

GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
GAO Recommendations as of 9/30/19

GAO Report Number	Rec Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	GAO Recommendation Text
GAO-18-429	1	8/9/2018	Rental Housing Assistance: Actions Needed to Improve Oversight of Criminal History Policies and Implementation of the Fugitive Felon Initiative	In Process	The HUD Assistant Secretary for the Office of Public and Indian Housing should complete its updates of the Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) Program Guidebook and Public Housing Occupancy Guidebook to reflect current guidance on criminal history policies for its public housing and HCV programs. (Recommendation 1)
GAO-18-429	2	8/9/2018	Rental Housing Assistance: Actions Needed to Improve Oversight of Criminal History Policies and Implementation of the Fugitive Felon Initiative	In Process	The HUD Assistant Secretary for the Office of Public and Indian Housing should review HUD's Compliance Monitoring Checklist to determine if questions should be added to address additional federal criminal history requirements and revise checklist instructions to direct HUD staff to obtain information on PHAs' implementation of these requirements during compliance reviews. (Recommendation 2)
GAO-18-429	3	8/9/2018	Rental Housing Assistance: Actions Needed to Improve Oversight of Criminal History Policies and Implementation of the Fugitive Felon Initiative	In Process	The HUD Assistant Inspector General for the Office of Investigation should collect and assess more comprehensive information on regional efforts to implement the activities listed in the 2018 Standard Operating Procedure. (Recommendation 3)
GAO-18-429	4	8/9/2018	Rental Housing Assistance: Actions Needed to Improve Oversight of Criminal History Policies and Implementation of the Fugitive Felon Initiative	In Process	The HUD Assistant Inspector General for the Office of Investigation should, in collaboration with the FBI, determine what information on fugitive apprehensions and any estimated program savings that occur as the result of the Fugitive Felon Initiative would be most useful and consistently share such information with the FBI. (Recommendation 4)
GAO-18-429	5	8/9/2018	Rental Housing Assistance: Actions Needed to Improve Oversight of Criminal History Policies and Implementation of the Fugitive Felon Initiative	In Process	The HUD Assistant Inspector General for the Office of Investigation should, in collaboration with the FBI, update the Fugitive Felon Initiative memorandum of understanding (MOU) to reflect the agencies' current activities and responsibilities. (Recommendation 5)
GAO-18-93	1	8/2/2018	Federal Chief Information Officers: Critical Actions Needed to Address Shortcomings and Challenges in Implementing Responsibilities	In Process	The Secretary of Housing and Urban Development should ensure that the department's IT management policies address the role of the CIO for key responsibilities in the six areas we identified. (Recommendation 11)
GAO-18-394	1	6/19/2018	Lead Paint In Housing: HUD Should Strengthen Grant Processes, Compliance Monitoring, and Performance Assessment	In Process	The Director of HUD's Lead Office should ensure that the office more fully documents its processes for scoring and awarding lead grants and its rationale for award decisions. (Recommendation 1)

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
GAO Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

GAO-18-394	2	6/19/2018	Lead Paint In Housing: HUD Should Strengthen Grant Processes, Compliance Monitoring, and Performance Assessment	In Process	The Director of HUD's Lead Office should ensure that the office periodically evaluates its processes for scoring and awarding lead grants. (Recommendation 2)
GAO-18-394	3	6/19/2018	Lead Paint In Housing: HUD Should Strengthen Grant Processes, Compliance Monitoring, and Performance Assessment	In Process	The Director of HUD's Lead Office, in collaboration with the Office of Policy Development and Research (PD&R), should set time frames for incorporating relevant data on lead paint hazard risks into the lead grant programs' processes. (Recommendation 3)
GAO-18-394	4	6/19/2018	Lead Paint In Housing: HUD Should Strengthen Grant Processes, Compliance Monitoring, and Performance Assessment	In Process	The Director of HUD's Lead Office and the Assistant Secretary for the Office of Public and Indian Housing (PIH) should collaborate to establish a plan to mitigate and address risks within HUD's lead paint compliance monitoring processes. (Recommendation 4)
GAO-18-394	5	6/19/2018	Lead Paint In Housing: HUD Should Strengthen Grant Processes, Compliance Monitoring, and Performance Assessment	In Process	The Director of HUD's Lead Office and the Assistant Secretary for PIH should collaborate to develop and document procedures to ensure that HUD staff take consistent and timely steps to address issues of public housing agency noncompliance with lead paint regulations. (Recommendation 5)
GAO-18-394	6	6/19/2018	Lead Paint In Housing: HUD Should Strengthen Grant Processes, Compliance Monitoring, and Performance Assessment	In Process	The Secretary of HUD should request authority from Congress to amend the inspection standard to identify lead paint hazards in the Housing Choice Voucher program as indicated by analysis of health effects for children, the impact on landlord participation in the program, and other relevant factors. (Recommendation 6)
GAO-18-394	7	6/19/2018	Lead Paint In Housing: HUD Should Strengthen Grant Processes, Compliance Monitoring, and Performance Assessment	In Process	The Director of the Lead Office should develop performance goals and measures to cover the full range of HUD's lead efforts, including its efforts to ensure that housing units in its rental assistance programs are lead-safe. (Recommendation 7)
GAO-18-394	8	6/19/2018	Lead Paint In Housing: HUD Should Strengthen Grant Processes, Compliance Monitoring, and Performance Assessment	In Process	The Director of the Lead Office, in conjunction with PD&R, should finalize plans and develop a time frame for evaluating the effectiveness of the Lead Safe Housing and Lead Disclosure Rules, including an evaluation of the long-term cost effectiveness of the lead remediation methods required by the Lead Safe Housing Rule. (Recommendation 8)
GAO-18-394	9	6/19/2018	Lead Paint In Housing: HUD Should Strengthen Grant Processes, Compliance Monitoring, and Performance Assessment	In Process	The Director of the Lead Office should complete statutory reporting requirements, including but not limited to its efforts to make housing lead-safe through its lead grant programs and rental-assistance programs, and make the report publicly available. (Recommendation 9)

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
GAO Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

GAO-18-466	1	6/14/2018	Cybersecurity Workforce: Agencies Need to Improve Baseline Assessments and Procedures for Coding Positions	In Process	The Secretary of Housing and Urban Development should conduct a baseline assessment of the department's cybersecurity workforce that includes (1) the percentage of personnel with IT, cybersecurity, or other cyber-related job functions who hold certifications; (2) the level of preparedness of other cyber personnel without existing credentials to take certification exams; and (3) a strategy for mitigating any gaps identified with appropriate training and certification for existing personnel. (Recommendation 9)
GAO-18-466	2	6/14/2018	Cybersecurity Workforce: Agencies Need to Improve Baseline Assessments and Procedures for Coding Positions	In Process	The Secretary of Housing and Urban Development should submit a report of the department's baseline assessment of its existing cybersecurity workforce to the appropriate congressional committees of jurisdiction. (Recommendation 10)
GAO-18-309	1	5/15/2018	Drinking Water and Wastewater Infrastructure: Opportunities Exist to Enhance Federal Agency Needs Assessment and Coordination on Tribal Projects	In Process	The Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Department of Housing and Urban Development's Office of Native American Programs, in cooperation with other members of the tribal infrastructure task force, should review the 2011 task force report and identify and implement additional actions to help increase the task force's collaboration at the national level. (Recommendation 10)
GAO-18-309	2	5/15/2018	Drinking Water and Wastewater Infrastructure: Opportunities Exist to Enhance Federal Agency Needs Assessment and Coordination on Tribal Projects	In Process	The Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Department of Housing and Urban Development's Office of Native American Programs, in cooperation with other members of the tribal infrastructure task force, should direct HUD regional offices to identify and pursue additional mechanisms to increase their collaboration. (Recommendation 15)
GAO-18-232	1	4/26/2018	Older Adult Housing: Future Collaborations on Housing and Health Services Should Include Relevant Agencies and Define Outcomes	In Process	The Secretary of Housing and Urban Development should work with HHS and USDA's Rural Development to define common outcomes and identify opportunities to include USDA in future collaborative efforts on older adult housing and health services. (Recommendation 1)
GAO-18-123	1	2/20/2018	Rental Assistance Demonstration: HUD Needs to Take Action to Improve Metrics and Ongoing Oversight	In Process	HUD's Assistant Secretary for Housing should include provisions in its postclosing monitoring procedures to collect comprehensive high quality data on financial outcomes upon completion of construction, which could include requiring third-party certification of and collecting supporting documentation for all financing sources and costs. (Recommendation 1)
GAO-18-123	2	2/20/2018	Rental Assistance Demonstration: HUD Needs to Take Action to Improve Metrics and Ongoing Oversight	In Process	HUD's Assistant Secretary for Housing should improve the accuracy of RAD leverage metrics--such as better selecting inputs to the leverage ratio calculation and clearly identifying what the leverage ratio measures--and calculate a private-sector leverage ratio. (Recommendation 2)

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
GAO Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

GAO-18-123	3	2/20/2018	Rental Assistance Demonstration: HUD Needs to Take Action to Improve Metrics and Ongoing Oversight	In Process	HUD's Assistant Secretary for Housing should prioritize the development and implementation of monitoring procedures to ensure that resident safeguards are implemented. (Recommendation 3)
GAO-18-123	4	2/20/2018	Rental Assistance Demonstration: HUD Needs to Take Action to Improve Metrics and Ongoing Oversight	In Process	HUD's Assistant Secretary for Housing should determine how it can use available program-wide data from public housing and Section 8 databases, in addition to resident logs, for analysis of the use and enforcement of RAD resident protections. (Recommendation 4)
GAO-18-123	5	2/20/2018	Rental Assistance Demonstration: HUD Needs to Take Action to Improve Metrics and Ongoing Oversight	In Process	HUD's Assistant Secretary for Housing should prioritize the development and implementation of procedures to assess risks to the preservation of unit affordability. (Recommendation 5)
GAO-18-150	1	1/25/2018	Rental Housing: Improvements Needed to Better Monitor the Moving to Work Demonstration, Including Effects on Tenants	In Process	The Assistant Secretary for the Office of Public and Indian Housing (PIH) should complete workforce planning for the MTW demonstration to help ensure that PIH has sufficient staff with appropriate skills and competencies to manage an expanded demonstration, including reviewing reports and carrying out compliance reviews in a timely manner. (Recommendation 1)
GAO-18-150	2	1/25/2018	Rental Housing: Improvements Needed to Better Monitor the Moving to Work Demonstration, Including Effects on Tenants	In Process	The Assistant Secretary for PIH should more fully document the process for annually assessing compliance with the five demonstration requirements. (Recommendation 2)
GAO-18-150	3	1/25/2018	Rental Housing: Improvements Needed to Better Monitor the Moving to Work Demonstration, Including Effects on Tenants	In Process	The Assistant Secretary for PIH should develop and implement a process to track how MTW demonstration funds are being used for other allowable activities, including local, nontraditional activities. (Recommendation 3)
GAO-18-150	4	1/25/2018	Rental Housing: Improvements Needed to Better Monitor the Moving to Work Demonstration, Including Effects on Tenants	In Process	The Assistant Secretary for PIH should identify and implement changes to PIC to capture household data for households served through local, nontraditional activities. (Recommendation 4)
GAO-18-150	5	1/25/2018	Rental Housing: Improvements Needed to Better Monitor the Moving to Work Demonstration, Including Effects on Tenants	In Process	The Assistant Secretary for PIH should develop and implement a process to monitor MTW agencies' reserves. (Recommendation 5)
GAO-18-150	6	1/25/2018	Rental Housing: Improvements Needed to Better Monitor the Moving to Work Demonstration, Including Effects on Tenants	In Process	The Assistant Secretary for PIH should clarify HUD's rent-reform definition for the MTW demonstration as part of a framework for monitoring the effect of rent-reform, work-requirement, and time-limit policies on tenants. (Recommendation 6)

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
GAO Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

GAO-18-150	7	1/25/2018	Rental Housing: Improvements Needed to Better Monitor the Moving to Work Demonstration, Including Effects on Tenants	In Process	The Assistant Secretary for PIH should set parameters for HUD's definition of self-sufficiency for the demonstration, either by providing one definition or a range of options from which agencies could choose, as part of a framework for monitoring the effect of rent-reform, work-requirement, and time-limit policies on tenants. (Recommendation 7)
GAO-18-150	8	1/25/2018	Rental Housing: Improvements Needed to Better Monitor the Moving to Work Demonstration, Including Effects on Tenants	In Process	The Assistant Secretary for PIH should revise HUD's guidance to MTW agencies to make it clear which elements are required in impact analyses, annual reevaluations, and hardship policies and the information required for each element as part of a framework for monitoring the effect of rent-reform, work-requirement, and time-limit policies on tenants. (Recommendation 8)
GAO-18-150	9	1/25/2018	Rental Housing: Improvements Needed to Better Monitor the Moving to Work Demonstration, Including Effects on Tenants	In Process	The Assistant Secretary for PIH should develop written guidance for existing MTW agencies that requires a hardship policy for public housing time limits and encourages an impact analysis, annual reevaluation, and hardship policy for work-requirement and time-limit policies for public housing and voucher programs as part of a framework for monitoring the effect of these policies on tenants. (Recommendation 9)
GAO-18-150	10	1/25/2018	Rental Housing: Improvements Needed to Better Monitor the Moving to Work Demonstration, Including Effects on Tenants	In Process	The Assistant Secretary for PIH should require an impact analysis, annual reevaluation, and hardship policy for work-requirement and time-limit policies new MTW agencies adopt for their public housing and voucher programs as part of a framework for monitoring the effect of these policies on tenants. (Recommendation 10)
GAO-18-150	11	1/25/2018	Rental Housing: Improvements Needed to Better Monitor the Moving to Work Demonstration, Including Effects on Tenants	In Process	The Assistant Secretary for PIH should develop and implement a plan for analyzing the information that agencies report on the effect of rent-reform, work-requirement, and time-limit policies on tenants as part of a framework for monitoring the effect of these policies on tenants. (Recommendation 11)
GAO-17-159	2	2/16/2017	Single Audit: Improvements Needed in Selected Agencies' Oversight of Federal Awards	In Process	The Secretary of Housing and Urban Development should direct the Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for the Office of Community Planning and Development to design and implement policies and procedures for identifying and managing high-risk and recurring single audit findings using a risk-based approach.
GAO-17-159	4	2/16/2017	Single Audit: Improvements Needed in Selected Agencies' Oversight of Federal Awards	In Process	The Secretary of Housing and Urban Development should direct the Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for the Office of Public and Indian Housing to design and implement policies and procedures for identifying and managing high-risk and recurring single audit findings using a risk-based approach.
GAO-17-281	1	2/7/2017	Information Technology: HUD Needs To Address Significant Weaknesses In Its Cost Estimating Practices	In Process	To improve cost estimating practices, GAO recommends that HUD finalize and implement guidance that incorporates best practices called for in the Cost Guide. HUD concurred with this recommendation.

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
GAO Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

GAO-16-511	1	9/29/2016	Information Technology: Agencies Need to Improve Their Application Inventories to Achieve Additional Savings	In Process	To improve federal agencies' efforts to rationalize their portfolio of applications, the heads of the Departments of Agriculture, Commerce, Education, Energy, Health and Human Services, Housing and Urban Development, the Interior, Labor, State, Transportation, the Treasury, and Veterans Affairs; and heads of the Environmental Protection Agency; National Aeronautics and Space Administration; National Science Foundation; Nuclear Regulatory Commission; Office of Personnel Management; Small Business Administration; Social Security Administration; and U.S. Agency for International Development should direct their Chief Information Officers (CIOs) and other responsible officials to improve their inventories by taking steps to fully address the practices we identified as being partially met or not met.
GAO-16-758	1	9/1/2016	Elderly Housing: HUD Should Do More to Oversee Efforts to Link Residents to Services	In Process	To better inform Congress and improve what is known about the extent to which elderly residents of Section 202 properties are assisted by service coordinators, the Assistant Secretary for Housing should implement HUD's guidance on processes to improve the accuracy of information on Section 202 properties with budget-based service coordinators, and take steps to evaluate whether the guidance has improved the reliability of this information.
GAO-16-758	2	9/1/2016	Elderly Housing: HUD Should Do More to Oversee Efforts to Link Residents to Services	In Process	To better inform Congress and improve what is known about the extent to which elderly residents of Section 202 properties are assisted by service coordinators, the Assistant Secretary for Housing should develop and implement written guidance that describes how HUD staff should assess Section 202 properties' compliance with the program's supportive services requirement. Such guidance should include information on the roles and responsibilities of HUD staff for (1) identifying stand-alone Section 202 properties and (2) monitoring the supportive services requirement for Section 202 properties with grant- and budget-based service coordinators, Section 202 properties that do not have service coordinators, and stand-alone Section 202 properties.
GAO-16-758	3	9/1/2016	Elderly Housing: HUD Should Do More to Oversee Efforts to Link Residents to Services	In Process	To better inform Congress and improve what is known about the extent to which elderly residents of Section 202 properties are assisted by service coordinators, the Assistant Secretary for Housing should develop and implement policies and procedures for (1) verifying the accuracy of a sample of the performance information that Section 202 properties submit through semiannual performance reports and (2) analyzing the performance information collected.

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
GAO Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

GAO-16-656	1	7/28/2016	Financial Management Systems: HUD Needs to Address Management and Governance Weaknesses That Jeopardize Its Modernization Efforts	In Process	To address weaknesses in the department's financial management systems modernization efforts, the Secretary of HUD should direct the Chief Financial Officer to work with the Chief Information Officer in managing subsequent initiatives to define a high-level depiction of the IT systems anticipated in the future state, a description of the operations that must be performed and who must perform them, and an explanation of where and how the operations are to be carried out.
GAO-16-656	2	7/28/2016	Financial Management Systems: HUD Needs to Address Management and Governance Weaknesses That Jeopardize Its Modernization Efforts	In Process	To address weaknesses in the department's financial management systems modernization efforts, the Secretary of HUD should direct the Chief Financial Officer to work with the Chief Information Officer in managing subsequent initiatives to develop comprehensive plans for scope, schedule and cost.
GAO-16-656	3	7/28/2016	Financial Management Systems: HUD Needs to Address Management and Governance Weaknesses That Jeopardize Its Modernization Efforts	In Process	To address weaknesses in the department's financial management systems modernization efforts, the Secretary of HUD should direct the Chief Financial Officer to work with the Chief Information Officer in managing subsequent initiatives to ensure requirements are fully documented and traceable.
GAO-16-656	4	7/28/2016	Financial Management Systems: HUD Needs to Address Management and Governance Weaknesses That Jeopardize Its Modernization Efforts	In Process	The Secretary of HUD should also direct the Deputy Secretary to ensure that the Chief Information Officer takes action to improve IT governance control activities used for monitoring programs and identifying needed corrective actions, and strengthen investment oversight by improving coordination with stakeholders and alignment among IT modernization efforts.
GAO-16-497	2	7/20/2016	Department of Housing and Urban Development: Actions Needed to Incorporate Key Practices into Management Functions and Program Oversight	In Process	In order to more fully implement key practices and meet requirements and to better institutionalize standards and practices, the Secretary of HUD should describe the reasons that goals were not met and HUD's plans for achieving them in the next annual performance report.
GAO-16-497	4	7/20/2016	Department of Housing and Urban Development: Actions Needed to Incorporate Key Practices into Management Functions and Program Oversight	In Process	In order to more fully implement key practices and meet requirements and to better institutionalize standards and practices, the Secretary of HUD should establish a process and schedule for regularly reviewing, revising, and updating HUD's human capital strategic plan, strategic workforce plan, and succession plan.
GAO-16-497	5	7/20/2016	Department of Housing and Urban Development: Actions Needed to Incorporate Key Practices into Management Functions and Program Oversight	In Process	In order to more fully implement key practices and meet requirements and to better institutionalize standards and practices, the Secretary of HUD should establish a process and schedule for reviewing and updating policies and procedures to help ensure that policies and procedures for key management functions remain current and complete.

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
GAO Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

GAO-16-269	1	7/13/2016	CREDIT PROGRAMS: Key Agencies Should Better Document Procedures for Estimating Subsidy Costs	In Process	To help ensure that subsidy cost estimates for the Mutual Mortgage Insurance Fund are supported, reliable, and reasonable, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development should direct the Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for the Office of Housing to develop detailed policies and procedures over the subsidy cost estimation process that address, at a minimum, the documentation that should be prepared and maintained to support subsidy cost estimates and the process to document management review and approval of subsidy costs estimates.
GAO-15-645	1	9/15/2015	Affordable Rental Housing: Assistance Is Provided by Federal, State, and Local Programs, but There Is Incomplete Information on Collective Performance	In Process	To build upon the Department of Housing and Urban Development and the Rental Policy Working Group's efforts to improve coordination of rental assistance, the Secretary of the Department of Housing and Urban Development, in consultation with the Rental Policy Working Group, should work with states and localities to develop an approach for compiling and reporting on the collective performance of federal, state, and local rental assistance programs. Such an effort may begin with one or more pilots to test approaches before they are considered for wider application.
GAO-15-617	1	9/15/2015	Information Technology Reform: Billions of Dollars in Savings Have Been Realized, but Agencies Need to Complete Reinvestment Plans	In Process	To improve the department's IT savings reinvestment plans, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development should direct the CIO to ensure that the department's integrated data collection submission to OMB includes, for all reported initiatives, complete plans to reinvest any resulting cost savings and avoidances from OMB-directed IT reform-related efforts.
GAO-15-185	1	7/27/2015	Mortgage Reforms: Actions Needed to Help Assess Effects of New Regulations	In Process	To enhance the effectiveness of its preparations for conducting a retrospective review of its QM regulations, HUD should develop a plan that identifies the metrics, baselines, and analytical methods to be used. Furthermore, to account for and help mitigate the limitations of existing data and the uncertain availability of enhanced datasets, HUD should include in its plan alternate metrics, baselines, and analytical methods that could be used data were to remain unavailable.

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
GAO Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

GAO-15-185	2	7/27/2015	Mortgage Reforms: Actions Needed to Help Assess Effects of New Regulations	In Process	To enhance the effectiveness of their preparations for conducting a retrospective review of the QRM regulations, the agencies responsible for the QRM regulations--Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Federal Housing Finance Agency, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, HUD, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, and Securities and Exchange Commission--should develop a plan that identifies the metrics, baselines, and analytical methods to be used and specify the roles and responsibilities of each agency in the review process. Furthermore, to account for and help mitigate limitations of existing data and the uncertain availability of enhanced datasets, the six agencies should include in their plan alternate metrics, baselines, and analytical methods that could be used if data were to remain unavailable.
GAO-15-274	6	3/16/2015	Military Base Realignment and Closures: Process for Reusing Property for Homeless Assistance Needs Improvements	In Process	To help improve the timeliness of the HUD review process, the Secretary of Housing Urban Development should develop options to address the use of staff resources dedicated to the reviews of bases during a BRAC round, such as assigning temporary headquarters staff or utilizing current field HUD staff.
GAO-15-274	5	3/16/2015	Military Base Realignment and Closures: Process for Reusing Property for Homeless Assistance Needs Improvements	In Process	To assist homeless assistance providers and LRAs in completing the steps of the BRAC homeless assistance process within required time frames, to provide additional information to reduce unfulfilled expectations about the decisions made in executing the homeless assistance agreements, and to promote a greater dissemination of this information, the Secretaries of Housing and Urban Development and Defense, for each of the following four elements, should update the BRAC homeless assistance regulations; establish information-sharing mechanisms, such as a website or informational pamphlets; or develop templates to include specific information on legal alternatives to providing on-base property, including acceptable alternative options such as financial assistance or off-base property in lieu of on-base property, information about rules of sale for on-base property conveyed to homeless assistance providers, and under what circumstances it is permissible to sell property for affordable housing alongside the no-cost homeless assistance conveyance.

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
GAO Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

GAO-15-274	4	3/16/2015	Military Base Realignment and Closures: Process for Reusing Property for Homeless Assistance Needs Improvements	In Process	To assist homeless assistance providers and LRAs in completing the steps of the BRAC homeless assistance process within required time frames, to provide additional information to reduce unfulfilled expectations about the decisions made in executing the homeless assistance agreements, and to promote a greater dissemination of this information, the Secretaries of Housing and Urban Development and Defense, for each of the following four elements, should update the BRAC homeless assistance regulations; establish information-sharing mechanisms, such as a website or informational pamphlets; or develop templates to include guidance for legally binding agreements and clarification on the implications of unsigned agreements.
GAO-15-274	3	3/16/2015	Military Base Realignment and Closures: Process for Reusing Property for Homeless Assistance Needs Improvements	In Process	To assist homeless assistance providers and LRAs in completing the steps of the BRAC homeless assistance process within required time frames, to provide additional information to reduce unfulfilled expectations about the decisions made in executing the homeless assistance agreements, and to promote a greater dissemination of this information, the Secretaries of Housing and Urban Development and Defense, for each of the following four elements, should update the BRAC homeless assistance regulations; establish information-sharing mechanisms, such as a website or informational pamphlets; or develop templates to include information for homeless assistance providers to use for preparing their <i>notices of interest</i> .
GAO-15-274	2	3/16/2015	Military Base Realignment and Closures: Process for Reusing Property for Homeless Assistance Needs Improvements	In Process	To assist homeless assistance providers and LRAs in completing the steps of the BRAC homeless assistance process within required time frames, to provide additional information to reduce unfulfilled expectations about the decisions made in executing the homeless assistance agreements, and to promote a greater dissemination of this information, the Secretaries of Housing and Urban Development and Defense, for each of the following four elements, should update the BRAC homeless assistance regulations; establish information-sharing mechanisms, such as a website or informational pamphlets; or develop templates to include specific guidance that clearly identifies the information that should be provided to homeless assistance providers during tours of on-base property, such as the condition of the property.

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
GAO Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

GAO-15-274	1	3/16/2015	Military Base Realignment and Closures: Process for Reusing Property for Homeless Assistance Needs Improvements	In Process	To help determine the effectiveness of BRAC homeless assistance conveyances, the Secretaries of Housing and Urban Development and Defense should update the BRAC homeless assistance regulations to require that conveyance statuses be tracked. These regulatory updates could include requiring DOD to track and share disposal actions with HUD and requiring HUD to track the status following disposal, such as type of assistance received by providers and potential withdrawals by providers.
GAO-15-209	1	2/27/2015	Disaster Relief: Agencies Need to Improve Policies and Procedures for Estimating Improper Payments	In Process	To help reduce the risk that improper payment estimates related to DRAA funding developed and reported by selected agencies may not be accurate or reliable, and to help ensure that the Department of Housing and Urban Development produces reliable estimates of its DRAA improper payments, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development should direct appropriate officials to revise its policies and procedures for estimating improper payments by (1) requiring payments to federal employees to be included in populations for testing as required by the Improper Payments Information Act of 2002 (IPIA), as amended, and (2) including steps to assess the completeness of the population of transactions used for selecting the samples to be tested.
GAO-15-56	5	12/10/2014	Information Technology: HUD Can Take Additional Actions To Improve Its Governance	In Process	To establish an enterprise-wide view of cost savings and operational efficiencies generated by investments and governance processes, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development should direct the Deputy Secretary and Chief Information Officer to place a higher priority on identifying governance-related cost savings and efficiencies and establish and institutionalize a process for identifying and tracking comprehensive, high-quality data on savings and efficiencies resulting from IT investments and the IT governance process.

GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
GAO Recommendations as of 9/30/19

GAO-15-56	3	12/10/2014	Information Technology: HUD Can Take Additional Actions To Improve Its Governance	In Process	To ensure that HUD fully implements and sustains effective IT governance practices, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development should direct the Deputy Secretary and the department's Chief Information Officer to place a high priority and fully establish an IT investment selection process that includes (1) articulating how reviews of project proposals are to be conducted; (2) planning how data (including cost estimates) are to be developed and verified and validated; (3) establishing criteria for how cost, schedule, and project risk are to be analyzed; (4) developing procedures for how proposed projects are to be compared to one another in terms of investment size (cost), project longevity (schedule), technical difficulty, project risk, and cost-benefit analysis; and (5) ensuring that final selection decisions made by senior decision makers and governance boards are supported by analysis, consider predefined quantitative measures, and are consistently documented.
GAO-15-56	2	12/10/2014	Information Technology: HUD Can Take Additional Actions To Improve Its Governance	In Process	To ensure that HUD fully implements and sustains effective IT governance practices, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development should direct the Deputy Secretary and the department's Chief Information Officer to place a high priority and fully establish and maintain a complete set of governance policies, establish time frames for establishing policies planned but not yet developed, and update key governance documents to reflect changes made to established practices.
GAO-15-56	1	12/10/2014	Information Technology: HUD Can Take Additional Actions To Improve Its Governance	In Process	To ensure that HUD fully implements and sustains effective IT governance practices, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development should direct the Deputy Secretary and the department's Chief Information Officer to place a high priority and ensure that the executive-level investment review board meets as outlined in its charter, documents criteria for use by the other boards, and <u>distributes its decisions to appropriate stakeholders.</u>
GAO-14-739	1	9/30/2014	Federal Real Property: More Useful Information to Providers Could Improve the Homeless Assistance Program	In Process	To improve HUD's database on Title V homeless assistance properties, HUD should modify its existing database or create an electronic, searchable database to meet reporting needs to the extent that the benefits outweigh the costs.
GAO-14-410	5	7/2/2014	Manufactured Housing: Efforts Needed to Enhance Program Effectiveness and Ensure Funding Stability	In Process	To better ensure that Congress, stakeholders, and agencies have complete information about changing costs and whether a fee needs to be changed, HUD should establish the goals for use of reserves of the Manufactured Housing Fees Trust Fund, and the minimum and maximum thresholds for the reserves <u>appropriate for meeting these goals.</u>

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
GAO Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

GAO-14-410	4	7/2/2014	Manufactured Housing: Efforts Needed to Enhance Program Effectiveness and Ensure Funding Stability	In Process	To better ensure that Congress, stakeholders, and agencies have complete information about changing costs and whether a fee needs to be changed, HUD should assess the feasibility, including an analysis of the benefits and costs, of putting in place user fees for its dispute resolution and installation programs.
GAO-14-410	1	7/2/2014	Manufactured Housing: Efforts Needed to Enhance Program Effectiveness and Ensure Funding Stability	In Process	To better ensure the viability and safety of manufactured housing produced in accordance with the HUD Code, the Secretary of the Department of Housing and Urban Development should develop a plan to assess how FHA financing might further promote the affordability of manufactured homes and identify the potential for better securitization of manufactured housing financing.
GAO-14-255	1	3/27/2014	Native American Housing: Additional Actions Needed to Better Support Tribal Efforts	In Process	To increase consistency and reduce time and predevelopment cost for NAHASDA grant recipients, an interagency effort similar to that of the federal infrastructure task force but specific to tribal housing should be initiated with participants from Indian Health Service, HUD, Department of the Interior, and the U.S. Department of Agriculture to develop and implement a coordinated environmental review process for all agencies overseeing tribal housing development. In addition, the agencies should determine if it would be appropriate to designate a lead agency in this effort
GAO-14-283	2	2/12/2014	Information Technology: HUD's Expenditure Plan Satisfied Statutory Conditions; Sustained Controls and Modernization Approach Needed	In Process	To ensure effective management and modernization of HUD's IT environment, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development should direct the department's Chief Information Officer to define the scope, implementation strategy, and schedule of its overall modernization approach, with related goals and measures for effectively overseeing the effort.
GAO-13-722	1	10/22/2013	FHA MORTGAGE INSURANCE: Applicability of Industry Requirements Is Limited, but Certain Features Could Enhance Oversight	In Process	To provide additional perspective on the Fund's financial status, FHA should disclose estimates of the individual cash flows associated with the liability for loan guarantees (premiums, claims, and recoveries), including their value for each year of the 30-year estimation period.
GAO-13-455	2	6/12/2013	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY: HUD Needs to Improve Key Project Management Practices for Its Modernization Efforts	Closed Unimplemented	To improve development and use of the department's project management framework, the Secretary should direct the FHA Transformation and NGMS steering committees to ensure that project management expertise needed to apply the guidance outlined in the framework is provided to execute and manage their respective projects. Link to GAO's Unimplemented Explanation: https://www.gao.gov/products/GAO-13-455

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
GAO Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

GAO-13-247	1	3/21/2013	Community Development Block Grants: Reporting on Compliance with Limit on Funds Used for Administration Can Be Improved	In Process	GAO recommends that HUD develop a process for annually reporting on compliance across the program with the statutory limit on the use of funds for administration. In its response, HUD noted that it was not required to assess cumulative compliance with the limit. As discussed in the report, an annual report that summarizes individual grantee compliance is essential to effective monitoring.
GAO-13-52	1	10/24/2012	Manufactured Housing Standards: Testing and Performance Evaluation Could Better Ensure Safe Indoor Air Quality	In Process	To better ensure that air ventilation systems in manufactured homes perform as specified and meet the HUD Code, HUD should develop an appropriate method to test and validate the performance of the ventilation system as part of the HUD certification process.
GAO-12-819	2	8/23/2012	Entrepreneurial Assistance: Opportunities Exist to Improve Programs' Collaboration, Data-Tracking, and Performance Management	In Process	The Secretaries of the Departments of Agriculture, Commerce, and Housing and Urban Development, and the Administrator of the Small Business Administration should consistently collect information that would enable them to track the specific type of assistance programs provide and the entrepreneurs they serve and use this information to help administer their programs.
GAO-12-819	1	8/23/2012	Entrepreneurial Assistance: Opportunities Exist to Improve Programs' Collaboration, Data-Tracking, and Performance Management	In Process	The Secretaries of the Departments of Agriculture, Commerce, and Housing and Urban Development, and the Administrator of the Small Business Administration should conduct more program evaluations to better understand why programs have not met performance goals and their overall effectiveness.
GAO-09-871	2	9/9/2009	Affordable Housing in Transit-Oriented Development: Key Practices Could Enhance Recent Collaboration Efforts between DOT-FTA and HUD	In Process	Develop a plan to ensure that data collected on the various programs of the agencies related to affordable housing and transit are sufficient to measure the agencies' performance toward goals and outcomes established in the HUD-FTA action plan and the Partnership for Sustainable Communities.

