

**Lack of local private fair housing outreach and enforcement**
The term “local private fair housing outreach and enforcement” refers to outreach and enforcement actions by private individuals and organizations, including such actions as fair housing education, conducting testing, bring lawsuits, arranging and implementing settlement agreements. A lack of private enforcement is often the result of a lack of resources or a lack of awareness about rights under fair housing and civil rights laws, which can lead to under-reporting of discrimination, failure to take advantage of remedies under the law, and the continuation of discriminatory practices. Activities to raise awareness may include technical training for housing industry representatives and organizations, education and outreach activities geared to the general public, advocacy campaigns, fair housing testing and enforcement.
Lack of local public fair housing enforcement
The term “local public fair housing enforcement” refers here to enforcement actions by State and local agencies or non-profits charged with enforcing fair housing laws, including testing, lawsuits, settlements, and fair housing audits. A lack of enforcement is a failure to enforce existing requirements under state or local fair housing laws. This may be assessed by reference to the nature, extent, and disposition of housing discrimination complaints filed in the State.

Lack of private investment in specific areas within the State
The term “private investment” refers here to investment by non-governmental entities, such as corporations, financial institutions, individuals, philanthropies, and non-profits, in housing and community development infrastructure. Private investment can be used as a tool to advance fair housing, through innovative strategies such as mixed-use developments, targeted investment, and public-private partnerships. Private investments may include, but are not limited to: housing construction or rehabilitation; investment in businesses; the creation of community amenities, such as recreational facilities and providing social services; and economic development of the neighborhoods that creates jobs and increase access to amenities such as grocery stores, pharmacies, and banks. It should be noted that investment solely in housing construction or rehabilitation in areas that lack other types of investment may perpetuate fair housing issues. While “private investment” may include many types of investment, to achieve fair housing outcomes such investments should be strategic and part of a comprehensive community development strategy.

Lack of public investment in specific areas within the State, including services or amenities
The term “public investment” refers here to the money government spends on housing and community development, including public facilities, infrastructure, services. Services and amenities refer to services and amenities provided by local or state governments. These services often include sanitation, water, streets, schools, emergency services, social services, parks and transportation. Lack of or disparities in the provision of municipal and state services and amenities have an impact on housing choice and the quality of communities. Inequalities can include, but are not limited to disparity in physical infrastructure (such as whether or not roads are paved or sidewalks are provided and kept up); differences in access to water or sewer lines, trash pickup, or snow plowing. Amenities can include, but are not limited to recreational facilities, libraries, and parks. Variance in the comparative quality and array of municipal and state services across neighborhoods impacts fair housing choice.

Lack of State, regional, or other inter-governmental cooperation
The term “regional cooperation” refers here to formal networks or coalitions of organizations, people, and entities working together to plan for regional development. Cooperation in regional planning can be a useful approach to coordinate responses to identified fair housing issues and contributing factors because fair housing issues and contributing factors not only cross multiple sectors—including housing, education, transportation, and commercial and economic development—but these issues are often not constrained by political-geographic boundaries. When there are regional patterns in segregation or R/ECAP, access to opportunity, disproportionate housing needs, or the concentration of affordable housing there may be a lack of regional cooperation and fair housing choice may be restricted.

Lack of resources for fair housing agencies and organizations
A lack of resources refers to insufficient resources for public or private organizations to conduct fair housing activities including testing, enforcement, coordination, advocacy, and awareness-raising. Fair housing testing has been particularly effective in advancing fair housing, but is rarely used today because of costs. Testing refers to the use of individuals who, without any bona fide intent to rent or purchase a home, apartment, or other dwelling, pose as prospective buyers or renters of real estate for the purpose of gathering information, which may indicate whether a housing provider is complying with fair housing
laws. “Resources” as used in this factor can be either public or private funding or other resources.
Consider also coordination mechanisms between different enforcement actors.

**Lack of state or local fair housing laws**
State and local fair housing laws are important to fair housing outcomes. Consider laws that are comparable or “substantially equivalent” to the Fair Housing Act or other relevant federal laws affecting fair housing laws, as well as those that include additional protections. Examples of state and local laws affecting fair housing include legislation banning source of income discrimination, protections for individuals based on sexual orientation, age, survivors of domestic violence, or other characteristics, mandates to construct affordable housing, and site selection policies. Also consider changes to existing State or local fair housing laws, including the proposed repeal or dilution of such legislation.

