

CARES Act Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) Round 2 Funding under COVID-19 Supplemental Appropriations

June 2020

This paper provides an explanation for the formula used to allocate the balance of Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) funding appropriated under the CARES Act that were not previously allocated. The allocation of \$2.96 billion uses a formula targeted toward communities with high fractions of homeless – both sheltered and unsheltered – and those at the most risk for homelessness. It also takes into account economic and housing market conditions by making a modest adjustment for jurisdictions with very high market rents. One of the most at-risk groups for transmission of coronavirus are homeless individuals.

At the end of this paper is a chart showing both Round 1 and Round 2 ESG CARES Act Allocation amounts.

Background

Congress has provided \$4 billion for the Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) program for local governments to use to:

“prevent, prepare for, and respond to coronavirus, among individuals and families who are homeless or receiving homeless assistance and to support additional homeless assistance and homelessness prevention activities to mitigate the impacts created by coronavirus”

Initial Allocation of \$1 billion

HUD allocated \$1 billion of ESG funding in the first week after the President signed the bill under the traditional ESG formula. The traditional ESG formula was effective at making an allocation quickly, however it only targets modestly well to homeless and has no targeting to places with high rates of unsheltered homeless. That is because the ESG formula is allocated using the CDBG formula – designed to target to community development need - which only has a modest relationship to where homelessness needs are most severe.

Using statistics, the correlation between the ESG per capita grant amount and the per capita rate of homelessness is 0.359. There is a relationship, but it is not as strong as we would like (a correlation of 1.000 is a perfect correlation) to make a targeted allocation of the most funds to the places that will need the funds the most. And the ESG per capita grant amount has a -0.051 correlation with the rate of unsheltered homelessness, which means it has no targeting at all toward the unsheltered homeless challenges.

Targeted Allocation of \$2.96 billion

The Department has committed \$40 million of the appropriated funds to technical assistance to recipients of ESG to build capacity and facilitate speedy implementation.

The remaining \$2.96 billion is to be allocated under a formula:

“for the benefit of unsheltered homeless, sheltered homeless, and those at risk of homelessness, to geographical areas with the greatest need based on factors to be determined by the Secretary, such as risk of transmission of coronavirus, high numbers or rates of sheltered and unsheltered homeless, and economic and housing market conditions as determined by the Secretary”

In response to this Congressional direction, the Department has developed an allocation formula that targets to the homeless and those at risk of homelessness, adjusting slightly upward for high cost places.

The variables for targeting to homeless and at risk of homelessness are¹:

- **Share of all homeless.** Total Homeless Count from the 2019 Point-in-Time count, which is the sum of sheltered and unsheltered homeless. 50 percent weight.
- **Share of unsheltered homeless.** This double counts with the all homeless variable above but provides additional funding to help with places with particularly high number and percent of unsheltered homeless. 10 percent weight.
- **Share of at risk for homelessness – Total Very Low-Income (VLI) Renters.** A community’s rate of very low-income renter households, defined as total very low-income renter households divided by all households in the community, has a 0.506 correlation with per capita homeless counts. This variable is particularly good at capturing the poorer communities in every metro area where an economic downturn is likely to have the most at-risk for homelessness. It, however, is not necessarily capturing the places with the greatest unsheltered homeless risk. 15 percent weight.
- **Share of at risk for unsheltered homelessness – VLI renters overcrowded or without kitchen or plumbing.** To get at risk for unsheltered homelessness we need to also capture economically strong places with an at-risk population. In economically strong places, very low-income renters are making ends meet through overcrowding. The percent of very low-income renters that are overcrowded or without complete kitchen and plumbing correlates

¹ The first two homeless count variables are based on 2019 Point-In-Time homeless count data that have been adjusted from the Continuum-of-Care geographies they are collected to the Emergency Solutions Grant geographies. Source: <https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/3031/pit-and-hic-data-since-2007/> . The second two variables are from a special tabulation of 5-year American Community Survey (ACS) data available at this site: <https://www.huduser.gov/portal/datasets/cp.html>

at 0.562 with unsheltered homeless (but does not correlate well with overall homelessness). That is, it is capturing a different risk group for homelessness. 25 percent weight.

Each of these variables is adjusted to take into account local housing and economic circumstances. Specifically, each variable is adjusted upward for housing costs. To calculate this, if the 1-bedroom Fair Market Rent in a jurisdiction is 10% above the national average fair market rent (weighted on the specific variable), then we increased the value of the variable by 10% before calculating a community’s share of the overall need on that variable. Non-entitled areas are all calculated using their unadjusted counts, and no areas receive a downward adjustment. We cap the increase at 20 percent to lessen the impact of high-cost outliers.