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-CH-1010-001-M	9/30/2018	The City of Chicago's Department of Public Health, Chicago, IL, Did Not Administer Its Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program in Accordance With HUD's and Its Own Requirements	In Process	Develop and implement adequate procedures and controls to ensure that assisted rental units are prioritized for families with children under 6 years of age.	2/28/2020
2018-CH-1010-001-L	9/30/2018	The City of Chicago's Department of Public Health, Chicago, IL, Did Not Administer Its Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program in Accordance With HUD's and Its Own Requirements	In Process	Implement adequate procedures and controls to ensure that (1) contracts are properly procured in accordance with HUD's requirements, (2) annual income is properly calculated for households residing in assisted units, (3) the appropriate reimbursement payments are made to the subcontractor for lead-based paint and other health hazard control activities, (4) lead inspection results properly support lead-based paint hazard control activities, (5) it complies with its work plan regarding executing agreements with property owners and determining whether other	2/28/2020
2018-CH-1010-001-K	9/30/2018	The City of Chicago's Department of Public Health, Chicago, IL, Did Not Administer Its Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program in Accordance With HUD's and Its Own Requirements	In Process	Execute agreements with owners of the assisted units detailing the Department's recapture policy and determine whether liens should be filed with the appropriate government office to ensure that Program funds used to assist the units are properly protected and able to be recaptured if assisted units are resold within 3 years. These protections should ensure that \$297,924 in Program funds is adequately protected.	2/28/2020
2018-CH-1010-001-J	9/30/2018	The City of Chicago's Department of Public Health, Chicago, IL, Did Not Administer Its Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program in Accordance With HUD's and Its Own Requirements	In Process	Transfer \$17,507 in non-Federal funds to its Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program and use the funds in accordance with the program grant requirements since these funds were not recaptured after the four assisted units were sold within 3 years.	2/28/2020
2018-CH-1010-001-I	9/30/2018	The City of Chicago's Department of Public Health, Chicago, IL, Did Not Administer Its Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program in Accordance With HUD's and Its Own Requirements	In Process	Reimburse its Program \$2,900 from non-Federal funds for the two units in which the seven windows were not installed.	2/28/2020
2018-CH-1010-001-H	9/30/2018	The City of Chicago's Department of Public Health, Chicago, IL, Did Not Administer Its Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program in Accordance With HUD's and Its Own Requirements	In Process	Support that lead-based paint hazard control activities were necessary at 13 assisted units or reimburse its Program \$23,857 from non-Federal funds for the unsupported lead-based paint hazard control activities completed at these assisted units.	2/28/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-CH-1010-001-G	9/30/2018	The City of Chicago's Department of Public Health, Chicago, IL, Did Not Administer Its Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program in Accordance With HUD's and Its Own Requirements	In Process	Support that landlords gave preference in renting six vacant units to families with children under 6 years of age or reimburse its Program \$41,240 from non-Federal funds for the lead-based paint and other health hazard control activities completed at these assisted units.	2/28/2020
2018-CH-1010-001-F	9/30/2018	The City of Chicago's Department of Public Health, Chicago, IL, Did Not Administer Its Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program in Accordance With HUD's and Its Own Requirements	In Process	Support that the four households residing in assisted units were income eligible or reimburse its Program \$59,883 from non-Federal funds for the lead-based paint and other health hazard control activities completed in the assisted units.	2/28/2020
2018-CH-1010-001-E	9/30/2018	The City of Chicago's Department of Public Health, Chicago, IL, Did Not Administer Its Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program in Accordance With HUD's and Its Own Requirements	In Process	Determine whether appropriate reimbursements were made to the subcontractor for the remaining 166 assisted units that were not a part of our review. If the Department determines that appropriate reimbursements were not made, it should provide support that the lead-based paint and other health hazard control activities are Program eligible and the subcontractor was not reimbursed for these activities from non-Program funds. If these conditions have been met, the Department should reimburse the subcontractor from Program funds.	2/28/2020
2018-CH-1010-001-D	9/30/2018	The City of Chicago's Department of Public Health, Chicago, IL, Did Not Administer Its Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program in Accordance With HUD's and Its Own Requirements	In Process	Determine whether the subcontractor is owed payment for 21 units, which the Department's records showed were assisted under the Program but were not identified as assisted units according to documentation maintained by the Department to support its drawdowns from HUD's LOCCS. If the Department determines that the subcontractor is owed payment for the lead-based paint and other health hazard control activities, it should provide support that the lead-based paint and other health hazard control activities were eligible and that the subcontractor was not reimbursed for these activities from non-Program funds. If these conditions have been met, the Department should reimburse the subcontractor from Program funds.	2/28/2020
2018-CH-1010-001-C	9/30/2018	The City of Chicago's Department of Public Health, Chicago, IL, Did Not Administer Its Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program in Accordance With HUD's and Its Own Requirements	In Process	Coordinate with HUD's Office of HHLHC and Healthy Homes to determine whether \$88,258 in Program funds (\$77,597 + \$10,661) may be drawn down and used to reimburse its subcontractor if the lead-based paint and other health hazard control activities are determined to be eligible.	2/28/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-CH-1010-001-B	9/30/2018	The City of Chicago's Department of Public Health, Chicago, IL, Did Not Administer Its Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program in Accordance With HUD's and Its Own Requirements	In Process	Reimburse its Program \$102,069 from non-Federal funds for the duplicate payments made to its subcontractor for lead-based paint and other health hazard control activities.	2/28/2020
2018-CH-1010-001-A	9/30/2018	The City of Chicago's Department of Public Health, Chicago, IL, Did Not Administer Its Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program in Accordance With HUD's and Its Own Requirements	In Process	Support that grant administration services paid were cost reasonable or reimburse its Program \$387,443 from non-Federal funds.	2/28/2020
2018-CF-0802-001-D	9/29/2018	HUD Failed To Enforce the Terms of a Settlement Agreement With Fifth Third Bank Because It Did Not Record Indemnified Loans in Its Tracking System	In Process	Take appropriate administrative action against FTB for violations of the settlement agreement.	11/19/2019
2018-CF-0802-001-C	9/29/2018	HUD Failed To Enforce the Terms of a Settlement Agreement With Fifth Third Bank Because It Did Not Record Indemnified Loans in Its Tracking System	In Process	Develop and implement controls to ensure that indemnification agreements that result from U.S. Department of Justice settlements have been properly recorded in FHA Connection.	11/19/2019
2018-CF-0802-001-B	9/29/2018	HUD Failed To Enforce the Terms of a Settlement Agreement With Fifth Third Bank Because It Did Not Record Indemnified Loans in Its Tracking System	In Process	Record in FHA Connection the remaining indemnified loans, avoiding \$47,433,895 in estimated losses. The estimated loss is based on the loss severity rate of 46 percent of the total unpaid principal balance of \$103,117,164.	11/19/2019
2018-CF-0802-001-A	9/29/2018	HUD Failed To Enforce the Terms of a Settlement Agreement With Fifth Third Bank Because It Did Not Record Indemnified Loans in Its Tracking System	In Process	Require FTB to reimburse HUD \$311,699 for 2 loans for which HUD incurred losses when it sold the properties and 15 loans for which FHA insurance had been terminated and HUD had paid loss mitigation claims to FTB.	11/19/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-FW-1007-001-C	9/28/2018	The State of Louisiana, Baton Rouge, LA, Did Not Always Maintain Adequate Documentation or Comply With Website Reporting Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs require the State to implement additional controls and revise policies and procedures to ensure that adequate documentation is maintained in its files to support (1) recapture decisions, (2) eligibility related to ownership or occupancy, (3) ineligible decisions, (4) elevation considerations, and (5) mitigation of duplication of benefits issues related to override procedures and incorrect data provided by other agencies.	6/28/2019
2018-PH-0003-001-D	9/28/2018	HUD Did Not Have Adequate Oversight of Its Community Compass Technical Assistance and Capacity Building Program	In Process	Develop and implement controls for the Community Compass program to ensure that it uses procurement contracts for services that directly benefit HUD, including the development, maintenance, and operation of the HUD Exchange website and preparation of the Annual Homelessness Assessment Report, thereby ensuring that as much as \$5,060,494 is put to better use.	2/21/2020
2018-PH-0003-001-C	9/28/2018	HUD Did Not Have Adequate Oversight of Its Community Compass Technical Assistance and Capacity Building Program	In Process	Develop and implement policies and procedures for the Community Compass program, to include postaward monitoring, that ensures that providers and provider subcontractors comply with applicable requirements to avoid incurring expenses for unapproved personnel and overcharged labor and travel costs, thereby ensuring that as much as \$15,475,981 is put to better use.	2/21/2020
2018-PH-0003-001-B	9/28/2018	HUD Did Not Have Adequate Oversight of Its Community Compass Technical Assistance and Capacity Building Program	In Process	Require the four Community Compass providers reviewed to either provide documentation to support \$845,497 in unsupported labor and travel costs, for personnel not on approved work plans, and for work performed that was not described on the payment request or reimburse HUD from non-Federal funds for any costs that it cannot support.	2/21/2020
2018-PH-0003-001-A	9/28/2018	HUD Did Not Have Adequate Oversight of Its Community Compass Technical Assistance and Capacity Building Program	In Process	Require the four Community Compass providers reviewed to reimburse HUD \$13,384 from non-Federal funds for ineligible costs from overcharged labor or travel costs.	2/21/2020
2018-AT-1011-001-F	9/28/2018	The City of Hattiesburg, MS, Did Not Always Administer Its HOME Investment Partnerships Program in Accordance With HUD's and Its Own Requirements	In Process	Require the City to follow its newly developed policy regarding the execution of a written agreement that is signed and dated by all parties before the commitment of any funds in IDIS.	3/10/2020
2018-AT-1011-001-E	9/28/2018	The City of Hattiesburg, MS, Did Not Always Administer Its HOME Investment Partnerships Program in Accordance With HUD's and Its Own Requirements	In Process	Verify that the remaining 14 (Footnote 5 - As detailed in the Scope and Methodology section of this report, we reviewed 23 of the 37 commitments entered into IDIS by the City.) commitments made during the period January 1, 2013, through December 31, 2017, were properly supported with written agreements and accurately entered into IDIS.	3/10/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-AT-1011-001-D	9/28/2018	The City of Hattiesburg, MS, Did Not Always Administer Its HOME Investment Partnerships Program in Accordance With HUD's and Its Own Requirements	In Process	Require the City to develop and implement HOME procedures, including training for the City's employees, to ensure that (1) commitments are accurately entered into IDIS to maintain data integrity and (2) the HOME program is administered in accordance with HUD's and its own requirements.	3/10/2020
2018-AT-1011-001-B	9/28/2018	The City of Hattiesburg, MS, Did Not Always Administer Its HOME Investment Partnerships Program in Accordance With HUD's and Its Own Requirements	In Process	Require the City to reimburse its program \$33,258 from non-Federal funds for inappropriate costs incurred before the written agreements were executed.	3/10/2020
2018-AT-1011-001-A	9/28/2018	The City of Hattiesburg, MS, Did Not Always Administer Its HOME Investment Partnerships Program in Accordance With HUD's and Its Own Requirements	In Process	Require the City to support \$441,202 (\$370,729 + \$20,000 + \$50,473) or reimburse its program from non-Federal funds for commitments and expenditures not adequately supported.	3/10/2020
2018-CH-1009-001-I	9/28/2018	The Owner and Management Agent for Rainbow Terrace Apartments, Cleveland, OH, Did Not Always Operate the Project in Accordance With the Regulatory Agreement and HUD's Requirements	In Process	Implement adequate procedures and controls to ensure that its bookkeeping fees are based on actual costs.	10/31/2019
2018-CH-1009-001-H	9/28/2018	The Owner and Management Agent for Rainbow Terrace Apartments, Cleveland, OH, Did Not Always Operate the Project in Accordance With the Regulatory Agreement and HUD's Requirements	In Process	Implement adequate procedures and controls, including but not limited to ensuring that the project receives HUD's communications to ensure that its management and bookkeeping fees comply with HUD's requirements.	10/31/2019
2018-CH-1009-001-F	9/28/2018	The Owner and Management Agent for Rainbow Terrace Apartments, Cleveland, OH, Did Not Always Operate the Project in Accordance With the Regulatory Agreement and HUD's Requirements	In Process	Reimburse the project \$95,174 from nonproject funds for management fees in excess of the maximum yield.	10/31/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-CH-1009-001-E	9/28/2018	The Owner and Management Agent for Rainbow Terrace Apartments, Cleveland, OH, Did Not Always Operate the Project in Accordance With the Regulatory Agreement and HUD's Requirements	In Process	Implement adequate procedures and controls to ensure that project funds are used for only reasonable operating expenses or necessary repairs when the project is in a non-surplus-cash position.	2/1/2020
2018-CH-1009-001-A	9/28/2018	The Owner and Management Agent for Rainbow Terrace Apartments, Cleveland, OH, Did Not Always Operate the Project in Accordance With the Regulatory Agreement and HUD's Requirements	In Process	Support the reasonableness of or reimburse the project \$2,232,004 (\$1,719,736 + \$484,615 + \$27,653) from nonproject funds for the project funds disbursed without sufficient procurement or contract documentation.	2/7/2020
2018-CH-1009-001-J	9/28/2018	The Owner and Management Agent for Rainbow Terrace Apartments, Cleveland, OH, Did Not Always Operate the Project in Accordance With the Regulatory Agreement and HUD's Requirements	In Process	Use the project's security deposits bank account to deposit and disburse security deposits.	2/13/2020
2018-CH-1009-001-G	9/28/2018	The Owner and Management Agent for Rainbow Terrace Apartments, Cleveland, OH, Did Not Always Operate the Project in Accordance With the Regulatory Agreement and HUD's Requirements	In Process	Support or reimburse the project \$70,632 from nonproject funds, as appropriate, for the project funds disbursed to Vesta Corporation for bookkeeping fees without documentation showing that the bookkeeping fees charged were reasonable.	2/13/2020
2018-CH-1009-001-D	9/28/2018	The Owner and Management Agent for Rainbow Terrace Apartments, Cleveland, OH, Did Not Always Operate the Project in Accordance With the Regulatory Agreement and HUD's Requirements	In Process	Support or reimburse the project from nonproject funds \$39,690, as appropriate, for the project funds disbursed without sufficient documentation supporting that the invoices were not for duplicate work.	2/13/2020
2018-CH-1009-001-C	9/28/2018	The Owner and Management Agent for Rainbow Terrace Apartments, Cleveland, OH, Did Not Always Operate the Project in Accordance With the Regulatory Agreement and HUD's Requirements	In Process	Reimburse the project from nonproject funds \$46,024 for the project funds that were not used for reasonable operating expenses or necessary repairs of the project.	2/20/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-CH-1009-001-B	9/28/2018	The Owner and Management Agent for Rainbow Terrace Apartments, Cleveland, OH, Did Not Always Operate the Project in Accordance With the Regulatory Agreement and HUD's Requirements	In Process	Support or reimburse the project from nonproject funds \$7,091, as appropriate, for the project funds disbursed without sufficient supporting documentation.	2/21/2020
2018-AT-0801-001-F	9/28/2018	HUD's Improper Approvals Resulted in Invalid Exemptions and an Ineligible Capital Funds Expenditure for the Lexington-Fayette Urban County Housing Authority	In Process	Require the Authority to reimburse its Public Housing Capital Fund program \$38,411 from nonproject funds for the inappropriate use of funds for the Section 8 Project-Based Voucher Program units.	2/5/2020
2018-NY-1008-001-E	9/28/2018	The Newark Housing Authority, Newark, NJ Did Not Ensure That Units Met Housing Quality Standards and That It Accurately Calculated Abatements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark Office of PIH require the Authority to improve controls to ensure that its staff accurately calculates housing assistance payment abatements.	2/29/2020
2018-NY-1008-001-D	9/28/2018	The Newark Housing Authority, Newark, NJ Did Not Ensure That Units Met Housing Quality Standards and That It Accurately Calculated Abatements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark Office of PIH require the Authority to reimburse its program \$4,459 from non-Federal funds for housing assistance payments that should have been abated for units that did not meet housing quality standards.	2/29/2020
2018-NY-1008-001-C	9/28/2018	The Newark Housing Authority, Newark, NJ Did Not Ensure That Units Met Housing Quality Standards and That It Accurately Calculated Abatements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark Office of PIH require the Authority to improve controls over its inspection program to ensure compliance with HUD guidelines and that the results of those inspections are used to enhance the effectiveness of its housing quality standards inspections.	2/29/2020
2018-NY-1008-001-B	9/28/2018	The Newark Housing Authority, Newark, NJ Did Not Ensure That Units Met Housing Quality Standards and That It Accurately Calculated Abatements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark Office of PIH require the Authority to reimburse its program \$111,651 from non-Federal funds (\$110,943 for housing assistance payments and \$708 in associated inspection service fees) for the 23 units that materially failed to meet HUD's housing quality standards.	2/29/2020
2018-AT-0801-001-D	9/28/2018	HUD's Improper Approvals Resulted in Invalid Exemptions and an Ineligible Capital Funds Expenditure for the Lexington-Fayette Urban County Housing Authority	In Process	Ensure that reviews of MTW annual plans are thorough by verifying that the MTW plan accurately identifies the appropriate exemptions as authorized in the MTW agreements.	3/1/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-AT-0801-001-C	9/28/2018	HUD's Improper Approvals Resulted in Invalid Exemptions and an Ineligible Capital Funds Expenditure for the Lexington-Fayette Urban County Housing Authority	In Process	Issue clarifying guidance to all existing 39 MTW housing agencies advising that HUD intended to waive the third-party inspection requirements via attachment C to the standard agreement.	3/1/2020
2018-AT-0801-001-B	9/28/2018	HUD's Improper Approvals Resulted in Invalid Exemptions and an Ineligible Capital Funds Expenditure for the Lexington-Fayette Urban County Housing Authority	In Process	Revise the standard MTW agreement for all existing 39 MTW housing agencies to clearly and specifically support which provision(s) waive the third-party inspection requirements.	3/1/2020
2018-KC-0005-001-C	9/27/2018	HUD's Travel Cards Were Used for Unauthorized, Unsupported, or Ineligible Purchases in at Least 950 Instances Totaling More Than \$95,000	In Process	Refer the 15 ineligible travel card transactions totaling \$5,393 to the appropriate program office and obtain a response regarding what administrative actions were taken to resolve the violations. If no actions were taken, OCFO should request support to show why no corrective actions were taken.	12/14/2019
2018-KC-0005-001-A	9/27/2018	HUD's Travel Cards Were Used for Unauthorized, Unsupported, or Ineligible Purchases in at Least 950 Instances Totaling More Than \$95,000	In Process	Review the 17 travel cardholders with purchases that occurred without a travel authorization and the 6 travel cardholders with purchases that were not supported to determine whether the purchases were allowable, proper, and paid in full by the cardholder, taking appropriate administrative actions as necessary. These unsupported purchases totaled \$6,407. However, a total of \$5,780 remains after deducting the duplicate amount of \$627 addressed in Recommendation 1C.	12/14/2019
2018-KC-0005-001-D	9/27/2018	HUD's Travel Cards Were Used for Unauthorized, Unsupported, or Ineligible Purchases in at Least 950 Instances Totaling More Than \$95,000	In Process	Strengthen internal monitoring efforts regarding government travel card use to identify potentially improper, illegal, or erroneous transactions. Such efforts should include data mining to detect instances of delinquency, fraud, and misuse.	4/2/2020
2018-NY-1007-001-G	9/27/2018	The City of New York, NY Did Not Always Use Disaster Recovery Funds Under Its Program for Eligible and Supported Costs	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs require the City to provide documentation showing that payments made under the Rockaway Boardwalk construction management services contract complied with Davis-Bacon and Related Acts requirements and that restitution is made to affected workers for any underpayments identified.	5/1/2019
2018-NY-1007-001-F	9/27/2018	The City of New York, NY Did Not Always Use Disaster Recovery Funds Under Its Program for Eligible and Supported Costs	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs require the City to provide documentation showing that it has strengthened its invoice review process to ensure that costs are eligible and supported before disbursing Disaster Recovery funds.	5/1/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-NY-1007-001-E	9/27/2018	The City of New York, NY Did Not Always Use Disaster Recovery Funds Under Its Program for Eligible and Supported Costs	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs require the City to provide training to its staff to help ensure compliance with applicable cost principle, procurement, and Davis-Bacon requirements.	5/1/2019
2018-NY-1007-001-D	9/27/2018	The City of New York, NY Did Not Always Use Disaster Recovery Funds Under Its Program for Eligible and Supported Costs	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs require the City to pay \$544 in unpaid wages to the subcontractors of the affected employees and submit evidence that these employees have been paid.	5/1/2019
2018-NY-1007-001-C	9/27/2018	The City of New York, NY Did Not Always Use Disaster Recovery Funds Under Its Program for Eligible and Supported Costs	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs require the City to reimburse its program \$1,198 from non-Federal funds for overpaid wages due to billing and payroll errors.	5/1/2019
2018-NY-1007-001-B	9/27/2018	The City of New York, NY Did Not Always Use Disaster Recovery Funds Under Its Program for Eligible and Supported Costs	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs require the City to provide documentation to show that the \$2,689 disbursed due to a higher than required overtime rate was supported by documentation from the trade unions or reimburse its program from non-Federal funds.	5/1/2019
2018-NY-1007-001-A	9/27/2018	The City of New York, NY Did Not Always Use Disaster Recovery Funds Under Its Program for Eligible and Supported Costs	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs require the City to provide documentation to show that the \$594,012 disbursed due to the use of multipliers was for eligible, reasonable, necessary, and supported costs or reimburse its program from non-Federal funds.	5/1/2019
2018-CH-1008-001-P	9/27/2018	Hamilton County, OH, and People Working Cooperatively, Inc., Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements in the Use of Community Development Block Grant Funds for a Housing Repair Services Program	In Process	Implement adequate procedures and controls to ensure that the corporation reduces program income from its invoices for housing repair services.	3/31/2020
2018-CH-1008-001-M	9/27/2018	Hamilton County, OH, and People Working Cooperatively, Inc., Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements in the Use of Community Development Block Grant Funds for a Housing Repair Services Program	In Process	Implement adequate procedures and controls to ensure that sufficient documentation is maintained to support that accessibility modifications are provided only to households with at least one member who is physically disabled.	3/31/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-CH-1008-001-K	9/27/2018	Hamilton County, OH, and People Working Cooperatively, Inc., Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements in the Use of Community Development Block Grant Funds for a Housing Repair Services Program	In Process	Implement adequate procedures and controls to ensure that third emergency repairs are documented in writing and reported to the County before completion of assistance in accordance with the subrecipient agreement.	3/31/2020
2018-CH-1008-001-J	9/27/2018	Hamilton County, OH, and People Working Cooperatively, Inc., Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements in the Use of Community Development Block Grant Funds for a Housing Repair Services Program	In Process	Implement adequate procedures and controls to ensure that it properly documents compliance with HUD's environmental review procedures before the start of each job.	3/31/2020
2018-CH-1008-001-I	9/27/2018	Hamilton County, OH, and People Working Cooperatively, Inc., Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements in the Use of Community Development Block Grant Funds for a Housing Repair Services Program	In Process	Implement adequate procedures and controls to ensure that sufficient income documentation is maintained to ensure that households are eligible for assistance under the program and income is verified in accordance with HUD's requirements and the subrecipient agreement.	3/31/2020
2018-CH-1008-001-E	9/27/2018	Hamilton County, OH, and People Working Cooperatively, Inc., Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements in the Use of Community Development Block Grant Funds for a Housing Repair Services Program	In Process	Implement adequate procedures and controls to ensure that sufficient documentation is maintained to support that the cost of materials and services acquired for the program is reasonable.	3/31/2020
2018-CH-1008-001-D	9/27/2018	Hamilton County, OH, and People Working Cooperatively, Inc., Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements in the Use of Community Development Block Grant Funds for a Housing Repair Services Program	In Process	Implement adequate procedures and controls to ensure that it does not provide the corporation Block Grant funds for excessive labor costs.	3/31/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-CH-1008-001-C	9/27/2018	Hamilton County, OH, and People Working Cooperatively, Inc., Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements in the Use of Community Development Block Grant Funds for a Housing Repair Services Program	In Process	Review the labor costs associated with the remaining 1,645 (1,668 - 23 reviewed) jobs that we did not review to determine whether the Block Grant funds it provided the corporation for labor exceeded the actual labor costs for each job. If the labor exceeded the actual labor cost for a job, the County should reimburse its Block Grant program from non-Federal funds for the excessive labor costs and the indirect costs associated with the excessive labor costs that the County provided to the corporation.	3/31/2020
2018-CH-1008-001-A	9/27/2018	Hamilton County, OH, and People Working Cooperatively, Inc., Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements in the Use of Community Development Block Grant Funds for a Housing Repair Services Program	In Process	Implement adequate procedures and controls to ensure that it obtains and reviews source documentation, such as invoices and time sheets, to support that expenses are eligible before providing Block Grant funds to the corporation for housing repair services.	3/31/2020
2018-LA-0007-001-A	9/27/2018	HUD Paid an Estimated \$413 Million for Unnecessary Preforeclosure Claim Interest and Other Costs Due to Lender Servicing Delays	In Process	Implement a change to regulations at 24 CFR Part 203 to require curtailment of preforeclosure interest and other costs that are caused by lender servicing delays, resulting in \$413,513,975 in funds to be put to better use. This should include updating or seeking statutory authority to update HUD's regulations as necessary and coordinating with HUD's Office of Finance and Budget, well before any changes go through departmental clearance, to ensure that planned curtailment requirements can be consistently enforced through the claims process.	4/2/2021
2018-KC-1004-001-B	9/27/2018	The Benkelman Housing Authority, Benkelman, NE, Did Not Follow HUD Rules and Regulations for Public Housing Programs Related to Procurement and Maintenance, Tenant Certifications, Laundry Machine Income, and Expenditures	In Process	Provide adequate documentation to support \$15,280 spent for maintenance activities. For any amounts not supported, it should reimburse its program from non-Federal funds.	12/31/2019
2018-KC-1004-001-A	9/27/2018	The Benkelman Housing Authority, Benkelman, NE, Did Not Follow HUD Rules and Regulations for Public Housing Programs Related to Procurement and Maintenance, Tenant Certifications, Laundry Machine Income, and Expenditures	In Process	Provide adequate documentation to support that the \$71,034 spent for improperly procured goods and services was spent at the most competitive prices. For any amounts not supported, it should reimburse its program from non-Federal funds.	12/31/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-PH-1008-001-D	9/26/2018	The City of Erie, PA, Did Not Always Administer Its Code Enforcement and Community Policing Activities in Accordance With HUD and Federal Requirements	In Process	Provide documentation to support \$1 million in community policing salary costs or repay the program from non-Federal funds for any amount that it cannot support.	12/31/2019
2018-PH-1008-001-B	9/26/2018	The City of Erie, PA, Did Not Always Administer Its Code Enforcement and Community Policing Activities in Accordance With HUD and Federal Requirements	In Process	Provide documentation to support \$671,838 in code enforcement costs or repay the program from non-Federal funds for any amount that it cannot support.	12/31/2019
2018-NY-1006-002-E	9/26/2018	The Buffalo Municipal Housing Authority, Buffalo, NY, Did Not Administer Its Operating Funds in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Acting Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of Public Housing require the Authority to provide training to employees involved in the funding and expenditure processes to ensure compliance with HUD, Federal, and Authority requirements	1/24/2020
2018-NY-1006-002-D	9/26/2018	The Buffalo Municipal Housing Authority, Buffalo, NY, Did Not Administer Its Operating Funds in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Acting Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of Public Housing require the Authority to strengthen its controls to ensure that operating funds are requested, received, and used in accordance with HUD, Federal, and Authority requirements.	1/24/2020
2018-NY-1006-002-C	9/26/2018	The Buffalo Municipal Housing Authority, Buffalo, NY, Did Not Administer Its Operating Funds in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Acting Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of Public Housing require the Authority to reimburse its Operating Fund from non-Federal funds \$82,907 for 16 document management services contract payments that should have been paid with COCC funds.	1/24/2020
2018-NY-1006-002-B	9/26/2018	The Buffalo Municipal Housing Authority, Buffalo, NY, Did Not Administer Its Operating Funds in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Acting Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of Public Housing require the Authority to provide documentation to justify \$8,564 in excessive property management fees charged by the COCC or reimburse its Operating Fund from non-Federal funds for any amount it cannot support.	1/24/2020
2018-NY-1006-002-A	9/26/2018	The Buffalo Municipal Housing Authority, Buffalo, NY, Did Not Administer Its Operating Funds in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Acting Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of Public Housing require the Authority to provide documentation to justify the \$372,695 in unsupported Operating Fund subsidies received or reimburse its Operating Fund from non-Federal funds for any amount it cannot support.	1/24/2020
2018-NY-1006-001-D	9/26/2018	The Buffalo Municipal Housing Authority, Buffalo, NY, Did Not Administer Its Operating Funds in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Acting Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of Public Housing require the Authority to provide training to its staff to ensure compliance with HUD and Federal procurement requirements.	1/24/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-NY-1006-001-C	9/26/2018	The Buffalo Municipal Housing Authority, Buffalo, NY, Did Not Administer Its Operating Funds in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Acting Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of Public Housing require the Authority to strengthen its controls over purchases to ensure compliance with HUD, Federal, and Authority procurement requirements. This includes, but is not limited to, controls to ensure that it (1) maintains records sufficient to detail the significant history of procurements, (2) complies with requirements for each type of procurement, (3) obtains independent cost estimates and performs cost or price analyses when required, and (4) prevents and detects conflict-of-interest situations.	1/24/2020
2018-NY-1006-001-B	9/26/2018	The Buffalo Municipal Housing Authority, Buffalo, NY, Did Not Administer Its Operating Funds in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Acting Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of Public Housing require the Authority to provide documentation to show that \$842,931 paid under five contracts was for prices that were reasonable or reimburse its Operating Fund for any amount that it cannot support or is not considered reasonable.	1/24/2020
2018-NY-1006-001-A	9/26/2018	The Buffalo Municipal Housing Authority, Buffalo, NY, Did Not Administer Its Operating Funds in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Acting Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of Public Housing require the Authority to provide documentation to show that the \$583,920 paid to two vendors for purchase orders below the Authority's micropurchase limit was for prices that were reasonable or reimburse its Operating Fund from non-Federal funds for any amount that it cannot support or is not considered reasonable.	1/24/2020
2018-NY-1005-001-F	9/26/2018	The Red Bank Housing Authority, Red Bank, NJ Did Not Always Administer Its Operating and Capital Funds In Accordance With Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark Office of Public Housing provide technical assistance to the Authority to help ensure that 1) future interagency agreements, including the renewal of its agreement with Long Branch clearly outline the expectations and documentation required to show that work was performed, (2) its board provides adequate oversight of work performed under interagency agreements, and 3) it complies with HUD, Federal, and Authority procurement requirements when purchasing goods and services.	2/27/2020
2018-NY-1005-001-E	9/26/2018	The Red Bank Housing Authority, Red Bank, NJ Did Not Always Administer Its Operating and Capital Funds In Accordance With Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark Office of Public Housing require the Authority to provide documentation to show that \$36,508 disbursed ¹ was for authorized and approved costs or reimburse its Operating and Capital Fund programs from non-Federal funds for any amount that it cannot support.	2/27/2020
2018-NY-1005-001-D	9/26/2018	The Red Bank Housing Authority, Red Bank, NJ Did Not Always Administer Its Operating and Capital Funds In Accordance With Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark Office of Public Housing require the Authority to provide documentation to show that \$172,538 paid for goods and services was reasonable or reimburse its Operating and Capital Fund programs from non-Federal funds for any amount that it cannot support or that is not considered reasonable.	2/27/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-NY-1005-001-C	9/26/2018	The Red Bank Housing Authority, Red Bank, NJ Did Not Always Administer Its Operating and Capital Funds In Accordance With Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark Office of Public Housing require the Authority to provide documentation to show that the \$161,600 paid for legal, fee accounting, and auditing services was for prices that were reasonable and that the costs were properly allocated among the Authority's programs or reimburse its Operating and Capital Fund programs from non-Federal funds for any amount that it cannot support or is not considered reasonable	2/27/2020
2018-NY-1005-001-B	9/26/2018	The Red Bank Housing Authority, Red Bank, NJ Did Not Always Administer Its Operating and Capital Funds In Accordance With Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark Office of Public Housing require the Authority to update its policies and procedures to ensure that any additional payments made under interagency agreements are adequately supported before payment is made and that the services are provided in accordance with applicable requirements. These requirements include but are not limited to HUD, Federal, and Authority requirements related to procurement, allocation of costs, and review and approval of payments.	2/27/2020
2018-NY-1005-001-A	9/26/2018	The Red Bank Housing Authority, Red Bank, NJ Did Not Always Administer Its Operating and Capital Funds In Accordance With Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark Office of Public Housing require the Authority to provide documentation to show that the \$252,000 paid to the Long Branch Housing Authority was for eligible, reasonable, necessary, and allocable costs or reimburse its Operating and Capital Fund programs from non-Federal funds for any amount that it cannot support or that is not considered reasonable.	2/27/2020
2018-CH-1007-003-L	9/25/2018	The Housing Authority of the County of Lake, Grayslake, IL, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Require the Authority to allocate any rents determined to be reasonable between its Housing Choice Voucher Program and Public Housing Family Self-Sufficiency program and require the Authority to reimburse its Housing Choice Voucher Program from its public housing program any amounts that should have been allocated among the programs.	12/20/2019
2018-CH-1007-003-K	9/25/2018	The Housing Authority of the County of Lake, Grayslake, IL, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Require the Authority to reimburse its Housing Choice Voucher Program from non-Federal funds for any amounts determined not to be reasonable to ensure that \$40,656 in program funds is available for appropriate program use.	12/20/2019
2018-CH-1007-003-J	9/25/2018	The Housing Authority of the County of Lake, Grayslake, IL, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Determine whether the rent charged to the Housing Choice Voucher Program for the Family Self-Sufficiency program office space in the Authority's main office and in its public housing property is appropriate and reasonable.	12/20/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-CH-1007-003-I	9/25/2018	The Housing Authority of the County of Lake, Grayslake, IL, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Determine any remaining amounts for bank service charges inappropriately charged to the Housing Choice Voucher Program and ensure that the various programs reimburse the Program as appropriate for all bank service charges not appropriately allocated.	12/20/2019
2018-CH-1007-003-H	9/25/2018	The Housing Authority of the County of Lake, Grayslake, IL, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Review the Authority's Project-Based Voucher contracts, determine the total amount to be reimbursed to the project owners, and require the Authority to (1) remove all inappropriate language from its contracts, (2) issue amended contracts as necessary, and (3) reimburse the inappropriate charges to the applicable project owners from non-Federal funds.	12/20/2019
2018-CH-1007-003-E	9/25/2018	The Housing Authority of the County of Lake, Grayslake, IL, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Determine the appropriate allocations of the bank service charges and reimburse its Housing Choice Voucher Program from the various programs to ensure that \$1,848 is available for appropriate program use.	12/20/2019
2018-CH-1007-002-D	9/25/2018	The Housing Authority of the County of Lake, Grayslake, IL, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Enter into repayment agreements to pursue collection from the applicable households or reimburse its program \$6,367 from non-Federal funds for the overpayment of housing assistance due to unreported or underreported income	12/20/2019
2018-CH-1007-002-B	9/25/2018	The Housing Authority of the County of Lake, Grayslake, IL, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Reimburse the appropriate households \$3,590 from program funds for the underpayment of housing assistance due to inappropriate calculations.	12/20/2019
2018-CH-1007-001-H	9/25/2018	The Housing Authority of the County of Lake, Grayslake, IL, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Ensure that its newly created policies and procedures include a process for ensuring that (1) escrow balances are correctly calculated and disbursed, (2) escrow accounts and disbursements are fully supported, and (3) forfeited escrow account funds are returned to the Housing Choice Voucher Program as required.	12/20/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-CH-1007-001-F	9/25/2018	The Housing Authority of the County of Lake, Grayslake, IL, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Implement procedures and controls to ensure that documentation required by HUD and the Authority's own action plan is correctly completed, documented, and updated.	12/20/2019
2018-PH-1007-003-D	9/25/2018	The Crisfield Housing Authority, Crisfield, MD, Did Not Properly Administer Its Public Housing Program Operating and Capital Funds	In Process	Develop and implement controls to ensure that program funds are used for eligible activities only.	12/31/2019
2018-PH-1007-003-C	9/25/2018	The Crisfield Housing Authority, Crisfield, MD, Did Not Properly Administer Its Public Housing Program Operating and Capital Funds	In Process	Develop and implement controls over its procurement actions to ensure that prices paid for goods and services are reasonable.	12/31/2019
2018-PH-1007-003-B	9/25/2018	The Crisfield Housing Authority, Crisfield, MD, Did Not Properly Administer Its Public Housing Program Operating and Capital Funds	In Process	Reimburse its program \$170,784 from non-Federal funds for the ineligible salary payments and ongoing security payments.	12/31/2019
2018-PH-1007-003-A	9/25/2018	The Crisfield Housing Authority, Crisfield, MD, Did Not Properly Administer Its Public Housing Program Operating and Capital Funds	In Process	Provide documentation to show that products and services totaling \$171,822 were purchased at fair and reasonable prices. For any amounts determined to be unreasonable and not supported, the Authority should reimburse the program from non-Federal funds.	12/31/2019
2018-PH-1007-002-C	9/25/2018	The Crisfield Housing Authority, Crisfield, MD, Did Not Properly Administer Its Public Housing Program Operating and Capital Funds	In Process	Develop and implement controls to prevent and detect conflict-of-interest situations.	12/31/2019
2018-PH-1007-002-B	9/25/2018	The Crisfield Housing Authority, Crisfield, MD, Did Not Properly Administer Its Public Housing Program Operating and Capital Funds	In Process	Submit a retroactive request for a waiver to the conflict-of-interest requirements to support payments totaling \$1,499,137 or reimburse the appropriate fund from non-Federal funds for any amount not covered by a waiver.	12/31/2019
2018-PH-1007-002-A	9/25/2018	The Crisfield Housing Authority, Crisfield, MD, Did Not Properly Administer Its Public Housing Program Operating and Capital Funds	In Process	Reimburse its program \$111,568 from non-Federal funds for the ineligible payments it made due to the conflict-of-interest situations identified by the audit.	12/31/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-PH-1007-001-I	9/25/2018	The Crisfield Housing Authority, Crisfield, MD, Did Not Properly Administer Its Public Housing Program Operating and Capital Funds	In Process	Provide training and technical assistance to the Authority to ensure that it properly administers its operating funds in accordance with applicable requirements.	12/31/2019
2018-PH-1007-001-H	9/25/2018	The Crisfield Housing Authority, Crisfield, MD, Did Not Properly Administer Its Public Housing Program Operating and Capital Funds	In Process	Develop and implement procedures to ensure that flat rents are calculated correctly for those families that choose to pay flat rent, thereby ensuring that it collects at least \$38,664 in additional rental income.	12/31/2019
2018-PH-1007-001-G	9/25/2018	The Crisfield Housing Authority, Crisfield, MD, Did Not Properly Administer Its Public Housing Program Operating and Capital Funds	In Process	Develop and implement procedures to have a supervisor review tenant files to ensure that the files are accurate and complete and comply with program requirements.	12/31/2019
2018-PH-1007-001-F	9/25/2018	The Crisfield Housing Authority, Crisfield, MD, Did Not Properly Administer Its Public Housing Program Operating and Capital Funds	In Process	Develop and implement controls to ensure that it pays for services only after it receives the documentation required to be provided by contract and that provided services are supported by a contract.	12/31/2019
2018-PH-1007-001-E	9/25/2018	The Crisfield Housing Authority, Crisfield, MD, Did Not Properly Administer Its Public Housing Program Operating and Capital Funds	In Process	Correct the rent calculations for the employees whom it charged an extremely low rent, thereby ensuring that it collects an estimated \$25,248 more in rent over the next year.	12/31/2019
2018-PH-1007-001-D	9/25/2018	The Crisfield Housing Authority, Crisfield, MD, Did Not Properly Administer Its Public Housing Program Operating and Capital Funds	In Process	Correct the rent calculations for the 54 families identified by the audit to properly implement flat rent.	12/31/2019
2018-PH-1007-001-C	9/25/2018	The Crisfield Housing Authority, Crisfield, MD, Did Not Properly Administer Its Public Housing Program Operating and Capital Funds	In Process	Develop and implement controls to ensure that families are properly selected from the waiting list and that their selection is documented in their tenant files.	12/31/2019
2018-PH-1007-001-B	9/25/2018	The Crisfield Housing Authority, Crisfield, MD, Did Not Properly Administer Its Public Housing Program Operating and Capital Funds	In Process	Provide the documentation that was missing from the 14 files reviewed. If documentation cannot be provided, the Authority should follow applicable regulations and terminate or modify assistance as necessary.	12/31/2019
2018-PH-1007-001-A	9/25/2018	The Crisfield Housing Authority, Crisfield, MD, Did Not Properly Administer Its Public Housing Program Operating and Capital Funds	In Process	Provide documentation to support the \$137,500 paid for security services from operating funds or reimburse its program from non-Federal funds for any costs that it cannot support.	12/31/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-NY-0001-001-D	9/24/2018	HUD Did Not Adequately Administer Its Housing Counseling Program	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Housing Counseling ensure that the new Housing Counseling Agency Management System provides HUD with the ability to adequately oversee the work of its staff and track important housing counseling agency milestones, including HUD approval expirations and required terminations.	3/31/2021
2018-NY-0001-001-C	9/24/2018	HUD Did Not Adequately Administer Its Housing Counseling Program	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Housing Counseling update its policies and procedures to ensure consistency and adequacy of the agency approval, performance review, voucher approval, and termination and posttermination processes. Specifically, the updates should ensure that the deficiencies identified in this report are acknowledged and corrected going forward, including (1) updating the Housing Counseling Program handbook and developing or updating standard operating procedures for each of the key processes and (2) implementing controls to ensure that staff perform work properly and consistently, and maintain significant documentation provided by agencies, along with any analysis performed during reviews.	3/31/2021
2018-NY-0001-001-B	9/24/2018	HUD Did Not Adequately Administer Its Housing Counseling Program	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Housing Counseling obtain and provide documentation for the four housing counseling agency reapprovals and the three housing counseling agency voucher approvals to show that the \$1,310,719 in Housing Counseling Grant funds ⁵ disbursed was for eligible and supported costs or repay from non-Federal funds any amount that cannot be supported.	3/31/2021
2018-NY-0001-001-A	9/24/2018	HUD Did Not Adequately Administer Its Housing Counseling Program	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Housing Counseling identify additional housing counseling agencies that were classified as reapproved when it had not completed a performance review upon expiration of the approved period and determine whether they are properly qualified to provide counseling services to consumers.	3/31/2021
2018-LA-0005-001-C	9/21/2018	HUD Did Not Have Adequate Controls To Ensure That Partial Claim Notes for FHA Loans Were Properly Tracked for Future Collection	In Process	Remove 24 duplicate partial claim note entries from SMART, resulting in funds to be put to better use in the amount of \$697,354.	5/1/2019
2018-LA-0005-001-O	9/21/2018	HUD Did Not Have Adequate Controls To Ensure That Partial Claim Notes for FHA Loans Were Properly Tracked for Future Collection	In Process	Develop and implement additional controls to ensure that it releases mortgages only when they have been satisfied.	12/31/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-LA-0005-001-N	9/21/2018	HUD Did Not Have Adequate Controls To Ensure That Partial Claim Notes for FHA Loans Were Properly Tracked for Future Collection	In Process	Develop and implement additional controls to ensure that it accepts only note and mortgage documents with correct amounts that could be matched to the amount recorded in SMART and that the note and mortgage documents marked as received are entered into SMART.	12/31/2019
2018-LA-0005-001-L	9/21/2018	HUD Did Not Have Adequate Controls To Ensure That Partial Claim Notes for FHA Loans Were Properly Tracked for Future Collection	In Process	Update its procedures to allow automatic boarding for all partial claims paid to eliminate errors caused by manual boarding, including partial claim notes not boarded, and partial claim notes boarded but not yet paid by HUD.	12/31/2019
2018-LA-0005-001-K	9/21/2018	HUD Did Not Have Adequate Controls To Ensure That Partial Claim Notes for FHA Loans Were Properly Tracked for Future Collection	In Process	Review two loans in which the partial claim note amount entered was different from the note or mortgage amount to ensure that the appropriate partial claim note amount is entered into SMART, resulting in funds to be put to better use in the amount of \$622.	12/31/2019
2018-LA-0005-001-J	9/21/2018	HUD Did Not Have Adequate Controls To Ensure That Partial Claim Notes for FHA Loans Were Properly Tracked for Future Collection	In Process	Collect on one partial claim note for which the loan had matured, resulting in funds to be put to better use in the amount of \$1,905.	12/31/2019
2018-LA-0005-001-I	9/21/2018	HUD Did Not Have Adequate Controls To Ensure That Partial Claim Notes for FHA Loans Were Properly Tracked for Future Collection	In Process	Reverse the transaction for one loan for which the transaction removing a partial claim note was made in error, resulting in funds to be put to better use in the amount of \$63,591.	12/31/2019
2018-LA-0005-001-H	9/21/2018	HUD Did Not Have Adequate Controls To Ensure That Partial Claim Notes for FHA Loans Were Properly Tracked for Future Collection	In Process	Review four loans with partial claim notes already recorded in SMART and remove those loans in which HUD has not paid a partial claim, resulting in funds to be put to better use in the amount of \$93,409.	12/31/2019
2018-LA-0005-001-G	9/21/2018	HUD Did Not Have Adequate Controls To Ensure That Partial Claim Notes for FHA Loans Were Properly Tracked for Future Collection	In Process	Rerecord the mortgage documents at the respective counties' offices for 18 loans for which the partial claim mortgages were inappropriately released, resulting in funds to be put to better use in the amount of \$451,000.	12/31/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-LA-0005-001-F	9/21/2018	HUD Did Not Have Adequate Controls To Ensure That Partial Claim Notes for FHA Loans Were Properly Tracked for Future Collection	In Process	Coordinate with the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Finance and Budget to record lender payments to update the balances for 139 loans in SMART, resulting in funds to be put to better use in the amount of \$1,055,113.	12/31/2019
2018-LA-0005-001-E	9/21/2018	HUD Did Not Have Adequate Controls To Ensure That Partial Claim Notes for FHA Loans Were Properly Tracked for Future Collection	In Process	Review 249 loans labeled with “DNU” in appendix D to identify any missing note or mortgage documents for the partial claims. For loans identified with missing documents, the Deputy Assistant Secretary should obtain the documents or require the lender to reimburse HUD for the partial claim note. For loans identified with unrecorded mortgage documents, the Deputy Assistant Secretary should require them to be recorded at the county’s office to ensure that HUD’s interests are protected.	12/31/2019
2018-LA-0005-001-D	9/21/2018	HUD Did Not Have Adequate Controls To Ensure That Partial Claim Notes for FHA Loans Were Properly Tracked for Future Collection	In Process	Obtain the missing mortgage documents for 33 loans and the missing note documents for 40 loans, totaling \$644,767 in partial claim notes, and require any unrecorded mortgage documents to be recorded at the appropriate county’s office to ensure that HUD’s interests are protected. For any missing documents that cannot be obtained, the Deputy Assistant Secretary should require the lender to reimburse HUD for the partial claim note.	12/31/2019
2018-LA-0005-001-B	9/21/2018	HUD Did Not Have Adequate Controls To Ensure That Partial Claim Notes for FHA Loans Were Properly Tracked for Future Collection	In Process	Coordinate with the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Finance and Budget to board 350 manually paid partial claims that were not boarded into SMART, resulting in funds to be put to better use in the amount of \$2,297,706.	12/31/2019
2018-LA-0005-001-A	9/21/2018	HUD Did Not Have Adequate Controls To Ensure That Partial Claim Notes for FHA Loans Were Properly Tracked for Future Collection	In Process	Board 47 partial claim notes that were not boarded into SMART, resulting in funds to be put to better use in the amount of \$716,061.	12/31/2019
2018-PH-1006-001-F	9/21/2018	The Owner of Luther Towers II, Wilmington, DE, Did Not Manage Its HUD-Insured Project in Accordance With Its Regulatory Agreement and HUD Requirements	In Process	Provide training and technical assistance to the owner’s executive director and staff to ensure compliance with the terms of its regulatory agreement and applicable HUD requirements.	2/22/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-PH-1006-001-E	9/21/2018	The Owner of Luther Towers II, Wilmington, DE, Did Not Manage Its HUD-Insured Project in Accordance With Its Regulatory Agreement and HUD Requirements	In Process	Develop and implement controls to ensure that the project complies with the regulatory agreement and applicable HUD requirements, including but not limited to policies and procedures for maintaining project funds in separate bank accounts in the project's name, using project funds only for necessary expenses of the project, and reconciling bank accounts to the project's computerized accounting records.	2/22/2020
2018-PH-1006-001-D	9/21/2018	The Owner of Luther Towers II, Wilmington, DE, Did Not Manage Its HUD-Insured Project in Accordance With Its Regulatory Agreement and HUD Requirements	In Process	Submit a project owner's or management agent's certification, management entity profile, current budget and other required documentation to HUD for review and approval.	2/22/2020
2018-PH-1006-001-C	9/21/2018	The Owner of Luther Towers II, Wilmington, DE, Did Not Manage Its HUD-Insured Project in Accordance With Its Regulatory Agreement and HUD Requirements	In Process	Take immediate action to remove project bank accounts as security for the owner's line of credit and, thereby put up to \$100,000 to better use.	2/22/2020
2018-PH-1006-001-B	9/21/2018	The Owner of Luther Towers II, Wilmington, DE, Did Not Manage Its HUD-Insured Project in Accordance With Its Regulatory Agreement and HUD Requirements	In Process	Provide documentation to show that project funds are segregated in the project's name, in accordance with the regulatory agreement and HUD requirements.	2/22/2020
2018-PH-1006-001-A	9/21/2018	The Owner of Luther Towers II, Wilmington, DE, Did Not Manage Its HUD-Insured Project in Accordance With Its Regulatory Agreement and HUD Requirements	In Process	Provide documentation to show that disbursements totaling \$2,136,849 and any bank transfers to the owner's non-project accounts that occurred outside of our audit period were reasonable and necessary expenses for the operation of the project or repay the project from non-project funds for any amount that it cannot support.	2/22/2020
2018-LA-0005-001-M	9/21/2018	HUD Did Not Have Adequate Controls To Ensure That Partial Claim Notes for FHA Loans Were Properly Tracked for Future Collection	In Process	Develop and implement procedures and controls to board all manually paid partial claims and record all lender payments received via claim remittances.	3/21/2020
2018-KC-0004-001-C	9/20/2018	HUD Did Not Always Identify and Collect Partial Claims Out of Surplus Foreclosure Proceeds	In Process	Redesign the partial claim program to eliminate its weaknesses and ensure that partial claims benefit from a stronger lien position to put \$6,770,000 to better use.	12/31/2019
2018-KC-0004-001-B	9/20/2018	HUD Did Not Always Identify and Collect Partial Claims Out of Surplus Foreclosure Proceeds	In Process	Implement a policy to require servicers to send surplus proceeds notifications to the HUD Secretary-held assets servicing contractor and establish procedures to improve HUD's surplus proceeds collection efforts.	12/31/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-KC-0004-001-A	9/20/2018	HUD Did Not Always Identify and Collect Partial Claims Out of Surplus Foreclosure Proceeds	In Process	Pursue the collection of the \$5,690,000 in surplus proceeds that HUD was entitled to receive from 2017 loan terminations.	12/31/2021
2018-BO-1005-002-B	9/19/2018	The State of Connecticut Did Not Ensure That Its Grantees Properly Administered Their Housing Rehabilitation Programs	In Process	Provide additional guidance to its grantees regarding its policy stating that the repair or replacement of paved surfaces should be minimal in cost and incidental to the rehabilitation of the dwelling, including whether grantees are required to consult with the State before starting the work.	2/15/2020
2018-BO-1005-002-A	9/19/2018	The State of Connecticut Did Not Ensure That Its Grantees Properly Administered Their Housing Rehabilitation Programs	In Process	Develop and implement policies and procedures to assess the validity of all program complaints to ensure that they are addressed and resolved in a timely manner.	2/15/2020
2018-BO-1005-001-G	9/19/2018	The State of Connecticut Did Not Ensure That Its Grantees Properly Administered Their Housing Rehabilitation Programs	In Process	Strengthen controls over monitoring to ensure that onsite monitoring and monitoring letters are completed in a timely manner and sufficient supporting documentation is required and reviewed by those responsible for grant oversight.	2/15/2020
2018-BO-1005-001-F	9/19/2018	The State of Connecticut Did Not Ensure That Its Grantees Properly Administered Their Housing Rehabilitation Programs	In Process	Strengthen controls over program oversight to ensure that grantees comply with their agreements and program requirements, including tier two environmental reviews, contract procurements, and homeowner and project eligibility, to ensure that (1) all income, including rental income, is considered; (2) loan-to-value ratios do not exceed 90 percent without State approval; and (3) projects do not exceed the program limits without State approval.	2/15/2020
2018-BO-1005-001-E	9/19/2018	The State of Connecticut Did Not Ensure That Its Grantees Properly Administered Their Housing Rehabilitation Programs	In Process	Support \$422,600 in program income that was not used before additional grant fund drawdowns or repay from non-Federal funds any amount that cannot be supported	2/15/2020
2018-BO-1005-001-D	9/19/2018	The State of Connecticut Did Not Ensure That Its Grantees Properly Administered Their Housing Rehabilitation Programs	In Process	Support \$676,922 for contracts that were improperly procured or repay from non-Federal funds any amount that cannot be supported.	2/15/2020
2018-BO-1005-001-C	9/19/2018	The State of Connecticut Did Not Ensure That Its Grantees Properly Administered Their Housing Rehabilitation Programs	In Process	Support \$249,015 in program costs spent on a 2014 grant for which the grantee was unable to provide a tier one environmental review record or repay from non-Federal funds any amount that cannot be supported	2/15/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-BO-1005-001-B	9/19/2018	The State of Connecticut Did Not Ensure That Its Grantees Properly Administered Their Housing Rehabilitation Programs	In Process	Repay from non-Federal funds the \$434,970 in unreasonable costs charged to the program	2/15/2020
2018-BO-1005-001-A	9/19/2018	The State of Connecticut Did Not Ensure That Its Grantees Properly Administered Their Housing Rehabilitation Programs	In Process	Repay from non-Federal funds the \$1,190,977 in ineligible costs charged to the program.	2/15/2020
2018-PH-1005-001-E	9/19/2018	The Adams County Housing Authority, Gettysburg, PA, Did Not Administer Its Housing Choice Voucher Program According to HUD Requirements	In Process	Provide documentation to show that administrative fees totaling \$47,376 were used to perform administrative duties for the program or repay its program from non-Federal funds for any amount that it cannot support.	11/26/2019
2018-PH-1005-001-C	9/19/2018	The Adams County Housing Authority, Gettysburg, PA, Did Not Administer Its Housing Choice Voucher Program According to HUD Requirements	In Process	Provide documentation to show that indirect payroll expenses totaling \$225,182 charged to the program were reasonable and necessary or repay its program from non-Federal funds for any amount that it cannot support.	11/26/2019
2018-CH-1006-003-F	9/18/2018	The Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority, Columbus, OH, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Public Housing Operating and Capital Fund Programs	In Process	Implement adequate procedures and controls to ensure that it properly reports its expenditures and disbursements in LOCCS in accordance with HUD's requirements.	12/31/2019
2018-CH-1006-003-E	9/18/2018	The Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority, Columbus, OH, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Public Housing Operating and Capital Fund Programs	In Process	Implement adequate procedures and controls to ensure that capital funds are drawn down and disbursed in accordance with HUD's requirements.	12/31/2019
2018-CH-1006-002-B	9/18/2018	The Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority, Columbus, OH, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Public Housing Operating and Capital Fund Programs	In Process	Ensure that the newly developed procedures are sufficient and fully implemented to ensure that the source of funds loaned is properly tracked and that payments received are applied to the appropriate accounts.	12/31/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-CH-1006-002-A	9/18/2018	The Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority, Columbus, OH, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Public Housing Operating and Capital Fund Programs	In Process	Determine the source of funds for the Waggoner Senior Housing note to ensure that the funds were loaned appropriately and that when payments are received, the payments are applied to the appropriate account. If the source of funds cannot be determined, the Authority should reimburse its program \$261,990 from non-Federal funds.	12/31/2019
2018-CH-1006-001-F	9/18/2018	The Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority, Columbus, OH, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Public Housing Operating and Capital Fund Programs	In Process	Ensure that its staff is appropriately trained and familiar with HUD's requirements to ensure that proceeds from future dispositions or sales of public housing property or other HUD assets are appropriately recorded and reported.	12/31/2019
2018-CH-1006-001-E	9/18/2018	The Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority, Columbus, OH, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Public Housing Operating and Capital Fund Programs	In Process	Implement adequate policies and procedures to ensure that future proceeds from the disposition or sale of public housing are appropriately recorded and reported in the Authority's books of record and annual audited financial statements and HUD's Financial Data Schedule system.	12/31/2019
2018-CH-1006-001-D	9/18/2018	The Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority, Columbus, OH, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Public Housing Operating and Capital Fund Programs	In Process	Ensure that the proceeds from the sale of Bollinger Tower proceeds are moved into a separate HUD-approved restricted investment account and appropriately recorded in a restricted account in the general ledger.	12/31/2019
2018-CH-1006-001-C	9/18/2018	The Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority, Columbus, OH, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Public Housing Operating and Capital Fund Programs	In Process	Ensure that its staff is properly trained and familiar with HUD's requirements to ensure that it properly procures and invests Federal funds in HUD-approved investment accounts.	12/31/2019
2018-CH-1006-001-B	9/18/2018	The Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority, Columbus, OH, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Public Housing Operating and Capital Fund Programs	In Process	Implement adequate procedures and controls to ensure that the Authority complies with HUD's requirements for its investments of Federal funds.	12/31/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-BO-0001-002-E	9/17/2018	HUD's Office of Residential Care Facilities Did Not Always Have and Use Financial Information to Adequately Assess and Monitor Nursing Homes	In Process	Develop and implement metrics to evaluate each nursing home's financial data for changes in utilization, payments, profitability, and solvency (debt service credit ratios).	12/2/2019
2018-BO-0001-002-D	9/17/2018	HUD's Office of Residential Care Facilities Did Not Always Have and Use Financial Information to Adequately Assess and Monitor Nursing Homes	In Process	Develop and implement procedures for referring operators who fail to provide required financial statements to the Departmental Enforcement Center.	12/2/2019
2018-BO-0001-001-K	9/17/2018	HUD's Office of Residential Care Facilities Did Not Always Have and Use Financial Information to Adequately Assess and Monitor Nursing Homes	In Process	Develop and implement policies and procedures to revisit the classifications at least annually.	12/2/2019
2018-BO-0001-001-J	9/17/2018	HUD's Office of Residential Care Facilities Did Not Always Have and Use Financial Information to Adequately Assess and Monitor Nursing Homes	In Process	Define the troubled and potentially troubled classifications for nursing homes and develop specific measures to identify when and how nursing homes are classified.	12/2/2019
2018-BO-0001-001-I	9/17/2018	HUD's Office of Residential Care Facilities Did Not Always Have and Use Financial Information to Adequately Assess and Monitor Nursing Homes	In Process	Require Shawnee Christian Nursing Center to return 27 beds to operation or pay down the mortgage by \$815,973	12/2/2019
2018-BO-0001-001-H	9/17/2018	HUD's Office of Residential Care Facilities Did Not Always Have and Use Financial Information to Adequately Assess and Monitor Nursing Homes	In Process	Require the owners of Bishop Wicke Health and Rehabilitation Center, Plaza Village Senior Living, Golden Hill Health Care Center, Immanuel Campus of Care, and their related companies to reimburse the nursing homes for the ineligible expenses of \$7,027,289.	12/2/2019
2018-BO-0001-001-G	9/17/2018	HUD's Office of Residential Care Facilities Did Not Always Have and Use Financial Information to Adequately Assess and Monitor Nursing Homes	In Process	Require Jamaica Hospital Nursing Home to provide support for \$44,483,000 in accounts payable. Any amount that the owner cannot support as reasonable in price and necessary to the nursing home should be removed from the accounts payable	12/2/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-BO-0001-001-F	9/17/2018	HUD's Office of Residential Care Facilities Did Not Always Have and Use Financial Information to Adequately Assess and Monitor Nursing Homes	In Process	Require Jamaica Hospital Nursing Home to provide support for \$8,974,000 paid to its related company. Any amount that the owner cannot support as reasonable in price and necessary to the nursing home should be repaid to the nursing home.	12/2/2019
2018-BO-0001-001-D	9/17/2018	HUD's Office of Residential Care Facilities Did Not Always Have and Use Financial Information to Adequately Assess and Monitor Nursing Homes	In Process	Refer regulatory agreement violations to the Departmental Enforcement Center within 30 days of HUD having identified it and work with the Departmental Enforcement Center to develop a plan for resolving the violation. Any revisions to the plan must be accepted by the Departmental Enforcement Center.	12/2/2019
2018-BO-0001-001-C	9/17/2018	HUD's Office of Residential Care Facilities Did Not Always Have and Use Financial Information to Adequately Assess and Monitor Nursing Homes	In Process	Develop and implement policies and procedures to address delinquent or defaulted mortgages that would not benefit from refinancing.	12/2/2019
2018-BO-0001-001-B	9/17/2018	HUD's Office of Residential Care Facilities Did Not Always Have and Use Financial Information to Adequately Assess and Monitor Nursing Homes	In Process	Implement the action plan for the four defaulted nursing homes to protect HUD's investment of \$41,435,357. This amount represents the collective funds put to better use for these nursing homes. Appendix E lists the funds to be put to better use by nursing home	12/2/2019
2018-BO-0001-001-A	9/17/2018	HUD's Office of Residential Care Facilities Did Not Always Have and Use Financial Information to Adequately Assess and Monitor Nursing Homes	In Process	Work with the owners, lenders, operators, and management agents (as applicable) to develop and implement an action plan for potentially troubled and troubled nursing homes. Each plan should include an analysis of the root causes of that nursing home's challenges and define specific and measureable steps that address the root causes. Each step should have a defined completion date.	12/2/2019
2018-BO-0001-002-B	9/17/2018	HUD's Office of Residential Care Facilities Did Not Always Have and Use Financial Information to Adequately Assess and Monitor Nursing Homes	In Process	Develop and implement procedures to require owners, operators, and lenders to submit accurate and complete financial data.	11/1/2021
2018-BO-0001-002-A	9/17/2018	HUD's Office of Residential Care Facilities Did Not Always Have and Use Financial Information to Adequately Assess and Monitor Nursing Homes	In Process	Develop and implement computerized controls to flag blank data fields and illogical financial data.	11/1/2021