**Land use and zoning laws**
The term “land use and zoning laws” generally refers to regulation by State or local government of the use of land and buildings, including regulation of the types of activities that may be conducted, the density at which those activities may be performed, and the size, shape and location of buildings and other structures or amenities. Zoning and land use laws affect housing choice by determining where housing is built, what type of housing is built, who can live in that housing, and the cost and accessibility of the housing. Examples of such laws and policies include, but are not limited to:

- State laws establishing the parameters for local land use decisions, such as permitting local exclusionary zoning, or requiring, incentivizing, or prohibiting local inclusionary zoning efforts, or a state provision allowing for the appeal of decisions preventing affordable housing development.
- Limits on multi-unit developments, which may include outright bans on multi-unit developments or indirect limits such as height limits and minimum parking requirements.
- Minimum lot sizes, which require residences to be located on a certain minimum sized area of land.
- Occupancy restrictions, which regulate how many persons may occupy a property and, sometimes, the relationship between those persons (refer also to occupancy codes and restrictions for further information).
- Lack of inclusionary zoning practices that mandate or incentivize the creation of affordable units.
- Requirements for special use permits for all multifamily properties or multifamily properties serving individuals with disabilities.
- Growth management ordinances.

**Lending Discrimination**
The term “lending discrimination” refers here to unequal treatment based on protected class in the receipt of financial services and in residential real estate related transactions. These services and transactions encompass a broad range of transactions, including but not limited to: the making or purchasing of loans or other financial assistance for purchasing, constructing, improving, repairing, or maintaining a dwelling, as well as the selling, brokering, or appraising or residential real estate property. Discrimination in these transactions includes, but is not limited to: refusal to make a mortgage loan or refinance a mortgage loan; refusal to provide information regarding loans or providing unequal information; imposing different terms or conditions on a loan, such as different interest rates, points, or fees; discriminating in appraising property; refusal to purchase a loan or set different terms or conditions for purchasing a loan; discrimination in providing other financial assistance for purchasing, constructing, improving, repairing, or maintaining a dwelling or other financial assistance secured by residential real estate; and discrimination in foreclosures and the maintenance of real estate owned properties.
Location of accessible housing
The location of accessible housing can limit fair housing choice for individuals with disabilities. For purposes of this assessment, accessible housing refers to housing opportunities in which individuals with disabilities have equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling. Characteristics that affect accessibility may include physical accessibility of units and public and common use areas of housing, as well as application procedures, such as first come first serve waitlists, inaccessible websites or other technology, denial of access to individuals with assistance animals, or lack of information about affordable accessible housing. Federal, state, and local laws apply different accessibility requirements to housing. Generally speaking, multifamily housing built in 1991 or later must have accessibility features in units and in public and common use areas for persons with disabilities in accordance with the requirements of the Fair Housing Act. Housing built by recipients of Federal financial assistance or by, on behalf of, or through programs of public entities must have accessibility features in units and in public and common use areas, but the level of accessibility required may differ depending on when the housing was constructed or altered. Single-family housing is generally not required to be accessible by Federal law, except accessibility requirements typically apply to housing constructed or operated by a recipient of Federal financial assistance or a public entity. State and local laws differ regarding accessibility requirements. An approximation that may be useful in this assessment is that buildings built before 1992 tend not to be accessible.

Location of employers
The geographic relationship of job centers and large employers to housing, and the linkages between the two (including, in particular, public transportation) are important components of fair housing choice. Include consideration of the type of jobs available, variety of jobs available, job training opportunities, benefits and other key aspects that affect job access.

Location of environmental health hazards
The geographic relationship of environmental health hazards to housing is an important component of fair housing choice. When environmental health hazards are concentrated in particular areas, neighborhood health and safety may be compromised and patterns of segregation entrenched. Relevant factors to consider include the type and number of hazards, the degree of concentration or dispersion, and health effects such as asthma, cancer clusters, obesity, etc. Additionally, industrial siting policies and incentives for the location of housing may be relevant to this factor.

Location of proficient schools and school assignment policies
The geographic relationship of proficient schools to housing, and the policies that govern attendance, are important components of fair housing choice. The quality of schools is often a major factor in deciding where to live and school quality is also a key component of economic mobility. Relevant factors to consider include whether proficient schools are clustered in a portion of the State or region, the range of housing opportunities close to proficient schools, and whether the State has policies that enable students to attend a school of choice regardless of place of residence. Policies to consider include, but are not limited to: inter-district transfer programs, limits on how many students from other areas a particular school will accept, and enrollment lotteries that do not provide access for the majority of children.