The language in the statute notes that the formula may take into account “risk of transmission of coronavirus” in determining the formula. Coronavirus cases have been recorded in every US State and territory. The evidence has also shown that being homeless appears to increase an individual’s risk of transmission of coronavirus where there is community spread. By having this formula allocate toward homeless needs, it is also allocating toward the risk of transmission of coronavirus.

Finally, most of these data are not available for insular areas (Virgin Islands, American Samoa, Guam, Northern Marianas), so insular areas receive 0.2 percent of the funding and receive their same proportional shares as they received under the 2020 ESG allocation.

The chart below shows how well this formula’s per capita allocation correlates with the different dimensions of need. The resulting formula, as you would expect given that it uses homelessness and the other need variable below as their core variable, is a per capita allocation that has a 0.941 correlation with per capita homelessness rates and 0.771 correlation with per capita unsheltered homeless rates.

Correlations

	Proposed CARES Act ESG Formula per capita
Overall homelessness rate per capita	.941**
Sheltered homeless rate per capita	.647**
Unsheltered homeless rate per capita	.771**
Percent homes occupied by VLI renters	.564**
Percent of VLI renters overcrowded or without complete kitchen or plumbing	.466**
1-Bedroom Fair Market Rent	.515**

CARES Act ESG-COVID Recovery Round 2 and Round 1 Allocation Amounts

STA	NAME	ESG CARES Act Round 2 Allocation	ESG CARES Act Round 1 Allocation	ESG CARES Act Combined Amounts Round 1 and Round 2
AL	Birmingham	\$2,710,962	\$1,778,614	\$4,489,576
AL	Mobile	\$1,319,253	\$719,662	\$2,038,915
AL	Montgomery	\$1,258,634	\$504,662	\$1,763,296
AL	Jefferson County	\$1,485,652	\$706,121	\$2,191,773
AL	Alabama State Balance	\$13,860,804	\$9,376,200	\$23,237,004
AK	Anchorage	\$3,774,024	\$521,193	\$4,295,217
AK	Alaska State Balance	\$4,142,143	\$914,566	\$5,056,709
AZ	Glendale	\$2,997,188	\$749,455	\$3,746,643
AZ	Mesa	\$4,052,229	\$1,130,003	\$5,182,232
AZ	Phoenix	\$19,212,248	\$4,975,762	\$24,188,010
AZ	Tempe	\$1,790,686	\$506,269	\$2,296,955
AZ	Tucson	\$5,562,939	\$1,667,776	\$7,230,715
AZ	Maricopa County	\$2,815,627	\$905,900	\$3,721,527
AZ	Pima County	\$2,284,682	\$892,476	\$3,177,158
AZ	Arizona State Balance	\$16,373,488	\$5,950,572	\$22,324,060
AR	Arkansas State Balance	\$15,978,848	\$7,808,010	\$23,786,858
CA	Anaheim	\$8,700,250	\$1,279,072	\$9,979,322
CA	Bakersfield	\$3,465,304	\$1,125,407	\$4,590,711
CA	Berkeley	\$5,840,486	\$808,117	\$6,648,603
CA	Chula Vista	\$4,260,354	\$693,583	\$4,953,937
CA	El Monte	\$5,157,737	\$520,314	\$5,678,051
CA	Escondido	\$3,934,624	\$552,803	\$4,487,427
CA	Fontana	\$1,723,436	\$619,569	\$2,343,005
CA	Fresno	\$8,845,443	\$2,103,510	\$10,948,953
CA	Garden Grove	\$3,635,163	\$602,486	\$4,237,649
CA	Glendale	\$3,104,861	\$569,417	\$3,674,278
CA	Irvine	\$2,927,391	\$558,059	\$3,485,450
CA	Long Beach	\$13,496,694	\$1,846,866	\$15,343,560
CA	Los Angeles	\$167,431,509	\$16,167,303	\$183,598,812
CA	Modesto	\$3,360,962	\$567,410	\$3,928,372
CA	Moreno Valley	\$1,743,153	\$630,838	\$2,373,991
CA	Oakland	\$19,288,175	\$2,275,917	\$21,564,092
CA	Ontario	\$1,584,528	\$552,724	\$2,137,252
CA	Oxnard	\$4,492,650	\$762,355	\$5,255,005
CA	Pasadena	\$3,372,793	\$608,269	\$3,981,062
CA	Pomona	\$6,135,460	\$629,131	\$6,764,591