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-PH-0002-001-D	9/10/2018	HUD Did Not Provide Adequate Oversight of Its Family Self-Sufficiency Program	In Process	Develop and implement a plan to monitor grantee FSS programs, including to ensure that escrow accounts are calculated correctly.	12/31/2019
2018-PH-0002-001-C	9/10/2018	HUD Did Not Provide Adequate Oversight of Its Family Self-Sufficiency Program	In Process	Monitor the grantees' efforts to improve the accuracy and completeness of the PIC program data to ensure that program outcomes reported to Congress are accurately supported.	12/31/2019
2018-DE-1001-004-C	9/6/2018	Meeker Housing Authority, Meeker, CO, Improperly Used Project Operating Funds for Its 221(d)(3) Multifamily Housing Insurance Program	In Process	Develop and implement policies and procedures for the use of reserve for replacement funds, which ensure that the project does not use operating funds for any items that qualify for the use of reserve for replacement funds.	3/2/2020
2018-DE-1001-004-B	9/6/2018	Meeker Housing Authority, Meeker, CO, Improperly Used Project Operating Funds for Its 221(d)(3) Multifamily Housing Insurance Program	In Process	Receive training on the proper use of its reserve for replacement account.	3/2/2020
2018-DE-1001-004-A	9/6/2018	Meeker Housing Authority, Meeker, CO, Improperly Used Project Operating Funds for Its 221(d)(3) Multifamily Housing Insurance Program	In Process	Reimburse the project's operating account \$50,400 from the reserve for replacement account.	3/2/2020
2018-DE-1001-003-E	9/6/2018	Meeker Housing Authority, Meeker, CO, Improperly Used Project Operating Funds for Its 221(d)(3) Multifamily Housing Insurance Program	In Process	Maintain separate books of account and submit audited financial statements solely for the project.	3/2/2020
2018-DE-1001-003-D	9/6/2018	Meeker Housing Authority, Meeker, CO, Improperly Used Project Operating Funds for Its 221(d)(3) Multifamily Housing Insurance Program	In Process	Develop and implement policies and procedures to ensure that the certified public accountant submits audited financial statements by the required HUD deadline.	3/2/2020
2018-DE-1001-003-C	9/6/2018	Meeker Housing Authority, Meeker, CO, Improperly Used Project Operating Funds for Its 221(d)(3) Multifamily Housing Insurance Program	In Process	Work with the local multifamily office to make any outstanding mortgage payments or devise a plan to bring the property current through the use of available funds.	3/2/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-DE-1001-003-B	9/6/2018	Meeker Housing Authority, Meeker, CO, Improperly Used Project Operating Funds for Its 221(d)(3) Multifamily Housing Insurance Program	In Process	Review the audited financial statements and determine the amount of outstanding mortgage payments due.	3/2/2020
2018-DE-1001-003-A	9/6/2018	Meeker Housing Authority, Meeker, CO, Improperly Used Project Operating Funds for Its 221(d)(3) Multifamily Housing Insurance Program	In Process	Submit any outstanding audited financial statements.	3/2/2020
2018-DE-1001-002-D	9/6/2018	Meeker Housing Authority, Meeker, CO, Improperly Used Project Operating Funds for Its 221(d)(3) Multifamily Housing Insurance Program	In Process	Develop and implement detailed policies and procedures for procurement, travel, and the use of its credit cards. These controls should comply with HUD regulations regarding procurement and other disbursement documentation requirements.	3/2/2020
2018-DE-1001-002-C	9/6/2018	Meeker Housing Authority, Meeker, CO, Improperly Used Project Operating Funds for Its 221(d)(3) Multifamily Housing Insurance Program	In Process	Obtain training on the eligible use of project funds.	3/2/2020
2018-DE-1001-002-B	9/6/2018	Meeker Housing Authority, Meeker, CO, Improperly Used Project Operating Funds for Its 221(d)(3) Multifamily Housing Insurance Program	In Process	Provide support for the \$12,355 in project funds spent to pay individuals who were not on the payroll and for services provided for project operations. For any portion of this amount that is not supported, the owner should repay the project operating account using nonproject funds.	3/2/2020
2018-DE-1001-002-A	9/6/2018	Meeker Housing Authority, Meeker, CO, Improperly Used Project Operating Funds for Its 221(d)(3) Multifamily Housing Insurance Program	In Process	Repay the project's operating account from nonproject funds for the \$142,805 spent on ineligible expenses.	3/2/2020
2018-DE-1001-001-D	9/6/2018	Meeker Housing Authority, Meeker, CO, Improperly Used Project Operating Funds for Its 221(d)(3) Multifamily Housing Insurance Program	In Process	Take HUD-approved training related to operating and maintaining a multifamily project.	3/2/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-DE-1001-001-C	9/6/2018	Meeker Housing Authority, Meeker, CO, Improperly Used Project Operating Funds for Its 221(d)(3) Multifamily Housing Insurance Program	In Process	Develop and implement controls over the distinction between project funds and owner funds. These controls should include policies related to when the owner is allowed to take project funds owed to the owner.	3/2/2020
2018-DE-1001-001-B	9/6/2018	Meeker Housing Authority, Meeker, CO, Improperly Used Project Operating Funds for Its 221(d)(3) Multifamily Housing Insurance Program	In Process	Separate all owner bank accounts from the project's bank accounts.	3/2/2020
2018-DE-1001-001-A	9/6/2018	Meeker Housing Authority, Meeker, CO, Improperly Used Project Operating Funds for Its 221(d)(3) Multifamily Housing Insurance Program	In Process	Put all project bank accounts in the name of the project.	3/2/2020
2018-FW-1006-001-F	8/31/2018	Louis Manor Apartments, Port Arthur, TX, Multifamily Section 8 Program, Subsidized Unsupported Tenants and Uninspected Units	In Process	We recommend that the Southwest Region Director of Multifamily Housing require the Louis Manor Apartments' owner to implement appropriate controls to ensure that tenants are eligible, housing assistance subsidies are accurate, and tenant files contain all required documentation.	1/31/2020
2018-FW-1006-001-E	8/31/2018	Louis Manor Apartments, Port Arthur, TX, Multifamily Section 8 Program, Subsidized Unsupported Tenants and Uninspected Units	In Process	We recommend that the Southwest Region Director of Multifamily Housing require the Louis Manor Apartments' owner to implement appropriate controls to ensure that it performs annual inspections, as required.	1/31/2020
2018-FW-1006-001-D	8/31/2018	Louis Manor Apartments, Port Arthur, TX, Multifamily Section 8 Program, Subsidized Unsupported Tenants and Uninspected Units	In Process	We recommend that the Southwest Region Director of Multifamily Housing require the Louis Manor Apartments' owner to perform inspections for the units that lacked inspection reports	1/31/2020
2018-FW-1006-001-C	8/31/2018	Louis Manor Apartments, Port Arthur, TX, Multifamily Section 8 Program, Subsidized Unsupported Tenants and Uninspected Units	In Process	We recommend that the Southwest Region Director of Multifamily Housing require the Louis Manor Apartments' owner to properly house the five families discussed in the finding in the correct unit size when an appropriate sized unit is available and ensure that its other tenants are housed in the correct unit size.	1/31/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-FW-1006-001-B	8/31/2018	Louis Manor Apartments, Port Arthur, TX, Multifamily Section 8 Program, Subsidized Unsupported Tenants and Uninspected Units	In Process	We recommend that the Southwest Region Director of Multifamily Housing require the Louis Manor Apartments' owner to implement appropriate controls to ensure that it conducts annual recertifications for all tenants in a timely manner, as required.	1/31/2020
2018-FW-1006-001-A	8/31/2018	Louis Manor Apartments, Port Arthur, TX, Multifamily Section 8 Program, Subsidized Unsupported Tenants and Uninspected Units	In Process	We recommend that the Southwest Region Director of Multifamily Housing require the Louis Manor Apartments' owner to support that the subsidies for 14 tenants with missing income verifications, income discrepancies, incorrect annual income, and missing annual certifications were adequately supported and accurate or repay HUD \$268,452 for those subsidies. Repayment must be from nonproject funds.	1/31/2020
2018-FW-0003-001-E	8/31/2018	REAC Could Improve Its Inspections Processes and Controls	In Process	We recommend that the Deputy Assistant Secretary for REAC require REAC to develop and implement processes and procedures, in accordance with its system security plan and the HUD Handbook, Information Technology Security Policy, to ensure that annual assessments and continuous monitoring of the security controls are performed and that security control failures are prevented and corrected when identified.	11/14/2019
2018-FW-0003-001-C	8/31/2018	REAC Could Improve Its Inspections Processes and Controls	In Process	We recommend that the Deputy Assistant Secretary for REAC require REAC to develop, use, and document an electronic checklist for each contract inspector's file to ensure that inspectors (1) obtain and maintain the required insurance, and (2) have approved background checks before conducting inspections. In addition, support that the three sampled inspectors meet the minimum insurance limits for the current effective periods.	11/14/2019
2018-FW-0003-001-B	8/31/2018	REAC Could Improve Its Inspections Processes and Controls	In Process	We recommend that the Deputy Assistant Secretary for REAC require REAC to ensure that the nine contract inspectors, who did not meet the minimum requirements to begin the training, receive specialized training in residential or commercial building for electrical; heating, ventilation, and air conditioning; masonry; plumbing; and carpentry, as applicable.	11/14/2019
2018-FW-0003-001-A	8/31/2018	REAC Could Improve Its Inspections Processes and Controls	In Process	We recommend that the Deputy Assistant Secretary for REAC require REAC to develop and implement written policies and procedures requiring REAC to (1) select a sample of inspector candidates, (2) require the sampled inspector candidates to provide written documentation supporting their minimum qualifications, (3) verify the written documentation provided by the inspector candidates, and (4) document the completion of the verification and method(s) used to verify the documentation.	11/14/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-FW-0003-001-D	8/31/2018	REAC Could Improve Its Inspections Processes and Controls	In Process	We recommend that the Deputy Assistant Secretary for REAC require REAC to execute administrative action related to outside standards determinations for five inspectors.	11/28/2019
2018-LA-0801-001-G	8/27/2018	The Office of Native American Programs Section 184 Program Continues To Operate Without Adequate Oversight 3 Years After the Prior OIG Audit	In Process	Consider adding additional OLG staff, including a full time director to provide additional leadership and management oversight.	12/31/2019
2018-LA-0801-001-F	8/27/2018	The Office of Native American Programs Section 184 Program Continues To Operate Without Adequate Oversight 3 Years After the Prior OIG Audit	In Process	Develop and implement a comprehensive plan to use unobligated administrative contract expense funds.	12/31/2019
2018-LA-0801-001-E	8/27/2018	The Office of Native American Programs Section 184 Program Continues To Operate Without Adequate Oversight 3 Years After the Prior OIG Audit	In Process	Develop and implement policies and procedures, coordinating with other program offices as needed, to track and make administrative contract expense fund expenditures readily available for review.	12/31/2019
2018-LA-0801-001-D	8/27/2018	The Office of Native American Programs Section 184 Program Continues To Operate Without Adequate Oversight 3 Years After the Prior OIG Audit	In Process	Support line item expenditures for the administrative contract expense fund for fiscal years 2015 to 2018. OLG should repay the U.S. Department of the Treasury for any expenditures that cannot be supported.	12/31/2019
2018-LA-0801-001-C	8/27/2018	The Office of Native American Programs Section 184 Program Continues To Operate Without Adequate Oversight 3 Years After the Prior OIG Audit	In Process	Develop and implement internal ONAP and OLG policies and procedures for the audit resolution process, complementing HUD Handbook 2000.06, to include management oversight and review of documents prepared and submitted to evidence that corrective actions have been adequately developed and fully implemented.	12/31/2019
2018-LA-0801-001-B	8/27/2018	The Office of Native American Programs Section 184 Program Continues To Operate Without Adequate Oversight 3 Years After the Prior OIG Audit	In Process	Develop a comprehensive plan to continue to seek indemnification statutory authority, including consideration to include indemnification authority language in draft regulations currently being considered. Until statutory authority is obtained, develop and implement internal policies and procedures for the voluntary indemnification process, to include a voluntary indemnification agreement, followup procedures, and resolution procedures. Procedures should be revised once statutory authority is obtained.	12/31/2021

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-LA-0801-001-A	8/27/2018	The Office of Native American Programs Section 184 Program Continues To Operate Without Adequate Oversight 3 Years After the Prior OIG Audit	In Process	Develop and implement internal policies and procedures to ensure that approved underwriters are accurately maintained and kept current in the origination systems for the Section 184 program.	12/31/2021
2018-FW-1802-001-A	8/21/2018	Final Civil Action: The Former Executive Director of the Housing Authority of the City of Beeville, TX, Et Al, Settled False Claims Allegations in the Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Office of OGC, Office of Program Enforcement, acknowledge that the \$40,000 in the settlement agreement represents an amount due HUD.	12/31/2022
2018-KC-0002-001-C	8/7/2018	HUD's Office of the CFO Did Not Locate or Recover Its Funds Held by State Unclaimed Property Administrators	In Process	Establish and implement policies and procedures to ensure that all of its unclaimed funds are claimed and the money is appropriately routed to put \$2.2 million to better use. At a minimum, these policies and procedures should address what constitutes FHA and Ginnie Mae funds, ensure that these funds are returned to FHA or Ginnie Mae as appropriate, and include policies to claim co-owned funds with any appropriate thresholds or limitations.	6/30/2019
2018-KC-0002-001-B	8/7/2018	HUD's Office of the CFO Did Not Locate or Recover Its Funds Held by State Unclaimed Property Administrators	In Process	Work with Treasury to identify and obtain reimbursement for FHA's and Ginnie Mae's portion of the \$1.9 million in HUD funds that Treasury collected.	6/30/2019
2018-FW-1005-001-E	8/2/2018	Eastwood Terrace Apartments, Nacogdoches, TX, Multifamily Section 8, Subsidized Questionable Tenants, Overhoused Tenants and Uninspected Units	In Process	We recommend that the Southwest Region Director of Multifamily Housing require the Eastwood Terrace Apartments owner to maintain tenant files in a manner that ensures they contain the correct records and all required documentation.	9/9/2019
2018-FW-1005-001-D	8/2/2018	Eastwood Terrace Apartments, Nacogdoches, TX, Multifamily Section 8, Subsidized Questionable Tenants, Overhoused Tenants and Uninspected Units	In Process	We recommend that the Southwest Region Director of Multifamily Housing require the Eastwood Terrace Apartments owner to ensure that its new property management agent is providing oversight to its onsite staff and that its recently implemented quality control program is working as designed and in accordance with HUD requirements.	9/9/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-FW-1005-001-C	8/2/2018	Eastwood Terrace Apartments, Nacogdoches, TX, Multifamily Section 8, Subsidized Questionable Tenants, Overhoused Tenants and Uninspected Units	In Process	We recommend that the Southwest Region Director of Multifamily Housing require the Eastwood Terrace Apartments owner to ensure annual inspections are performed in a timely manner and in accordance with HUD requirements.	9/9/2019
2018-FW-1005-001-B	8/2/2018	Eastwood Terrace Apartments, Nacogdoches, TX, Multifamily Section 8, Subsidized Questionable Tenants, Overhoused Tenants and Uninspected Units	In Process	We recommend that the Southwest Region Director of Multifamily Housing require the Eastwood Terrace Apartments owner to ensure tenants are housed in the correct unit size.	9/9/2019
2018-FW-1005-001-A	8/2/2018	Eastwood Terrace Apartments, Nacogdoches, TX, Multifamily Section 8, Subsidized Questionable Tenants, Overhoused Tenants and Uninspected Units	In Process	We recommend that the Southwest Region Director of Multifamily Housing require the Eastwood Terrace Apartments owner to support that the subsidies for 77 tenants and units with income discrepancies, missing EIV reports, missing income verifications, missing annual certifications and missing signatures are supported and accurate or repay HUD \$1,865,344 for those subsidies. Repayment must be from non-project funds.	9/9/2019
2018-CH-1002-001-G	8/1/2018	The Indianapolis Housing Agency, Indianapolis, IN, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Regulations and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Financial Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Implement adequate procedures and controls to ensure that it deletes adjustments to accounts payable and receivable and makes adjustments to accounts payable and receivable in accordance with HUD's regulations and its administrative plan.	12/27/2019
2018-CH-1002-001-F	8/1/2018	The Indianapolis Housing Agency, Indianapolis, IN, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Regulations and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Financial Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Provide sufficient documentation to support that an adjustment to accounts payable and five adjustments to accounts receivable were appropriate. If the Agency cannot do this, it should make the appropriate accounting entries and take the appropriate actions.	12/27/2019
2018-CH-1002-001-E	8/1/2018	The Indianapolis Housing Agency, Indianapolis, IN, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Regulations and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Financial Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Determine whether the tenant paid the landlord nearly \$1,284 for housing assistance payments that the Agency did not make to the landlord. If the tenant paid the landlord, the Agency should make the appropriate accounting entries and reimburse the tenant from program funds. If the tenant did not pay the landlord, the Agency should reinstate the adjustments to accounts payable that were inappropriately deleted and pay the landlord from program funds.	12/27/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-CH-1002-001-D	8/1/2018	The Indianapolis Housing Agency, Indianapolis, IN, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Regulations and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Financial Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Provide sufficient documentation to support that the two deleted adjustments to accounts payable and four deleted adjustments to accounts receivable were duplicate or incorrect adjustments that should have been deleted. If the Agency cannot do this, it should make the appropriate accounting entries and take the appropriate actions.	12/27/2019
2018-CH-1002-001-C	8/1/2018	The Indianapolis Housing Agency, Indianapolis, IN, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Regulations and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Financial Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Implement adequate procedures and controls to ensure that it follows its bad debt writeoff policy when it writes off accounts receivable.	12/27/2019
2018-CH-1002-001-B	8/1/2018	The Indianapolis Housing Agency, Indianapolis, IN, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Regulations and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Financial Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Implement adequate procedures and controls to ensure that it maintains sufficient documentation to support housing and utility assistance payments and that it makes a reasonable effort to collect debts.	12/27/2019
2018-CH-1002-001-A	8/1/2018	The Indianapolis Housing Agency, Indianapolis, IN, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Regulations and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Financial Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Provide sufficient documentation to support that it disbursed the \$199,604 in program funds, which it determined were inappropriate housing or utility assistance payments, and that it made a reasonable effort to collect the debts. If the Agency cannot provide sufficient documentation to support the disbursements and that the debts were uncollectable, it should reimburse its program from non-Federal funds as appropriate. If the Agency provides sufficient documentation to support the disbursements but cannot provide sufficient documentation to support that the debts were uncollectable, it should make a reasonable effort to collect from the debtors or reimburse its program from non-Federal funds as appropriate.	12/27/2019
2018-FW-0002-001-A	7/23/2018	HUD's Office of Block Grant Assistance Had Not Codified the Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Program	In Dispute	We recommend that the Acting Director of OBGA work with HUD's Office of OGC to create a codified Disaster Recovery program.	

