

This archived document is no longer applicable.

Long Term Affordability Using NSP and HOME

October 6, 2011

HUD Community Planning and Development

Speakers and Q and A Format

- Speakers
 - John Laswick, HUD
 - Virginia Sardone, HUD
 - Hunter Kurtz, HUD
 - David Noguera, HUD
 - Marsha Tonkovich, ICF
- How to ask questions
 - Change status in Live Meeting from green to purple
 - Press *1 to ask a question through Premiere Conference
 - ✓ Provide Name and Organization
 - ✓ If question already answered, press *2 to remove from queue
 - Change status back to green after question answered

Agenda

- Presentation on key topics:
 - Key HOME rules that apply to NSP
 - Ways to combine NSP & HOME funds
- Per past attendee feedback, will do entire presentation (approximately 75 minutes) & then take questions (approximately 45 minutes)

How HOME Rules Apply to NSP

- In some cases, NSP rules defer to HOME rules as safe harbor & in other cases HOME rules provide general context for NSP:
 - ✓ Concept of assisted units
 - ✓ Cost allocation
 - ✓ Set aside for very low income units
 - ✓ Timing of investment
 - ✓ Affordability period
 - Resale/recapture and homebuyer affordability period requirements
 - Rents, income verification, sale of the project, unit mix
 - Grantees can choose to adopt HOME rules or develop alternate approach in Action Plan

Concept of Assisted Units

- Applies to rental projects when NSP does not pay 100% of cost or have 100% of units
- NSP-assisted unit has been acquired, rehabbed or constructed with NSP \$\$\$
- NSP-assisted unit must follow NSP rules such as:
 - Property type
 - Eligible costs (more later)
 - Quality/green standards
 - Tenant income
 - Rents, income verification during affordability period
- Other units in project are not necessarily subject to NSP rules

Concept of Assisted Units (cont)

- "Assisted units" ties to meeting LMMI housing national objective (LMMH)
- Two options for meeting LMMH:
 - <u>CDBG approach</u>: At least 51% of units are NSPassisted
 - <u>HOME approach</u>: Follow HOME cost allocation methodology to determine assisted units or maximum investment
 - ✓HOME has two methods: proportional and actual cost

Cost Allocation

- <u>Concept</u>: NSP funds should not pay more than actual, eligible costs for the number of units designated as NSP assisted
- Basic equation:

NSP \$\$\$=NSP Assisted UnitsTotal Eligible Development CostTotal Units

- Can solve for units or for dollars
- Approach differs for comparable and non-comparable units

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development • Community Planning and Development

Cost Allocation: Comparable Versus Non Comparable Units

- Comparable units have the same:
 - Number of bedrooms
 - Amenities
 - Square footage
- Non-comparable units have:
 - Different number of bedrooms and/or
 - Different amenities and square footage
- Comparable units can use a proportionate share approach to cost allocation
 - Non comparable units MUST use an actual cost approach

Cost Allocation: Comparable Unit Principles

- Costs are allocated based on a proportionate share of all <u>eligible</u> costs
 - Example: If NSP-assisted units are 30% of total, <u>maximum</u> NSP investment is 30% of total eligible development cost
 - OR: If NSP will pay 30% of total eligible costs, a minimum of 30% of units must be NSP-assisted
- If use proportionate share approach, must distribute NSPassisted units evenly across bedroom sizes

Cost Allocation: Solving For Minimum # Comparable NSP-Assisted Units

- Key steps:
 - 1. Decide level of NSP investment
 - 2. Determine total eligible cost
 - 3. Create ratio of NSP investment to total eligible cost
 - Multiply total units times percentage of eligible cost = NSP's fair share of units



Number Of Comparable NSP Units Example

- Total cost: \$900,000
- NSP investment: \$400,000
- 10 comparable units in project
- At minimum, how many units must be NSP assisted?