Location and type of affordable housing
Affordable housing includes, but is not limited to publicly supported housing; however, each category of publicly supported housing often serves different income-eligible populations at different levels of affordability. What is “affordable” varies by circumstance, but an often used rule of thumb is that a low- or moderate-income family can afford to rent or buy a decent-quality dwelling without spending more than 30 percent of its income. The location of housing encompasses the current location as well as past siting decisions. The location of affordable housing can limit fair housing choice, especially if the housing is located in segregated areas, R/ECAPs, or areas that lack access to opportunity. The type of housing
(whether the housing primarily serves families with children, elderly persons, or persons with disabilities) can also limit housing choice, especially if certain types of affordable housing are located in segregated areas, R/ECAPs, or areas that lack access to opportunity, while other types of affordable housing are not. The provision of affordable housing is often important to individuals with protected characteristics because they are disproportionately represented among those that would benefit from low-cost housing.

### Loss of Affordable Housing

The loss of existing affordable housing can limit the housing choices and exacerbate fair housing issues affecting protected class groups. Affordable housing may be lost from the long-term stock due to deterioration, abandonment, or conversion to more expensive housing types, especially in sub-markets experiencing economic improvement. Buildings can leave the affordable inventory through owner opt-outs from project-based Section 8 contracts, maturing long-term mortgages and expiration of use agreements (e.g. LIHTC at 15 or 30 years). Loss of this housing can affect multiple fair housing issues. For example, loss of affordable housing can lead to reduced access to areas with access to opportunity; displacement of protected class residents which may result in increased levels of segregation; a decrease in availability of affordable units resulting in disproportionate housing needs; or to disinvestment in segregated neighborhoods or R/ECAP communities. Potential efforts to prevent loss of existing affordable housing can include funding and indirect subsidies for rehabilitation and recapitalization to maintain physical structures, refinancing, renewal and extension of affordable use agreements, conversion to alternative subsidy types (e.g. Rental Assistance Demonstration), transfer of assistance to newer buildings or in alternative locations (e.g. PBRA Transfer Authority), and incentives for owners to maintain affordability (e.g. property tax abatement). Similarly, such efforts can also include addressing backlogs of repairs and maintaining the infrastructure of existing affordable housing, including publicly supported housing, such as through modernization or other improvements, when such efforts are part of concerted housing preservation and community revitalization efforts designed to affirmatively further fair housing. Efforts to prevent the loss of affordable housing can be part of a balanced approach to affirmatively further fair housing consistent with the Rule and HUD Guidance.

### Nuisance laws

Nuisance ordinances – also called disorderly house ordinances or crime free ordinances – label a property as a nuisance when it is the site of a certain number of calls for police or alleged nuisance conduct (a category that can include assault, harassment, stalking, disorderly conduct, and many other kinds of behavior). These laws usually apply regardless of whether a resident was a victim of the nuisance activity. Local nuisance ordinances can negatively impact crime victims by endangering their housing security and housing choice by creating barriers through evictions and/or threats to evict, as well as penalties for property owners based on the number of times police are called. An eviction record, particularly one for nuisance conduct, makes it difficult for tenants to secure replacement housing and an eviction can exacerbate and increase a crime victim’s risk of homelessness. The existence of a nuisance ordinance in a community can also limit housing opportunities for renters if housing providers assume that the renter will be victims of crime or domestic violence.

### Occupancy codes and restrictions

The term “occupancy codes and restrictions” refers here to State and local laws, ordinances, and regulations that regulate who may occupy a property and, sometimes, the relationship between those persons. Standards for occupancy of dwellings and the implication of those standards for persons with certain protected characteristics may affect fair housing choice. Occupancy codes and restrictions include, but are not limited to:

- Occupancy codes with “persons per square foot” standards.
- Occupancy codes with “bedrooms per persons” standards.
• Restrictions on number of unrelated individuals in a definition of “family.”
• Restrictions on occupancy to one family in single family housing along with a restricted definition of “family.”
• Restrictions that directly or indirectly affect occupancy based on national origin, religion, or any other protected characteristic.
• Restrictions on where voucher holders can live.

Private Discrimination
The term “private discrimination” refers here to discrimination in the private housing market that is illegal under the Fair Housing Act or related civil rights statutes. This may include, but is not limited to, discrimination by landlords, property managers, home sellers, real estate agents, lenders, homeowners’ associations, and condominium boards. Some examples of private discrimination include:

• Refusal of housing providers to rent to individuals because of a protected characteristic.
• The provision of disparate terms, conditions, or information related to the sale or rental of a dwelling to individuals with protected characteristics.
• Steering of individuals with protected characteristics by a real estate agent to a particular neighborhood or area at the exclusion of other areas.
• Failure to grant a reasonable accommodation or modification to persons with disabilities.
• Prohibitions, restrictions, or limitations on the presence or activities of children within or around a dwelling.