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-AT-1009-002-A	7/23/2018	The Pell City Housing Authority, Pell City, AL, Did Not Always Administer Its and the Ragland Housing Authority, Ragland, AL's Funds in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	The Pell City Housing Authority to comply with conflict-of-interest requirements or obtain a waiver from HUD or its board of commissioners.	10/22/2019
2018-AT-1009-001-G	7/23/2018	The Pell City Housing Authority, Pell City, AL, Did Not Always Administer Its and the Ragland Housing Authority, Ragland, AL's Funds in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	The Ragland Housing Authority to support or reimburse its public housing fund \$31,580 (\$1,320 +\$11,887 + \$18,373) for unsupported disbursements.	10/22/2019
2018-AT-1009-001-F	7/23/2018	The Pell City Housing Authority, Pell City, AL, Did Not Always Administer Its and the Ragland Housing Authority, Ragland, AL's Funds in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	The Ragland Housing Authority to reimburse its public housing fund from non-Federal funds \$105 for credit card payments made for ineligible expenditures.	10/22/2019
2018-AT-1009-001-E	7/23/2018	The Pell City Housing Authority, Pell City, AL, Did Not Always Administer Its and the Ragland Housing Authority, Ragland, AL's Funds in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	The Pell City Housing Authority's board of commissioners to receive training to ensure the proper review and approval of expenditures and to understand the requirements relevant to the Authority's financial operations.	10/22/2019
2018-AT-1009-001-B	7/23/2018	The Pell City Housing Authority, Pell City, AL, Did Not Always Administer Its and the Ragland Housing Authority, Ragland, AL's Funds in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	The Pell City Housing Authority to support or reimburse its public housing fund \$12,874 (\$4,709 + \$8,165) for unsupported disbursements.	10/22/2019
2018-AT-1009-001-A	7/23/2018	The Pell City Housing Authority, Pell City, AL, Did Not Always Administer Its and the Ragland Housing Authority, Ragland, AL's Funds in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	The Pell City Housing Authority to reimburse its public housing fund from non-Federal funds \$1,188 for payments made for ineligible credit card expenditures.	10/22/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-AT-1008-001-A	7/13/2018	The Lexington-Fayette Urban County Housing Authority, Lexington, KY, Did Not Fully Comply With HUD's Program Requirements After the Completion of Its Rental Assistance Demonstration Program Conversion	In Process	Reimburse its Section 8 Project-Based Voucher program \$443,204 (\$394,190 in housing assistance payments and \$49,014 in associated administrative fees) from nonproject funds for the payments related to the 206 Authority-owned units' inspections not conducted by a HUD-approved independent entity and for compliance with housing quality standards for the period January 1, 2016, through August 5, 2016.	11/8/2019
2018-AT-1006-003-C	7/13/2018	The Lexington-Fayette Urban County Housing Authority, Lexington, KY, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program Requirements	In Process	Perform all required quality control housing quality standards inspections in compliance with its HUD-approved MTW plan, thus helping to ensure that its inspectors perform housing quality standards inspections in accordance with HUD's requirements.	11/8/2019
2018-AT-1006-003-B	7/13/2018	The Lexington-Fayette Urban County Housing Authority, Lexington, KY, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program Requirements	In Process	Certify, along with the owners of the 26 units cited in the finding, that the applicable housing quality standards violations have been corrected.	11/8/2019
2018-AT-1006-003-A	7/13/2018	The Lexington-Fayette Urban County Housing Authority, Lexington, KY, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program Requirements	In Process	Reimburse its program \$6,084 (\$5,553 in housing assistance payments and \$531 in associated administrative fees) from non-Federal funds for the units that materially failed to meet HUD's housing quality standards.	11/8/2019
2018-AT-1006-002-A	7/13/2018	The Lexington-Fayette Urban County Housing Authority, Lexington, KY, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program Requirements	In Process	Reimburse its program \$37,508 (\$33,085 in housing assistance payments and \$4,423 in associated administrative fees) from non-Federal funds for failing to perform unit inspections in a timely manner.	11/8/2019
2018-AT-1006-001-A	7/13/2018	The Lexington-Fayette Urban County Housing Authority, Lexington, KY, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program Requirements	In Process	Reimburse its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher program \$124,075 (\$108,687 in housing assistance payments and \$15,388 in associated administrative fees) from non-Federal funds for the payments related to the Authority-owned units' inspections not conducted by an independent entity.	11/8/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-AT-1006-002-C	7/13/2018	The Lexington-Fayette Urban County Housing Authority, Lexington, KY, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program Requirements	In Process	Develop and implement adequate oversight to ensure that unit inspections are conducted in a timely manner.	11/9/2019
2018-AT-1006-002-B	7/13/2018	The Lexington-Fayette Urban County Housing Authority, Lexington, KY, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program Requirements	In Process	Develop and implement procedures, including but not limited to software upgrades, and staff training to ensure that unit inspections are conducted in a timely manner.	11/9/2019
2018-AT-1008-001-B	7/13/2018	The Lexington-Fayette Urban County Housing Authority, Lexington, KY, Did Not Fully Comply With HUD's Program Requirements After the Completion of Its Rental Assistance Demonstration Program Conversion	In Process	Ensure that HUD-approved independent third parties complete the unit inspections and determine the rent reasonableness for units it owns or seek an appropriate exemption of program requirements from the HUD Secretary.	2/28/2020
2018-AT-1006-001-B	7/13/2018	The Lexington-Fayette Urban County Housing Authority, Lexington, KY, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program Requirements	In Process	Ensure that HUD-approved independent third parties complete unit inspections and determine the rent reasonableness determinations for units it owns or seek an appropriate exemption of program requirements from the HUD Secretary.	2/28/2020
2018-FW-0001-001-B	6/26/2018	CPD's Risk Assessment and Monitoring Program Did Not Provide Effective Oversight of Federal Funds	In Process	We recommend that the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Operations establish a monitoring tracking system, organized on a CPD field office basis, to incorporate and track internal and external data and provide an immediate, multiyear quantification of grantees, grants, and dollar value for both monitored and not monitored grantees, allowing immediate assessment of monitoring findings, resolutions, and coverage individually and in total.	10/15/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-FW-0001-001-A	6/26/2018	CPD's Risk Assessment and Monitoring Program Did Not Provide Effective Oversight of Federal Funds	In Process	We recommend that the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Operations develop and implement policies to require CPD headquarters' substantive involvement and responsibility in the risk assessment and monitoring function, including (1) oversight of risk assessment, including ensuring that all required grantees have a risk assessment performed; (2) review of annual work plans; (3) evaluation of monitoring performance and findings; (4) institution of functional supervisory controls; and (5) enforcement of field office compliance with risk analysis and monitoring requirements. If OFM does this, a minimum of \$907 million in Federal funds could be put to better use by more consistently and accurately assessing risk and monitoring grantees.	10/15/2019
2018-BO-1003-001-K	6/20/2018	The City of Providence, RI, Did Not Properly Administer Its HOME Program	In Process	Provide technical assistance to the City to ensure that City officials responsible for administering the HOME program receive necessary HOME program training.	9/15/2019
2018-BO-1003-001-J	6/20/2018	The City of Providence, RI, Did Not Properly Administer Its HOME Program	In Process	Request that HUD headquarters recalculate the City's commitment shortfall for program year 2013 based on the lack of the amendment with the City's subrecipient and for the projects that were not properly committed.	9/15/2019
2018-BO-1003-001-I	6/20/2018	The City of Providence, RI, Did Not Properly Administer Its HOME Program	In Process	Develop and implement tools to improve record-keeping practices to support the eligibility, necessity, and reasonableness of the HOME activities.	9/15/2019
2018-BO-1003-001-H	6/20/2018	The City of Providence, RI, Did Not Properly Administer Its HOME Program	In Process	Support that City officials properly administered the HOME program and earned \$338,665 in HOME administrative fees or repay from non-Federal funds any amount that cannot be supported.	9/15/2019
2018-BO-1003-001-G	6/20/2018	The City of Providence, RI, Did Not Properly Administer Its HOME Program	In Process	Determine the total supported development costs for the completed HOME activities and calculate and obtain any program income due to the HOME program.	9/15/2019
2018-BO-1003-001-F	6/20/2018	The City of Providence, RI, Did Not Properly Administer Its HOME Program	In Process	Develop and implement adequate environmental policies and procedures to ensure that HOME activities are properly classified, the environmental review is documented and supported, and that HUD and Federal environmental requirements have been followed before committing HOME funds to an activity	9/15/2019
2018-BO-1003-001-E	6/20/2018	The City of Providence, RI, Did Not Properly Administer Its HOME Program	In Process	Cancel activities in IDIS that have had no construction in more than 12 months.	9/15/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-BO-1003-001-D	6/20/2018	The City of Providence, RI, Did Not Properly Administer Its HOME Program	In Process	Develop and implement adequate underwriting policies and procedures for their affordable housing activities and for the downpayment assistance program to ensure that HOME activities are consistent and meet Federal requirements. Further, they should include the downpayment underwriting policies and procedures in the written agreement with the City's subrecipient.	9/15/2019
2018-BO-1003-001-C	6/20/2018	The City of Providence, RI, Did Not Properly Administer Its HOME Program	In Process	Support that \$1,253,596 in funds not yet expended was reasonable, supported, and allowable or reallocate the funds, thus ensuring that they will be put to their intended use.	9/15/2019
2018-BO-1003-001-B	6/20/2018	The City of Providence, RI, Did Not Properly Administer Its HOME Program	In Process	Support that \$1,559,908 in HOME funds disbursed was reasonable, supported, and allowable in accordance with Federal requirements or repay from non-Federal funds any amount that cannot be supported.	9/15/2019
2018-BO-1003-001-A	6/20/2018	The City of Providence, RI, Did Not Properly Administer Its HOME Program	In Process	Repay from non-Federal sources the \$1,451,559 in ineligible funds when the HOME program commitment requirements were not completed as required, the environmental reviews were not properly completed, and funds were not disbursed in accordance with written agreements.	9/19/2019
2018-CH-0002-001-G	6/14/2018	HUD Lacked Adequate Oversight of Lead-Based Paint Reporting and Remediation in Its Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Programs	In Process	Implement adequate procedures and controls at HUD's field offices to ensure that requirements of 24 CFR Part 35 are followed by public housing agencies, including monitoring the public housing agencies to ensure that required actions are appropriately completed and performed in a timely manner.	12/31/2019
2018-CH-0002-001-E	6/14/2018	HUD Lacked Adequate Oversight of Lead-Based Paint Reporting and Remediation in Its Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Programs	In Process	Obtain documentation of a lead-based paint inspection or exemption for the 222 public housing developments that failed to provide evidence of compliance with HUD's lead-based paint inspection requirements.	12/31/2019
2018-CH-0002-001-C	6/14/2018	HUD Lacked Adequate Oversight of Lead-Based Paint Reporting and Remediation in Its Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Programs	In Process	Require the public housing agencies to support that the lead hazards were appropriately abated for the 11 cases (3 public housing program + 8 Housing Choice Voucher program) that lacked adequate clearance reports or lacked documentation showing that the identified lead hazards had been corrected.	12/31/2019
2018-CH-0002-001-B	6/14/2018	HUD Lacked Adequate Oversight of Lead-Based Paint Reporting and Remediation in Its Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Programs	In Process	Obtain documentation from the remaining 195 potential cases involving children with EIBLLs reported by the public housing agencies (35 reported cases in the public housing program + 160 reported cases in the Housing Choice Voucher program) that we did not review during the audit to determine whether the public housing agencies and owners, as applicable, complied with HUD's requirements or whether action is required under the requirements.	12/31/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-CH-0002-001-A	6/14/2018	HUD Lacked Adequate Oversight of Lead-Based Paint Reporting and Remediation in Its Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Programs	In Process	Obtain documentation from the remaining 55 potential cases (34 cases in the public housing program + 21 cases in the Housing Choice Voucher program) reported by the public housing agencies that failed to provide supporting documentation to determine compliance with HUD's requirements.	12/31/2019
2018-CH-0002-001-F	6/14/2018	HUD Lacked Adequate Oversight of Lead-Based Paint Reporting and Remediation in Its Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Programs	In Process	Work with the Office of HHLHC and Healthy Homes to update HUD's regulations to expand the inspection and abatement requirements of 24 CFR Part 35 to housing completed after 1977 in cases in which a child with an elevated blood lead level is reported.	12/31/2021
2018-CH-1001-001-H	6/11/2018	The Grand Rapids Housing Commission, Grand Rapids, MI, Did Not Always Correctly Calculate and Pay Housing Assistance for Units Converted Under the Rental Assistance Demonstration	In Process	Review the payments for all certifications completed between December 2016 and February 2017 for the remaining Housing Choice Voucher and Project-Based Voucher program participants to ensure that adjustments were appropriately paid.	2/27/2020
2018-AT-1005-001-I	5/29/2018	The City of Margate, FL, Did Not Properly Administer Its Neighborhood Stabilization Program Grants 1 and 3 in Compliance With HUD Regulations	In Process	For Property 1504, provide documentation to support the recording in HUD's DRGR system, the repayment of \$144,004 in NSP funds and \$1,120 in program income.	8/22/2019
2018-AT-1005-001-H	5/29/2018	The City of Margate, FL, Did Not Properly Administer Its Neighborhood Stabilization Program Grants 1 and 3 in Compliance With HUD Regulations	In Process	Conduct a review of the remaining 10 properties not reviewed during our audit to ensure compliance with HUD requirements and identify and repay costs related to ineligible or unsupported activities (see appendix C).	8/22/2019
2018-AT-1005-001-G	5/29/2018	The City of Margate, FL, Did Not Properly Administer Its Neighborhood Stabilization Program Grants 1 and 3 in Compliance With HUD Regulations	In Process	Develop and implement policies and procedures to include but not be limited to oversight, effective internal controls, separation of duties, procurement, and overall administration of the program.	8/22/2019
2018-AT-1005-001-F	5/29/2018	The City of Margate, FL, Did Not Properly Administer Its Neighborhood Stabilization Program Grants 1 and 3 in Compliance With HUD Regulations	In Process	Provide documentation to support that all NSP properties are properly classified and recorded in HUD's DRGR system.	8/22/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-AT-1005-001-E	5/29/2018	The City of Margate, FL, Did Not Properly Administer Its Neighborhood Stabilization Program Grants 1 and 3 in Compliance With HUD Regulations	In Process	Provide documentation to support a reconciliation between financial records and DRGR and report in HUD's DRGR system the appropriate amount of program income generated from all NSP1 and NSP3 funds from the inception of the grants.	8/22/2019
2018-AT-1005-001-D	5/29/2018	The City of Margate, FL, Did Not Properly Administer Its Neighborhood Stabilization Program Grants 1 and 3 in Compliance With HUD Regulations	In Process	Provide documentation to support the \$8,919 in NSP funds spent on rehabilitation costs or repay to the program from non-Federal funds.	8/22/2019
2018-AT-1005-001-C	5/29/2018	The City of Margate, FL, Did Not Properly Administer Its Neighborhood Stabilization Program Grants 1 and 3 in Compliance With HUD Regulations	In Process	Repay to the program from non-Federal funds the \$73,400 in NSP funds spent for mold and asbestos remediation work.	8/22/2019
2018-AT-1005-001-B	5/29/2018	The City of Margate, FL, Did Not Properly Administer Its Neighborhood Stabilization Program Grants 1 and 3 in Compliance With HUD Regulations	In Process	Repay to the program from non-Federal funds \$280,979 in NSP funds spent for property 1012 and identify and repay any additional costs spent on this property, including maintenance costs and any program income generated.	8/22/2019
2018-AT-1005-001-A	5/29/2018	The City of Margate, FL, Did Not Properly Administer Its Neighborhood Stabilization Program Grants 1 and 3 in Compliance With HUD Regulations	In Process	Repay to the program from non-Federal funds the \$457,192 (\$380,526 + \$48,420 + \$28,246) in NSP funds spent for the construction, air conditioning, and engineering services in instances in which procurement activities were not adequately performed.	8/22/2019
2018-FW-0802-001-B	5/15/2018	Interim Report - Potential Antideficiency Act and Generally Accepted Accounting Principle Violations Occurred With Disaster Relief Appropriation Act, 2013, Funds	In Process	We recommend that the CFO determine whether the revised and completed detail transactions totaling to \$435,263,268, which occurred before and after grant rounds obligation and expenditure dates, were ADA violations. If the transactions were violations, actions should be taken as required by the ADA.	5/31/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-FW-0802-001-A	5/15/2018	Interim Report - Potential Antideficiency Act and Generally Accepted Accounting Principle Violations Occurred With Disaster Relief Appropriation Act, 2013, Funds	In Process	We recommend that the CFO determine whether the summary expenditures totaling \$160,360,714, which exceeded the grant round obligations for the two grantees, were ADA violations. If the transactions were violations, action should be taken as required by the ADA.	5/31/2019
2018-FW-0802-001-C	5/15/2018	Interim Report - Potential Antideficiency Act and Generally Accepted Accounting Principle Violations Occurred With Disaster Relief Appropriation Act, 2013, Funds	In Process	We recommend that the CFO determine whether the revised and completed transactions totaling \$496,913,235 and made more than a year after the original voucher entry were GAAP violations. If the transactions were violations, appropriate actions should be taken, including but not limited to adjusting the transactions in LOCCS and HUD's financial statements.	8/30/2019
2018-FW-1004-001-C	5/8/2018	The City of Dallas, TX, HOME Investment Partnerships Program Was Not Always Administered in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Fort Worth Office of CPD Director require the City to hire a qualified entity to determine and correct deficiencies related to 13 reconstructed homes, including the structural integrity of the homes.	6/8/2020
2018-FW-1004-001-A	5/8/2018	The City of Dallas, TX, HOME Investment Partnerships Program Was Not Always Administered in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Fort Worth Office of CPD Director require the City to repay its HOME program from non-Federal funds \$1,322,280, which it misspent reconstructing homes.	6/8/2020
2018-FW-1003-001-E	5/7/2018	The Texas General Land Office, Austin, TX, Should Strengthen Its Capacity To Administer Its Hurricane Harvey Disaster Grants	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Acting Director for the Office of Block Grant Assistance require the Texas General Land Office to ensure that false statement and false claim warnings are included in all of its contract-related forms.	6/15/2019
2018-FW-1003-001-A	5/7/2018	The Texas General Land Office, Austin, TX, Should Strengthen Its Capacity To Administer Its Hurricane Harvey Disaster Grants	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Acting Director for the Office of Block Grant Assistance require the Texas General Land Office to review and update its internal controls throughout the grant cycle to ensure that the procurement and expenditure policies and procedures are implemented and working as designed, including the Federal prohibition of cost plus percentage of cost contracts.	6/15/2019
2018-FW-1003-001-C	5/7/2018	The Texas General Land Office, Austin, TX, Should Strengthen Its Capacity To Administer Its Hurricane Harvey Disaster Grants	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Acting Director for the Office of Block Grant Assistance require the Texas General Land Office to negotiate with SBA to extend its data-sharing agreements for the term of the expenditure requirements set forth in public laws or the Federal Register.	8/15/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-LA-0002-001-D	5/7/2018	HUD Did Not Have Adequate Controls To Ensure That Grantees Submitted Accurate Tribal Enrollment Numbers for Program Funding	In Process	Issue guidance to grantees on procedures to ensure accurate reporting of tribal enrollment numbers.	12/31/2020
2018-LA-0002-001-C	5/7/2018	HUD Did Not Have Adequate Controls To Ensure That Grantees Submitted Accurate Tribal Enrollment Numbers for Program Funding	In Process	Develop and implement policies and procedures to assist in formal challenging of grantees' reporting tribal enrollment numbers in accordance with applicable requirements.	12/31/2020
2018-LA-0002-001-B	5/7/2018	HUD Did Not Have Adequate Controls To Ensure That Grantees Submitted Accurate Tribal Enrollment Numbers for Program Funding	In Process	Revise form HUD-4117 to include certification and false claim statements that hold grantees responsible for reporting accurate tribal enrollment numbers to HUD annually.	12/31/2020
2018-LA-0002-001-A	5/7/2018	HUD Did Not Have Adequate Controls To Ensure That Grantees Submitted Accurate Tribal Enrollment Numbers for Program Funding	In Process	Update the program's information reporting requirements on form HUD-4117 to ensure that grantees report tribal enrollment numbers annually regardless of whether there are changes or corrections.	12/31/2020
2018-PH-1004-001-B	5/1/2018	The Owner of Diamond Park, Philadelphia, PA, Generally Managed Its HUD-Insured Property in Accordance With Applicable Requirements	In Process	Develop and implement procedures to ensure that it maintains criminal background checks in separate, secure files.	8/27/2019
2018-PH-1004-001-A	5/1/2018	The Owner of Diamond Park, Philadelphia, PA, Generally Managed Its HUD-Insured Property in Accordance With Applicable Requirements	In Process	Develop and implement procedures to ensure that it maintains documentation to show that it selected participants from its waiting list in accordance with applicable requirements.	8/27/2019
2018-SE-1001-001-B	4/24/2018	The Spokane, WA, Housing Authority Did Not Follow Permanent Relocation Requirements for Its RAD Conversion of the Parsons Apartments	In Process	Monitor the Authority to ensure that it does not improperly relocate tenants during its planned conversion of the remaining public housing units.	5/15/2019
2018-AT-1003-001-B	4/16/2018	The North Carolina Department of Commerce, Raleigh, NC, Generally Administered Its Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Regulations	In Process	Implement controls and procedures to ensure that all future action plans include all methods of distributing grant funds or amend the plan as required if programs are added after approval.	8/13/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-AT-1003-001-A	4/16/2018	The North Carolina Department of Commerce, Raleigh, NC, Generally Administered Its Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Regulations	In Process	Amend its 2015 annual action plan to include the Main Street Revitalization program.	8/13/2019
2018-KC-1002-004-A	4/6/2018	The Kansas City, MO, Health Department Did Not Spend Funds in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Develop and implement policies and procedures to ensure that the property owners receive the required information concerning lead-based paint disclosure requirements, risk assessment results, summaries of treatments and clearances, and ongoing maintenance activities, including how to report paint deterioration.	7/18/2019
2018-KC-1002-003-A	4/6/2018	The Kansas City, MO, Health Department Did Not Spend Funds in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Update the Health Department's work plan to include policies and procedures for defining, determining, and documenting relocation hardship for all participants.	7/18/2019
2018-KC-1002-002-C	4/6/2018	The Kansas City, MO, Health Department Did Not Spend Funds in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Develop and implement quality control procedures to ensure that all bid specifications are reviewed for qualified items based on the risk assessment results.	7/18/2019
2018-KC-1002-002-B	4/6/2018	The Kansas City, MO, Health Department Did Not Spend Funds in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Develop and implement policies and procedures to ensure that all windows replaced meet lead hazard qualifications.	7/18/2019
2018-KC-1002-002-A	4/6/2018	The Kansas City, MO, Health Department Did Not Spend Funds in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Provide support showing the \$79,738 spent on window replacement qualified or repay the U.S. Treasury from non-Federal funds.	7/18/2019
2018-KC-1002-001-F	4/6/2018	The Kansas City, MO, Health Department Did Not Spend Funds in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Provide training on HUD's income requirements to employees responsible for calculating income.	7/18/2019
2018-KC-1002-001-E	4/6/2018	The Kansas City, MO, Health Department Did Not Spend Funds in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Develop and implement procedures for quality control reviews to ensure that annual income is properly calculated.	7/18/2019
2018-KC-1002-001-D	4/6/2018	The Kansas City, MO, Health Department Did Not Spend Funds in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Develop and implement policies and procedures that clarify the definition of annual income to be used, calculation components, and the documentation required to calculate income.	7/18/2019
2018-KC-1002-001-C	4/6/2018	The Kansas City, MO, Health Department Did Not Spend Funds in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Recalculate annual income for participants assisted with the 2014 HHLHC grant to support the \$1,803,705 spent. For any assistance the Health Department cannot support with complete income calculations, it should repay the U.S. Treasury from non-Federal funds, less any amount repaid as a result of recommendations 1B and 2A.	7/18/2019
2018-KC-1002-001-B	4/6/2018	The Kansas City, MO, Health Department Did Not Spend Funds in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Complete a cost breakdown to support the \$10,731 spent on a rental property, which included assistance to an ineligible unit, and repay the ineligible assistance to the U.S. Treasury from non-Federal funds.	7/18/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-KC-1002-001-A	4/6/2018	The Kansas City, MO, Health Department Did Not Spend Funds in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Repay the U.S. Treasury \$19,173 spent on ineligible assistance from non-Federal funds.	7/18/2019
2018-PH-1003-001-L	3/30/2018	The Crisfield Housing Authority, Crisfield, MD, Did Not Properly Administer Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Evaluate the Authority's email system and its computer, internet, and email use policy to determine whether they provide sufficient safeguards for transmitting and handling confidential information.	11/25/2019
2018-PH-1003-001-G	3/30/2018	The Crisfield Housing Authority, Crisfield, MD, Did Not Properly Administer Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Develop and implement controls to ensure that employees comply with its computer, internet, and email use policy and use only official business email accounts to transmit confidential information.	11/25/2019
2018-PH-1003-001-E	3/30/2018	The Crisfield Housing Authority, Crisfield, MD, Did Not Properly Administer Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Correct the errors in the files identified in this report.	12/31/2019
2018-PH-1003-002-A	3/30/2018	The Crisfield Housing Authority, Crisfield, MD, Did Not Properly Administer Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Reimburse its program \$119,023 from non-Federal funds for the ineligible housing assistance payments it made due to the conflict-of-interest situation identified by the audit and any additional ineligible housing assistance payments it made outside our review period.	7/27/2020
2018-PH-1003-001-A	3/30/2018	The Crisfield Housing Authority, Crisfield, MD, Did Not Properly Administer Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Provide documentation to support \$280,561 (housing assistance and utility allowance payments totaling \$237,809 for families that did not meet eligibility requirements and \$42,752 in administrative fees) or reimburse its program from non-Federal funds for any amounts it cannot support.	7/27/2020
2018-LA-1003-002-B	3/29/2018	The City of South Gate, CA, Did Not Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Develop and implement procedures and controls to ensure that graffiti abatement expenditures, including salaries and benefits, are accurately charged to CDBG grants and properly supported.	7/11/2019
2018-LA-1003-002-A	3/29/2018	The City of South Gate, CA, Did Not Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Provide documentation to support \$285,496 in graffiti abatement expenditures or repay the program from non-Federal funds (appendix D).	7/11/2019
2018-LA-1003-001-B	3/29/2018	The City of South Gate, CA, Did Not Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Develop and implement a targeted code enforcement strategy that specifies deteriorating or deteriorated areas where code enforcement would be expected to arrest decline. The strategy should include a description of public or private improvements, rehabilitation, or services that would help facilitate code enforcement and also include performance metrics to track progress.	7/11/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-LA-1003-001-A	3/29/2018	The City of South Gate, CA, Did Not Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Provide documentation to support the \$811,325 in code enforcement costs (activities 591, 619, and 645), including meeting code enforcement and salary and benefit requirements,4 or repay the program from non-Federal funds.	7/11/2019
2018-LA-1003-001-C	3/29/2018	The City of South Gate, CA, Did Not Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Develop and implement policies and procedures to ensure that code enforcement salaries and benefits are charged and documented in accordance with program requirements.	7/24/2019
2018-KC-0001-001-B	3/26/2018	FHA Insured \$1.9 Billion in Loans to Borrowers Barred by Federal Requirements	In Process	Revise the single-family handbook to comply with regulations that prevent loans to borrowers with delinquent child support subject to Federal offset.	1/31/2020
2018-KC-0001-001-A	3/26/2018	FHA Insured \$1.9 Billion in Loans to Borrowers Barred by Federal Requirements	In Process	Develop a method for using the Do Not Pay portal during the underwriting process to identify delinquent child support and delinquent Federal debt to prevent future FHA loans to ineligible borrowers to put \$1,905,340,944 to better use.	1/31/2020
2018-CF-1801-001-B	3/23/2018	MetLife Home Loans, LLC, and a Borrower's Son Settled Allegations of Failing To Comply With HUD's Federal Housing Administration HECM Loan Requirements	In Process	Enforce the indemnification agreement in the attached settlement agreement to prevent an estimated \$95,769 loss to HUD. This represents an amount due HUD from MetLife for indemnifying and holding HUD harmless for any and all losses HUD incurs or has incurred in connection with FHA loan number 137-4740973.	12/31/2018
2018-KC-0802-001-A	3/22/2018	Limited Review of HUD Multifamily Waiting List Administration	In Process	Strengthen current written policies on occupancy requirements for subsidized multifamily housing programs to ensure that prospective tenants do not improperly bypass waiting lists.	2/28/2019
2018-DP-0003-006-C	3/9/2018	Fiscal Year 2017 Review of Information Systems Controls in Support of the Financial Statements Audit	In Process	Enforce HUD's password management policies for all system administrators, operators, and users to have their password expiration set and change their passwords every 90 days.	6/7/2019
2018-DP-0003-006-B	3/9/2018	Fiscal Year 2017 Review of Information Systems Controls in Support of the Financial Statements Audit	In Process	Actively monitor the established procedure to verify that weaknesses, discovered within access controls for intranet accounts, are corrected.	6/7/2019
2018-DP-0003-006-A	3/9/2018	Fiscal Year 2017 Review of Information Systems Controls in Support of the Financial Statements Audit	In Process	Establish a procedure to verify whether intranet user accounts comply with account management requirements and remove system accounts that are no longer needed.	6/7/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-DP-0003-001-C	3/9/2018	Fiscal Year 2017 Review of Information Systems Controls in Support of the Financial Statements Audit	In Process	Keep track of all software product versions and enforce the contract agreement to maintain software products at the level of general availability minus one generation or better	6/7/2019
2018-DP-0003-001-B	3/9/2018	Fiscal Year 2017 Review of Information Systems Controls in Support of the Financial Statements Audit	In Process	Ensure that weaknesses are remediated in a timely manner as planned.	6/7/2019
2018-KC-1001-001-B	3/5/2018	CitiMortgage, Inc., O'Fallon, MO, Improperly Filed for FHA-HAMP Partial Claims Before Completing the Loan Modifications and Reinstating the Loans	In Process	Work with HUD to ensure the release of the liens on the 66 properties with improper partial claims.	6/13/2019
2018-KC-1001-001-A	3/5/2018	CitiMortgage, Inc., O'Fallon, MO, Improperly Filed for FHA-HAMP Partial Claims Before Completing the Loan Modifications and Reinstating the Loans	In Process	Repay HUD for improperly filed partial claims and incentive fees totaling \$1,053,688.	6/13/2019
2018-LA-1002-002-C	2/23/2018	The County of San Diego, San Diego, CA, Did Not Support Continuum of Care Match and Payroll Costs in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	Develop and implement additional procedures and controls to ensure that payroll costs charged to the grant reconcile to actual hours worked on the grants.	5/10/2019
2018-LA-1002-002-B	2/23/2018	The County of San Diego, San Diego, CA, Did Not Support Continuum of Care Match and Payroll Costs in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	Identify retroactive payroll for remaining grants (CA1162L9D011504, CA1024L9D011501, CA0694L9D011508, and CA0693L9D011508) and provide adequate documentation to support the cost or repay HUD from non-Federal funds.	5/10/2019
2018-LA-1002-002-A	2/23/2018	The County of San Diego, San Diego, CA, Did Not Support Continuum of Care Match and Payroll Costs in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	Support sampled retroactive payroll costs totaling \$12,109, which correspond to the actual time attributed to grants CA0689L9D011502, CA0880L9D011501, CA0881L9D011501, and CA0945L9D011506, or reimburse HUD from non-Federal funds.	5/10/2019
2018-LA-1002-001-C	2/23/2018	The County of San Diego, San Diego, CA, Did Not Support Continuum of Care Match and Payroll Costs in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	Develop and implement a written plan for its subgrantees to provide and submit supporting documentation for match funds at the end of each grant term.	5/10/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-LA-1002-001-B	2/23/2018	The County of San Diego, San Diego, CA, Did Not Support Continuum of Care Match and Payroll Costs in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	Implement written procedures to include the confirmation of match funds as part of its annual monitoring reviews of each subgrantee.	5/10/2019
2018-LA-1002-001-A	2/23/2018	The County of San Diego, San Diego, CA, Did Not Support Continuum of Care Match and Payroll Costs in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	Support the unsupported amount of match for its subgrantee or repay HUD \$54,473 from non-Federal funds (appendix D).	5/10/2019
2018-PH-1002-001-B	2/16/2018	The Fairmont-Morgantown Housing Authority, Fairmont, WV, Did Not Always Ensure That Its Program Units Met Housing Quality Standards and That It Accurately Calculated Housing Assistance Payment Abatements	In Process	Reimburse its program \$29,226 from non-Federal funds (\$27,737 for housing assistance payments and \$1,489 in associated administrative fees) for the 22 units that materially failed to meet HUD's housing quality standards.	11/25/2019
2018-DP-0002-002-C	2/13/2018	Review of Selected FHA Information Systems and Credit Reform Estimation and Reestimation Process Applications	In Process	Work with FHA to upgrade the unsupported versions of OpenSSL, WinZip, and Microsoft .NET Framework.	4/20/2019
2018-PH-1001-001-A	2/12/2018	The Fairmont-Morgantown Housing Authority, Fairmont, WV, Did Not Always Administer Its Housing Choice Voucher Program in Accordance With Applicable Program Requirements	In Process	Conduct criminal record background checks in accordance with its policies and procedures to ensure that adult members of households for whom the Authority made housing assistance payments totaling \$4,920,685 were eligible to participate in the program or repay its program from non-Federal funds for any amount that it cannot support. If the participants are deemed ineligible, the Authority should follow applicable regulations to terminate or modify assistance.	11/25/2019
2018-NY-1003-001-A	2/8/2018	The Housing Authority of the City of Asbury Park, NJ, Did Not Always Administer Its Operating and Capital Funds in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark Office of Public Housing require the Authority to provide documentation to show that the \$1,294,062 paid to the Long Branch Housing Authority was for eligible, reasonable, necessary, and allocable costs or reimburse its Operating and Capital Fund programs from non-Federal funds for any amount that it cannot support or that is not considered reasonable.	6/5/2019
2018-NY-1003-001-I	2/8/2018	The Housing Authority of the City of Asbury Park, NJ, Did Not Always Administer Its Operating and Capital Funds in Accordance With Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark Office of Public Housing require the Authority to reimburse its Operating Fund from non-Federal funds for the \$75,722 settlement payment made to the State of New Jersey.	4/30/2030

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-NY-1003-001-D	2/8/2018	The Housing Authority of the City of Asbury Park, NJ, Did Not Always Administer Its Operating and Capital Funds in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD’s Newark Office of Public Housing require the Authority to provide documentation to show that it had valid contracts in place before disbursing \$125,589 to three vendors or reimburse its Operating and Capital Fund programs from non-Federal funds for any amount that it cannot support.	1/28/2050
2018-NY-1003-001-C	2/8/2018	The Housing Authority of the City of Asbury Park, NJ, Did Not Always Administer Its Operating and Capital Funds in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD’s Newark Office of Public Housing require the Authority to provide documentation to show that the \$326,096 paid for goods and services was reasonable or reimburse its Operating and Capital Fund programs from non-Federal funds for any amount that it cannot support or that is not considered reasonable.	1/28/2050
2018-FW-1002-001-E	1/31/2018	Villa Main Apartments, Port Arthur, TX, Subsidized Nonexistent Tenants, Unsupported Tenants, and Uninspected Units	Pending Judicial Review	We further recommend that the Southwest Region Director of Multifamily Housing ensure that the project-based contract administrator’s review process includes steps to obtain reasonable assurance that tenants being reported as subsidized at Villa Main qualify for the program and live in the subsidized units.	5/17/2020
2018-FW-1002-001-D	1/31/2018	Villa Main Apartments, Port Arthur, TX, Subsidized Nonexistent Tenants, Unsupported Tenants, and Uninspected Units	Pending Judicial Review	We further recommend that the Southwest Region Director of Multifamily Housing verify that the owner is providing oversight to its onsite staff and its recently implemented quality control program is working as designed and in accordance with HUD requirements.	5/17/2020
2018-FW-1002-001-C	1/31/2018	Villa Main Apartments, Port Arthur, TX, Subsidized Nonexistent Tenants, Unsupported Tenants, and Uninspected Units	Pending Judicial Review	We recommend that the Southwest Region Director of Multifamily Housing require Villa Main Apartments owner to implement appropriate controls to ensure tenants are eligible, housing assistance subsidies are accurate, and that units are inspected as required.	5/17/2020
2018-FW-1002-001-B	1/31/2018	Villa Main Apartments, Port Arthur, TX, Subsidized Nonexistent Tenants, Unsupported Tenants, and Uninspected Units	Pending Judicial Review	We recommend that the Southwest Region Director of Multifamily Housing require Villa Main Apartments owner to provide support that the subsidized 43 units without annual physical inspections, without required EIV reports, or with missing files were eligible or repay HUD \$1,095,364 for those subsidies.	5/17/2020
2018-FW-1002-001-A	1/31/2018	Villa Main Apartments, Port Arthur, TX, Subsidized Nonexistent Tenants, Unsupported Tenants, and Uninspected Units	Pending Judicial Review	We recommend that the Southwest Region Director of Multifamily Housing require Villa Main Apartments owner to repay HUD \$534,741 for 39 subsidized units with ineligible “ghost” tenants.	5/17/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-FW-1001-001-F	1/29/2018	Jefferson Parish, Jefferson, LA, Did Not Always Properly Administer Its Rehabilitation Program	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New Orleans Office of CPD require the Parish to inspect the remaining 33 homes for compliance with the contract specifications and HUD requirements and correct deficiencies as applicable.	5/13/2019
2018-FW-1001-001-E	1/29/2018	Jefferson Parish, Jefferson, LA, Did Not Always Properly Administer Its Rehabilitation Program	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New Orleans Office of CPD require the Parish to correct the property deficiencies identified during the onsite inspections related to the 20 contracts as applicable.	5/13/2019
2018-FW-1001-001-C	1/29/2018	Jefferson Parish, Jefferson, LA, Did Not Always Properly Administer Its Rehabilitation Program	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New Orleans Office of CPD require the Parish to support or repay its program from non-Federal funds \$1,020,121 for payments made (1) for work that the contractor(s) did not perform and excessive material costs; (2) that lacked adequate supporting documentation for change orders, independent cost estimates, and invoice documentation; or (3) for the 10 HOME-funded rehabilitated homes not brought up to code.	5/13/2019
2018-FW-1001-001-B	1/29/2018	Jefferson Parish, Jefferson, LA, Did Not Always Properly Administer Its Rehabilitation Program	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New Orleans Office of CPD require the Parish to Repay its program from non-Federal funds for \$9,849 in payments made to contractors for duplicate payments and overpayments.	5/13/2019
2018-FW-1001-001-A	1/29/2018	Jefferson Parish, Jefferson, LA, Did Not Always Properly Administer Its Rehabilitation Program	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New Orleans Office of CPD require the Parish to develop and implement a HUD-approved written plan and procedures and take actions that will correct and prevent the deficiencies noted in the finding, improve program administration effectiveness, strengthen the control environment, ensure compliance with HUD regulations and its own policies and procedures, and ensure that it has the continuing capacity to carry out its HOME program activities as required. Implementing this recommendation should ensure that the \$216,663 in HOME funding allocated for the Parish's property rehabilitation program is better used.	5/13/2019
2018-NY-1002-001-B	1/19/2018	Glen Cove Housing Authority, Glen Cove, NY, Did Not Always Use Property Disposition Proceeds in Accordance With Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New York Office of PIH require the Authority to provide documentation to show that \$108,061 in property disposition proceeds was used for the activities outlined in its HUD-approved disposition application and modifications or reimburse its Operating Fund from non-Federal funds for any amount not supported.	11/1/2029