Number Of Comparable NSP Units Example (cont)

- $\begin{array}{rcl} 1. & \frac{\$400,000}{\$900,000} & = 0.44 \end{array}$
- 2. (0.44) * (10 units) = 4.4, round up to 5 units

Cost Allocation: Solving For Comparable Unit Maximum Investment

- Key steps:
 - 1. Decide number of project units that will be NSP-assisted
 - 2. Create ratio of NSP assisted to all units
 - Multiply NSP assisted unit percent times total eligible development cost = NSP's fair share of eligible costs



Comparable Unit Investment Example

Total eligible costs: \$2,000,000

- Total units: 20 comparable units
- NSP units: 2
- Maximum NSP investment?

Comparable Unit Investment Example (Cont)

- $\begin{array}{rr} 1. & \underline{2 \text{ units}} \\ 20 \text{ units} \end{array} = 0.1 \end{array}$
- 2. (0.1) * (\$2,000,000) = \$200,000



Cost Allocation: Non-Comparable Units

- Must determine which units will be NSP-assisted
- Maximum investment capped at:
 - NSP paying no more than <u>actual</u> costs of NSP assisted units in the project plus
 - Pro-rata (fair share) of common costs such as:
 - ✓ Acquisition
 - ✓ Common areas
 - ✓ Roof, driveway, etc
 - ✓ External building envelope

Set Aside for Very Low Income Units

- In addition to determining total number of NSP assisted units, must determine which units, if any, will count toward LH25
- NSP-paid expenses for any unit meeting LH25 income targeting may be counted toward set-aside
 - Example: 20 units in project, 10 will be NSP assisted
 - ✓ TDC is \$2,500,000
 - ✓ NSP investment is \$1,000,000
 - ✓ All 10 NSP units will be occupied by households at 50% AMI
 - ✓ \$1,000,000 can be counted toward LH25

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development • Community Planning and Development

Set Aside for Very Low Income Units(cont)

- When there will be a mix of LH25 and other units, need to look at portion of TDC paid by NSP and portion of LH25 units
- Example: 50 units in project, all will be counted as NSP assisted
 - ✓ TDC is \$5,000,000
 - ✓ NSP investment is \$2,000,000 (40%)
 - ✓ 25 units will be occupied by households at 50% AMI
 - ✓ 25 units will be occupied by households up to 80% AMI
 - ✓ All \$2,000,000 can be counted toward LH25 because investment is only 40% of TDC but LH25 units are 50%

Timing of Investment

- Like HOME, NSP does not dictate the timing of when funds can be invested
 - Cost allocation can determine maximum NSP investment given NSP proportional share of units (so that project meets LMMH national objective)
 - Establishes cap on what can be spent but not what development cost line item is paid
 - NSP grantee can choose to pay any eligible line item
 - Important for NSP given expenditure deadlines

Timing of Investment (cont)

- Example:
 - Total eligible development cost: \$2,500,000
 - Total units 25, NSP-assisted units 10
 - Maximum NSP investment: \$1,000,000
 - Of TDC:
 - ✓\$400,000 for acquisition
 - ✓\$50,000 up front predevelopment/soft costs

✓\$2,050,000 for construction

 NSP could pay 100% of acquisition & predevelopment and \$550,000 of construction

Affordability Period

• NSP can use HOME affordability period:

Per Assisted Unit NSP \$	Min. Affordability Period
<\$15,000	5 years
\$15,000 - \$40,000	10 years
>\$40,000	15 years
Rental New Construction/	
Acquisition of New	20 years
Construction	

• Rules apply to both homebuyer and rental units

Options for Homebuyer Affordability

- HOME rules are safe harbor
 - Can use alternate approach but must get HUD approval
 - HOME affordability periods are minimums NSP grantees can set longer terms
- For each homebuyer program, UP FRONT, grantee must select compliance approach during period of affordability
 - Two options: Resale or recapture
 - Cannot mix recapture and resale; must choose one or other
 - If no "Direct Subsidy" to homebuyer, grantee must select Resale

.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development • Community Planning and Development