STA	NAME	ESG CARES Act Round 2 Allocation	ESG CARES Act Round 1 Allocation	ESG CARES Act Combined Amounts Round 1 and Round 2
CA	Riverside	\$3,126,622	\$968,672	\$4,095,294
CA	Sacramento	\$10,205,871	\$1,453,941	\$11,659,812
CA	Salinas	\$5,814,926	\$617,245	\$6,432,171
CA	San Bernardino	\$3,422,960	\$1,019,997	\$4,442,957
CA	San Diego	\$22,796,116	\$3,598,334	\$26,394,450
CA	San Francisco	\$43,605,003	\$5,501,459	\$49,106,462
CA	San Jose	\$32,836,839	\$2,683,479	\$35,520,318
CA	Santa Ana	\$11,598,442	\$1,727,403	\$13,325,845
CA	Stockton	\$6,041,201	\$1,008,903	\$7,050,104
CA	Alameda County	\$5,146,924	\$622,410	\$5,769,334
CA	Contra Costa County	\$9,283,441	\$1,390,138	\$10,673,579
CA	Fresno County	\$3,371,431	\$846,921	\$4,218,352
CA	Kern County	\$4,744,645	\$1,446,317	\$6,190,962
CA	Los Angeles County	\$62,445,943	\$6,605,000	\$69,050,943
CA	Orange County	\$4,992,579	\$772,352	\$5,764,931
CA	Riverside County	\$6,352,897	\$2,212,793	\$8,565,690
CA	Sacramento County	\$11,878,700	\$1,747,452	\$13,626,152
CA	San Bernardino County	\$6,401,870	\$2,192,210	\$8,594,080
CA	San Diego County	\$7,070,083	\$1,257,717	\$8,327,800
CA	San Joaquin County	\$4,326,957	\$818,545	\$5,145,502
CA	San Luis Obispo County	\$6,296,591	\$563,034	\$6,859,625
CA	San Mateo County	\$5,144,656	\$799,531	\$5,944,187
CA	Sonoma County	\$6,598,916	\$564,000	\$7,162,916
CA	Stanislaus County	\$3,891,785	\$698,717	\$4,590,502
CA	California State Balance	\$271,730,986	\$43,990,603	\$315,721,589
CO	Aurora	\$4,171,770	\$864,424	\$5,036,194
CO	Colorado Springs	\$5,097,279	\$887,124	\$5,984,403
CO	Denver	\$9,042,223	\$2,059,400	\$11,101,623
CO	Colorado State Balance	\$25,884,522	\$7,363,152	\$33,247,674
CT	Bridgeport	\$1,690,527	\$980,921	\$2,671,448
CT	Hartford	\$1,987,972	\$1,065,679	\$3,053,651
CT	New Haven	\$1,509,315	\$1,137,914	\$2,647,229
CT	Waterbury	\$1,158,248	\$644,210	\$1,802,458
CT	Connecticut State Balance	\$12,591,306	\$8,159,579	\$20,750,885
DE	Wilmington	\$1,142,202	\$647,693	\$1,789,895
DE	New Castle County	\$1,582,960	\$690,366	\$2,273,326
DE	Delaware State Balance	\$1,527,664	\$807,114	\$2,334,778
DC	District Of Columbia	\$23,124,727	\$4,602,197	\$27,726,924