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-NY-1002-001-A	1/19/2018	Glen Cove Housing Authority, Glen Cove, NY, Did Not Always Use Property Disposition Proceeds in Accordance With Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New York Office of PIH require the Authority to obtain retroactive approval from HUD for the \$815,398 in outstanding unauthorized loans made to its nonprofit entity or reimburse its Public Housing Operating Fund from non-Federal funds for any amount for which it does not obtain approval. If approval is obtained, HUD should also require the Authority to execute a loan agreement with the nonprofit entity and properly record the loans in its books and records.	11/1/2029
2018-CF-0801-001-C	1/5/2018	Management Alert: HUD Did Not Provide Acceptable Oversight of the Physical Condition of Residential Care Facilities	In Process	Reimplement the REAC physical condition inspections for the SNFs that were exempted from routine physical inspections by 24 CFR 200.855.	12/31/2019
2018-CF-0801-001-D	1/5/2018	Management Alert: HUD Did Not Provide Acceptable Oversight of the Physical Condition of Residential Care Facilities	In Process	Develop and implement an inspection process for the Section 232 program that better reflects those properties' physical conditions and how those properties differ from other properties REAC inspects (for example, multifamily properties).	10/31/2019
2018-AT-1002-001-D	12/29/2017	The Municipality of San Juan, PR, Did Not Always Administer Its Emergency Solutions Grants Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Develop and implement policies and procedures to ensure that it reports data through its CAPERs using data from its own financial management system instead of data from HUD's information system.	4/17/2019
2018-AT-1002-001-C	12/29/2017	The Municipality of San Juan, PR, Did Not Always Administer Its Emergency Solutions Grants Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Develop and implement policies and procedures to ensure that its required matching contributions are properly supported.	4/17/2019
2018-AT-1002-001-B	12/29/2017	The Municipality of San Juan, PR, Did Not Always Administer Its Emergency Solutions Grants Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Reconcile the data included in its CAPER with the data in its financial management system and correct any inaccurate information reported to HUD.	4/17/2019
2018-AT-1802-001-D	12/29/2017	Yabucoa Housing Project, Yabucoa Volunteers of America Elderly Housing, Inc., Yabucoa, PR, Section 202 Supportive Housing for the Elderly Program	In Process	Return to the Puerto Rico Department of Housing \$1,057,467, plus any interest earned, for the duplicate special escrow fund payments it received.	10/18/2018

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-AT-1802-001-A	12/29/2017	Yabucoa Housing Project, Yabucoa Volunteers of America Elderly Housing, Inc., Yabucoa, PR, Section 202 Supportive Housing for the Elderly Program	Pending Judicial Review	Track and ensure that Volunteers returns to the Treasury any funds recovered through the ongoing litigation pertaining to the Yabucoa housing project.	8/13/2020
2018-AT-1001-001-A	12/21/2017	The Commonwealth of Kentucky Generally Administered Its Neighborhood Stabilization Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Adequately support or reimburse its NSP3 grant subrecipient \$53,760 from non-Federal funds for the disbursements not adequately supported.	12/31/2018
2018-FO-0004-006-A	11/15/2017	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2017 and 2016 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Process	Establish and implement policies and procedures that require identification and performance of complementary controls and periodic evaluation of established complementary controls to ensure that they continue to address financial and operational risks and document, assign, and communicate user complementary controls roles and responsibilities.	6/30/2018
2018-FO-0004-011-E	11/15/2017	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2017 and 2016 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Process	Work with PIH to determine which debts should be transferred to the Departments of Treasury or Justice and which debts should be written off. The Deputy CFO should ensure that proper documentation is maintained to support a decision for writeoff.	10/31/2019
2018-FO-0004-013-A	11/15/2017	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2017 and 2016 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Process	Determine the amount of additional funds needed to cover the remaining administrative costs and any possible upward adjustment of current obligations and seek authority from Congress to return up to \$329,370,982 of the unapportioned authority remaining in the EHLPP program account that is not needed.	9/30/2021
2018-FO-0004-008-O	11/15/2017	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2017 and 2016 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Process	Deobligate all obligations marked for deobligation during the departmentwide OOR, including as much as \$264,476 in 108 administrative obligations marked for deobligation as of September 30, 2017.	2/7/2019
2018-FO-0004-008-E	11/15/2017	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2017 and 2016 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Process	Close out and deobligate the remaining balances on 2,741 expired homeless assistance contracts of \$159,437,069.	11/10/2018

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-FO-0004-008-D	11/15/2017	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2017 and 2016 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Process	Review the 1,110 identified inactive retained obligations with remaining balances totaling \$229,327,332 and close out and deobligate amounts tied to obligations that are no longer valid or needed.	3/12/2019
2018-FO-0004-008-C	11/15/2017	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2017 and 2016 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Process	Deobligate all obligations marked for deobligation during the departmentwide OOR, including as much as \$11,463,971 in 189 program obligations and \$13,640 in 10 administrative obligations marked for deobligation as of September 30, 2017.	3/12/2019
2018-FO-0004-007-E	11/15/2017	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2017 and 2016 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Dispute	Ensure that originating base IAAs and modifications are maintained in HUD's procurement system of record, PRISM, including manual documentation and records from HIAMS.	
2018-FO-0004-008-M	11/15/2017	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2017 and 2016 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Process	Review the seven identified retained inactive obligations with remaining balances totaling \$143,344 and close out and deobligate amounts tied to obligations that are no longer valid or needed.	12/7/2018
2018-FO-0004-008-L	11/15/2017	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2017 and 2016 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Process	Deobligate all obligations marked for deobligation during the departmentwide OOR, including as much as \$559,569 in 53 administrative and \$641,110 in 9 program obligations marked for deobligation as of September 30, 2017.	1/4/2019
2018-FO-0004-008-S	11/15/2017	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2017 and 2016 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Process	Deobligate all obligations marked for deobligation during Ginnie Mae's open obligation review, including as much as \$34,814,053 in eight contract obligations marked for deobligation.	6/30/2018
2018-FO-0003-002-A	11/15/2017	Audit of the Federal Housing Administration's Financial Statements for Fiscal Years 2017 and 2016 (Restated)	In Process	Ensure that the \$270.7 million identified as invalid obligations in fiscal year 2017 is deobligated as appropriate.	8/31/2018

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2018-FO-0003-001-D	11/15/2017	Audit of the Federal Housing Administration's Financial Statements for Fiscal Years 2017 and 2016 (Restated)	In Process	Establish and implement policies and procedures for assessing and monitoring the reliability of the work performed by FHA's modeling contractors.	8/31/2018
2018-FO-0004-008-F	11/15/2017	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2017 and 2016 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Process	Deobligate all obligations marked for deobligation during the departmentwide OOR, including as much as \$42,491,202 in 581 administrative obligations and \$2,932,320 in 12 program obligations marked for deobligation as of September 30, 2017.	9/30/2018
2018-FO-0003-002-F	11/15/2017	Audit of the Federal Housing Administration's Financial Statements for Fiscal Years 2017 and 2016 (Restated)	In Process	Develop and implement policies, procedures, and controls to ensure that the reported current-year endorsements in HERMIT and SFHEDW agree with the current-year endorsements in CHUMS.	9/30/2018
2018-FO-0004-008-Q	11/15/2017	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2017 and 2016 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Process	Deobligate all obligations marked for deobligation during the departmentwide OOR, including as much as \$174,132 in 160 administrative obligations marked for deobligation as of September 30, 2017.	9/29/2018
2018-FO-0004-011-A	11/15/2017	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2017 and 2016 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Process	For all 32 debts not Under Repayment Agreement agreement, (1) send demand letters for any debts for which a demand letter has not been sent and (2) aggressively work with the PHAs to determine appropriate repayment agreement terms.	10/31/2019
2017-CH-1010-001-D	9/30/2017	DuPage County, IL, Did Not Always Comply With Federal Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Program	In Process	Support or reimburse its program from non-Federal funds \$98,507 for the program funds used for project management services without sufficient documentation to support that the use of the funds was reasonable.	1/31/2019
2017-CH-1011-001-C	9/30/2017	BLM Companies LLC, Hurricane, UT, Did Not Provide Property Preservation and Protection Services in Accordance With Its Contract With HUD and Its Own Requirements	In Process	Reimburse HUD \$6,525 in ineligible routine inspection fees for 93 properties that contained property preservation and protection deficiencies.	1/10/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-CH-1011-001-B	9/30/2017	BLM Companies LLC, Hurricane, UT, Did Not Provide Property Preservation and Protection Services in Accordance With Its Contract With HUD and Its Own Requirements	In Process	Reimburse HUD \$19,280 in ineligible management fees for 20 properties for which initial services were improperly performed before promotion to ready-to-show status.	1/10/2019
2017-CH-1009-001-J	9/30/2017	The Owner and Management Agents Lacked Adequate Controls Over the Operation of Mary Scott Nursing Center, Dayton, OH	In Process	Implement adequate procedures and controls to ensure that it (1) uses project funds for reasonable operating expenses or necessary repairs of the project, (2) obtains HUD approval before disposing of the project's assets, (3) receives market value for the sale of licensed beds, (4) obtains HUD approval for the entities selected to manage the project before entering into management agent agreements with the entities, (5) properly completes Medicaid applications, and (6) makes timely mortgage payments to prevent a \$1,591,849 claim to HUD on the mortgage.	6/30/2019
2017-CH-1009-001-H	9/30/2017	The Owner and Management Agents Lacked Adequate Controls Over the Operation of Mary Scott Nursing Center, Dayton, OH	In Process	Pay the project from nonproject funds for the \$390,583 in uncollected rental revenue.	6/30/2019
2017-CH-1009-001-G	9/30/2017	The Owner and Management Agents Lacked Adequate Controls Over the Operation of Mary Scott Nursing Center, Dayton, OH	In Process	Reimburse the project from nonproject funds for the \$5,302 in petty cash expenditures that was not used for reasonable operating expenses of the project.	6/30/2019
2017-CH-1009-001-F	9/30/2017	The Owner and Management Agents Lacked Adequate Controls Over the Operation of Mary Scott Nursing Center, Dayton, OH	In Process	Reimburse the project from nonproject funds for the \$2,020 in credit card purchases that was not used for reasonable operating expenses of the project.	6/30/2019
2017-CH-1009-001-E	9/30/2017	The Owner and Management Agents Lacked Adequate Controls Over the Operation of Mary Scott Nursing Center, Dayton, OH	In Process	Support or reimburse the project from nonproject funds for the \$51,261, as appropriated, in credit card purchases without sufficient documentation showing that the purchases were for reasonable operating expenses or necessary repairs of the project.	6/30/2019
2017-CH-1009-001-D	9/30/2017	The Owner and Management Agents Lacked Adequate Controls Over the Operation of Mary Scott Nursing Center, Dayton, OH	In Process	Support or reimburse the project from nonproject funds for the \$20,000 value of the project's van, which was transferred without sufficient documentation showing that the transfer was for reasonable operating expenses.	6/30/2019
2017-CH-1009-001-C	9/30/2017	The Owner and Management Agents Lacked Adequate Controls Over the Operation of Mary Scott Nursing Center, Dayton, OH	In Process	Support or reimburse the project from nonproject funds for the \$189,524, as appropriate, in disbursements from the project's general operating fund account without sufficient documentation showing that the disbursements were for reasonable operating expenses of the project.	6/30/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-CH-1009-001-B	9/30/2017	The Owner and Management Agents Lacked Adequate Controls Over the Operation of Mary Scott Nursing Center, Dayton, OH	In Process	Reimburse the State from nonproject funds for the additional \$384,772 in Medicaid overpayments.	6/30/2019
2017-CH-1009-001-A	9/30/2017	The Owner and Management Agents Lacked Adequate Controls Over the Operation of Mary Scott Nursing Center, Dayton, OH	In Process	Reimburse the project from nonproject funds for the \$542,443 in disbursements from the project's general operating fund account that was not used for reasonable operating expenses or necessary repairs of the project.	6/30/2019
2017-NY-0002-001-I	9/29/2017	HUD Could Improve Its Controls Over the Disposition of Real Properties Assisted With Community Development Block Grant Funds	In Process	We recommend that the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs issue guidance to HUD staff and grantees to clarify the applicability of change of use requirements in cases where there is both a repayment from non-Federal funds and a voluntary grant reduction.	8/31/2018
2017-NY-0002-001-F	9/29/2017	HUD Could Improve Its Controls Over the Disposition of Real Properties Assisted With Community Development Block Grant Funds	In Process	We recommend that the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs instruct the Philadelphia, PA, field office to require Luzerne County to reclassify program income already reported to the activity ID in IDIS that generated the income, ensuring that the \$798,273 in program income is properly accounted for.	8/31/2018
2017-NY-0002-001-E	9/29/2017	HUD Could Improve Its Controls Over the Disposition of Real Properties Assisted With Community Development Block Grant Funds	In Process	We recommend that the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs instruct the Philadelphia, PA, field office to require Luzerne County to provide documentation to support the fair value of the property at the time of disposition. If documentation cannot be provided, the grantee should be required to reimburse \$575,263 to its CDBG line of credit from non-Federal funds. If documentation can be provided, the grantee should be required to determine and reimburse its local bank account from non-Federal funds the additional program income not already reported and properly report the additional program income in IDIS under the activity ID that generated the income.	8/31/2018
2017-NY-0002-001-D	9/29/2017	HUD Could Improve Its Controls Over the Disposition of Real Properties Assisted With Community Development Block Grant Funds	In Process	We recommend that the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs instruct the Newark, NJ, field office to require Jersey City to provide documentation to support the fair market value of the property at the time of disposition. If documentation cannot be provided, the grantee should be required to reimburse \$503,550 to its CDBG line of credit from non-Federal funds. If documentation can be provided, the grantee should be required to determine and reimburse its local bank account from non-Federal funds any additional program income not already reported and properly report the additional program income in IDIS under the activity ID that generated the income.	8/31/2018
2017-NY-0002-001-C	9/29/2017	HUD Could Improve Its Controls Over the Disposition of Real Properties Assisted With Community Development Block Grant Funds	In Process	We recommend that the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs instruct the Newark, NJ, field office to require Jersey City to provide documentation to show that a notice was provided to affected citizens as required or take action to advise affected citizens that they disposed of the property.	8/31/2018

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-NY-0002-001-B	9/29/2017	HUD Could Improve Its Controls Over the Disposition of Real Properties Assisted With Community Development Block Grant Funds	In Dispute	We recommend that the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs develop a process to ensure that grantees properly report the addresses of assisted properties in IDIS and properly calculate and report program income from the disposition of these properties regularly. This process could include but is not limited to developing a process to extract data reported in IDIS on activities with the matrix codes related to real property, and training and instructing the Office of CPD's field office staff to extract this data and manually check for address and program income data on grantees' activities, particularly activities that are completed but have properties that could still be subject to program income requirements.	
2017-KC-0010-001-A	9/29/2017	HUD Generally Ensured That Purchasers Followed the Requirements Outlined in the Conveyance, Assumption, and Assignment Contracts, but Improvements Are Needed	In Process	Update the terms in the purchase agreement to ensure that the agreements define "extenuating circumstance" in reference to foreclosure avoidance, establish how long stabilization outcomes can continue to be reported as planned, and establish financial or other penalties to hold purchasers accountable in instances of nonreporting and noncompliance.	1/16/2019
2017-PH-0003-001-E	9/29/2017	HUD Did Not Provide Sufficient Guidance and Oversight To Ensure That FHA-Insured Properties Nationwide Had Safe Water	In Process	Consider requiring water testing for all FHA-insured properties.	7/19/2019
2017-SE-1002-001-C	9/29/2017	The Housing Authority of Snohomish County, Everett, WA, Did Not Always Administer Its Section 8 Project-Based Voucher Program in Accordance With HUD Regulations	In Process	Require the Authority to submit evidence and its board of directors to certify to the Director of the Seattle Office of Public Housing that it has complied with regulatory requirements for each step of the project-based voucher process for each new project-based voucher project until such time as the Director of the Seattle Office of Public Housing believes the Authority understands and is consistently complying with the requirements.	1/9/2021
2017-DP-0003-001-C	9/28/2017	New Core Project: Although Transaction Processing Had Improved Weaknesses Remained	In Process	Resolve the unreconciled subledger differences identified after October 1, 2016.	1/9/2019
2017-CF-1807-001-A	9/28/2017	Residential Home Funding Corp. Settled Allegations of Failing To Comply With HUD's Federal Housing Administration Loan Requirements	In Process	Acknowledge that \$1,670,000 in the attached settlement represents an amount due HUD less DOJ's civil debt collection fees.	9/30/2021

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-NY-1013-001-G	9/28/2017	The New Brunswick Housing Authority, NJ, Did Not Always Administer Its Operating and Capital Funds In Accordance With HUD Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark Office of Public Housing to require the Authority to reimburse its project from non-Federal funds for \$87,116 in excessive management fees charged for units undergoing demolition.	1/28/2029
2017-NY-1013-001-E	9/28/2017	The New Brunswick Housing Authority, NJ, Did Not Always Administer Its Operating and Capital Funds In Accordance With HUD Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark Office of Public Housing to require the Authority to reimburse HUD \$139,423 in replacement housing factor funds not disbursed by the expenditure deadline from its replacement housing factor funds or reduce its future capital funds.	1/28/2049
2017-CH-1007-002-A	9/28/2017	The Menard County Housing Authority, Petersburg, IL, Did Not Comply With HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Reimburse its program \$163,316 from non-Federal funds (\$29,074 in housing assistance due to calculation errors + 74,957 due to inappropriate voucher sizes, payment standards, and utility allowances + \$18,141 + \$41,144 in administrative fees) for the inappropriate payments cited in this finding.	11/1/2062
2017-CH-1007-001-B	9/28/2017	The Menard County Housing Authority, Petersburg, IL, Did Not Comply With HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Seek retroactive approval or reimburse its program \$358,237 (\$339,908 in housing assistance payments + \$18,329 in program funds paid to the contractor) for the housing quality standards inspections of units owned by entities substantially controlled by the Authority, completed by contractors that were not approved by HUD.	11/1/2062
2017-CH-1007-001-A	9/28/2017	The Menard County Housing Authority, Petersburg, IL, Did Not Comply With HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Reimburse its program \$373,860 (\$302,638 in ineligible housing assistance payments + \$63,643 in associated administrative fees + \$7,579 in program funds paid to the contractor) from non-Federal funds for the inappropriate payments cited in this finding.	11/1/2062
2017-KC-1003-002-C	9/26/2017	Majestic Management, LLC, St. Louis, MO, a Management Agent for the East St. Louis Housing Authority, Mismanaged Its Public Housing Program	Pending Investigation	Require the Authority to review all other payments to the sampled vendors to confirm that the costs were reasonable and the goods and services were procured from eligible vendors or repay the projects from non-Federal funds.	1/12/2022

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-KC-1003-002-B	9/26/2017	Majestic Management, LLC, St. Louis, MO, a Management Agent for the East St. Louis Housing Authority, Mismanaged Its Public Housing Program	Pending Investigation	Require the Authority and Majestic Management to support that the \$487,422 spent on goods and services for the projects was a reasonable cost and the goods and services were procured from eligible vendors or repay the projects from non-Federal funds.	1/12/2022
2017-KC-1003-001-D	9/26/2017	Majestic Management, LLC, St. Louis, MO, a Management Agent for the East St. Louis Housing Authority, Mismanaged Its Public Housing Program	Pending Investigation	Require the Authority and Majestic Management to reimburse from non-Federal funds the \$109,665 in ineligible expenses that Majestic Management charged to the projects.	1/12/2022
2017-KC-1003-001-C	9/26/2017	Majestic Management, LLC, St. Louis, MO, a Management Agent for the East St. Louis Housing Authority, Mismanaged Its Public Housing Program	Pending Investigation	Require the Authority and Majestic Management to support \$568,023 spent on payroll allocated to the projects or repay the projects from non-Federal funds.	1/12/2022
2017-PH-1006-001-C	9/25/2017	The Owner of Schwenckfeld Manor, Lansdale, PA, Did Not Always Manage Its HUD-Insured Property in Accordance With Applicable HUD Requirements	In Process	Develop and implement controls to ensure that the project complies with the regulatory agreement and applicable HUD requirements.	4/28/2019
2017-PH-1006-001-B	9/25/2017	The Owner of Schwenckfeld Manor, Lansdale, PA, Did Not Always Manage Its HUD-Insured Property in Accordance With Applicable HUD Requirements	In Process	Provide documentation to show that other direct costs totaling \$56,021 and any direct costs incurred outside our audit period, including fiscal year 2017, were reasonable and necessary expenses for the operation of the project or repay the project from nonproject funds for any amount that it cannot support.	8/9/2019
2017-PH-1006-001-A	9/25/2017	The Owner of Schwenckfeld Manor, Lansdale, PA, Did Not Always Manage Its HUD-Insured Property in Accordance With Applicable HUD Requirements	In Process	Provide documentation to show that payroll costs totaling \$2,019,496 and any payroll costs incurred outside our audit period, including fiscal year 2017, were reasonable and necessary expenses for the operation of the project or repay the project from nonproject funds for any amount that it cannot support.	8/9/2019
2017-PH-0002-001-D	9/22/2017	HUD Did Not Provide Sufficient Guidance and Oversight To Ensure That State Disaster Grantees Followed Proficient Procurement Processes	In Dispute	Provide procurement training and technical assistance to State grantees to ensure that they understand the intent of each of the Federal procurement standards at 2 CFR 200.318 through 200.326.	

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-PH-0002-001-C	9/22/2017	HUD Did Not Provide Sufficient Guidance and Oversight To Ensure That State Disaster Grantees Followed Proficient Procurement Processes	In Dispute	Clarify and improve its guidance for State grantees to explain what it means to have a procurement process that fully aligns with, or meets the intent of, each of the Federal procurement standards at 2 CFR 200.318 through 200.326.	
2017-PH-0002-001-B	9/22/2017	HUD Did Not Provide Sufficient Guidance and Oversight To Ensure That State Disaster Grantees Followed Proficient Procurement Processes	In Dispute	Improve its controls to ensure that appropriate staff adequately evaluates the proficiency of State grantee procurement processes for States that select the equivalency option. This includes ensuring that staff that specializes in procurement review the documentation to ensure that State processes fully align with, or meet the intent of, each of the Federal procurement standards at 2 CFR 200.318 through 200.326.	
2017-PH-0002-001-A	9/22/2017	HUD Did Not Provide Sufficient Guidance and Oversight To Ensure That State Disaster Grantees Followed Proficient Procurement Processes	In Dispute	Clarify that if a State receives a disaster recovery grant and chooses to certify that its procurement process is equivalent to Federal procurement standards, “equivalent” means that its procurement process fully aligns with, or meets the intent of, each of the Federal procurement standards at 2 CFR 200.318 through 200.326.	
2017-LA-0005-001-B	9/21/2017	HUD Did Not Always Follow Applicable Requirements When Forgiving Debts and Terminating Debt Collections	In Process	Conduct a complete analysis of existing procedures to strengthen controls over debt collection, including HUD’s Treasury Reports of Receivables reporting, resulting in funds to be put to better use of \$3,247,078. Controls should include additional procedures for ensuring that DOJ approval is obtained when required, that all appropriate means of collection have been pursued (including referral to Treasury when required), and that all closed debts are tracked and were properly authorized for collection termination or forgiveness. The analysis should also include a review of HUD’s Treasury Reports on Receivables, and any other available records to verify that all closed debts were properly approved for collection termination or forgiveness when required. For any identified debts that were not properly approved, the Departmental Claims Collection Officer should coordinate with applicable program offices to obtain appropriate documentation to approve collection termination or reinstate the debt and resume collections.	1/17/2019
2017-LA-0005-001-A	9/21/2017	HUD Did Not Always Follow Applicable Requirements When Forgiving Debts and Terminating Debt Collections	In Process	Take appropriate steps to establish eligibility for collection termination or compromise for 10 debts totaling \$1,210,278,5 including three debts that were closed without required DOJ approval. For debts that have a remaining appropriate means of collection, such as demand letters, administrative offset, or referral to Treasury, HUD should reinstate the debt and resume collections.	1/17/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-NY-1012-001-B	9/21/2017	The City of New York, NY, Could Improve Its Invoice Review Process Before Disbursing Disaster Funds Under Its Public Housing Rehabilitation and Resilience Program	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs require the City to provide documentation showing that it has strengthened its invoice review process to ensure that costs are eligible and supported before disbursing disaster funds to its subrecipient under the program.	5/28/2018
2017-NY-1012-001-A	9/21/2017	The City of New York, NY, Could Improve Its Invoice Review Process Before Disbursing Disaster Funds Under Its Public Housing Rehabilitation and Resilience Program	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs require the City to provide documentation showing that it obtained adequate support for contractor invoices related to disaster funds it disbursed to its subrecipient under the program.	5/28/2018
2017-BO-1007-001-B	9/21/2017	The Housing Authority of the City of Hartford, CT, Did Not Always Comply With Procurement Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	Repay the appropriate programs from non-Federal funds the \$1,524,604 in ineligible funds paid when costs exceeded contract terms.	1/25/2021
2017-BO-1007-001-A	9/21/2017	The Housing Authority of the City of Hartford, CT, Did Not Always Comply With Procurement Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	Support that \$2,533,377 in costs were reasonable and allowable program expenses in accordance with requirements or repay from non-Federal funds the appropriate programs any amounts they cannot support.	1/25/2021
2017-NY-1010-001-C	9/15/2017	The State of New York Did Not Show That Disaster Recovery Funds Under Its Non-Federal Share Match Program Were Used for Eligible and Supported Costs	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs direct the State to provide training to its staff on applicable HUD and Federal requirements for eligibility, documentation of costs, and duplication of benefits reviews.	8/31/2018
2017-NY-1010-001-B	9/15/2017	The State of New York Did Not Show That Disaster Recovery Funds Under Its Non-Federal Share Match Program Were Used for Eligible and Supported Costs	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs direct the State to implement procedures to ensure that remaining program costs reimbursed with disaster recovery funds are adequately reviewed for eligibility and support, thereby putting up to \$8,932,630 to better use.	8/31/2018
2017-NY-1010-001-A	9/15/2017	The State of New York Did Not Show That Disaster Recovery Funds Under Its Non-Federal Share Match Program Were Used for Eligible and Supported Costs	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs direct the State to provide documentation to show that the \$18,782,054 used for four activities was for eligible and supported costs and did not duplicate other benefits or repay from non-Federal funds any amount that it cannot support.	8/31/2018

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-AT-1802-001-B	9/15/2017	San Sebastian Fine Arts Center, Office of the Commissioner for Municipal Affairs, San Juan, PR, State Block Grant Program	Pending Judicial Review	Instruct the Office for the Socioeconomic and Community Development to submit a plan for how it will proceed with the fine arts center project. The plan should include a schedule that HUD can track to ensure the project's completion without proposing the use of additional HUD funds.	11/28/2019
2017-AT-1802-001-A	9/15/2017	San Sebastian Fine Arts Center, Office of the Commissioner for Municipal Affairs, San Juan, PR, State Block Grant Program	Pending Judicial Review	Reevaluate the feasibility of the project to determine the eligibility of the \$1,014,211 in State Block Grant funds disbursed. If HUD determines that the project has been canceled or is not feasible, the Government of Puerto Rico or its designee must reimburse all project costs to its State Block Grant program from non-Federal funds.	11/28/2019
2017-LA-0004-001-F	9/14/2017	HUD Did Not Have Adequate Controls To Ensure That Servicers Properly Engaged in Loss Mitigation	In Process	Require that the servicers with significant and other deficiencies revise and update their policies and procedures, as necessary, to ensure that they comply with HUD requirements and guidance on loss mitigation evaluation.	1/11/2019
2017-LA-0004-001-E	9/14/2017	HUD Did Not Have Adequate Controls To Ensure That Servicers Properly Engaged in Loss Mitigation	In Process	Reinforce existing guidance (such as mortgagee letters, notifications to servicers, and training) to servicers to ensure that they engage in required loss mitigation.	1/11/2019
2017-LA-0004-001-D	9/14/2017	HUD Did Not Have Adequate Controls To Ensure That Servicers Properly Engaged in Loss Mitigation	In Process	Require indemnification for the 26 loans that had significant servicing deficiencies. In these cases, the loss to HUD was \$1,673,117 (appendixes A and D).	1/11/2019
2017-LA-0004-001-C	9/14/2017	HUD Did Not Have Adequate Controls To Ensure That Servicers Properly Engaged in Loss Mitigation	In Process	Update and revise policies and procedures, including reinforcement of guidance (for example, mortgagee letters, notifications to servicers, or training) to ensure that servicers accurately report the status of delinquent loans to HUD.	1/11/2019
2017-LA-0004-001-B	9/14/2017	HUD Did Not Have Adequate Controls To Ensure That Servicers Properly Engaged in Loss Mitigation	In Process	Develop and implement policies and procedures to ensure that the Office of Single Family Asset Management and Office of Lender Activities and Program Compliance communicate the results of their servicing reviews to each other.	1/11/2019
2017-LA-0004-001-A	9/14/2017	HUD Did Not Have Adequate Controls To Ensure That Servicers Properly Engaged in Loss Mitigation	In Process	Revise servicing review and monitoring policies and procedures to emphasize increased controls on reviewing claim loans showing that no loss mitigation evaluation occurred. Revising the policies and procedures would reduce the risk to HUD and result in a projected \$120,902,564 in funds to be put to better use (appendix A).	1/11/2019
2017-LA-1008-001-A	9/13/2017	The City of Las Vegas, NV, Did Not Administer Its Neighborhood Stabilization Program 3 Homebuyer Assistance Program in Accordance with HUD Requirements	In Process	Modify the recapture provisions of the 35 home-buyer assistance agreements totaling \$488,519 to meet HUD recapture requirements relating to the sales price, closing costs, and net proceeds.	5/31/2019
2017-KC-0007-001-A	9/12/2017	HUD Subsidized 10,119 Units for Tenants Who Were Undercharged Flat Rents	In Process	Finalize and implement monitoring procedures to ensure that \$6,324,625 in flat rents are appropriately charged to tenants over the next year.	12/31/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-FW-1012-001-D	9/6/2017	The City of New Orleans, New Orleans, LA, Did Not Always Properly Administer Its HOME Program	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New Orleans Office of CPD require the City to support that all HOME-assisted units at the Greater Treme Consortium, Inc.-Robertson Street, Greater Treme Consortium, Inc.-Dumaine Street, Iberville Project Phase II-Bienville Basin, OIC1-N. Dorgenois Street, OIC2-Painters Street, OIC5-Pauger Street, Treme Cottages, Robert Wolfe Construction-HOME Rental Project, and Rosa Keller projects meet HUD housing property standards or repay its program from non-Federal funds \$5,718,095 disbursed in contract costs.	6/28/2019
2017-FW-1012-001-C	9/6/2017	The City of New Orleans, New Orleans, LA, Did Not Always Properly Administer Its HOME Program	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New Orleans Office of CPD require the City to support that rents were within the rent guidelines or repay tenants and/or HUD from non-Federal funds for rent overpayments of \$82,800 at Rosa Keller.	6/28/2019
2017-PH-0001-001-B	9/5/2017	HUD Can Improve Its Oversight of Community Development Block Grant Direct Home-Ownership Assistance Activities	In Process	Provide guidance to field office staff to clarify the statutory requirements in 42 U.S.C. 5305(a)(24) regarding a principal reduction and a downpayment for direct home-ownership assistance activities.	6/30/2018
2017-PH-0001-001-A	9/5/2017	HUD Can Improve Its Oversight of Community Development Block Grant Direct Home-Ownership Assistance Activities	In Process	Direct responsible field offices to require the grantees identified by the audit to either provide documentation to support \$227,260 in unsupported payments or reimburse their programs from non-Federal funds for costs they cannot support.	6/30/2018
2017-FW-1011-001-C	8/29/2017	BLM Companies LLC Failed To Ensure That It Protected and Preserved HUD Properties Under Its Field Service Manager Contract for Area 1D	In Process	We recommend that the Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Single Family Housing require BLM to support or repay \$488,883 in unearned property management and inspection fees for 1,028 properties with three or more consecutive unresolved discrepancies in its routine exceptions reports.	12/13/2018
2017-FW-1011-001-B	8/29/2017	BLM Companies LLC Failed To Ensure That It Protected and Preserved HUD Properties Under Its Field Service Manager Contract for Area 1D	In Process	We recommend that the Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Single Family Housing require BLM to repay \$8,034 in ineligible monthly management and inspection fees for 57 sample properties with health and safety hazards or significant not-ready-to-show conditions.	12/13/2018
2017-CF-0801-001-B	8/21/2017	HUD Needs To Clarify Whether Illegal-Undocumented Aliens Are Eligible for Assistance Under the Housing Opportunities for Persons With AIDS Program	In Dispute	Consult with the Office of the Attorney General to establish whether HOPWA and other homeless assistance programs are a Federal public benefit that meets the definition of "providing assistance for the protection of life or safety" and are, therefore, exempt from PRWORA noncitizen eligibility restrictions.	

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-CF-0801-001-A	8/21/2017	HUD Needs To Clarify Whether Illegal-Undocumented Aliens Are Eligible for Assistance Under the Housing Opportunities for Persons With AIDS Program	In Dispute	Clarify whether assistance provided under its community development programs, such as HOPWA, are considered "Federal public benefits" and are, therefore, subject to PRWORA's noncitizen eligibility restrictions.	
2017-AT-1011-001-E	8/21/2017	The Lexington Housing Authority, Lexington, NC, Did Not Administer Its RAD Conversion in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Ensure that the Authority completes all outstanding annual audits.	12/31/2019
2017-AT-1011-001-J	8/21/2017	The Lexington Housing Authority, Lexington, NC, Did Not Administer Its RAD Conversion in Accordance With HUD Requirements	Pending Judicial Review	Provide adequate supporting documentation for \$669,938 in monthly RAD rehabilitation assistance it received for vacant units during the period of construction or repay the project-based voucher program from non-Federal funds.	7/1/2020
2017-AT-1011-001-H	8/21/2017	The Lexington Housing Authority, Lexington, NC, Did Not Administer Its RAD Conversion in Accordance With HUD Requirements	Pending Judicial Review	Provide adequate supporting documentation for the \$2,075,314 paid for housing assistance payments for tenant rents for 2015 and 2016 and the associated administrative fees earned or repay the project-based voucher program from non-Federal funds.	7/1/2020
2017-AT-1011-001-F	8/21/2017	The Lexington Housing Authority, Lexington, NC, Did Not Administer Its RAD Conversion in Accordance With HUD Requirements	Pending Judicial Review	Enter into a repayment agreement with Community Management Corporation for the improper housing assistance payments of \$5,912 to be repaid to the project-based voucher program or repay the project-based voucher program from non-Federal funds.	7/1/2020
2017-AT-1011-001-C	8/21/2017	The Lexington Housing Authority, Lexington, NC, Did Not Administer Its RAD Conversion in Accordance With HUD Requirements	Pending Judicial Review	Provide support showing the source and use of funds paid to the Terrace Lane, LP, and Southside Village, LP, after the RAD conversion or repay the project-based voucher program from non-Federal funds.	7/1/2020
2017-AT-1011-001-B	8/21/2017	The Lexington Housing Authority, Lexington, NC, Did Not Administer Its RAD Conversion in Accordance With HUD Requirements	Pending Judicial Review	Provide support showing the amount of predevelopment fees paid. If it is over the allowable amount, that portion should be repaid to the project-based voucher program from non-Federal funds.	7/1/2020
2017-AT-1011-001-A	8/21/2017	The Lexington Housing Authority, Lexington, NC, Did Not Administer Its RAD Conversion in Accordance With HUD Requirements	Pending Judicial Review	Provide adequate supporting documentation for the amount of Public Housing Capital Fund loan to verify the loan source. If another source of Federal funds is determined, repay the amount to the appropriate program from non-Federal funds.	7/1/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-BO-1006-001-A	8/18/2017	The West Warwick Housing Authority, West Warwick, RI, Needs To Improve Its Compliance With Federal Regulations for Its Housing Choice Voucher and Public Housing Programs	Under Repayment Agreement	Provide documentation to support that \$2,063,351 was spent for reasonable and necessary costs. Any amount that cannot be supported should be repaid to the Housing Choice Voucher or public housing programs from non-Federal funds.	12/31/2019
2017-PH-1005-001-A	8/14/2017	The State of New Jersey Did Not Always Disburse Disaster Funds for Its Sandy Homebuyer Assistance Program To Assist Eligible Home Buyers	In Process	Repay HUD from non-Federal funds for the \$987,500 disbursed to 21 home buyers who did not meet all of the program eligibility requirements.	5/1/2018
2017-LA-1006-001-A	8/9/2017	The City of Fresno, CA, Did Not Administer Its Community Development Block Grant in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Support the eligibility of \$6,529,500 in code enforcement costs, including meeting code enforcement requirements, preparing time distribution reports, and supporting vehicle costs, or repay the program from non-Federal funds (appendix D).	2/28/2019
2017-AT-1007-001-B	7/17/2017	The City of Atlanta, GA, Did Not Properly Administer the Neighborhood Stabilization Program Grants for Its Subrecipient in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	Provide adequate support for expenses totaling \$48,985. Any expenses that are not supported should be repaid to the appropriate NSP grant from non-Federal funds.	11/8/2018
2017-KC-0006-001-A	7/14/2017	HUD Did Not Conduct Rulemaking or Develop Formal Procedures for Its Single-Family Note Sales Program	In Process	Complete the rulemaking process for HUD's single-family note sales program.	9/30/2019
2017-KC-0006-001-B	7/14/2017	HUD Did Not Conduct Rulemaking or Develop Formal Procedures for Its Single-Family Note Sales Program	In Process	Develop and implement formal procedures and guidance for the note sales program.	3/31/2020
2017-CH-1003-002-A	7/14/2017	The Housing Authority of the City of Hammond, Hammond, IN, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Reimburse its program \$25,231(\$15,061 in inappropriate graduation payments + \$10,170 in inappropriate interim disbursements) from non-Federal funds for the incorrect escrow account disbursements.	10/4/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-CH-1003-001-A	7/14/2017	The Housing Authority of the City of Hammond, Hammond, IN, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Reimburse its program \$25,133 (\$17,174 in housing assistance payments + \$7,959 in associated administrative fees) from non-Federal funds for the overpayment of housing assistance and utility allowances due to inappropriate calculations.	2/27/2025
2017-FW-0001-001-D	7/10/2017	HUD's Office of CPD Did Not Appropriately Assess State CDBG Grantees' Risk to the Integrity of CPD Programs or Adequately Monitor Its Grantees	In Process	We recommend that the General Deputy Assistant Secretary for CPD, develop and implement a quality control review process at the headquarters level to ensure compliance with monitoring requirements for reports and exhibits, to include but not be limited to explaining procedures performed and adequately explaining and providing supporting documentation for conclusions drawn.	9/30/2018
2017-FW-0001-001-F	7/10/2017	HUD's Office of CPD Did Not Appropriately Assess State CDBG Grantees' Risk to the Integrity of CPD Programs or Adequately Monitor Its Grantees	In Dispute	We recommend that the General Deputy Assistant Secretary for CPD, update exhibits to require staff to document procedures performed, provide sufficient explanation to verify procedures performed and conclusions drawn, and reference appropriate supporting documentation.	
2017-FW-0001-001-C	7/10/2017	HUD's Office of CPD Did Not Appropriately Assess State CDBG Grantees' Risk to the Integrity of CPD Programs or Adequately Monitor Its Grantees	In Dispute	We recommend that the General Deputy Assistant Secretary for CPD, develop and implement guidance for field offices to maintain supporting documentation in their official files with an adequate explanation of procedures performed to verify risk scores assigned, which could include upgrading CPD's systems to allow for the attachment of supporting documentation for risk analyses.	
2017-FW-0001-001-B	7/10/2017	HUD's Office of CPD Did Not Appropriately Assess State CDBG Grantees' Risk to the Integrity of CPD Programs or Adequately Monitor Its Grantees	In Dispute	We recommend that the General Deputy Assistant Secretary for CPD, develop and implement a policy requiring field offices to rate grantees of at least medium risk that have not been monitored in their respective program area within the last 3 years on factors that require assessments of capacity, program complexity, and monitoring findings resulting in repayment or grant reductions.	
2017-CH-1002-002-D	7/7/2017	The Youngstown Metropolitan Housing Authority, Youngstown, OH, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Support or reimburse its program \$1,666 from non-Federal funds for the unsupported payments of housing assistance cited in this finding.	11/2/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-CH-1002-002-C	7/7/2017	The Youngstown Metropolitan Housing Authority, Youngstown, OH, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Pursue collection from the applicable households or reimburse its program \$9,644 from non-Federal funds for the overpayment of housing assistance due to unreported or underreported income.	11/2/2019
2017-CH-1002-002-B	7/7/2017	The Youngstown Metropolitan Housing Authority, Youngstown, OH, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Reimburse the appropriate households \$1,265 from program funds for the underpayment of housing assistance due to inappropriate calculations.	11/2/2019
2017-CH-1002-002-A	7/7/2017	The Youngstown Metropolitan Housing Authority, Youngstown, OH, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Reimburse its program \$34,666 from non-Federal funds (\$21,990 + \$12,676 in administrative fees) for the overpayment of housing assistance due to inappropriate calculations of housing assistance.	11/2/2019
2017-CH-1002-001-H	7/7/2017	The Youngstown Metropolitan Housing Authority, Youngstown, OH, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Reimburse two participants \$7,076 from its Housing Choice Voucher program account for the underfunded graduation payments cited in this finding.	11/2/2019
2017-CH-1002-001-G	7/7/2017	The Youngstown Metropolitan Housing Authority, Youngstown, OH, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Reimburse its Housing Choice Voucher program \$23,475 from non-Federal funds for the two ineligible interim and three ineligible graduation disbursements cited in this finding.	11/2/2019
2017-CH-1002-001-F	7/7/2017	The Youngstown Metropolitan Housing Authority, Youngstown, OH, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Support or reimburse its Housing Choice Voucher program \$6,839 from non-Federal funds for the one unsupported interim disbursement and five unsupported graduation disbursements cited in this finding.	11/2/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-CH-1002-001-E	7/7/2017	The Youngstown Metropolitan Housing Authority, Youngstown, OH, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Transfer \$7,574 from its program account to its Housing Choice Voucher program account for the five participants with overfunded escrows.	11/2/2019
2017-CH-1002-001-D	7/7/2017	The Youngstown Metropolitan Housing Authority, Youngstown, OH, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Support or transfer \$17,266 from its program account to its Housing Choice Voucher program for the 11 unsupported escrow calculations cited in this finding.	11/2/2019
2017-CH-1002-001-C	7/7/2017	The Youngstown Metropolitan Housing Authority, Youngstown, OH, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Transfer \$4,684 from its Housing Choice Voucher program account to its program account for the 12 participants with underfunded escrows.	11/2/2019
2017-CH-1002-001-B	7/7/2017	The Youngstown Metropolitan Housing Authority, Youngstown, OH, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Reconcile the current program participants and related information in its internal systems with the current participants listed in HUD's systems.	11/2/2019
2017-CH-1002-001-A	7/7/2017	The Youngstown Metropolitan Housing Authority, Youngstown, OH, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	Reconcile the \$58,457 difference among its system report and subsidiary ledger and the information reported in HUD's system (\$1,944 in monthly escrow deposits + \$521 difference in monthly escrow deposits between HUD's system and the amount reported in the Voucher Management system + the average difference of \$55,992 in total escrow account balances) to ensure that the monthly escrow deposits and total escrow balances are appropriately reported in HUD's system and provide the supporting documentation to HUD.	11/2/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-FW-1009-001-C	6/29/2017	Beverly Place Apartments, Groves, TX Subsidized Nonexistent Tenants, Unqualified Tenants, and Tenants With Questionable Qualifications	In Process	We recommend that the Southwest Region Director of Multifamily Housing require Beverly Place's owner to provide support to show that the subsidies for 18 tenants without files or without adequate income documentation in their files were accurate or repay HUD \$77,621 for those subsidies. Repayment must be from non-Federal funds.	10/2/2018
2017-FW-1009-001-B	6/29/2017	Beverly Place Apartments, Groves, TX Subsidized Nonexistent Tenants, Unqualified Tenants, and Tenants With Questionable Qualifications	In Process	We recommend that the Southwest Region Director of Multifamily Housing require Beverly Place's owner to provide support to show that the subsidies for 11 tenants with falsified income were accurate or repay HUD \$150,082 for those subsidies. Repayment must be from non-Federal funds.	10/2/2018
2017-FW-1009-001-E	6/29/2017	Beverly Place Apartments, Groves, TX Subsidized Nonexistent Tenants, Unqualified Tenants, and Tenants With Questionable Qualifications	In Process	We further recommend that the Southwest Region Director of Multifamily Housing ensure that the project-based contract administrator's review process includes steps to obtain reasonable assurance that tenants being reported as subsidized at Beverly Place live in the subsidized units.	10/18/2018
2017-FW-1009-001-D	6/29/2017	Beverly Place Apartments, Groves, TX Subsidized Nonexistent Tenants, Unqualified Tenants, and Tenants With Questionable Qualifications	In Process	We further recommend that the Southwest Region Director of Multifamily Housing require its contract administrator for Beverly Place to verify that the owner's recently implemented quality control program is working as designed.	10/18/2018
2017-PH-1802-001-A	6/28/2017	Final Civil Action Borrower Settled Allegations of Making False Statements to HUD for a Home Purchase Under the Federal Housing Administration Mortgage Insurance Program	In Process	Acknowledge that the attached settlement agreement for \$10,000 represents an amount due HUD.	3/31/2021
2017-LA-1005-001-I	6/16/2017	The City of Huntington Park, CA, Did Not Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	Obtain training or technical assistance on CDBG program requirements.	10/10/2018
2017-LA-1005-001-H	6/16/2017	The City of Huntington Park, CA, Did Not Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	Implement additional procedures and controls to ensure that documentation is obtained to support that the limited clientele national objective was met.	10/10/2018