Recapture Approach

- Meet affordability requirement by recapturing funds if unit sold by owner during affordability period
 - Amount recaptured depends on sale price and chosen recapture approach
 - Cannot use recapture if assistance = grant
- May re-sell unit to any buyer at any price
 - Once \$\$\$ recaptured & unit sold, no additional unit restrictions

Recapture Approach (cont)

- Affordability period based on "total amount subject to recapture"
- Total subject to recapture is direct homebuyer subsidy:
 - Assistance provided to buyer
 - ✓ Downpayment/closing cost assistance
 - ✓ Subsidized Ioan
 - PLUS assistance amounts that write sale cost below market value

✓ Need an agreement with buyer

 <u>Does not</u> include NSP amounts to subsidize development when that cost exceeds market value (known as development subsidy)



Recapture Affordability Period Example

- NSP loan to buyer: \$15,000
 NSP downpayment assist: \$5,000
 NSP developer assist : \$50,000
 Market value of home: \$160,000
 Sale price of home: \$155,000
 Total amount subject to recenture:
- Total amount subject to recapture:
 - \$15,000 + \$5,000 + \$5,000 = \$25,000
- Affordability period 10 years

Recapture Approach: Amount Recaptured

- Occurs when home is sold during affordability period (whether voluntary or foreclosure)
- Recapture amount is limited to "net proceeds"
- Net proceeds defined as:

Net Proceeds = *Sale Price* – *Superior Debt* – *Closing Costs*

- Limits amount owed back if net proceeds insufficient For example:
 - Original NSP investment: \$20,000
 - Unit has declined in value & net proceeds at sale are \$7,000
 - Maximum amount owned to grantee: \$7,000

Recapture Approach: Amount Recaptured (cont)

- Grantee must determine up front how to share net proceeds with owner (seller)
- Four options:
 - 1. Recapture full amount of direct subsidy to homebuyer;
 - 2. Forgive direct subsidy pro-rata over affordability period;
 - 3. Proportionately share net proceeds; or
 - 4. Allow buyer to recover his/her initial investment first.
- NSP also allows for sharing of appreciation which makes sense for markets that will come back strongly in 5-10 years

Resale Approach

- Meet affordability requirement by limiting unit sale price and eligible buyers for any sale during affordability period
 - Does not preclude having mortgage on unit which is repaid at sale
- Typically used in situations where goal is creation of long term pool of affordable units

Resale Approach (cont)

- Affordability period based on <u>total</u> NSP investment in the property (even if only temporarily in project)
 - Must include \$\$\$ of NSP assistance to buyer
 - PLUS total amount of NSP funds provided to developer
- Note: if use HOME & NSP together, do not add HOME & NSP assistance together – calculate affordability period separately for each

Resale Affordability Period Example

- NSP loan to buyer: \$15,000
 NSP downpayment assist: \$5,000
 NSP developer assist : \$50,000
 Market value of home: \$160,000
 Sale price of home: \$155,000
- Total amount of subsidy:

- \$15,000 + \$5,000 + \$50,000 = \$70,000

• Affordability period 15 years

Resale Approach: Actions When Unit is Sold

- If there is resale requirement, when home is sold:
 - New buyer must be low-income
 - Next buyer income cap based on original unit income targeting
 - ✓ If unit originally counted toward 50% income targeting, new buyers during affordability period must also be 50% or less
 - ✓ If unit originally counted toward 120% income targeting, new buyers during affordability period must also be 120% or less

Resale Approach: Actions When Unit is Sold (cont)

- If there is resale requirement, when home is sold:
 - Home must be affordable to new buyer
 - New buyer must occupy house as principal residence
 - Original buyer must receive a "fair return"
 - Remaining resale restrictions apply to new buyer

Enforcement of Resale/ Recapture

- Resale: must use deed restriction / covenant
- Recapture: must use lien (should be <u>recorded</u>) or deed restriction/land covenant
- Must also have compliance agreement with homebuyer & developer (if applicable)