STA	NAME	ESG CARES Act Round 2 Allocation	ESG CARES Act Round 1 Allocation	ESG CARES Act Combined Amounts Round 1 and Round 2
FL	Hialeah	\$2,698,307	\$829,510	\$3,527,817
FL	Miami	\$6,132,699	\$1,633,034	\$7,765,733
FL	Orlando	\$1,846,707	\$670,317	\$2,517,024
FL	St Petersburg	\$2,902,139	\$539,562	\$3,441,701
FL	Tallahassee	\$1,878,411	\$561,203	\$2,439,614
FL	Tampa	\$3,009,506	\$963,359	\$3,972,865
FL	Broward County	\$3,048,655	\$824,621	\$3,873,276
FL	Collier County	\$2,476,642	\$707,128	\$3,183,770
FL	Jacksonville-Duval County	\$6,011,963	\$1,905,803	\$7,917,766
FL	Hillsborough County	\$6,054,897	\$2,129,659	\$8,184,556
FL	Lee County	\$1,818,474	\$923,372	\$2,741,846
FL	Manatee County	\$2,135,435	\$567,783	\$2,703,218
FL	Marion County	\$1,550,489	\$582,834	\$2,133,323
FL	Miami-Dade County	\$10,715,429	\$3,888,572	\$14,604,001
FL	Orange County	\$5,016,437	\$2,024,224	\$7,040,661
FL	Palm Beach County	\$5,830,483	\$1,941,176	\$7,771,659
FL	Pasco County	\$3,810,934	\$834,545	\$4,645,479
FL	Pinellas County	\$3,997,774	\$739,117	\$4,736,891
FL	Polk County	\$2,054,711	\$1,073,500	\$3,128,211
FL	Sarasota County	\$1,872,211	\$508,541	\$2,380,752
FL	Seminole County	\$1,183,769	\$591,952	\$1,775,721
FL	Volusia County	\$1,904,452	\$556,455	\$2,460,907
FL	Florida State Balance	\$65,511,297	\$20,384,797	\$85,896,094
GA	Atlanta	\$10,965,024	\$2,163,228	\$13,128,252
GA	Augusta-Richmond County	\$1,831,311	\$539,590	\$2,370,901
GA	Macon-Bibb County	\$1,014,779	\$565,638	\$1,580,417
GA	Savannah	\$2,103,711	\$693,166	\$2,796,877
GA	Clayton County	\$1,674,553	\$780,931	\$2,455,484
GA	Cobb County	\$2,284,556	\$988,062	\$3,272,618
GA	De Kalb County	\$3,818,678	\$1,593,790	\$5,412,468
GA	Fulton County	\$1,955,204	\$574,624	\$2,529,828
GA	Gwinnett County	\$3,319,598	\$1,619,024	\$4,938,622
GA	Georgia State Balance	\$29,498,276	\$15,920,176	\$45,418,452
HI	Honolulu	\$22,370,813	\$2,429,569	\$24,800,382
HI	Hawaii State Balance	\$8,466,976	\$1,567,697	\$10,034,673
ID	Idaho State Balance	\$11,446,141	\$3,828,517	\$15,274,658
IL	Chicago	\$30,488,553	\$23,712,993	\$54,201,546
IL	Cicero	\$784,688	\$520,731	\$1,305,419

STA	NAME	ESG CARES Act Round 2 Allocation	ESG CARES Act Round 1 Allocation	ESG CARES Act Combined Amounts Round 1 and Round 2
IL	Evanston	\$419,890	\$546,424	\$966,314
IL	Peoria	\$795,903	\$535,321	\$1,331,224
IL	Rockford	\$1,157,575	\$636,693	\$1,794,268
IL	Cook County	\$5,812,142	\$3,049,979	\$8,862,121
IL	Du Page County	\$2,483,604	\$1,031,548	\$3,515,152
IL	Lake County	\$1,520,868	\$777,472	\$2,298,340
IL	Will County	\$973,315	\$503,772	\$1,477,087
IL	Illinois State Balance	\$24,712,992	\$18,159,983	\$42,872,975
IN	Evansville	\$941,088	\$790,410	\$1,731,498
IN	Fort Wayne	\$1,157,870	\$598,952	\$1,756,822
IN	Gary	\$1,093,079	\$997,166	\$2,090,245
IN	Hammond	\$649,003	\$635,393	\$1,284,396
IN	Indianapolis	\$7,122,695	\$2,792,797	\$9,915,492
IN	South Bend	\$787,585	\$759,783	\$1,547,368
IN	Indiana State Balance	\$18,411,073	\$13,566,621	\$31,977,694
IA	Des Moines	\$2,081,414	\$1,108,334	\$3,189,748
IA	Sioux City	\$734,379	\$503,317	\$1,237,696
IA	Iowa State Balance	\$11,318,794	\$9,574,948	\$20,893,742
KS	Kansas City	\$1,707,698	\$683,879	\$2,391,577
KS	Topeka	\$1,478,106	\$559,469	\$2,037,575
KS	Wichita	\$2,450,744	\$850,376	\$3,301,120
KS	Kansas State Balance	\$9,168,649	\$5,683,703	\$14,852,352
KY	Lexington-Fayette	\$3,226,490	\$710,986	\$3,937,476
KY	Louisville-CDBG	\$5,430,961	\$3,390,700	\$8,821,661
KY	Kentucky State Balance	\$14,526,981	\$8,877,469	\$23,404,450
LA	Baton Rouge	\$1,654,617	\$955,859	\$2,610,476
LA	New Orleans	\$4,594,009	\$3,818,324	\$8,412,333
LA	Shreveport	\$1,055,184	\$564,476	\$1,619,660
LA	Jefferson Parish	\$1,593,050	\$756,079	\$2,349,129
LA	Louisiana State Balance	\$12,026,377	\$8,608,217	\$20,634,594
ME	Portland City	\$1,004,425	\$573,734	\$1,578,159
ME	Maine State Balance	\$7,832,189	\$4,796,255	\$12,628,444
MD	Baltimore	\$9,143,757	\$6,571,738	\$15,715,495
MD	Anne Arundel County	\$1,313,638	\$611,269	\$1,924,907
MD	Baltimore County	\$3,996,350	\$1,230,869	\$5,227,219
MD	Montgomery County	\$4,847,976	\$1,438,797	\$6,286,773
MD	Prince Georges County	\$4,944,919	\$1,523,903	\$6,468,822
MD	Maryland State Balance	\$10,739,230	\$4,031,452	\$14,770,682