Useful references for the extent of private discrimination may be number and nature of complaints filed against housing providers in the State, testing evidence, and unresolved violations of fair housing and civil rights laws.

Quality of affordable housing information programs
The term “affordable housing information programs” refers here to the provision of information related to affordable housing to potential tenants and organizations that serve potential tenants, including the maintenance, updating, and distribution of the information. This information includes: but is not limited to, listings of affordable housing opportunities or local landlords who accept Housing Choice Vouchers; mobility counseling programs; and community outreach to potential beneficiaries. The quality of such information relates to, but is not limited to:

• How comprehensive the information is (e.g. that the information provided includes a variety of neighborhoods, including those with access to opportunity indicators)
• How up-to-date the information is (e.g. that the publicly supported housing entity is taking active steps to maintain, update and improve the information).
• Pro-active outreach to widen the pool of participating rental housing providers, including both owners of individual residences and larger rental management companies.

Regulatory barriers to providing housing and supportive services for persons with disabilities
Some local governments require special use permits for or place other restrictions on housing and supportive services for persons with disabilities, as opposed to allowing these uses as of right. These requirements sometimes apply to all groups of unrelated individuals living together or to some subset of unrelated individuals. Such restrictions may include, but are not limited to, dispersion requirements or limits on the number of individuals residing together. Because special use permits require specific approval by local bodies, they can enable community opposition to housing for persons with disabilities and lead to difficulty constructing this type of units in areas of opportunity or anywhere at all. Other restrictions that limit fair housing choice include requirements that life-safety features appropriate for
large institutional settings be installed in housing where supportive services are provided to one or more individuals with disabilities. Note that the Fair Housing Act makes it unlawful to utilize land use policies or actions that treat groups of persons with disabilities less favorably than groups of persons without disabilities, to take action against, or deny a permit, for a home because of the disability of individuals who live or would live there, or to refuse to make reasonable accommodations in land use and zoning policies and procedures where such accommodations may be necessary to afford persons or groups of persons with disabilities an equal opportunity to use and enjoy housing.

**Siting selection policies, practices and decisions for publicly supported housing, including discretionary aspects of Qualified Allocation Plans and other programs**

The term “siting selection” refers here to the placement of new publicly supported housing developments. Placement of new housing refers to new construction or acquisition with rehabilitation of previously unsubsidized housing. State and local policies, practices, and decisions can significantly affect the location of new publicly supported housing. Local policies, practices, and decisions that may influence where developments are sited include, but are not limited to, local funding approval processes, zoning and land use laws, local approval of LIHTC applications, and donations of land and other municipal contributions. For example, for LIHTC developments, the priorities and requirements set out in the governing Qualified Allocation Plan (QAP) influence where developments are located through provisions in QAPs such as local veto or support requirements and criteria and points awarded for project locations of LIHTC properties.

**Source of income discrimination**

The term “source of income discrimination” refers here to the refusal by a housing provider to accept tenants based on type of income. This type of discrimination often occurs against individuals receiving assistance payments such as Supplemental Security Income (SSI) or other disability income (such as SSDI), social security or other retirement income, or tenant-based rental assistance, including Housing Choice Vouchers. Source of income discrimination may significantly limit fair housing choice for individuals with certain protected characteristics. The elimination of source of income discrimination and the acceptance of payment for housing, regardless of source or type of income, increases fair housing choice and access to opportunity. Additionally, some jurisdictions have laws that protect against source of income discrimination and the acceptance of payment for housing regardless of the source or type of income. Having such legislation and enforcement of such legislation may increase fair housing choice and access to opportunity. Other efforts to increase fair housing choice could include outreach and actions to increase participation in the Housing Choice Voucher program. Examples of these outreach and actions may include, demonstrating effective business or administrative processes, such as expediting inspections or the use of innovative practices such as repair funds or security deposit assistance.

**State or local laws, policies, or practices that discourage individuals with disabilities from being placed in or living in apartments, family homes, and other integrated settings**

State and local laws, policies, or practices may discourage individuals with disabilities from moving to or being placed in integrated settings. Such laws, policies, or practices may include medical assistance or social service programs that require individuals to reside in institutional or other segregated settings in order to receive services, a lack of supportive services or affordable, accessible housing, or a lack of access to transportation, education, or jobs that would enable persons with disabilities to live in integrated, community-based settings.

**Unresolved violations of fair housing or civil rights law**

Unresolved violations of fair housing and civil rights laws include determinations or adjudications of a violation or relevant laws that have not been settled or remedied. This includes determinations of housing discrimination by an agency, court, or Administrative Law Judge; findings of noncompliance by HUD or state or local agencies; and noncompliance with fair housing settlement agreements.