Rental Affordability Period Compliance

- Affordability period dictates rental compliance period
- Covers:
 - Rents
 - Incomes
 - Unit mix
 - Sale during affordability period

On-Going Rent Limits

- Grantee required to define affordable rents in its Action Plan
 - HOME is safe harbor
 - NSP rents must remain affordable for affordability period
- HOME uses high HOME and low HOME rent limit
 - Published by HUD
 - Tenants given notice of increases
 - Actual unit rents can be less but not more than limits
 - Rents are inclusive of utilities -- must adjust rents for tenantpaid utilities
 - ✓ Subtract utilities to determine rent paid by tenant
 - ✓ Use actual utility costs or use utility allowance schedule
 - ✓ Can use PHA schedule if it is up to date

Tenant Income Eligibility

- NSP Caps 100% of initial occupants at or below 120% median
 - Only applied to households in assisted units
- <u>Unlike HOME</u>, NSP checks incomes of new tenant only at unit turn over
 - ✓Unit originally occupied by household at 120% of median, new tenant at < 120% of median</p>
 - ✓Unit originally occupied by household at 50% of median, new tenant at 50% of median

Determining Income

- Tenant income inclusions and exclusions:
 - Use IRS, Census or Part 5 (Section 8) definition
 - Anticipate income for next 12 months
 - Recommend full 3rd party documentation, e.g., an employer
 - Verifiable self-certification from assisted person is OK
 - WARNING on using this method: If self-certification determined to be incorrect or fraudulent, project may be ineligible
 - Compare income to income limits to determine eligibility
 - ✓ See HOME income guide at:

http://www.hud.gov/offices/cpd/affordablehousing/library/mod elguides/2005/1780.cfm

Managing Unit Mix Over Affordability Period

- Grantee must ensure that owner maintains proper number and type of NSP assisted units
- Key considerations:
 - Total number of NSP v. other units
 - Maintaining number of LH25 units
 - Timing of rent changes
 - Whether units are fixed or floating

Rental Property Sales During Affordability Period

- If assisted project sold, must continue occupant, rent restrictions for balance of affordability period
 - Record requirements as land covenant or deed restriction
 - Include within written agreement with rental owner
 - If project is foreclosed or sold without restrictions, grantee must repay investment minus any PI earned to date

Why Combine HOME & NSP?

- Many reasons to consider programs together:
 - Stretches scarce resources
 - Differences in eligible activities
 - Differences in target populations
 - Yet, many rules across two programs are similar



Ways to Combine NSP & HOME

- Can combine:
 - Together in same project:
 - ✓ <u>Example</u>: NSP for acquisition and demolition, HOME for new construction
 - In same program but not same project:
 - ✓<u>Example</u>: Downpayment program HOME for low income, NSP for moderate income
 - In separate but complementary programs:
 - ✓ Example: Goal is neighborhood revitalization. Use NSP for targeted homebuyer and rental programs, use HOME for homeowner rehab in same neighborhood



Rules When Combining NSP & HOME

- When combined in same unit, must meet rules of <u>both</u> programs
 - Generally, most restrictive rule applies
- Example #1: Number of very low income units in a rental development
- Example #2: Sales price of homebuyer units
- Example #3: Income limits



NSP Resource Exchange Links

NSP Resource Exchange	http://hudnsphelp.info	
Search the Resource Library	http://hudnsphelp.info/resources	
Search the FAQs	http://hudnsphelp.info/faqs	
View All Training Materials on the Learning Center	http://hudnsphelp.info/learning	
Submit a Policy Question via Ask A Question	http://hudnsphelp.info/question	
Request Technical Assistance	http://hudnsphelp.info/RequestTA	

Connect with NSP	
Join the Listserv	http://hudnsphelp.info/listserv
Visit the NSP Flickr Gallery	http://www.flickr.com/photos/nspresourceexchange
Visit the NSP YouTube Channel	http://www.youtube.com/user/NSPResourceExchange



Please Give Us Your Feedback

- Answer a few short questions
- Link:

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s/HOME_NSP_Rules