STA	NAME	ESG CARES Act Round 2 Allocation	ESG CARES Act Round 1 Allocation	ESG CARES Act Combined Amounts Round 1 and Round 2
MA	Boston	\$23,348,669	\$5,195,210	\$28,543,879
MA	Cambridge	\$2,268,869	\$787,948	\$3,056,817
MA	Fall River	\$1,275,287	\$866,031	\$2,141,318
MA	Lawrence	\$1,138,406	\$526,348	\$1,664,754
MA	Lowell	\$2,610,376	\$632,876	\$3,243,252
MA	Lynn	\$4,004,861	\$751,083	\$4,755,944
MA	New Bedford	\$1,576,274	\$805,424	\$2,381,698
MA	Newton	\$905,007	\$578,393	\$1,483,400
MA	Quincy	\$1,237,584	\$550,900	\$1,788,484
MA	Somerville	\$632,359	\$750,831	\$1,383,190
MA	Springfield	\$3,951,604	\$1,160,338	\$5,111,942
MA	Worcester	\$2,715,383	\$1,327,821	\$4,043,204
MA	Massachusetts State Balan	\$27,939,981	\$16,474,052	\$44,414,033
MI	Dearborn	\$505,688	\$577,297	\$1,082,985
MI	Detroit	\$9,124,129	\$10,458,172	\$19,582,301
MI	Flint	\$1,263,443	\$1,178,500	\$2,441,943
MI	Grand Rapids	\$2,039,593	\$1,120,648	\$3,160,241
MI	Lansing	\$1,282,028	\$608,455	\$1,890,483
MI	Saginaw	\$795,509	\$644,300	\$1,439,809
MI	Genesee County	\$913,288	\$553,817	\$1,467,105
MI	Kent County	\$1,111,219	\$532,303	\$1,643,522
MI	Macomb County	\$801,422	\$541,486	\$1,342,908
MI	Oakland County	\$2,033,828	\$1,155,293	\$3,189,121
MI	Washtenaw County	\$1,794,203	\$643,403	\$2,437,606
MI	Wayne County	\$1,295,238	\$1,651,276	\$2,946,514
MI	Michigan State Balance	\$22,253,746	\$17,313,093	\$39,566,839
MN	Duluth	\$1,252,530	\$719,669	\$1,972,199
MN	Minneapolis	\$9,697,637	\$3,349,493	\$13,047,130
MN	St Paul	\$5,943,414	\$2,049,510	\$7,992,924
MN	Dakota County	\$1,537,643	\$571,921	\$2,109,564
MN	Hennepin County	\$3,577,788	\$878,034	\$4,455,822
MN	St Louis County	\$948,615	\$578,797	\$1,527,412
MN	Minnesota State Balance	\$15,253,281	\$7,590,148	\$22,843,429
MS	Jackson	\$1,444,552	\$575,228	\$2,019,780
MS	Mississippi State Balance	\$10,423,120	\$8,171,221	\$18,594,341
MO	Kansas City	\$5,048,969	\$2,296,434	\$7,345,403
MO	St Louis	\$4,391,329	\$5,432,145	\$9,823,474
MO	St Louis County	\$3,187,544	\$1,703,217	\$4,890,761