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-LA-1005-001-G	6/16/2017	The City of Huntington Park, CA, Did Not Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	Implement additional policies and procedures to ensure that salaries and wages and cost allocations are charged in compliance with HUD requirements.	10/10/2018
2017-LA-1005-001-D	6/16/2017	The City of Huntington Park, CA, Did Not Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	Support the reasonableness of the \$110,000 Graffiti Removal program (activities 504 and 520) cost allocations or repay the program from non-Federal funds.	10/12/2018
2017-LA-1005-001-F	6/16/2017	The City of Huntington Park, CA, Did Not Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	Support the reasonableness of the \$95,736 in cost allocations charged as CDBG administrative (activity 522) costs or repay the costs from non-Federal funds.	10/13/2018
2017-LA-1005-001-E	6/16/2017	The City of Huntington Park, CA, Did Not Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	Support the \$31,186 After School program (activity 501) costs, including the reasonableness of the contract costs and meeting the limited clientele national objective, or repay the program from non-Federal funds.	10/13/2018
2017-LA-1005-001-C	6/16/2017	The City of Huntington Park, CA, Did Not Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	Implement revised code enforcement program policies and procedures to meet CDBG requirements. This will help ensure that the remaining \$328,918 budgeted for code enforcement activity 531 is put to better use.	10/13/2018
2017-LA-1005-001-B	6/16/2017	The City of Huntington Park, CA, Did Not Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	Support the \$576,997 in code enforcement costs (activities 499, 512, and 531), including meeting code enforcement and cost allocation requirements, or repay the program from non-Federal funds.	10/13/2018
2017-LA-1005-001-A	6/16/2017	The City of Huntington Park, CA, Did Not Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	Repay the program \$7,323 from non-Federal funds for ineligible code enforcement costs.	10/13/2018
2017-KC-0005-001-A	6/12/2017	Owners of Cooperative Housing Properties Generally Charged More for Their Section 8 Units Than for Their Non-Section 8 Units	In Process	Create and implement policies and procedures or change program regulations to prevent multifamily housing properties from charging more for Section 8 units than for comparable non-Section 8 units. For the 25 properties reviewed, this measure would prevent as much as \$3,144,894 of Section 8 funds from subsidizing non-Section 8 units in the next year.	9/14/2018

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-KC-0004-001-C	6/2/2017	CPD Did Not Follow the Departmental Clearance Process When It Issued the July 25, 2013, Guidance for Duplication of Benefits Requirements	In Process	Develop improved procedures and provide training to appropriate staff regarding the departmental clearance process requirements, including <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Determining which guidance is considered to be a directive, including public communications, and •Ensuring that HUD program participants have clear, instructive, and helpful information to comply with the applicable requirements and procedures for HUD programs. 	7/12/2018
2017-KC-0004-001-B	6/2/2017	CPD Did Not Follow the Departmental Clearance Process When It Issued the July 25, 2013, Guidance for Duplication of Benefits Requirements	In Process	Develop guidance that helps the public understand its options for assistance between CDBG-DR and SBA and how to comply with Federal requirements.	10/19/2018
2017-KC-0004-001-A	6/2/2017	CPD Did Not Follow the Departmental Clearance Process When It Issued the July 25, 2013, Guidance for Duplication of Benefits Requirements	In Process	Pursue departmental clearance for the July 25, 2013, guidance that did not go through required departmental clearance. For any items that cannot be appropriately cleared, HUD should take appropriate action to recall the document or policy.	10/19/2018
2017-AT-1005-001-F	5/24/2017	The Miami-Dade County Homeless Trust Did Not Always Properly Administer Its Continuum of Care Program	In Process	Perform onsite monitoring of the subrecipient that administered project FL0431L4D001403 to ensure that participants are eligible and annual income re-certifications are performed.	9/19/2018
2017-AT-1005-001-E	5/24/2017	The Miami-Dade County Homeless Trust Did Not Always Properly Administer Its Continuum of Care Program	In Process	Provide supporting documentation to show that participant 87487 from FL0431L4D001403 was chronically homeless or reimburse its Program \$15,756.	9/19/2018
2017-AT-1005-001-D	5/24/2017	The Miami-Dade County Homeless Trust Did Not Always Properly Administer Its Continuum of Care Program	In Process	Report Program income of \$31,724 for FL0199L4D001407 to HUD.	9/19/2018
2017-AT-1005-001-C	5/24/2017	The Miami-Dade County Homeless Trust Did Not Always Properly Administer Its Continuum of Care Program	In Process	Provide guidance to subrecipients to ensure that (1) on-the-job training hours are supported by source documents, such as signed attendance or time sheets, and (2) personal goods and services are supported by documents that show the allocation between business and personal use.	9/19/2018

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-AT-1005-001-B	5/24/2017	The Miami-Dade County Homeless Trust Did Not Always Properly Administer Its Continuum of Care Program	In Process	Provide supporting documentation or reimburse its Program \$1,023 from non-Federal funds for unsupported personal cell phone costs incurred under project FL0220L4D001407.	9/19/2018
2017-AT-1005-001-A	5/24/2017	The Miami-Dade County Homeless Trust Did Not Always Properly Administer Its Continuum of Care Program	In Process	Provide supporting documentation or reimburse its Program \$81,654 from non-Federal funds for unsupported on-the-job training costs incurred under project FL0220L4D001407.	9/19/2018
2017-KC-0003-002-A	5/22/2017	HUD Did Not Ensure That Lenders Properly Processed Voluntary Terminations of Insurance Coverage on FHA Loans and Disclosed All Implications of the Terminations to the Borrowers	In Process	Update Handbook 4000.1 to require the voluntary termination of insurance consent form to include an explanation that voluntary termination differs from mortgage insurance premium cancellation and the disclosure of any outstanding partial claims to put \$285,215 to better use.	8/31/2018
2017-KC-0003-001-B	5/22/2017	HUD Did Not Ensure That Lenders Properly Processed Voluntary Terminations of Insurance Coverage on FHA Loans and Disclosed All Implications of the Terminations to the Borrowers	In Process	Remind lenders that voluntary termination is not the correct termination type to record third-party sales and that borrower consent is required to terminate insurance, even if the loans are indemnified.	8/31/2018
2017-PH-1003-002-C	5/22/2017	The Yorkville Cooperative, Fairfax, VA, Did Not Administer Its HUD-Insured Property and Housing Assistance Contract According to Applicable Requirements	In Process	Correct the errors in the tenant files identified during the audit.	9/7/2018
2017-PH-1003-001-C	5/22/2017	The Yorkville Cooperative, Fairfax, VA, Did Not Administer Its HUD-Insured Property and Housing Assistance Contract According to Applicable Requirements	In Process	Provide documentation to support payments totaling \$163,885 or reimburse the project from nonproject funds for payments that it cannot support.	9/7/2018
2017-PH-1003-001-A	5/22/2017	The Yorkville Cooperative, Fairfax, VA, Did Not Administer Its HUD-Insured Property and Housing Assistance Contract According to Applicable Requirements	In Process	Provide documentation to show that prices paid for purchases of products and services totaling \$970,381 were fair and reasonable or reimburse the project from nonproject funds for any amounts that were not fair and reasonable.	9/7/2018

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-PH-1003-001-B	5/22/2017	The Yorkville Cooperative, Fairfax, VA, Did Not Administer Its HUD-Insured Property and Housing Assistance Contract According to Applicable Requirements	In Process	Provide documentation to show that payments for work totaling \$716,693 complied with applicable building codes or reimburse the project from nonproject funds for payments that did not comply with the codes and take action to bring the work up to code.	9/15/2018
2017-KC-0003-001-C	5/22/2017	HUD Did Not Ensure That Lenders Properly Processed Voluntary Terminations of Insurance Coverage on FHA Loans and Disclosed All Implications of the Terminations to the Borrowers	In Process	Improve its procedures for detecting and sanctioning improper voluntary terminations.	11/1/2019
2017-NY-0001-001-B	5/18/2017	HUD PIH's Required Conversion Program Was Not Adequately Implemented	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public Housing Investments direct staff to develop and implement policies and procedures with the Office of Field Operations regarding identification of potentially distressed projects and monitoring and enforcement of the required conversion program.	12/31/2019
2017-NY-0001-001-A	5/18/2017	HUD PIH's Required Conversion Program Was Not Adequately Implemented	In Process	<p>We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public Housing Investments direct staff to determine whether the nine PHAs that were classified as troubled or physically substandard have public housing developments that are subject to the required conversion requirements to support whether potentially distressed projects should be converted to tenant-based rental assistance. If conversion is required, ensure that it is accomplished timely, thereby ensuring that up to \$75,540,916 is used effectively for other projects that are cost effective and have long term viability and ensuring that residents receive other rental assistance.</p> <p>Footnote: The \$75 million cited as funds to be put to better use is based on an analysis of available data. We recognize that the 131 PHAs cited in this report, including the 9 PHAs that comprise the \$75 million figure, may not have projects with at least 250 units on one or more contiguous sites that have vacancy rates of 12 percent or more. However, the data did not allow us to calculate vacancy rates for each group of contiguous units, and HUD could not provide a reasonable, supported method to identify projects subject to required conversion. To address this recommendation, HUD will need to determine whether these PHAs have projects that are subject to required conversion.</p>	12/31/2023

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-AT-1004-001-D	5/8/2017	Neighborhood Housing Services of South Florida, Miami, FL Did Not Ensure That NSP2 Funds Were Used for Eligible Purposes and Sufficiently Supported	In Process	Review all administration drawdown vouchers and provide documentation to support that the drawdowns for estimated payroll costs are reconciled with the actual payroll costs for the pay periods. Any calculated overpayment by NSP should be returned to the program to meet program purposes.	9/4/2018
2017-AT-1004-001-C	5/8/2017	Neighborhood Housing Services of South Florida, Miami, FL Did Not Ensure That NSP2 Funds Were Used for Eligible Purposes and Sufficiently Supported	In Process	Provide documentation to support that the differences resulting from the payroll costs for the three vouchers, which netted \$3,169, were offset in later drawdown vouchers or repay the program from non-Federal funds.	9/4/2018
2017-AT-1004-001-B	5/8/2017	Neighborhood Housing Services of South Florida, Miami, FL Did Not Ensure That NSP2 Funds Were Used for Eligible Purposes and Sufficiently Supported	In Process	Provide documentation to support that the \$224,868 in NSP2 funds drawn down from the four vouchers was eligible or repay the program from non-Federal funds.	9/4/2018
2017-AT-1004-001-A	5/8/2017	Neighborhood Housing Services of South Florida, Miami, FL Did Not Ensure That NSP2 Funds Were Used for Eligible Purposes and Sufficiently Supported	In Process	Reimburse NSP2 from non-Federal funds for the \$59,523 in ineligible disbursements on the five vouchers.	9/4/2018
2017-FW-1006-001-M	4/26/2017	The Housing Authority of the City of Jasper, TX, Did Not Operate Its Public Housing Programs in Compliance With HUD's Requirements	Pending Judicial Review	We recommend that the Associate OGC for Program Enforcement determine legal sufficiency and, if legally sufficient, pursue remedies under the Program Fraud Civil Remedies Act against the executive director.	6/30/2020
2017-FW-1006-001-C	4/26/2017	The Housing Authority of the City of Jasper, TX, Did Not Operate Its Public Housing Programs in Compliance With HUD's Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	Repay from nonfederal funds its HUD programs \$4,739 paid to its fee accountant, which had a conflict of interest with the executive director.	12/2/2019
2017-FW-1006-001-A	4/26/2017	The Housing Authority of the City of Jasper, TX, Did Not Operate Its Public Housing Programs in Compliance With HUD's Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	Repay from nonfederal funds \$27,818 to its Capital Funds program from non-Federal funds for the ineligible purchase of the truck and expenditure of 2013 funds before they were available.	12/2/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-CH-1801-001-A	3/31/2017	Final Civil Action: Judgment Imposed on the Former President and Founder of MDR Mortgage Corporation Regarding Allegations of Failing To Comply With HUD's Federal Housing Administration Requirements	In Process	Acknowledge that the judgment awarded \$10,373,998 against the former president and founder of MDR Mortgage to the U.S. Government, of which HUD's loss totaled \$3,452,499.	8/21/2018
2017-CF-1803-001-A	3/29/2017	United Shore Financial Services, LLC, Settled Allegations of Failing To Comply With HUD's Federal Housing Administration Loan Requirements	In Process	Acknowledge that \$45 million in the attached settlement agreement represents an amount due HUD, less the Department of Justice's civil debt collection fees.	3/27/2022
2017-PH-1002-001-J	3/24/2017	The Owner of Laurentian Hall Apartments, Pittsburgh, PA, Did Not Always Manage Its HUD-Mortgaged Project in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Provide training and technical assistance to the owner and its management agent to ensure compliance with the terms of its mortgage and other applicable HUD requirements.	7/12/2018
2017-PH-1002-001-H	3/24/2017	The Owner of Laurentian Hall Apartments, Pittsburgh, PA, Did Not Always Manage Its HUD-Mortgaged Project in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Develop and implement controls to ensure that the project complies with applicable HUD requirements.	7/12/2018
2017-PH-1002-001-G	3/24/2017	The Owner of Laurentian Hall Apartments, Pittsburgh, PA, Did Not Always Manage Its HUD-Mortgaged Project in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Develop and implement controls to ensure that financial statements are submitted to HUD in a timely manner, including paying the correct amount of annual payments according to the terms of the mortgage.	7/12/2018
2017-PH-1002-001-D	3/24/2017	The Owner of Laurentian Hall Apartments, Pittsburgh, PA, Did Not Always Manage Its HUD-Mortgaged Project in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Provide documentation to show that the \$39,920 paid to replace an air conditioning system was fair and reasonable or repay the project from nonproject funds any amount determined not to be fair and reasonable (excluding any amount repaid as a result of recommendation 1C).	7/12/2018

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-PH-1002-001-C	3/24/2017	The Owner of Laurentian Hall Apartments, Pittsburgh, PA, Did Not Always Manage Its HUD-Mortgaged Project in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Request approval from HUD for the \$31,769 in project operating funds spent on building improvements or repay the project from nonproject funds for any amount not approved.	7/12/2018
2017-PH-1002-001-B	3/24/2017	The Owner of Laurentian Hall Apartments, Pittsburgh, PA, Did Not Always Manage Its HUD-Mortgaged Project in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Request approval from HUD to lease the commercial space. If HUD approves the request, then execute a lease at fair market rent thereby increasing the project's rent revenue by at least \$36,858 per year.	7/12/2018
2017-PH-1002-001-I	3/24/2017	The Owner of Laurentian Hall Apartments, Pittsburgh, PA, Did Not Always Manage Its HUD-Mortgaged Project in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Recalculate the project's annual surplus cash balances for 2013, 2014, and 2015 after resolution of recommendations 1A, 1C, 1D, and 1F to determine whether the project should make additional payment to HUD from surplus cash toward its mortgage beyond the \$13,740 that it paid during the audit.	7/19/2018
2017-PH-1002-001-F	3/24/2017	The Owner of Laurentian Hall Apartments, Pittsburgh, PA, Did Not Always Manage Its HUD-Mortgaged Project in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Repay the project \$8,597 from nonproject funds for the ineligible expenses it incurred for management fee and gas utility expenses that were identified by the audit and any additional management fee and gas utility expenses improperly paid outside of our review period.	7/19/2018
2017-PH-1002-001-E	3/24/2017	The Owner of Laurentian Hall Apartments, Pittsburgh, PA, Did Not Always Manage Its HUD-Mortgaged Project in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Remove the \$25,000 lien on the project property.	4/30/2019
2017-PH-1002-001-A	3/24/2017	The Owner of Laurentian Hall Apartments, Pittsburgh, PA, Did Not Always Manage Its HUD-Mortgaged Project in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Pay the project \$282,578 from non-project funds for the fair value of the commercial rent not collected from the lessees.	4/30/2019
2017-PH-1001-001-C	3/22/2017	The City of Pittsburgh, PA, Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD and Federal Requirements	In Process	Provide documentation to show that costs totaling \$100,000 for activity 6865 benefited the activity or repay its program from non-Federal funds for any amount that it cannot support.	7/19/2018

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-PH-1001-001-B	3/22/2017	The City of Pittsburgh, PA, Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD and Federal Requirements	In Process	Provide documentation to show that costs totaling \$942,636 for activity 7099 were for employees' actual time spent benefiting the activity or repay its program from non-Federal funds for any amount that it cannot support.	7/19/2018
2017-PH-1001-001-A	3/22/2017	The City of Pittsburgh, PA, Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD and Federal Requirements	In Process	Provide documentation to show that prices paid for products and services totaling \$1,423,262 for three activities were fair and reasonable or repay its program from non-Federal funds for any amount that it cannot support.	7/19/2018
2017-NY-1008-001-M	3/10/2017	The Irvington, NJ Housing Authority Did Not Always Administer Its Public Housing Program in Accordance With Program Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Acting Director of HUD's Newark Office of Public Housing instruct Authority officials to reimburse the program income account from non-Federal funds for \$21,857 in ineligible expenditures for golf outings, banquets, or dinner shows.	6/1/2028
2017-NY-1008-001-J	3/10/2017	The Irvington, NJ Housing Authority Did Not Always Administer Its Public Housing Program in Accordance With Program Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Acting Director of HUD's Newark Office of Public Housing instruct Authority officials to provide documentation to support that \$13,340 in rent collected in March 2016 was deposited into an appropriate bank account or repay the Operating Fund from non-Federal funds for any amount not properly deposited.	6/1/2028
2017-NY-1008-001-G	3/10/2017	The Irvington, NJ Housing Authority Did Not Always Administer Its Public Housing Program in Accordance With Program Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Acting Director of HUD's Newark Office of Public Housing instruct Authority officials to reimburse the Operating Fund from non-Federal funds for the \$4,048 in ineligible civil service fines.	6/1/2028
2017-NY-1008-001-F	3/10/2017	The Irvington, NJ Housing Authority Did Not Always Administer Its Public Housing Program in Accordance With Program Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Acting Director of HUD's Newark Office of Public Housing instruct Authority officials to reimburse the Operating Fund from non-Federal funds for \$8,190 in ineligible salary advance.	6/1/2028
2017-NY-1008-001-N	3/10/2017	The Irvington, NJ Housing Authority Did Not Always Administer Its Public Housing Program in Accordance With Program Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Acting Director of HUD's Newark Office of Public Housing instruct Authority officials to provide documentation to justify \$37,671 that did not have receipts or other support showing how these transactions were used for low-income housing and benefited the residents or repay the program income account from non-Federal funds for any amount not supported.	6/1/2033

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-NY-1008-001-E	3/10/2017	The Irvington, NJ Housing Authority Did Not Always Administer Its Public Housing Program in Accordance With Program Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Acting Director of HUD's Newark Office of Public Housing instruct Authority officials to reimburse the Operating Fund from non-Federal funds for \$61,145 in ineligible expenditures for personal expenses, such as meals, grocery items, gift cards, flowers, golf, an award dinner, Costco and AAA memberships, and a church deduction.	6/1/2033
2017-NY-1008-001-C	3/10/2017	The Irvington, NJ Housing Authority Did Not Always Administer Its Public Housing Program in Accordance With Program Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Acting Director of HUD's Newark Office of Public Housing instruct Authority officials to provide supporting documentation to justify the \$27,487 reimbursed to Authority officials for various costs, such as health coverage waiver incentives, supplies, food, and decorations. Any amount determined to be ineligible should be repaid from non-Federal funds to the Operating Fund.	6/1/2033
2017-NY-1008-001-B	3/10/2017	The Irvington, NJ Housing Authority Did Not Always Administer Its Public Housing Program in Accordance With Program Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Acting Director of HUD's Newark Office of Public Housing instruct Authority officials to provide supporting documentation to justify the \$27,599 in unsupported training travel and per diem expenses related to quarterly meetings and trainings offered by HAI. Any amount determined to be ineligible should be repaid from non-Federal funds to the Operating Fund.	6/1/2033
2017-NY-1008-001-K	3/10/2017	The Irvington, NJ Housing Authority Did Not Always Administer Its Public Housing Program in Accordance With Program Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Acting Director of HUD's Newark Office of Public Housing instruct Authority officials to provide documentation to justify the \$106,971 in unsupported rent that was written off for 52 tenants. Any amount determined to be ineligible should be repaid from non-Federal funds to the Operating Fund.	6/1/2038
2017-NY-1008-001-H	3/10/2017	The Irvington, NJ Housing Authority Did Not Always Administer Its Public Housing Program in Accordance With Program Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Acting Director of HUD's Newark Office of Public Housing instruct Authority officials to obtain retroactive approval from HUD for the \$90,000 lawsuit settlement related to a former employee. If approval is not obtained, the Authority should reimburse \$90,000 to the Operating Fund from non-Federal funds.	6/1/2038
2017-NY-1008-001-A	3/10/2017	The Irvington, NJ Housing Authority Did Not Always Administer Its Public Housing Program in Accordance With Program Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Acting Director of HUD's Newark Office of Public Housing instruct Authority officials to provide supporting documentation to justify the \$88,534 in unsupported travel and training costs related to out-of-State trainings, meetings, and conferences. Any amount determined to be ineligible should be repaid from non-Federal funds to the Operating Fund.	6/1/2043

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-NY-1008-001-P	3/10/2017	The Irvington, NJ Housing Authority Did Not Always Administer Its Public Housing Program in Accordance With Program Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Acting Director of HUD's Newark Office of Public Housing instruct Authority officials to provide documentation to show that the \$710,721 paid for services procured was for costs that were reasonable or repay from non-Federal funds approximately \$500,000 to the Operating Fund and approximately \$200,000 to the Capital Fund. Footnote: Regulations at 24 CFR 905.306(f) require that all capital funds be spent within 48 months after the date on which they become available. Funds that have not been properly spent within 48 months have to be recaptured and returned to the U.S. Treasury.	6/1/2053
2017-LA-0003-001-H	3/3/2017	HUD Failed To Adequately Oversee FHA-Insured Loans With Borrower-Financed Downpayment Assistance	In Process	Require any participating lender to reimburse borrowers that received an FHA loan with borrower-financed downpayment assistance for any fees that were determined to be unreasonable and unnecessary.	6/15/2018
2017-LA-0003-001-G	3/3/2017	HUD Failed To Adequately Oversee FHA-Insured Loans With Borrower-Financed Downpayment Assistance	In Process	Review fees identified in this report that were charged as part of borrower-financed downpayment assistance programs and determine whether they are reasonable or necessary. HUD should immediately notify lenders to discontinue charging any fees that are determined to be unreasonable and unnecessary.	6/15/2018
2017-LA-0003-001-D	3/3/2017	HUD Failed To Adequately Oversee FHA-Insured Loans With Borrower-Financed Downpayment Assistance	In Process	Require lenders to obtain a borrower certification that details their participation in an HFA downpayment assistance program, including relevant details of the specific program (for example, impact on interest rate, mortgage payments, fees, equity, acknowledgement of other less costly loan products, etc.).	6/15/2018
2017-LA-0003-001-C	3/3/2017	HUD Failed To Adequately Oversee FHA-Insured Loans With Borrower-Financed Downpayment Assistance	In Process	Develop specific requirements and guidance for lenders to review HFA downpayment assistance programs (for example, interest rates, fees, borrower certifications, lender reviews, impact to borrower, related agreements, etc.). Requirements and guidance should include evaluating the structure of downpayment assistance programs, including whether the programs' structure and funding mechanisms comply with all HUD requirements and guidelines.	6/15/2018
2017-LA-0003-001-B	3/3/2017	HUD Failed To Adequately Oversee FHA-Insured Loans With Borrower-Financed Downpayment Assistance	In Process	Develop and implement policies and procedures to strengthen HUD's comprehensive loan-level, postendorsement, and lender reviews by evaluating loans containing downpayment assistance (for example, interest rates, fees, borrower certifications, lender reviews, impact to borrower, related agreements, etc.). Policies and procedures should include evaluating the structure of downpayment assistance programs, including whether the programs' structure and funding mechanisms comply with all HUD requirements and guidelines.	6/15/2018

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-LA-0003-001-A	3/3/2017	HUD Failed To Adequately Oversee FHA-Insured Loans With Borrower-Financed Downpayment Assistance	In Process	Reconsider HUD's position on questioned borrower-financed downpayment assistance programs, including an analysis of the financial impact to FHA borrowers, risk to the FHA program, and whether current statute prohibits borrower-financed downpayment assistance programs as they are currently structured.	6/15/2018
2017-LA-0003-001-F	3/3/2017	HUD Failed To Adequately Oversee FHA-Insured Loans With Borrower-Financed Downpayment Assistance	In Process	Implement new data fields where lenders would be required to enter specific downpayment assistance information (for example, name of the source, name of assistance program, name of government entity or HFA, etc.) to allow for auditability and for HUD to generate reports and perform risk assessments.	6/15/2019
2017-LA-0003-001-E	3/3/2017	HUD Failed To Adequately Oversee FHA-Insured Loans With Borrower-Financed Downpayment Assistance	In Process	Ensure that lenders enter accurate and missing downpayment assistance gift data into FHA Connection when identified by HUD.	6/15/2019
2017-KC-1002-001-F	3/3/2017	New Horizons, Kansas City, MO, Received Improper Section 8 Housing Assistance Payments	Pending Investigation	Monitor New Horizons to ensure that it properly maintains tenant files, completes required annual recertifications, and supports disability exemptions in accordance with HUD requirements.	6/22/2022
2017-KC-1002-001-E	3/3/2017	New Horizons, Kansas City, MO, Received Improper Section 8 Housing Assistance Payments	Pending Investigation	Require New Horizons to conduct a review to determine who currently lives in the units and verify their eligibility.	6/22/2022
2017-KC-1002-001-D	3/3/2017	New Horizons, Kansas City, MO, Received Improper Section 8 Housing Assistance Payments	Pending Investigation	Require New Horizons to obtain independent management.	6/22/2022
2017-KC-1002-001-C	3/3/2017	New Horizons, Kansas City, MO, Received Improper Section 8 Housing Assistance Payments	Pending Investigation	Require New Horizons to support that \$16,687 in tenant rents was collected and deposited as required or repay the project from nonproject funds.	6/22/2022
2017-KC-1002-001-B	3/3/2017	New Horizons, Kansas City, MO, Received Improper Section 8 Housing Assistance Payments	Pending Investigation	Require New Horizons to provide support for the \$726,399 in housing assistance payments based on missing or incomplete tenant files or repay the assistance from project funds if available (otherwise, from nonproject funds) to HUD.	6/22/2022
2017-KC-1002-001-A	3/3/2017	New Horizons, Kansas City, MO, Received Improper Section 8 Housing Assistance Payments	Pending Investigation	Require New Horizons to repay HUD from project funds if available (otherwise, from nonproject funds) \$144,556 in housing assistance payments for tenants who were not eligible for assistance or not living in units.	6/22/2022
2017-AT-1003-002-B	3/2/2017	The Puerto Rico Department of Housing, San Juan, PR, Did Not Properly Administer Its Multifamily Special Escrow Funds	Pending Judicial Review	Submit required certifications and supporting documentation showing that residents of escrow-funded activities met the established income limit requirements. Any amounts determined ineligible must be reimbursed to the escrow account from non-Federal funds.	12/26/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-AT-1003-002-A	3/2/2017	The Puerto Rico Department of Housing, San Juan, PR, Did Not Properly Administer Its Multifamily Special Escrow Funds	Pending Judicial Review	Transfer all escrow funds to a financial institution that is supervised by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or the National Credit Union Administration and ensure that all deposits are secured by the Federal Government. Any amount not recovered from the Development Bank must be reimbursed to the escrow account from non-Federal funds.	12/26/2019
2017-AT-1003-001-E	3/2/2017	The Puerto Rico Department of Housing, San Juan, PR, Did Not Properly Administer Its Multifamily Special Escrow Funds	Pending Judicial Review	Develop and implement written policies detailing procedures and responsibilities related to program administration and monitoring of the escrow program.	12/26/2019
2017-AT-1003-001-D	3/2/2017	The Puerto Rico Department of Housing, San Juan, PR, Did Not Properly Administer Its Multifamily Special Escrow Funds	Pending Judicial Review	Submit supporting documentation showing the reasonableness and allowability of \$2,176,733 disbursed or reimburse its escrow account from non-Federal funds.	12/26/2019
2017-AT-1003-001-C	3/2/2017	The Puerto Rico Department of Housing, San Juan, PR, Did Not Properly Administer Its Multifamily Special Escrow Funds	Pending Judicial Review	Submit a plan showing how it will proceed regarding the Yabucoa, Juncos, and Barceloneta housing projects, including a schedule that HUD can track to ensure their completion.	12/26/2019
2017-AT-1003-001-B	3/2/2017	The Puerto Rico Department of Housing, San Juan, PR, Did Not Properly Administer Its Multifamily Special Escrow Funds	Pending Judicial Review	Submit supporting documentation so HUD can reevaluate the feasibility of the three activities and determine the eligibility of the \$2,432,271 in escrow funds already disbursed. If HUD determines that an activity has been canceled or is not feasible, the Department must reimburse the escrow account from non-Federal funds.	12/26/2019
2017-AT-1003-001-A	3/2/2017	The Puerto Rico Department of Housing, San Juan, PR, Did Not Properly Administer Its Multifamily Special Escrow Funds	Pending Judicial Review	Submit a plan showing how it will use the \$7,984,429 in unspent escrow funds to meet program objectives and increase the supply of low- and moderate-income housing for the residents of Puerto Rico, including a schedule HUD can track to ensure the expenditure.	12/26/2019
2017-KC-1801-001-A	2/23/2017	Final Action Memorandum: Purchaser of HUD-Insured Single-Family Property Settled Allegations of Causing the Submission of a False Claim	In Process	Acknowledge that the settlement agreement for \$5,000 represents an amount due HUD.	6/15/2021

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-DP-0002-006-F	2/9/2017	Review of Information Systems Controls Over FHA's Single Family Premiums Collection Subsystem – Periodic and the Single Family Acquired Asset Management System	In Process	Obtain the needed information for the retired applications, Public Inquiry Communication Subsystem and MultiFamily Insurance, from FHA management so any linkages with them can be removed from the mainframe production.	3/31/2018
2017-DP-0002-006-E	2/9/2017	Review of Information Systems Controls Over FHA's Single Family Premiums Collection Subsystem – Periodic and the Single Family Acquired Asset Management System	In Process	Obtain the needed information from the SFPCS-P system owner so that the identified profiles and datasets linked to SFPCS-P developers can be properly configured to meet least privilege compliance.	3/31/2018
2017-DP-0002-005-C	2/9/2017	Review of Information Systems Controls Over FHA's Single Family Premiums Collection Subsystem – Periodic and the Single Family Acquired Asset Management System	In Process	Provide the Office of Housing's information system security officer with the list of systems scheduled to be covered under disaster recovery plan testing and include the non-mission-critical systems in the test as requested by the program offices.	3/31/2018
2017-DP-0002-006-G	2/9/2017	Review of Information Systems Controls Over FHA's Single Family Premiums Collection Subsystem – Periodic and the Single Family Acquired Asset Management System	In Process	Ensure that the Top Secret administrator properly removes all profile and dataset linkages when processing a user removal request.	4/24/2018
2017-DP-0002-004-D	2/9/2017	Review of Information Systems Controls Over FHA's Single Family Premiums Collection Subsystem – Periodic and the Single Family Acquired Asset Management System	In Process	Maintain HARTS release documents based on the business need timeframe identified by FHA applications.	6/12/2018
2017-DP-0002-008-A	2/9/2017	Review of Information Systems Controls Over FHA's Single Family Premiums Collection Subsystem – Periodic and the Single Family Acquired Asset Management System	In Process	Examine all the documents related to the SFPCS-P security management program, especially the identified documents, and update them as needed.	5/15/2018
2017-DP-0001-001-L	2/1/2017	HUD's Transition to a Federal Shared Service Provider Failed to Meet Expectations	In Process	Ensure that the project plans for the decommissioning of HUDCAPS and the Enterprise Data Warehouse are coordinated with OCFO and ARC.	4/12/2018
2017-DP-0001-001-B	2/1/2017	HUD's Transition to a Federal Shared Service Provider Failed to Meet Expectations	In Dispute	Take an active role in the implementation of financial management improvement initiatives-projects moving forward to ensure collaboration within HUD and that adequate funding and governance are in place.	