STA	NAME	ESG CARES Act Round 2 Allocation	ESG CARES Act Round 1 Allocation	ESG CARES Act Combined Amounts Round 1 and Round 2
MO	Missouri State Balance	\$18,747,277	\$9,584,276	\$28,331,553
MT	Montana State Balance	\$6,742,481	\$2,524,355	\$9,266,836
NE	Lincoln	\$2,320,897	\$557,024	\$2,877,921
NE	Omaha	\$4,214,066	\$1,392,755	\$5,606,821
NE	Nebraska State Balance	\$5,025,953	\$3,482,410	\$8,508,363
NV	Las Vegas	\$8,357,531	\$1,537,579	\$9,895,110
NV	North Las Vegas	\$3,071,445	\$580,710	\$3,652,155
NV	Reno	\$3,579,927	\$670,428	\$4,250,355
NV	Clark County	\$12,264,384	\$2,333,003	\$14,597,387
NV	Nevada State Balance	\$6,179,560	\$1,648,731	\$7,828,291
NH	Manchester	\$1,810,236	\$540,034	\$2,350,270
NH	New Hampshire State Balan	\$4,797,314	\$3,209,928	\$8,007,242
NJ	Camden	\$1,226,497	\$723,110	\$1,949,607
NJ	Jersey City	\$3,540,297	\$1,682,731	\$5,223,028
NJ	Newark	\$5,458,961	\$2,162,428	\$7,621,389
NJ	Paterson	\$2,356,424	\$689,534	\$3,045,958
NJ	Trenton	\$1,197,016	\$831,962	\$2,028,978
NJ	Bergen County	\$3,222,784	\$2,748,124	\$5,970,908
NJ	Camden County	\$1,414,818	\$732,928	\$2,147,746
NJ	Essex County	\$2,974,183	\$1,574,441	\$4,548,624
NJ	Hudson County	\$1,486,554	\$602,817	\$2,089,371
NJ	Middlesex County	\$1,699,999	\$589,645	\$2,289,644
NJ	Monmouth County	\$1,772,793	\$752,907	\$2,525,700
NJ	Morris County	\$1,493,359	\$587,279	\$2,080,638
NJ	Union County	\$1,990,737	\$1,369,186	\$3,359,923
NJ	New Jersey State Balance	\$27,263,010	\$11,453,383	\$38,716,393
NM	Albuquerque	\$6,659,248	\$1,364,214	\$8,023,462
NM	New Mexico State Balance	\$8,795,819	\$4,140,483	\$12,936,302
NY	Albany	\$1,805,790	\$1,011,583	\$2,817,373
NY	Binghamton	\$506,439	\$577,638	\$1,084,077
NY	Brookhaven Town	\$2,250,095	\$672,466	\$2,922,561
NY	Buffalo	\$2,942,601	\$4,152,910	\$7,095,511
NY	Islip Town	\$2,018,093	\$611,328	\$2,629,421
NY	Mount Vernon	\$1,304,856	\$503,041	\$1,807,897
NY	New York	\$331,859,870	\$50,507,036	\$382,366,906
NY	Niagara Falls	\$424,384	\$699,724	\$1,124,108
NY	Rochester	\$2,858,628	\$2,444,707	\$5,303,335
NY	Schenectady	\$994,996	\$671,793	\$1,666,789

STA	NAME	ESG CARES Act Round 2 Allocation	ESG CARES Act Round 1 Allocation	ESG CARES Act Combined Amounts Round 1 and Round 2
NY	Syracuse	\$1,749,868	\$1,470,976	\$3,220,844
NY	Tonawanda Town	\$360,334	\$500,262	\$860,596
NY	Troy	\$643,423	\$539,890	\$1,183,313
NY	Utica	\$486,089	\$743,966	\$1,230,055
NY	Yonkers	\$2,952,400	\$1,038,286	\$3,990,686
NY	Erie County	\$680,472	\$825,690	\$1,506,162
NY	Monroe County	\$934,597	\$556,766	\$1,491,363
NY	Nassau County	\$10,647,918	\$4,250,155	\$14,898,073
NY	Onondaga County	\$805,594	\$607,576	\$1,413,170
NY	Orange County	\$1,343,670	\$533,307	\$1,876,977
NY	Westchester County	\$4,169,699	\$1,073,114	\$5,242,813
NY	New York State Balance	\$28,791,380	\$21,730,655	\$50,522,035
NC	Charlotte	\$6,866,990	\$1,765,572	\$8,632,562
NC	Durham	\$2,060,285	\$602,383	\$2,662,668
NC	Greensboro	\$1,880,847	\$675,621	\$2,556,468
NC	Raleigh	\$3,503,113	\$950,338	\$4,453,451
NC	Winston-Salem	\$2,047,257	\$660,748	\$2,708,005
NC	Wake County	\$1,709,995	\$626,800	\$2,336,795
NC	North Carolina State Bala	\$35,948,234	\$18,423,179	\$54,371,413
ND	North Dakota State Balanc	\$3,468,739	\$1,673,841	\$5,142,580
OH	Akron	\$1,828,930	\$1,840,393	\$3,669,323
OH	Canton	\$820,051	\$783,834	\$1,603,885
OH	Cincinnati	\$4,156,549	\$3,495,228	\$7,651,777
OH	Cleveland	\$5,494,002	\$6,409,548	\$11,903,550
OH	Columbus	\$8,102,095	\$2,150,555	\$10,252,650
OH	Dayton	\$1,860,397	\$1,745,634	\$3,606,031
OH	Lakewood	\$474,086	\$601,062	\$1,075,148
OH	Springfield	\$601,061	\$560,655	\$1,161,716
OH	Toledo	\$2,626,090	\$2,249,248	\$4,875,338
OH	Youngstown	\$657,102	\$1,062,917	\$1,720,019
OH	Cuyahoga County	\$1,726,594	\$1,204,362	\$2,930,956
OH	Franklin County	\$1,870,630	\$595,590	\$2,466,220
OH	Hamilton County	\$1,862,722	\$1,009,176	\$2,871,898
OH	Montgomery County	\$1,256,495	\$584,510	\$1,841,005
OH	Ohio State Balance	\$26,006,617	\$21,324,293	\$47,330,910
OK	Oklahoma City	\$5,928,669	\$1,475,283	\$7,403,952
OK	Tulsa	\$4,116,095	\$1,035,562	\$5,151,657
OK	Oklahoma State Balance	\$12,234,915	\$5,743,528	\$17,978,443

STA	NAME	ESG CARES Act Round 2 Allocation	ESG CARES Act Round 1 Allocation	ESG CARES Act Combined Amounts Round 1 and Round 2
OR	Portland	\$14,401,261	\$2,578,424	\$16,979,685
OR	Clackamas County	\$2,528,172	\$646,045	\$3,174,217
OR	Washington County	\$2,214,134	\$662,721	\$2,876,855
OR	Oregon State Balance	\$49,430,143	\$6,748,493	\$56,178,636
PA	Allentown	\$992,811	\$684,045	\$1,676,856
PA	Erie	\$1,081,520	\$931,503	\$2,013,023
PA	Harrisburg	\$1,026,444	\$578,217	\$1,604,661
PA	Lancaster City	\$809,254	\$503,203	\$1,312,457
PA	Philadelphia	\$22,293,192	\$12,976,572	\$35,269,764
PA	Pittsburgh	\$2,258,787	\$4,193,562	\$6,452,349
PA	Reading	\$1,426,367	\$773,693	\$2,200,060
PA	Scranton	\$622,150	\$813,479	\$1,435,629
PA	Allegheny County	\$3,107,999	\$4,157,814	\$7,265,813
PA	Beaver County	\$685,630	\$984,814	\$1,670,444
PA	Berks County	\$1,051,989	\$707,893	\$1,759,882
PA	Bucks County	\$1,400,101	\$634,572	\$2,034,673
PA	Chester County	\$2,220,667	\$764,283	\$2,984,950
PA	Delaware County	\$1,161,173	\$1,100,183	\$2,261,356
PA	Lancaster County	\$1,573,181	\$854,500	\$2,427,681
PA	Luzerne County	\$681,192	\$1,226,697	\$1,907,889
PA	Montgomery County	\$1,606,408	\$976,638	\$2,583,046
PA	Northampton County	\$709,925	\$637,234	\$1,347,159
PA	Washington County	\$696,610	\$1,055,755	\$1,752,365
PA	Westmoreland County	\$839,293	\$1,041,331	\$1,880,624
PA	York County	\$1,061,680	\$640,548	\$1,702,228
PA	Pennsylvania State Balanc	\$19,930,907	\$19,920,655	\$39,851,562
RI	Pawtucket	\$624,909	\$548,676	\$1,173,585
RI	Providence	\$1,846,439	\$1,521,038	\$3,367,477
RI	Woonsocket	\$499,750	\$399,231	\$898,981
RI	Rhode Island State Balanc	\$3,275,621	\$2,478,855	\$5,754,476
SC	Charleston County	\$890,215	\$535,900	\$1,426,115
SC	Greenville County	\$1,935,622	\$804,966	\$2,740,588
SC	Horry County	\$1,551,515	\$622,221	\$2,173,736
SC	Lexington County	\$1,203,878	\$542,372	\$1,746,250
SC	South Carolina State Bala	\$18,346,818	\$8,745,852	\$27,092,670
SD	South Dakota State Balanc	\$5,554,958	\$2,199,893	\$7,754,851
TN	Knoxville	\$2,221,592	\$513,272	\$2,734,864
TN	Memphis	\$6,018,137	\$2,014,017	\$8,032,154