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-DP-0001-001-A	2/1/2017	HUD's Transition to a Federal Shared Service Provider Failed to Meet Expectations	In Dispute	Reevaluate the functionality initially planned under the New Core Project and determine how the agency will implement the functionality needed for budget formulation, cost accounting, property management, and the consolidation of HUD's financial statements.	
2017-NY-1006-001-C	1/31/2017	The New Rochelle Municipal Housing Authority, New Rochelle, NY, Did Not Always Administer Its Public Housing in Accordance With HUD's Rules and Regulations	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New York Office of Public Housing require Authority officials to establish and implement procedures and effective financial controls to ensure that costs charged to the public housing program are properly incurred and comply with applicable regulations.	10/1/2019
2017-NY-1006-001-B	1/31/2017	The New Rochelle Municipal Housing Authority, New Rochelle, NY, Did Not Always Administer Its Public Housing in Accordance With HUD's Rules and Regulations	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New York Office of Public Housing require Authority officials to provide supporting documentation to justify the \$13,329 in unsupported expenditures charged to the public housing program. Any amount determined to be ineligible should be repaid from non-Federal funds to the public housing program's operating account.	7/1/2032
2017-NY-1006-001-A	1/31/2017	The New Rochelle Municipal Housing Authority, New Rochelle, NY, Did Not Always Administer Its Public Housing in Accordance With HUD's Rules and Regulations	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New York Office of Public Housing require Authority officials to reimburse the public housing program from non-Federal funds for \$15,020 in ineligible expenditures for executive staff travel, food, beverages, and musical entertainment.	7/1/2032
2017-LA-0002-001-F	1/25/2017	HUD Failed To Follow Departmental Clearance Protocols for FHA Programs, Policies, and Operations	In Process	Develop and provide training to appropriate staff and required reviewing offices regarding the departmental clearance process requirements.	5/17/2018
2017-LA-0002-001-E	1/25/2017	HUD Failed To Follow Departmental Clearance Protocols for FHA Programs, Policies, and Operations	In Process	Update policies and procedures for the directives process, including responsibilities for process oversight and clear guidance defining when clearance is required.	5/17/2018
2017-LA-0002-001-D	1/25/2017	HUD Failed To Follow Departmental Clearance Protocols for FHA Programs, Policies, and Operations	In Process	Implement controls to ensure that future directives are reviewed and documented in the Clearance Calendar tracking system as required.	5/17/2018
2017-LA-0002-001-C	1/25/2017	HUD Failed To Follow Departmental Clearance Protocols for FHA Programs, Policies, and Operations	In Process	Review the Clearance Calendar and ensure that appropriate form HUD-22 concurrence forms were obtained and documented for directives issued by other HUD offices.	5/17/2018
2017-LA-0002-001-A	1/25/2017	HUD Failed To Follow Departmental Clearance Protocols for FHA Programs, Policies, and Operations	In Process	Pursue departmental clearance for the 13 documents and policies identified that did not go through required departmental clearance. For any items that cannot be appropriately cleared, HUD should take appropriate action to recall the document or policy.	9/21/2018

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-NY-1005-001-S	1/13/2017	Union County, NJ'S HOME Investment Partnerships Program Was Not Always Administered in Compliance With Program Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct County officials to strengthen the County's administrative controls to ensure that County staff adequately monitors its subgrantee for compliance with HOME program requirements and provide HOME program training to the County subgrantee's staff.	5/10/2018
2017-NY-1005-001-R	1/13/2017	Union County, NJ'S HOME Investment Partnerships Program Was Not Always Administered in Compliance With Program Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct County officials to provide documents, such as pay stubs and bank statements, to support the eligibility of the two home buyers. If documentation cannot be provided, reimburse \$260,736 from non-Federal sources to the County's HOME program line of credit.	5/10/2018
2017-NY-1005-001-Q	1/13/2017	Union County, NJ'S HOME Investment Partnerships Program Was Not Always Administered in Compliance With Program Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct County officials to provide documentation, such as pay stubs and leases, to support compliance with HOME program rent limit and income eligibility requirements for the six tenants who occupied HOME-assisted units.	5/10/2018
2017-NY-1005-001-P	1/13/2017	Union County, NJ'S HOME Investment Partnerships Program Was Not Always Administered in Compliance With Program Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct County officials to strengthen the County's financial controls over reconciling bank records to ensure that HOME funds in the local bank account are spent before drawdowns are made from LOCCS.	5/10/2018
2017-NY-1005-001-N	1/13/2017	Union County, NJ'S HOME Investment Partnerships Program Was Not Always Administered in Compliance With Program Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct County officials to reconcile the County's carryover balance of HOME match as of September 30, 2015, for the ineligible HOME match contributions and the understated HOME match contributions.	5/10/2018
2017-NY-1005-001-L	1/13/2017	Union County, NJ'S HOME Investment Partnerships Program Was Not Always Administered in Compliance With Program Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct County officials to provide documentation to support that at least one-third of the Homefirst board were representatives of a low-income community. If documentation cannot be provided, reimburse the \$227,903 to the County's HOME program line of credit from non-Federal sources.	5/10/2018
2017-NY-1005-001-K	1/13/2017	Union County, NJ'S HOME Investment Partnerships Program Was Not Always Administered in Compliance With Program Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct County officials to reimburse \$242,269 to the County's HOME program line of credit for CHDO reserve fund disbursed to the ineligible CHDO.	5/10/2018

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-NY-1005-001-J	1/13/2017	Union County, NJ'S HOME Investment Partnerships Program Was Not Always Administered in Compliance With Program Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct County officials to reallocate the \$573,689 awarded to the ineligible CHDO, thus ensure that the fund is put to better use.	5/10/2018
2017-NY-1005-001-H	1/13/2017	Union County, NJ'S HOME Investment Partnerships Program Was Not Always Administered in Compliance With Program Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct County officials to strengthen the County's administrative controls to ensure compliance with environmental, procurement, and other program requirements.	5/10/2018
2017-NY-1005-001-G	1/13/2017	Union County, NJ'S HOME Investment Partnerships Program Was Not Always Administered in Compliance With Program Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct County officials to provide documentation to support that laborers associated with the activity are compensated in compliance with Davis-Bacon wage rates. If documentation cannot be provided, \$567,767 needs to be reimbursed to the County's HOME line of credit from non-Federal sources.	5/10/2018
2017-NY-1005-001-E	1/13/2017	Union County, NJ'S HOME Investment Partnerships Program Was Not Always Administered in Compliance With Program Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct County officials to provide disbursement documentation to support the eligibility of the \$435,094 made for the two activities or repay the County's HOME program line of credit from non-Federal source.	5/10/2018
2017-NY-1005-001-B	1/13/2017	Union County, NJ'S HOME Investment Partnerships Program Was Not Always Administered in Compliance With Program Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct County officials to strengthen the County's administrative controls to ensure that its HOME program is reimbursed for assistance spent on terminated or noncompliant activities.	5/10/2018
2017-NY-1005-001-M	1/13/2017	Union County, NJ'S HOME Investment Partnerships Program Was Not Always Administered in Compliance With Program Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct County officials to reimburse the \$536,507 in program income to the County's HOME program local bank account and record the income in IDIS.	5/7/2019
2017-NY-1005-001-F	1/13/2017	Union County, NJ'S HOME Investment Partnerships Program Was Not Always Administered in Compliance With Program Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct County officials to impose deed restrictions or other mechanisms approved by HUD on the two properties that received HOME assistance of \$597,519 to enforce affordability requirements during the affordability period.	5/7/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-NY-1005-001-D	1/13/2017	Union County, NJ'S HOME Investment Partnerships Program Was Not Always Administered in Compliance With Program Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct County officials to provide procurement documentation, such as proof of advertising, bids received, bid analysis reports, contracts, and other documents, for contracts associated with the three activities to support compliance with procurement requirements.	5/7/2019
2017-NY-1005-001-C	1/13/2017	Union County, NJ'S HOME Investment Partnerships Program Was Not Always Administered in Compliance With Program Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct County officials to provide environmental review documentation, such as environmental assessment and a phase I environmental review, for the activity to support compliance with environmental review requirements.	5/7/2019
2017-NY-1005-001-A	1/13/2017	Union County, NJ'S HOME Investment Partnerships Program Was Not Always Administered in Compliance With Program Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct County officials to reimburse \$3,536,974 to the County' HOME program line of credit for assistance spent on the four activities that were partially terminated or noncompliant with program requirements.	5/7/2019
2017-NY-1004-001-B	12/21/2016	The City of New York, NY, Lacked Adequate Controls To Ensure That the Use of CDBG-DR Funds Was Always Consistent With the Action Plan and Applicable Federal and State Requirements	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs instruct City officials to strengthen controls over disbursements to ensure that all costs charged to the Program are allowable, reasonable, and necessary in compliance with the HUD-approved action plan and Federal and State regulations.	12/29/2017
2017-NY-1004-001-A	12/21/2016	The City of New York, NY, Lacked Adequate Controls To Ensure That the Use of CDBG-DR Funds Was Always Consistent With the Action Plan and Applicable Federal and State Requirements	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs instruct City officials to reimburse the Program from non-Federal funds \$18,274,054 in exempt State sales tax on repairs and maintenance services.	12/29/2017
2017-KC-1001-003-C	12/16/2016	Majestic Management, LLC, a Multifamily Housing Management Agent in St. Louis, MO, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements When Disbursing Project Funds	Pending Investigation	Implement adequate policies, procedures, and controls to help ensure that payments are adequately supported and for eligible purposes.	4/30/2022

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-KC-1001-003-B	12/16/2016	Majestic Management, LLC, a Multifamily Housing Management Agent in St. Louis, MO, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements When Disbursing Project Funds	Pending Investigation	Provide documentation to support that \$48,891 was spent for eligible purposes or reimburse the appropriate projects for the balance.	4/30/2022
2017-KC-1001-003-A	12/16/2016	Majestic Management, LLC, a Multifamily Housing Management Agent in St. Louis, MO, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements When Disbursing Project Funds	Pending Investigation	Reimburse the appropriate projects their portion of \$11,184 that it charged for ineligible items.	4/30/2022
2017-KC-1001-002-E	12/16/2016	Majestic Management, LLC, a Multifamily Housing Management Agent in St. Louis, MO, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements When Disbursing Project Funds	Pending Investigation	Require Majestic Management to update its management agreements to properly disclose its identity-of-interest and employee-owned companies to HUD and property owners.	4/30/2022
2017-KC-1001-002-D	12/16/2016	Majestic Management, LLC, a Multifamily Housing Management Agent in St. Louis, MO, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements When Disbursing Project Funds	Pending Investigation	Monitor Majestic Management's expenditures to ensure that the employees understand and correctly apply procurement requirements.	4/30/2022
2017-KC-1001-002-C	12/16/2016	Majestic Management, LLC, a Multifamily Housing Management Agent in St. Louis, MO, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements When Disbursing Project Funds	Pending Investigation	Require Majestic Management to implement adequate policies, procedures, and controls to help ensure that goods and services are properly procured in accordance with HUD's requirements.	4/30/2022
2017-KC-1001-002-B	12/16/2016	Majestic Management, LLC, a Multifamily Housing Management Agent in St. Louis, MO, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements When Disbursing Project Funds	Pending Investigation	Require Majestic Management to provide support that \$462,281 paid for procurements was reasonable or reimburse the appropriate projects for the balance.	4/30/2022

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-KC-1001-002-A	12/16/2016	Majestic Management, LLC, a Multifamily Housing Management Agent in St. Louis, MO, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements When Disbursing Project Funds	Pending Investigation	Require Majestic Management to reimburse the appropriate projects their portion of \$231,091 for work not completed or overbilled.	4/30/2022
2017-KC-1001-001-D	12/16/2016	Majestic Management, LLC, a Multifamily Housing Management Agent in St. Louis, MO, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements When Disbursing Project Funds	Pending Investigation	Verify all management fees charged to the projects from 2013 through 2015 were appropriate.	4/30/2022
2017-KC-1001-001-C	12/16/2016	Majestic Management, LLC, a Multifamily Housing Management Agent in St. Louis, MO, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements When Disbursing Project Funds	Pending Investigation	Implement adequate policies, procedures, and controls to help ensure that fees charged to its projects are in accordance with HUD's requirements.	4/30/2022
2017-KC-1001-001-B	12/16/2016	Majestic Management, LLC, a Multifamily Housing Management Agent in St. Louis, MO, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements When Disbursing Project Funds	Pending Investigation	Provide documentation to support that it paid itself \$447,345 for eligible purposes or reimburse the appropriate projects for the balance.	4/30/2022
2017-KC-1001-001-A	12/16/2016	Majestic Management, LLC, a Multifamily Housing Management Agent in St. Louis, MO, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements When Disbursing Project Funds	Pending Investigation	Provide support showing that \$17,414 in management fees charged to the projects using a budgeted amount represented actual amounts or repay the difference to each affected project.	4/30/2022
2017-NY-1002-001-A	11/22/2016	The Tarrytown Municipal Housing Authority, Tarrytown, NY, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Procurement, Administrative, and Program Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Office of Public Housing instruct Authority officials to provide documentation showing that the \$474,571 in identified procurements was reasonable or repay any amounts not supported from non-Federal funds.	3/1/2056

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-FO-0003-002-F	11/15/2016	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2016 and 2015 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Process	Work with OCFO to develop control activities that address risks related leasehold improvement and property acquisition data completeness and accuracy.	12/31/2019
2017-FO-0003-002-E	11/15/2016	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2016 and 2015 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Process	Work with the OCFO to establish controls that ensure the timely communication of internal use and commercial-off-the-shelf software license acquisition activity and data.	12/31/2019
2017-FO-0003-002-C	11/15/2016	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2016 and 2015 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Process	Work with the Office of the Chief Administration Officer to establish control activities (that is, procedures) to completely and accurately record internal use software, leasehold improvement, and property acquisition transactions and enable compliant financial reporting.	12/31/2019
2017-FO-0003-002-A	11/15/2016	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2016 and 2015 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Dispute	Continue working with ARC and complete the reconciliation and cleanup efforts for balances related to HUD's loan guarantee programs.	
2017-FO-0003-008-H	11/15/2016	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2016 and 2015 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Process	Deobligate the \$83,501 in 124 administrative obligations marked for deobligation during the departmentwide open obligations review.	1/19/2018
2017-FO-0003-008-E	11/15/2016	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2016 and 2015 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Process	Research grants with no drawdown activity and if a bonafide need no longer exists, close out and deobligate remaining balances on the 16 grants with no drawdown activity totaling \$6,966,585.	3/27/2018
2017-FO-0003-008-A	11/15/2016	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2016 and 2015 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Process	Close out and deobligate the remaining balances on 3,121 expired homeless assistance contracts of \$151,719,152. Further, deobligate \$10,996,784 in 234 program obligations marked for deobligation during the departmentwide open obligations review.	11/10/2018

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-FO-0003-008-K	11/15/2016	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2016 and 2015 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Process	Deobligate all obligations marked for deobligation during the departmentwide open obligations review, including as much as \$384,703 in 27 administrative obligations and \$234,619 in 6 program obligations marked for deobligation as of September 30, 2016.	12/29/2017
2017-FO-0003-008-Q	11/15/2016	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2016 and 2015 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Process	Record the deobligations provided by OCPO totaling as much as \$86.4 million for the contracts identified during our review. Additionally, Ginnie Mae should deobligate the \$587,505 in three administrative obligations marked for deobligation during the departmentwide open obligations review.	9/30/2017
2017-FO-0003-008-P	11/15/2016	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2016 and 2015 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Process	Review the contracts totaling \$72.8 million to determine validity and if no longer needed, forward to HUD's procurement office for closure and deobligation.	9/30/2017
2017-FO-0001-003-D	11/14/2016	Audit of Fiscal Years 2016 and 2015 (Restated) Financial Statements	In Process	Modify, as appropriate, the TDR allowance model to ensure production of reasonable and appropriate loss estimates, including allowance estimates on FHA-insured loans.	6/30/2017
2017-FO-0001-004-A	11/14/2016	Audit of Fiscal Years 2016 and 2015 (Restated) Financial Statements	In Process	Develop and document an issuer default governance framework that includes the identification, monitoring, analysis, evaluation, and response to potential issuer defaults. This process includes an assessment to maximize defaulted issuer assets and minimize losses to Ginnie Mae.	9/30/2019
2017-FO-0001-003-B	11/14/2016	Audit of Fiscal Years 2016 and 2015 (Restated) Financial Statements	In Dispute	Exclude the loan impairment allowance on other indebtedness appropriately instead of reporting it as part of loan impairment allowance on MHI account.	
2017-FO-0001-002-F	11/14/2016	Audit of Fiscal Years 2016 and 2015 (Restated) Financial Statements	In Dispute	Reverse the accounting writeoff of the advances accounts. In conjunction with the subledger data solution, conduct a proper analysis to determine whether any of the \$248 million balances in the advances accounts are collectible.	
2017-FO-0002-003-C	11/14/2016	Audit of the Federal Housing Administration's Financial Statements for Fiscal Years 2016 and 2015 (Restated)	In Process	Request payment in the amount of the claims paid, plus incentive, from mortgagees that have not provided the original note within the prescribed deadline for the \$55.3 million.	6/20/2018

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-NY-1001-002-E	11/2/2016	The City of New York, NY, Implemented Policies That Did Not Always Ensure That Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Funds Were Disbursed in Accordance With Its Action Plan and Federal Requirements	In Process	We recommend that HUD’s Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs coordinate with the Office of Healthy Homes and HHLHC to provide technical assistance and instruct City officials to reconcile the discrepancy in the lead test results. If it is determined that the home tested positive for a lead-based paint hazard, City officials should provide supporting documentation showing that the hazard has been removed and the home has achieved clearance, thus ensuring that \$29,019 in CDBG-DR assistance was disbursed for a lead-safe home. If the lead test results are not reconciled and the lead safety of the home is not documented, City officials should repay the \$29,019 from non-Federal funds.	12/29/2017
2017-NY-1001-002-D	11/2/2016	The City of New York, NY, Implemented Policies That Did Not Always Ensure That Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Funds Were Disbursed in Accordance With Its Action Plan and Federal Requirements	In Process	We recommend that HUD’s Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs coordinate with the Office of Healthy Homes and HHLHC to provide technical assistance and instruct City officials to advise homeowners of their obligation under the terms of the reimbursement grant agreement to allow the Program to perform lead-based paint testing or hazard removal. Homeowners who refuse to allow the Program to complete lead hazard work or provide evidence that the property achieved clearance must repay the grant.	12/29/2017
2017-NY-1001-002-C	11/2/2016	The City of New York, NY, Implemented Policies That Did Not Always Ensure That Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Funds Were Disbursed in Accordance With Its Action Plan and Federal Requirements	In Process	We recommend that HUD’s Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs coordinate with the Office of Healthy Homes and HHLHC to provide technical assistance and instruct City officials to provide supporting documentation that lead-based paint testing was performed, identified hazards were removed, and clearance was achieved for the 41 properties for which homeowners received \$833,199 in CDBG-DR assistance. If supporting documentation is not provided, City officials should repay the \$833,199 from non-Federal funds.	12/29/2017
2017-NY-1001-002-B	11/2/2016	The City of New York, NY, Implemented Policies That Did Not Always Ensure That Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Funds Were Disbursed in Accordance With Its Action Plan and Federal Requirements	In Process	We recommend that HUD’s Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs coordinate with the Office of Healthy Homes and HHLHC to provide technical assistance and instruct City officials to document the negative lead test results in CMS for one home and the removal of identified lead hazards and lead clearance reports for four homes, thus ensuring that \$182,660 in CDBG-DR assistance was disbursed for lead-safe homes. If the negative test results are not documented, City officials should repay the \$182,660 from non-Federal funds.	12/29/2017

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-NY-1001-002-A	11/2/2016	The City of New York, NY, Implemented Policies That Did Not Always Ensure That Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Funds Were Disbursed in Accordance With Its Action Plan and Federal Requirements	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs coordinate with the Office of Healthy Homes and HHLHC to provide technical assistance and instruct City officials to ensure that Program files clearly identify whether a home required lead-based paint testing. When such testing is performed, City officials should ensure that the testing results are documented, identified lead-based paint hazards are removed, and clearance is achieved.	12/29/2017
2017-NY-1001-003-C	11/2/2016	The City of New York, NY, Implemented Policies That Did Not Always Ensure That Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Funds Were Disbursed in Accordance With Its Action Plan and Federal Requirements	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs instruct City officials to update the Coordination of Benefits Standard Operating Procedures to include definitions of SBA cancellation codes.	5/8/2018
2017-NY-1001-003-B	11/2/2016	The City of New York, NY, Implemented Policies That Did Not Always Ensure That Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Funds Were Disbursed in Accordance With Its Action Plan and Federal Requirements	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs instruct City officials to ensure that all financial reports are accurate and agree with supporting documentation in the Program files.	5/8/2018
2017-NY-1001-003-A	11/2/2016	The City of New York, NY, Implemented Policies That Did Not Always Ensure That Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Funds Were Disbursed in Accordance With Its Action Plan and Federal Requirements	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs instruct City officials to complete the planned document and data cleanup process in CMS before file closeout to ensure that duplicative documents are archived, the most recent documents are identified and filed in the appropriate subfolders, and all files are auditable and comply with the requirements and the City's record-keeping procedures.	5/8/2018
2017-NY-1001-001-D	11/2/2016	The City of New York, NY, Implemented Policies That Did Not Always Ensure That Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Funds Were Disbursed in Accordance With Its Action Plan and Federal Requirements	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs instruct City officials to reimburse \$101,398 in additional grants owed to the 11 homeowners whose grant amounts should have been materially increased as a result of recalculated duplication of benefits.	5/8/2018

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-NY-1001-001-C	11/2/2016	The City of New York, NY, Implemented Policies That Did Not Always Ensure That Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Funds Were Disbursed in Accordance With Its Action Plan and Federal Requirements	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs instruct City officials to repay the Program from non-Federal funds \$32,107 in overpaid grants to homeowners whose grant amounts (1) were not revised to show recalculated duplication of benefits and (2) exceeded the Program's 60 percent reimbursement rate.	5/8/2018
2017-NY-1001-001-B	11/2/2016	The City of New York, NY, Implemented Policies That Did Not Always Ensure That Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Funds Were Disbursed in Accordance With Its Action Plan and Federal Requirements	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs instruct City officials to execute new grant agreements to show material changes in grant amounts resulting from duplication of benefits recalculations.	5/8/2018
2017-BO-1002-001-B	10/17/2016	The City of Springfield, MA Needs To Improve Its Compliance with Federal Regulations for Its Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Assistance Grant	In Process	Obtain adequate support to document the reasonableness and necessity of \$472,246 or reprogram the funds to other allowable activities, thus ensuring that the funds will be put to their intended use.	4/1/2019
2017-BO-1002-001-A	10/17/2016	The City of Springfield, MA Needs To Improve Its Compliance with Federal Regulations for Its Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Assistance Grant	In Process	Provide adequate documentation to support that \$1,448,663 in CDBG-DR funds was spent for supported, necessary and reasonable costs. Any amount for which adequate support cannot be provided should be repaid from non-Federal funds.	4/1/2019
2017-KC-0001-001-A	10/14/2016	FHA Paid Claims for an Estimated 239,000 Properties That Servicers Did Not Foreclose Upon or Convey on Time	In Process	Issue a change to regulations at 24 CFR Part 203, which would avoid unnecessary costs to the FHA insurance fund, allowing an estimated \$2.23 billion to be put to better use. These changes include (1) a maximum period for filing insurance claims and (2) disallowance of expenses incurred beyond established timeframes.	2/24/2019
2017-BO-1001-002-F	10/12/2016	The State of Connecticut Did Not Always Comply With Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Assistance Requirements	In Process	Support or repay to HUD from non-Federal funds \$32,398 in duplicative assistance provided to program applicants.	2/1/2018

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2017-BO-1001-002-E	10/12/2016	The State of Connecticut Did Not Always Comply With Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Assistance Requirements	In Process	Repay HUD from non-Federal funds \$5,056 in ineligible duplicative assistance provided to program applicants.	2/1/2018
2017-BO-1001-001-B	10/12/2016	The State of Connecticut Did Not Always Comply With Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Assistance Requirements	In Process	Repay to HUD from non-Federal funds the \$316,850 in payments made for services outside the scope of the seven contracts.	2/1/2018
2017-BO-1001-001-A	10/12/2016	The State of Connecticut Did Not Always Comply With Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Assistance Requirements	In Process	Support that the \$13,333,151 awarded for the architect, engineer, and construction management services contracts was fair and reasonable in accordance Federal procurement requirements or repay to HUD from non-Federal funds any amounts not supported.	2/1/2018
2016-FW-1010-001-F	9/30/2016	The State of Oklahoma Did Not Obligate and Spend Its Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Funds in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs require the State to support or repay \$4,394,552 in unsupported expenditures.	11/29/2017
2016-FW-1010-001-B	9/30/2016	The State of Oklahoma Did Not Obligate and Spend Its Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Funds in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs require the State to support or properly obligate \$11,717,288 in unsupported obligations.	11/29/2017
2016-FW-1010-001-A	9/30/2016	The State of Oklahoma Did Not Obligate and Spend Its Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Funds in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs require the State to develop and implement policies and procedures to document and perform detailed review and testing to establish eligibility, existence, disaster event qualifications, reasonableness of cost estimates, prioritization, and fund allocation, both retroactively and prospectively, which would put \$81,982,712 to better use.	11/29/2017
2016-PH-1009-001-F	9/30/2016	The State of New Jersey Did Not Disburse Disaster Funds to Its Contractor in Accordance With HUD, Federal, and Other Applicable Requirements	In Process	Provide documentation showing that the amount it paid under the contract was reasonable and necessary or repay HUD from non-Federal funds any amount that it cannot support.	12/30/2017

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-PH-1009-001-E	9/30/2016	The State of New Jersey Did Not Disburse Disaster Funds to Its Contractor in Accordance With HUD, Federal, and Other Applicable Requirements	In Process	Provide documentation to support \$11,268 disbursed for travel or repay HUD from non-Federal funds any amount that it cannot support.	12/31/2018
2016-PH-1009-001-D	9/30/2016	The State of New Jersey Did Not Disburse Disaster Funds to Its Contractor in Accordance With HUD, Federal, and Other Applicable Requirements	In Process	Provide documentation to support \$516,560 disbursed for wages and salaries charged to its programs by its contractor's employees or repay HUD from non-Federal funds any amount that it cannot support.	12/31/2018
2016-PH-1009-001-C	9/30/2016	The State of New Jersey Did Not Disburse Disaster Funds to Its Contractor in Accordance With HUD, Federal, and Other Applicable Requirements	In Process	Provide documentation to show that \$2,377,970 disbursed for other direct costs was supported and was for prices that were fair and reasonable or repay HUD from non-Federal funds any amount that it cannot support (excluding any amount repaid as a result of recommendation 1B).	12/31/2018
2016-FW-1010-001-E	9/30/2016	The State of Oklahoma Did Not Obligate and Spend Its Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Funds in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs require the State to document all State procedures performed to verify the appropriateness and accuracy of all subrecipient documentation submitted for payment of program expenditures.	3/31/2019
2016-FW-1010-001-D	9/30/2016	The State of Oklahoma Did Not Obligate and Spend Its Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Funds in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs require the State to collect, review, and verify supporting source documents for all requests for funds to ensure it supports the expenditure as appropriate for the activity in question.	3/31/2019
2016-FW-1010-001-C	9/30/2016	The State of Oklahoma Did Not Obligate and Spend Its Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Funds in Accordance With Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs require the State to review and document State determination of compliance with procurement, contract, and environmental requirements for its subrecipients.	3/31/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-CH-1009-001-T	9/30/2016	The Condominium Association and Management Agent Lacked Adequate Controls Over the Operation of West Park Place Condominium, Chicago, IL	In Process	Ensure that appraisals are conducted of the seven units sold since May 2012 to determine the fair market value of the units at the time of sale. If any of the units sold for more than the fair market value, HUD should require the Condominium Association to (1) reduce the purchase price of the units to the fair market value by reducing the promissory notes payable to the Preservation Association and reimbursing the owners for overpayments on the downpayments and notes as appropriate and (2) release the promissory notes payable to HUD. If any of the units sold for less than the fair market value and the promissory notes payable to HUD do not reflect the difference between the fair market value of the units and the purchase price, HUD should require the Condominium Association to amend the promissory notes payable to HUD as appropriate. Further, for the three subsequent unit sales, HUD should require the Condominium Association to remit to the City any net proceeds that it should have paid to the City's HOME investment trust fund.	7/1/2018
2016-CH-1009-001-M	9/30/2016	The Condominium Association and Management Agent Lacked Adequate Controls Over the Operation of West Park Place Condominium, Chicago, IL	In Process	Determine who has the right to ownership of the unit where the sole owner passed away, transfer ownership of the unit to that person, and require him or her to move into or sell the unit.	7/1/2018
2016-CH-1009-001-O	9/30/2016	The Condominium Association and Management Agent Lacked Adequate Controls Over the Operation of West Park Place Condominium, Chicago, IL	In Process	Require the two owners that did not maintain their unit at the project as their principal residence to move back into or sell their unit.	9/30/2018
2016-CF-1813-001-A	9/30/2016	Final Civil Action: Owner and Management Agents Settled Allegations of Failing To Comply With the Regulatory Agreements for Multifamily Projects Willow Run I and Willow Run II	Pending Judicial Review and Under Repayment Agreement	Acknowledge that the attached settlement agreement for \$510,000 represents an amount due HUD.	9/30/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-AT-1014-001-A	9/30/2016	The Broward County Housing Authority, Lauderdale Lakes, FL, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	Reimburse its program \$28,199 (\$19,771 + \$7,793 + \$635) from non-Federal funds for the overpayment of housing assistance and ineligible administrative fees it received for the deficiencies cited in this report.	9/2/2030
2016-PH-0005-001-E	9/29/2016	HUD Did Not Always Provide Accurate and Supported Certifications of State Disaster Grantee Procurement Processes	In Dispute	Increase monitoring of State grantees that selected the equivalency option.	
2016-PH-0005-001-D	9/29/2016	HUD Did Not Always Provide Accurate and Supported Certifications of State Disaster Grantee Procurement Processes	In Dispute	Continue to improve its controls to ensure that its staff adequately understands and reviews future grantee certifications to ensure that they are accurate and supported before certifying that grantees have a proficient procurement process.	
2016-PH-0005-001-C	9/29/2016	HUD Did Not Always Provide Accurate and Supported Certifications of State Disaster Grantee Procurement Processes	In Dispute	Continue to improve the guidance that it provides to grantees to ensure that future grantee certifications are accurate and supported.	
2016-PH-0005-001-B	9/29/2016	HUD Did Not Always Provide Accurate and Supported Certifications of State Disaster Grantee Procurement Processes	In Dispute	For each State grantee that did not meet the stated requirements to demonstrate that its procurement process was proficient, review procurement files for contracts that were paid with funds provided under the Disaster Relief Act and if the procurement did not comply with Federal procurement requirements, require the grantees to repay HUD from non-Federal funds for any amounts that (1) they cannot support or (2) were not fair and reasonable.	
2016-PH-0005-001-A	9/29/2016	HUD Did Not Always Provide Accurate and Supported Certifications of State Disaster Grantee Procurement Processes	In Dispute	Perform a detailed review of the procurement procedures for each of the State grantees that received funds under the Disaster Relief Act. If the State did not demonstrate that its procedures incorporated the specific procurement standards included in 24 CFR 85.36(b) through (i) or that its procedures were equivalent to each individual procurement provision of 24 CFR 85.36(b) through (i), HUD should (1) require the grantee to update its procedures and provide an updated certification and (2) review the updated grantee certification to confirm that the State meets requirements and has a proficient procurement process in place, thereby putting up to \$4,872,056,594 to better use. In cases in which HUD has not yet awarded all of the allocated funds to the State, HUD should complete these steps before it executes any additional grant agreements with the State.	

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-NY-1010-001-F	9/29/2016	Folts, Inc., Herkimer, NY Did Not Administer the Folts Adult Home and Folts Home Projects In Accordance With Their Regulatory Agreements	Pending Judicial Review	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Office of Residential Care Facilities instruct project officials to strengthen controls to provide greater assurance that disbursements for project expenses comply with the regulatory agreements.	10/2/2020
2016-NY-1010-001-E	9/29/2016	Folts, Inc., Herkimer, NY Did Not Administer the Folts Adult Home and Folts Home Projects In Accordance With Their Regulatory Agreements	Pending Judicial Review	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Office of Residential Care Facilities instruct project officials to seek HUD's approval before repaying loan advances to affiliated companies.	10/2/2020
2016-NY-1010-001-D	9/29/2016	Folts, Inc., Herkimer, NY Did Not Administer the Folts Adult Home and Folts Home Projects In Accordance With Their Regulatory Agreements	Pending Judicial Review	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Office of Residential Care Facilities instruct project officials to submit an acceptable change in operator to protect HUD's interest in the properties.	10/2/2020
2016-NY-1010-001-C	9/29/2016	Folts, Inc., Herkimer, NY Did Not Administer the Folts Adult Home and Folts Home Projects In Accordance With Their Regulatory Agreements	Pending Judicial Review	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Office of Residential Care Facilities instruct project officials to provide documentation to justify \$2,047,444 in unsupported costs. Any costs determined to be ineligible and paid from project funds should be reimbursed by the responsible party to the proper project account from nonproject funds. Any costs determined to be ineligible that were charged but not paid should be removed from the projects' books and accounts.	10/2/2020
2016-NY-1010-001-B	9/29/2016	Folts, Inc., Herkimer, NY Did Not Administer the Folts Adult Home and Folts Home Projects In Accordance With Their Regulatory Agreements	Pending Judicial Review	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Office of Residential Care Facilities instruct project officials to reimburse the proper project account from nonproject funds for any of the \$1,812,777 (\$252,823 + \$1,559,954) in ineligible expenses paid with project funds. Those ineligible expenses that were charged but not paid should be removed from the projects' books and accounts.	10/2/2020
2016-NY-1010-001-A	9/29/2016	Folts, Inc., Herkimer, NY Did Not Administer the Folts Adult Home and Folts Home Projects In Accordance With Their Regulatory Agreements	Pending Judicial Review	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Office of Residential Care Facilities instruct project officials to develop an adequate liquidation plan related to the two mortgages assigned to HUD.	10/2/2020
2016-NY-1010-001-G	9/29/2016	Folts, Inc., Herkimer, NY Did Not Administer the Folts Adult Home and Folts Home Projects In Accordance With Their Regulatory Agreements	In Process	We also recommend that the Director of HUD's Departmental Enforcement Center, in coordination with the Director of HUD's Office of Residential Care Facilities pursue double damages remedies against the responsible parties for the disbursements made in violation of the projects' regulatory agreements.	4/15/2018

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-CF-1810-001-A	9/29/2016	Final Civil Action: Knox Pest Control Settled Allegations of Charging Unallowable Costs for Preservation of HUD-Owned Properties in the Federal Housing Administration Program	In Process	Acknowledge that the attached settlement agreement for \$91,377 represents an amount due HUD.	9/22/2020
2016-DE-1005-002-E	9/28/2016	The Wyoming Community Development Authority of Casper, WY, Did Not Always Spend Its HOME and NSP Funds in Accordance With Program Requirements	Pending Investigation	Require the Authority to develop and implement a policy that requires more oversight of the approval of travel authorizations and travel vouchers.	10/2/2019
2016-DE-1005-002-D	9/28/2016	The Wyoming Community Development Authority of Casper, WY, Did Not Always Spend Its HOME and NSP Funds in Accordance With Program Requirements	Pending Investigation	Require the Authority to develop and implement a travel policy that reflects Federal travel regulations and guidelines when using Federal funds for travel purposes.	10/2/2019
2016-DE-1005-002-C	9/28/2016	The Wyoming Community Development Authority of Casper, WY, Did Not Always Spend Its HOME and NSP Funds in Accordance With Program Requirements	Pending Investigation	Require the Authority to provide support justifying the necessity of the weekend travel that occurred. For any portion of the \$2,134 in weekend travel costs that HUD determines to be unsupported, HUD should require the Authority to repay its HOME and NSP programs with non-Federal funds.	10/2/2019
2016-DE-1005-002-B	9/28/2016	The Wyoming Community Development Authority of Casper, WY, Did Not Always Spend Its HOME and NSP Funds in Accordance With Program Requirements	Pending Investigation	Require the Authority to provide support for the unreasonable amount of hotel costs above the local per diem rate. For any portion of the \$22,083 in unreasonable costs (\$16,386 of which is included in costs identified in recommendation 2A) that the Authority cannot support, HUD should require the Authority to repay its HOME and NSP programs with non-Federal funds.	10/2/2019
2016-DE-1005-002-A	9/28/2016	The Wyoming Community Development Authority of Casper, WY, Did Not Always Spend Its HOME and NSP Funds in Accordance With Program Requirements	Pending Investigation	Require the Authority to provide support justifying the reasonableness and necessity of all travel to conferences and trainings over the 31-month period reviewed. For any portion of the \$102,563 in travel costs that HUD determines to be unreasonable, HUD should require the Authority to repay its HOME and NSP programs with non-Federal funds.	10/2/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-DE-1005-001-D	9/28/2016	The Wyoming Community Development Authority of Casper, WY, Did Not Always Spend Its HOME and NSP Funds in Accordance With Program Requirements	Pending Investigation	Require the Authority to develop and implement an official drug testing and remediation policy. This policy should include procurement of any goods and services related to the testing and mitigation and a determination of when drug testing is needed.	10/2/2019
2016-DE-1005-001-C	9/28/2016	The Wyoming Community Development Authority of Casper, WY, Did Not Always Spend Its HOME and NSP Funds in Accordance With Program Requirements	Pending Investigation	Require the Authority to develop and implement detailed policies and procedures for the procurement process regarding inspections, competitive bidding, and sealed bids. HUD should ensure that these procedures include adequate separation of duties.	10/2/2019
2016-DE-1005-001-B	9/28/2016	The Wyoming Community Development Authority of Casper, WY, Did Not Always Spend Its HOME and NSP Funds in Accordance With Program Requirements	Pending Investigation	Require the Authority to provide support to HUD showing that it received the best value in all instances when it incorrectly awarded a contract based on a faxed bid. For any portion the \$918,766 the Authority cannot support, HUD should require the Authority to repay its HOME and NSP programs from non-Federal funds.	10/2/2019
2016-DE-1005-001-A	9/28/2016		Pending Investigation	Require the Authority to provide support to HUD showing the necessity of drug testing every house and that the Authority received the best value for amounts spent on testing and remediation. For any portion the \$315,166 the Authority cannot support, HUD should require the Authority to repay its HOME and NSP programs from non-Federal funds.	10/2/2019
2016-CH-1007-001-A	9/28/2016	The Housing Authority of the City of Rock Island, Rock Island, IL, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Reimburse its program \$497,668 (\$453,995 in housing assistance payments + \$43,673 in associated administrative fees) from non-Federal funds for the inappropriate payments cited in this finding.	11/30/2049
2016-DP-0004-001-E	9/20/2016	HUD Rushed the Implementation of Phase 1 Release 3 of the New Core Project	In Process	Perform a review of all HUD custom reports, once the data conversion errors have been corrected, to ensure that the reports accurately reflect HUD's financial situation.	12/5/2017
2016-DP-0004-001-A	9/20/2016	HUD Rushed the Implementation of Phase 1 Release 3 of the New Core Project	In Process	Correct all of the values in Oracle Financials that are in error from the data conversion issues.	12/5/2017