STA	NAME	ESG CARES Act Round 2 Allocation	ESG CARES Act Round 1 Allocation	ESG CARES Act Combined Amounts Round 1 and Round 2
TN	Nashville-Davidson	\$8,489,679	\$1,549,066	\$10,038,745
TN	Tennessee State Balance	\$22,438,703	\$11,148,024	\$33,586,727
TX	Arlington	\$2,977,176	\$991,890	\$3,969,066
TX	Austin	\$10,859,249	\$2,354,866	\$13,214,115
TX	Brownsville	\$1,964,765	\$819,241	\$2,784,006
TX	Corpus Christi	\$1,864,176	\$803,100	\$2,667,276
TX	Dallas	\$16,651,199	\$4,453,269	\$21,104,468
TX	El Paso	\$3,964,248	\$1,902,228	\$5,866,476
TX	Fort Worth	\$6,070,529	\$2,202,959	\$8,273,488
TX	Garland	\$2,004,381	\$648,962	\$2,653,343
TX	Houston	\$21,649,868	\$7,252,552	\$28,902,420
TX	Irving	\$2,420,874	\$678,434	\$3,099,308
TX	Laredo	\$2,552,555	\$1,130,386	\$3,682,941
TX	Lubbock	\$1,385,225	\$632,362	\$2,017,587
TX	San Antonio	\$12,950,577	\$3,902,645	\$16,853,222
TX	Bexar County	\$1,859,901	\$696,845	\$2,556,746
TX	Brazoria County	\$1,125,985	\$526,152	\$1,652,137
TX	Dallas County	\$1,726,123	\$667,003	\$2,393,126
TX	Fort Bend County	\$1,384,930	\$936,303	\$2,321,233
TX	Harris County	\$7,999,883	\$4,077,193	\$12,077,076
TX	Hidalgo County	\$4,242,374	\$2,229,055	\$6,471,429
TX	Montgomery County	\$1,310,985	\$775,483	\$2,086,468
TX	Tarrant County	\$2,734,655	\$844,131	\$3,578,786
TX	Texas State Balance	\$64,537,937	\$33,254,679	\$97,792,616
UT	Salt Lake City	\$2,946,449	\$1,040,462	\$3,986,911
UT	Salt Lake County	\$2,381,057	\$751,093	\$3,132,150
UT	Utah State Balance	\$9,479,075	\$4,633,641	\$14,112,716
VT	Vermont State Balance	\$4,424,450	\$2,334,607	\$6,759,057
VA	Norfolk	\$2,292,639	\$1,328,583	\$3,621,222
VA	Richmond	\$1,954,273	\$1,351,959	\$3,306,232
VA	Roanoke	\$894,995	\$525,434	\$1,420,429
VA	Virginia Beach	\$1,389,918	\$606,131	\$1,996,049
VA	Fairfax County	\$6,581,782	\$1,699,586	\$8,281,368
VA	Henrico County	\$1,154,186	\$508,566	\$1,662,752
VA	Prince William County	\$2,423,373	\$791,662	\$3,215,035
VA	Virginia State Balance	\$20,601,553	\$10,375,562	\$30,977,115
WA	Seattle	\$26,485,029	\$2,829,807	\$29,314,836
WA	Spokane	\$3,362,228	\$991,359	\$4,353,587

STA	NAME	ESG CARES Act Round 2 Allocation	ESG CARES Act Round 1 Allocation	ESG CARES Act Combined Amounts Round 1 and Round 2
WA	Tacoma	\$2,809,518	\$759,366	\$3,568,884
WA	King County	\$11,139,409	\$964,066	\$12,103,475
WA	Pierce County	\$3,328,051	\$908,579	\$4,236,630
WA	Snohomish County	\$4,782,535	\$914,410	\$5,696,945
WA	Washington State Balance	\$46,343,357	\$9,748,945	\$56,092,302
WV	Huntington	\$559,361	\$538,314	\$1,097,675
WV	West Virginia State Balan	\$7,166,583	\$5,690,597	\$12,857,180
WI	Madison	\$2,318,388	\$572,541	\$2,890,929
WI	Milwaukee	\$5,763,381	\$4,785,445	\$10,548,826
WI	Racine	\$649,751	\$574,928	\$1,224,679
WI	Wisconsin State Balance	\$19,761,322	\$12,723,269	\$32,484,591
WY	Wyoming State Balance	\$2,901,686	\$1,153,541	\$4,055,227
AS	American Samoas	\$796,914	\$269,228	\$1,066,142
GU	Guam	\$2,630,542	\$888,696	\$3,519,238
MP	Northern Mariana Islands	\$815,225	\$275,414	\$1,090,639
PR	Bayamon Municipio	\$1,005,178	\$672,662	\$1,677,840
PR	Caguas Municipio	\$658,415	\$516,886	\$1,175,301
PR	Carolina Municipio	\$777,012	\$546,069	\$1,323,081
PR	Ponce Municipio	\$975,723	\$726,203	\$1,701,926
PR	San Juan Municipio	\$3,892,454	\$2,137,879	\$6,030,333
PR	Puerto Rico State Balance	\$14,951,925	\$12,479,000	\$27,430,925
VI	Virgin Islands	\$1,677,320	\$566,662	\$2,243,982

TOTAL **\$2,960,000,000** **\$1,000,000,000** **\$3,960,000,000**