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-AT-1013-003-A	9/13/2016	The Sanford Housing Authority, Sanford, NC, Did Not Comply With HUD's and Its Own Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	Reimburse its program \$153,593 from non-Federal funds for the \$129,558 in housing assistance payments made and \$24,035 in administrative fees received for the payments made to Brick Capital Community Development Corporation on the expired contract.	5/15/2021
2016-AT-1013-002-B	9/13/2016	The Sanford Housing Authority, Sanford, NC, Did Not Comply With HUD's and Its Own Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	Support or reimburse its program \$3,092 from non-Federal funds for the \$2,756 in housing assistance payments and \$336 in administrative fees received for the six unsupported housing assistance payments missing deeds, utility allowance determinations, and inspection reports.	5/15/2021
2016-AT-1013-002-A	9/13/2016	The Sanford Housing Authority, Sanford, NC, Did Not Comply With HUD's and Its Own Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	Reimburse its program \$22,967 from non-Federal funds for the \$20,111 in housing assistance payments and \$2,856 in administrative fees received for the 51 ineligible housing assistance payments missing housing assistance payments contracts.	5/15/2021
2016-AT-1013-001-A	9/13/2016	The Sanford Housing Authority, Sanford, NC, Did Not Comply With HUD's and Its Own Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	Reimburse its program \$74,210 from non-Federal funds for the \$65,430 in housing assistance payments and \$8,780 in administrative fees received for the 37 units that failed to meet HUD's housing quality standards.	5/15/2021
2016-SE-1004-001-D	9/12/2016	Reflection5 LLC, Pocatello, ID, Did Not Always Retain Tenant Files, Perform Recertifications, Obtain Verifications, or Support Hardship Exemptions	In Process	Conduct periodic reviews of tenant files to ensure that its manager or management agent maintains the tenant files, completes the required annual recertifications, and adequately supports hardship exemptions in accordance with HUD requirements.	12/7/2017
2016-SE-1004-001-C	9/12/2016	Reflection5 LLC, Pocatello, ID, Did Not Always Retain Tenant Files, Perform Recertifications, Obtain Verifications, or Support Hardship Exemptions	In Process	Provide support for the \$35,890 in assistance that was based on the unperformed or missing annual recertifications and reimburse HUD for the amount that remains unsupported.	12/7/2017
2016-SE-1004-001-B	9/12/2016	Reflection5 LLC, Pocatello, ID, Did Not Always Retain Tenant Files, Perform Recertifications, Obtain Verifications, or Support Hardship Exemptions	In Process	Provide support for the \$248 in assistance that was based on the unsupported hardship exemptions and reimburse HUD for the amount that remains unsupported.	12/7/2017

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-SE-1004-001-A	9/12/2016	Reflection5 LLC, Pocatello, ID, Did Not Always Retain Tenant Files, Perform Recertifications, Obtain Verifications, or Support Hardship Exemptions	In Process	Provide support for the \$132,759 in assistance that was based on the missing tenant files and reimburse HUD for the amount that remains unsupported.	12/7/2017
2016-SE-1003-002-A	9/12/2016	Solace LLC, Rexburg, ID, Did Not Always Correctly Compute Tenant Annual Income, Conduct Timely Tenant Income Verifications, or Request the Appropriate Assistance When Tenants Moved Out	In Process	Require Solace to reimburse HUD the \$584 it paid in post-move-out assistance for four tenants.	12/9/2017
2016-SE-1003-001-E	9/12/2016	Solace LLC, Rexburg, ID, Did Not Always Correctly Compute Tenant Annual Income, Conduct Timely Tenant Income Verifications, or Request the Appropriate Assistance When Tenants Moved Out	In Process	Conduct periodic reviews of tenant files to ensure that its manager correctly calculates the housing assistance payments and adequately documents completion of the required annual recertifications.	12/9/2017
2016-SE-1003-001-C	9/12/2016	Solace LLC, Rexburg, ID, Did Not Always Correctly Compute Tenant Annual Income, Conduct Timely Tenant Income Verifications, or Request the Appropriate Assistance When Tenants Moved Out	In Process	Reimburse the three tenants who overpaid rent by \$714. If HUD agrees to reimburse Solace for the \$714 in underpaid assistance, Solace could use these funds to reimburse the tenants.	12/9/2017
2016-SE-1003-001-A	9/12/2016	Solace LLC, Rexburg, ID, Did Not Always Correctly Compute Tenant Annual Income, Conduct Timely Tenant Income Verifications, or Request the Appropriate Assistance When Tenants Moved Out	In Process	Provide support for the \$6,804 in housing assistance that was based on the unverified income and reimburse HUD any amount that remains unsupported.	12/9/2017
2016-SE-1003-002-D	9/12/2016	Solace LLC, Rexburg, ID, Did Not Always Correctly Compute Tenant Annual Income, Conduct Timely Tenant Income Verifications, or Request the Appropriate Assistance When Tenants Moved Out	In Process	Require Solace to conduct periodic reviews of tenant files to ensure that its manager does not request assistance from HUD for tenants after they have moved out.	12/23/2017

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-SE-1003-002-C	9/12/2016	Solace LLC, Rexburg, ID, Did Not Always Correctly Compute Tenant Annual Income, Conduct Timely Tenant Income Verifications, or Request the Appropriate Assistance When Tenants Moved Out	In Process	Require Solace to review the 49 tenant files not sampled during our audit, determine whether HUD paid additional housing assistance for tenants after they had moved out, and have Solace reimburse HUD for any additional post-move-out assistance it paid.	12/23/2017
2016-SE-1003-002-B	9/12/2016	Solace LLC, Rexburg, ID, Did Not Always Correctly Compute Tenant Annual Income, Conduct Timely Tenant Income Verifications, or Request the Appropriate Assistance When Tenants Moved Out	In Process	Provide technical assistance to ensure that Solace's manager understands the requirements in the Monthly Activity Transmission Guide, paragraph 7-12(E), and review Solace's tenant files 1 year later to determine whether this requirement is understood and being followed.	12/23/2017
2016-SE-1003-001-D	9/12/2016	Solace LLC, Rexburg, ID, Did Not Always Correctly Compute Tenant Annual Income, Conduct Timely Tenant Income Verifications, or Request the Appropriate Assistance When Tenants Moved Out	In Process	Recalculate the housing assistance charged for the 35 units not sampled during our audit, reimburse HUD for any overcharged assistance amounts, provide support for any unsupported amounts, and reimburse tenants for any overcharged rents.	12/23/2017
2016-SE-1003-001-B	9/12/2016	Solace LLC, Rexburg, ID, Did Not Always Correctly Compute Tenant Annual Income, Conduct Timely Tenant Income Verifications, or Request the Appropriate Assistance When Tenants Moved Out	In Process	Reimburse HUD the \$320 in overcharged housing assistance.	12/23/2017
2016-SE-1801-001-A	9/12/2016	Final Civil Action: City First Mortgage Services, LLC, and Van Wagoner Investment Company Settled Allegations of Making False Certifications	In Process	Acknowledge that the attached settlement agreement for \$425,000 represents an amount due HUD.	7/31/2020
2016-NY-0001-001-F	9/12/2016	Operating Fund Calculations Were Not Always Adequately Verified	In Process	We recommend that the Director of the Public Housing Financial Management Division recapture the overpayment of \$116,218 disbursed for the units, which exceeded the PHAs' Faircloth limit.	4/1/2025
2016-NY-0001-001-B	9/12/2016	Operating Fund Calculations Were Not Always Adequately Verified	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of the Public Housing Financial Management Division validate the \$1,191,767 in underpayments and determine if any corrections should be made.	4/1/2025

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-NY-0001-001-A	9/12/2016	Operating Fund Calculations Were Not Always Adequately Verified	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of the Public Housing Financial Management Division determine whether any of the overpayment of \$3,630,286 was ineligible and take appropriate actions to recoup the ineligible payments.	4/1/2025
2016-PH-1803-001-A	9/9/2016	Final Civil Action Borrower Settled Alleged Violations of Home Equity Conversion Mortgage Program	In Process	Acknowledge that the attached judgment and repayment agreement for \$24,500 represents an amount due HUD.	5/1/2020
2016-CF-1801-001-A	9/8/2016	Final Civil Action: Franklin American Mortgage Company Settled Allegations of Failing to Comply With HUD's Federal Housing Administration Loan Requirements	In Process	Acknowledge that the attached settlement agreement for \$70 million represents an amount due HUD.	7/1/2021
2016-DP-0003-003-N	8/31/2016	Additional Review of Information System Controls Over FHA Information Systems	In Process	Work with FHA to correct the IBM mainframe profile ACIDs to ensure that access granted to SFIS and Claims support contractors and other applications' contractors are based on the principle of least privilege.	11/15/2017
2016-DP-0003-004-E	8/31/2016	Additional Review of Information System Controls Over FHA Information Systems	In Process	Update the HUD SCM plan template and outline and ensure that both documents agree so a standard CM plan format can be posted on the PPM and CM Web sites. Also, OCIO should tell program offices which document should be used to model their application's CM plan.	11/17/2017
2016-DP-0003-004-D	8/31/2016	Additional Review of Information System Controls Over FHA Information Systems	In Process	Update the HUD SCM plan policy and procedures to ensure that they contain accurate information.	11/17/2017
2016-DP-0003-003-Q	8/31/2016	Additional Review of Information System Controls Over FHA Information Systems	In Process	Obtain the needed information from FHA system owners so the profile ACIDs A43PPKEY and A43READ and the application model ACIDs can be configured to meet least privilege compliance.	11/17/2017
2016-DP-0003-003-P	8/31/2016	Additional Review of Information System Controls Over FHA Information Systems	In Process	Ensure that there is a process to include the review of ACIDs and profile ACIDs when the government technical monitor or system owner requests an access change.	11/17/2017
2016-DP-0003-003-O	8/31/2016	Additional Review of Information System Controls Over FHA Information Systems	In Process	Ensure that updates are made to the profile ACIDs linked to the user and the granted dataset access privilege when the government technical monitor or system owner has requested an access change.	11/17/2017
2016-DP-0003-003-K	8/31/2016	Additional Review of Information System Controls Over FHA Information Systems	In Process	Enforce the process of removing personal datasets. In addition, it should remove all terminated users' personal datasets from the mainframe production environment.	11/17/2017

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-DP-0003-002-C	8/31/2016	Additional Review of Information System Controls Over FHA Information Systems	In Process	Review policies and procedures to ensure that the appropriate method and retention of the operational history of software changes made to the FHA Claims system and SFIS meet the needs of the FHA Office of Finance and Budget.	11/17/2017
2016-DP-0003-003-L	8/31/2016	Additional Review of Information System Controls Over FHA Information Systems	In Process	Verify and remove the deleted user and profile ACIDs from all associated profile and group ACIDs.	12/22/2017
2016-FW-1006-001-H	8/31/2016	The State of Louisiana's Subrecipient Did Not Always Comply With Its Agreement and HUD Requirements When Administering Its Disaster Assistance Programs	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Office of Block Grant Assistance require the State to review the Parish's written financial management policy for compliance with HUD and program requirements and ensure that the Parish amends its policy to incorporate requirements to comply with State policy when applicable.	12/16/2017
2016-FW-1006-001-G	8/31/2016	The State of Louisiana's Subrecipient Did Not Always Comply With Its Agreement and HUD Requirements When Administering Its Disaster Assistance Programs	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Office of Block Grant Assistance require the State to ensure that the Parish provides documentation detailing the number of hours worked for each disaster assistance program or repay \$37,450 to its CDBG disaster assistance program from non-Federal funds.	12/16/2017
2016-FW-1006-001-F	8/31/2016	The State of Louisiana's Subrecipient Did Not Always Comply With Its Agreement and HUD Requirements When Administering Its Disaster Assistance Programs	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Office of Block Grant Assistance require the State to review and evaluate the Parish's procurement policy to ensure compliance with 24 CFR 85.36 requirements and that the Parish amends its procurement policy to include clear language requiring that its staff perform independent cost estimates before receiving bids or proposals for every procurement.	12/16/2017
2016-FW-1006-001-E	8/31/2016	The State of Louisiana's Subrecipient Did Not Always Comply With Its Agreement and HUD Requirements When Administering Its Disaster Assistance Programs	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Office of Block Grant Assistance require the State to provide assistance to the Parish regarding procurement requirements to ensure compliance with requirements for future procurement activities related to CDBG disaster assistance contracts.	12/16/2017
2016-FW-1006-001-D	8/31/2016	The State of Louisiana's Subrecipient Did Not Always Comply With Its Agreement and HUD Requirements When Administering Its Disaster Assistance Programs	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Office of Block Grant Assistance require the State to ensure that the Parish supports the cost reasonableness of the grant management contract or repay \$1,534,629 to its CDBG disaster assistance program from non-Federal funds.	12/16/2017

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-FW-1006-001-C	8/31/2016	The State of Louisiana's Subrecipient Did Not Always Comply With Its Agreement and HUD Requirements When Administering Its Disaster Assistance Programs	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Office of Block Grant Assistance require the State to ensure that the Parish reviews the remaining 293 program participant files for its homeowner rehabilitation, housing elevation, home-buyer assistance, and small rental rehabilitation programs to ensure that documentation complies with HUD and program requirements and to support the eligibility determinations.	12/16/2017
2016-FW-1006-001-B	8/31/2016		In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Office of Block Grant Assistance require the State to ensure that the Parish obtains additional documentation to support eligibility for the 28 program participant files that did not have adequate documentation to support program eligibility or amend the eligibility determination.	12/16/2017
2016-FW-1006-001-A	8/31/2016	The State of Louisiana's Subrecipient Did Not Always Comply With Its Agreement and HUD Requirements When Administering Its Disaster Assistance Programs	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Office of Block Grant Assistance require the State to develop and implement written procedures and actions that would correct and prevent the deficiencies outlined in the finding to ensure that the Parish adequately supports program participant eligibility. The written procedures and actions should include but not be limited to (1) reviewing and amending the Parish's program policies, documentation checklist, and income calculation worksheet to ensure the consistency of file documentation and eligibility determinations; (2) providing training and assistance to the Parish and its contractors regarding program participant eligibility determinations and documentation requirements; and (3) conducting a final file review before disbursing funds on behalf of program participants to ensure that files have complete documentation, appropriate follow-ups are conducted, and the participant remains eligible for disaster assistance. Implementing this recommendation should better ensure that the Parish spends at least \$5,365,327 in CDBG disaster assistance funds obligated for its disaster assistance programs in accordance with requirements.	12/16/2017
2016-DP-0003-004-B	8/31/2016	Additional Review of Information System Controls Over FHA Information Systems	In Process	Ensure that the current CM plan contains all information required by the HUD SCM plan template or outline when OCIO finalizes the standard CM plan format.	12/20/2017
2016-PH-1006-001-D	8/31/2016	The Housing Authority of the City of Annapolis, MD, Did Not Always Administer Its Resident Opportunities and Self-Sufficiency Program in Accordance With Applicable Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	Repay HUD \$3,400 from non-Federal funds for the ineligible payments made to the public housing resident.	1/14/2040

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-PH-1006-001-B	8/31/2016	The Housing Authority of the City of Annapolis, MD, Did Not Always Administer Its Resident Opportunities and Self-Sufficiency Program in Accordance With Applicable Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	Provide documentation to support salary and fringe benefit costs totaling \$109,248 or repay HUD from non-Federal funds for any amount that it cannot support.	1/14/2040
2016-PH-1006-001-A	8/31/2016	The Housing Authority of the City of Annapolis, MD, Did Not Always Administer Its Resident Opportunities and Self-Sufficiency Program in Accordance With Applicable Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	Provide documentation to support program accomplishment data related to disbursements totaling \$292,611 or repay HUD from non-Federal funds for any amount that it cannot support.	1/15/2040
2016-AT-1012-001-D	8/29/2016	The Municipality of Bayamon, PR, Did Not Always Ensure Compliance With HUD Program Requirements	In Process	Submit supporting documentation showing the eligibility, reasonableness, and allocability of \$38,164 charged to the Emergency programs for unsupported drawdowns and equipment cost allocations or reimburse the programs from non-Federal funds.	12/9/2017
2016-AT-1012-001-C	8/29/2016	The Municipality of Bayamon, PR, Did Not Always Ensure Compliance With HUD Program Requirements	In Process	Reimburse \$189,227 to the Emergency programs from non-Federal funds for ineligible charges made to the programs.	12/9/2017
2016-AT-1012-001-B	8/29/2016	The Municipality of Bayamon, PR, Did Not Always Ensure Compliance With HUD Program Requirements	In Process	Provide support that \$944,687 (Footnote 2: Emergency funds of more than \$1.1 million drawn between July 1, 2011, and December 31, 2015, were adjusted to consider \$158,800 questioned in recommendation 1C and \$38,164 questioned in recommendation 1D.) in Emergency funds drawn from HUD is reconciled with the accounting records and that such funds have not been used in violation of the restrictions and prohibitions of applicable statutes or reimburse the Emergency programs from non-Federal funds.	12/9/2017
2016-AT-1012-001-A	8/29/2016	The Municipality of Bayamon, PR, Did Not Always Ensure Compliance With HUD Program Requirements	In Process	Complete the implementation of the new accounting system and ensure it tracks program funds to a level that supports compliance with HUD requirements.	12/9/2017
2016-CH-1006-002-A	8/23/2016	The Housing Authority of the City of Muncie, Muncie, IN, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements and Its Own Policies Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Take the appropriate actions to resolve the income discrepancies and pursue collection from the applicable households or reimburse its program \$75,619 (\$66,236 in housing assistance payments + \$9,383 in administrative fees) from non-Federal funds for the overpayment of housing assistance cited in this finding.	1/30/2048

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-CH-1006-001-F	8/23/2016	The Housing Authority of the City of Muncie, Muncie, IN, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements and Its Own Policies Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Reimburse its program \$63,542 from non-Federal funds (\$51,536 in housing assistance overpayments + \$9,236 + \$2,770 in associated administrative fees) for the inappropriate payments.	1/30/2048
2016-CH-1006-001-A	8/23/2016	The Housing Authority of the City of Muncie, Muncie, IN, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements and Its Own Policies Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Support or reimburse its program \$496,585 from non-Federal funds (\$467,426 + \$444 in housing assistance payments + \$28,715 in administrative fees) for the missing eligibility documentation and unsupported housing assistance payments.	1/30/2048
2016-CH-1006-001-I	8/23/2016	The Housing Authority of the City of Muncie, Muncie, IN, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's Requirements and Its Own Policies Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Pursue collection from the applicable households or reimburse its program \$18,718 from non-Federal funds for the overpayment of housing assistance due to unreported or underreported income.	1/31/2048
2016-LA-1007-002-C	8/17/2016	The City of Pasadena, CA, Did Not Always Follow Community Development Block Grant Program Requirements	In Process	Establish and implement written policies and procedures and monitor its subrecipients to minimize any future instances of potential conflicts of interest that violate program rules and requirements, agreements, and the City's own procurement policies and procedures.	11/30/2017
2016-LA-1007-002-B	8/17/2016	The City of Pasadena, CA, Did Not Always Follow Community Development Block Grant Program Requirements	In Process	Terminate the contract with the subrecipient and stop all remaining payments for the project.	11/30/2017
2016-LA-1007-002-A	8/17/2016	The City of Pasadena, CA, Did Not Always Follow Community Development Block Grant Program Requirements	In Process	Repay the program for \$48,611 in ineligible costs using non-Federal funds.	11/30/2017
2016-LA-1007-001-E	8/17/2016	The City of Pasadena, CA, Did Not Always Follow Community Development Block Grant Program Requirements	In Process	Provide training to program staff on program rules and requirements.	11/30/2017

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-LA-1007-001-D	8/17/2016	The City of Pasadena, CA, Did Not Always Follow Community Development Block Grant Program Requirements	In Process	Establish and implement program-specific written policies and procedures to ensure that all program expenses and projects comply with program rules and requirements.	11/30/2017
2016-LA-1007-001-C	8/17/2016	The City of Pasadena, CA, Did Not Always Follow Community Development Block Grant Program Requirements	In Process	Support the eligibility of \$11,960 in unsupported costs or repay the program using non-Federal funds.	11/30/2017
2016-LA-1007-001-B	8/17/2016	The City of Pasadena, CA, Did Not Always Follow Community Development Block Grant Program Requirements	In Process	Revise or reclassify the national objective for the \$38,165 in questioned costs used for the solar panel project or repay the program using non-Federal funds.	11/30/2017
2016-LA-1007-001-A	8/17/2016	The City of Pasadena, CA, Did Not Always Follow Community Development Block Grant Program Requirements	In Process	Obtain written agreements and support the eligibility of \$284,649 in unsupported costs or repay the program using non-Federal funds.	11/30/2017
2016-PH-1005-001-A	8/17/2016	The Richmond Redevelopment and Housing Authority, Richmond, VA, Did Not Always Charge Eligible and Reasonable Central Office Cost Center Fees	Under Repayment Agreement	Reimburse its public housing projects \$507,800 from non-Federal funds related to the ineligible duplication of the information technology fee.	11/1/2075
2016-PH-1005-001-B	8/17/2016	The Richmond Redevelopment and Housing Authority, Richmond, VA, Did Not Always Charge Eligible and Reasonable Central Office Cost Center Fees	Under Repayment Agreement	Provide documentation to show that fees it charged for maintenance services totaling \$4,927,176 were reasonable or reimburse its public housing projects from non-Federal funds for any amount that it cannot support.	11/30/2075
2016-AT-1010-001-A	8/4/2016	The Mobile Housing Board, Mobile, AL, Did Not Disclose an Apparent Conflict of Interest and Occupy One-Third of Its Public Housing Units	Under Repayment Agreement	Require the Housing Board to provide support showing that a conflict of interest did not exist between the Mobile Development Enterprises and Superior Masonry or reimburse HUD \$1,241,958 from non-Federal funds.	10/13/2020
2016-CH-1005-001-A	8/3/2016	The Jefferson Metropolitan Housing Authority, Steubenville, OH, Failed To Manage Its Procurements and Contracts in Accordance With HUD's and Its Own Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	Support or reimburse its program \$964,365 (\$619,750 + \$344,615) from non-Federal funds for the unsupported procurement and contracting cited in this finding.	10/1/2058

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-AT-1009-001-D	8/2/2016	The Members and Operator Did Not Comply With the Executed Regulatory Agreement and HUD's Requirements for Saltillo Assisted Living, Saltillo, MS	Pending Investigation	Require the members to reimburse HUD's FHA insurance fund \$11,587 for the unreasonable nonsufficient funds and overdraft charges.	6/18/2020
2016-AT-1009-001-C	8/2/2016	The Members and Operator Did Not Comply With the Executed Regulatory Agreement and HUD's Requirements for Saltillo Assisted Living, Saltillo, MS	Pending Investigation	Require the members to reimburse HUD's FHA insurance fund \$53,885 for the ineligible project disbursements.	6/18/2020
2016-AT-1009-001-B	8/2/2016	The Members and Operator Did Not Comply With the Executed Regulatory Agreement and HUD's Requirements for Saltillo Assisted Living, Saltillo, MS	Pending Investigation	Require the members to provide support or reimburse HUD's FHA insurance fund \$865,142 for unsupported project disbursements.	6/18/2020
2016-AT-1009-001-A	8/2/2016	The Members and Operator Did Not Comply With the Executed Regulatory Agreement and HUD's Requirements for Saltillo Assisted Living, Saltillo, MS	Pending Investigation	Require the members to reimburse HUD's FHA insurance fund \$181,020 for the ineligible distributions to the members.	6/18/2020
2016-AT-1009-001-E	8/2/2016	The Members and Operator Did Not Comply With the Executed Regulatory Agreement and HUD's Requirements for Saltillo Assisted Living, Saltillo, MS	Pending Judicial Review	Pursue double damages remedies against the responsible parties for the ineligible, unreasonable, and the applicable portion of the unsupported disbursements that was used in violation of the project's regulatory agreement.	6/8/2020
2016-CH-1004-001-A	7/28/2016	The Housing Authority of the City of Anderson, Anderson, IN, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Reimburse its program \$707,091 from non-Federal funds (\$645,509 in housing assistance payments and \$61,582 in associated administrative fees) for the inappropriate payments cited in this finding.	6/10/2134
2016-AT-1008-001-C	7/19/2016	The Sanford Housing Authority, Sanford, NC, Did Not Comply With Procurement and Financial Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	Provide adequate support for disbursements or reimburse \$3,588 to the appropriate Operating Fund and Housing Choice Voucher programs from non-Federal funds.	2/15/2035

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-AT-1008-001-B	7/19/2016	The Sanford Housing Authority, Sanford, NC, Did Not Comply With Procurement and Financial Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	Reimburse \$7,851 spent on ineligible expenses to the appropriate Operating Fund and Housing Choice Voucher programs from non-Federal funds.	2/15/2035
2016-AT-1008-001-A	7/19/2016	The Sanford Housing Authority, Sanford, NC, Did Not Comply With Procurement and Financial Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	Support the cost reasonableness of the nine contracts or reimburse \$408,958 to the appropriate Operating Fund, Capital Fund, and Housing Choice Voucher programs from non-Federal funds.	2/15/2035
2016-PH-0001-001-F	6/30/2016	HUD Did Not Always Provide Adequate Oversight of Property Acquisition and Disposition Activities	In Process	Direct the Washington, DC, field office to require the grantee to repay its program \$4,214 from non-Federal funds for the ineligible costs associated with activity 1515.	1/31/2018
2016-PH-0001-001-E	6/30/2016	HUD Did Not Always Provide Adequate Oversight of Property Acquisition and Disposition Activities	In Process	Direct the Washington, DC, field office to require the grantee to provide documentation to support the \$1,766,778 in unsupported payments identified or the grantee must reimburse its program from non-Federal funds for any costs that it cannot support.	1/31/2018
2016-PH-0001-001-D	6/30/2016	HUD Did Not Always Provide Adequate Oversight of Property Acquisition and Disposition Activities	In Process	Direct the Minneapolis, MN, field office to require the grantee to provide documentation to support the \$4,299,963 in unsupported payments identified or the grantee must reimburse its program from non-Federal funds for any costs that it cannot support.	1/31/2018
2016-PH-0001-001-B	6/30/2016	HUD Did Not Always Provide Adequate Oversight of Property Acquisition and Disposition Activities	In Process	Enforce the Miami, FL, field office's monitoring findings and require the grantee to provide documentation to support costs totaling \$1,161,616 or the grantee must reimburse its program from non-Federal funds for any costs that it cannot support.	1/31/2018
2016-PH-0001-001-A	6/30/2016	HUD Did Not Always Provide Adequate Oversight of Property Acquisition and Disposition Activities	In Process	Direct the New Orleans, LA, field office to enforce its monitoring findings and require the grantee to provide documentation to support costs totaling \$4,959,911 or the grantee must reimburse its program from non-Federal funds for any costs that it cannot support.	1/31/2018
2016-PH-0001-001-G	6/30/2016	HUD Did Not Always Provide Adequate Oversight of Property Acquisition and Disposition Activities	In Dispute	Direct field offices to include property acquisition and disposition activities as an area of special emphasis when assessing grantee risk and establishing their monitoring plans and grantee monitoring strategies.	
2016-BO-1003-001-G	6/28/2016	The State of Connecticut Did Not Always Administer Its Neighborhood Stabilization Program in Compliance With HUD Regulations	In Process	Provide a plan for the completion within acceptable timeframes of the five unfinished properties or cancel the activities and deobligate and reprogram the \$254,183 in funds to other allowable activities, thus ensuring that the funds will be put to their intended use.	10/25/2017

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-BO-1003-001-D	6/28/2016	The State of Connecticut Did Not Always Administer Its Neighborhood Stabilization Program in Compliance With HUD Regulations	In Process	Provide documentation that \$123,108 in NSP funds paid to two developers for administrative expenses was supported and that work performed was completed in accordance with their contracts. Any amount for which adequate support cannot be provided should be repaid to the Treasury from non-Federal funds.	10/25/2017
2016-BO-1003-001-C	6/28/2016	The State of Connecticut Did Not Always Administer Its Neighborhood Stabilization Program in Compliance With HUD Regulations	In Process	Provide documentation to support that \$1,807,359 in NSP funds was spent for reasonable, necessary, and supported costs. Any amount for which adequate support cannot be provided should be repaid to the Treasury from non-Federal funds.	10/25/2017
2016-BO-1003-001-B	6/28/2016	The State of Connecticut Did Not Always Administer Its Neighborhood Stabilization Program in Compliance With HUD Regulations	In Process	Justify the reasonableness of or repay to the Treasury from non-Federal funds the \$29,106 in NSP funds spent for unreasonable activity costs.	10/25/2017
2016-BO-1003-001-A	6/28/2016	The State of Connecticut Did Not Always Administer Its Neighborhood Stabilization Program in Compliance With HUD Regulations	In Process	Repay to the Treasury from non-Federal funds the \$666,668 in NSP funds spent for ineligible activity costs and funds that had already been paid by another Federal program.	10/25/2017
2016-BO-1002-001-K	6/27/2016	The Administration of Accounting, Inventory, and Procurement of the Bridgeport Housing Authority in Bridgeport, CT, Did Not Always Comply With HUD Regulations	Under Repayment Agreement	Provide support showing that the contracts for which \$488,150 (\$216,142 and \$272,008 for legal services and public relations services, respectively) was paid were procured at the most competitive and best price and the costs paid were necessary and reasonable. Any unnecessary or unreasonable costs should be repaid from non-Federal funds to the program(s) that paid the costs.	11/2/2020
2016-BO-1002-001-J	6/27/2016	The Administration of Accounting, Inventory, and Procurement of the Bridgeport Housing Authority in Bridgeport, CT, Did Not Always Comply With HUD Regulations	Under Repayment Agreement	Provide documentation for the \$61,804 in unaccounted for inventory. If the items cannot be accounted for, repayment should be made to the Authority's low-rent program from non-Federal funds.	11/2/2020
2016-BO-1002-001-F	6/27/2016	The Administration of Accounting, Inventory, and Procurement of the Bridgeport Housing Authority in Bridgeport, CT, Did Not Always Comply With HUD Regulations	Under Repayment Agreement	Provide support for the proper allocation of the \$650,990 in information technology costs charged to the Capital Fund program. Any amounts that cannot be supported should be repaid from non-Federal funds.	11/2/2020
2016-BO-1002-001-E	6/27/2016	The Administration of Accounting, Inventory, and Procurement of the Bridgeport Housing Authority in Bridgeport, CT, Did Not Always Comply With HUD Regulations	Under Repayment Agreement	Provide adequate support that \$5,573,214 (\$866,235 in Capital Fund program and \$4,706,979 in American Recovery and Reinvestment Act funds) was spent for eligible costs. Any amounts that cannot be supported should be repaid to the program from non-Federal funds.	11/2/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-BO-1002-001-C	6/27/2016	The Administration of Accounting, Inventory, and Procurement of the Bridgeport Housing Authority in Bridgeport, CT, Did Not Always Comply With HUD Regulations	Under Repayment Agreement	Repay the programs from non-Federal funds the \$97,330 spent for the unallowable costs of scanning and storing records, accounting and financial software licenses, and iPads.	11/2/2020
2016-SE-1002-005-E	6/23/2016	Sunset Manor, Limited Partnership, Blackfoot, ID, Did Not Administer Its Section 8 Program in Accordance With HUD Rules and Regulations	In Process	Reperform its operating account bank reconciliations, beginning with the October 2012 statements, to reflect the accurate balance in its accounting system.	10/20/2017
2016-SE-1002-003-C	6/23/2016	Sunset Manor, Limited Partnership, Blackfoot, ID, Did Not Administer Its Section 8 Program in Accordance With HUD Rules and Regulations	In Process	Provide support showing that the project was entitled to the \$7,378 from the security deposit account or reimburse the security deposit account from the operating account for any amounts to which the project was not entitled.	10/20/2017
2016-SE-1002-003-A	6/23/2016	Sunset Manor, Limited Partnership, Blackfoot, ID, Did Not Administer Its Section 8 Program in Accordance With HUD Rules and Regulations	In Process	Provide supporting documents for the \$112,064 in unsupported disbursements and repay the project operating account from non-project funds for any amounts that remain unsupported.	10/20/2017
2016-SE-1002-002-A	6/23/2016	Sunset Manor, Limited Partnership, Blackfoot, ID, Did Not Administer Its Section 8 Program in Accordance With HUD Rules and Regulations	In Process	Provide cost justifications for the six service contracts by obtaining written cost estimates from at least three contractors for each contract and reimburse the property's operating account from non-project funds up to \$219,309 for any amounts that are unreasonable.	10/20/2017
2016-SE-1002-001-A	6/23/2016	Sunset Manor, Limited Partnership, Blackfoot, ID, Did Not Administer Its Section 8 Program in Accordance With HUD Rules and Regulations	In Process	Reimburse the project \$101,282 from non-project funds (\$4,706 for unauthorized distributions and \$96,576 for unauthorized repayments of loan advances) and if necessary, make prior-period adjustments to the financial statements to disclose the information in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.	10/20/2017
2016-AT-1007-001-C	6/22/2016	The City of Miami Beach Did Not Always Properly Administer Its CDBG Program	In Process	Confirm that the City has implemented its revised written policies and procedures throughout its CDBG program.	10/4/2017
2016-AT-1007-001-B	6/22/2016	The City of Miami Beach Did Not Always Properly Administer Its CDBG Program	In Process	Reimburse its line of credit for \$108,563 in ineligible costs from non-Federal funds.	10/4/2017
2016-AT-1007-001-A	6/22/2016	The City of Miami Beach Did Not Always Properly Administer Its CDBG Program	In Process	Provide supporting documentation or reimburse its program for \$227,587 in unsupported expenditures from non-Federal funds.	10/4/2017

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-AT-1006-001-C	6/17/2016	The City of Miami Beach Did Not Always Properly Administer Its HOME Program	In Process	Recapture the remaining balance of \$300,278 allocated to the stalled Barclay Apartment activity 843.	10/4/2017
2016-AT-0001-001-A	5/20/2016	HUD Did Not Enforce and Sufficiently Revise Its Underwriting Requirements for Multifamily Accelerated Processing Loans	In Process	Revise its memorandum of understanding with the Office of Risk Management and Assessment to ensure that loans approved by the Office of Multifamily Production are reviewed for compliance with MAP underwriting requirements.	12/31/2019
2016-FO-0005-004-C	5/13/2016	Compliance With the Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Act	In Process	Establish and implement a process to identify high-dollar overpayments and report them quarterly to OMB and us or submit a written request to OMB for an alternative reporting structure.	12/31/2020
2016-FO-0005-002-C	5/13/2016	Compliance With the Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Act	In Process	Resubmit the justifications for why a payment recapture audit would not be cost-effective for each program that expended over \$1 million or more to OMB and OIG for programs that were not already identified under a separate recovery audit plan.	12/31/2020
2016-FO-0005-002-B	5/13/2016	Compliance With the Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Act	In Process	Revisit the existing recovery audit plan and update it as needed to ensure that all programs and activities that expended more than \$1 million annually were included in the recovery audit plan or excluded from the recovery audit plan and maintain the corresponding cost-benefit analyses supporting their exclusion.	12/31/2020
2016-FO-0005-002-A	5/13/2016	Compliance With the Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Act	In Process	Develop, document, and implement formal policies and procedures to ensure that (1) all programs or activities that expend \$1 million or more annually for each program office identified are included in either the program office's payment recapture audit plan or provide a justification and analysis showing why a payment recapture audit would not be cost effective for that program or activity and (2) justifications and analyses showing why a payment recapture audit would not be cost effective are maintained and adequately described in the AFR, in accordance with OMB Circular A-123, appendix C.	12/31/2020
2016-FO-0005-001-C	5/13/2016	Compliance With the Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Act	In Process	Consider stratifying the population of RHAP tenant cases between income-based and non-income based rents going forward in determining the population of cases for the QC study and determine whether it is appropriate to include only the income-based tenants in the population.	12/31/2020
2016-AT-1005-001-A	5/10/2016	The Housing Authority of the City of Durham, NC, Did Not Adequately Enforce HUD's and Its Own Housing Quality Control Standards	Under Repayment Agreement	Reimburse the program \$108,390 from non-Federal funds for housing assistance payments (\$100,214) and administrative fees received (\$8,176) for the 40 units that materially failed to meet HUD's and its own housing quality standards.	2/28/2022

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-NY-1007-002-G	3/30/2016	The City of Jersey City, NJ's Community Development Block Grant Program Had Administrative and Financial Control Weaknesses	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct City officials to strengthen financial controls to ensure that LOCCS drawdowns are charged to the correct IDIS activities and traceable to the City's accounting records.	5/16/2017
2016-NY-1007-002-C	3/30/2016	The City of Jersey City, NJ's Community Development Block Grant Program Had Administrative and Financial Control Weaknesses	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct City officials to record the receipt and expense of \$397,031 in the City's accounting records and correctly classify the amount in IDIS to show the use of the City's CDBG funds for repayment of a guaranteed Section 108 loan, thus ensuring that the funds were put to their intended use.	5/16/2017
2016-NY-1007-002-B	3/30/2016	The City of Jersey City, NJ's Community Development Block Grant Program Had Administrative and Financial Control Weaknesses	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct City officials to reimburse the City's CDBG program line of credit for \$100,982, which was used to pay costs that had been paid with CDBG program income, thus ensuring that these funds can be used for eligible activities.	5/16/2017
2016-NY-1007-002-A	3/30/2016	The City of Jersey City, NJ's Community Development Block Grant Program Had Administrative and Financial Control Weaknesses	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct City officials to strengthen financial controls over cash flow to ensure that the time between making and spending LOCCS drawdowns is minimized.	5/16/2017
2016-NY-1007-001-U	3/30/2016	The City of Jersey City, NJ's Community Development Block Grant Program Had Administrative and Financial Control Weaknesses	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct City officials to provide documentation, such as a New Jersey State business registration, liability insurance, licenses, and other documentation, to support the eligibility of the three contractors awarded HOPR contracts in program years 2012 and 2013.	5/16/2017
2016-NY-1007-001-T	3/30/2016	The City of Jersey City, NJ's Community Development Block Grant Program Had Administrative and Financial Control Weaknesses	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct City officials to provide documentation to support compliance with Federal procurement regulations when a winning bidder was allowed to submit two bids with different prices for a single contract. If documentation cannot be provided, the City's line of credit should be reimbursed from non-Federal funds for disbursements made to the contractor.	5/16/2017
2016-NY-1007-001-S	3/30/2016	The City of Jersey City, NJ's Community Development Block Grant Program Had Administrative and Financial Control Weaknesses	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct City officials to reimburse \$83 from non-Federal funds to the City's CDBG program line of credit for disbursements made for the two contracts exceeding 10 percent of the cost estimate.	5/16/2017
2016-NY-1007-001-R	3/30/2016	The City of Jersey City, NJ's Community Development Block Grant Program Had Administrative and Financial Control Weaknesses	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct City officials to reimburse \$9,730 from non-Federal funds to the City's CDBG program line of credit for the ineligible homeowner rehabilitation assistance provided that exceeded the subsidy limit.	5/16/2017

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-NY-1007-001-P	3/30/2016	The City of Jersey City, NJ's Community Development Block Grant Program Had Administrative and Financial Control Weaknesses	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct City officials to provide documentation to support the income eligibility of the homeowner who received \$30,600 in CDBG funds related to the rebate program. If documentation cannot be provided, the City's CDBG program line of credit should be reimbursed \$30,600 from non-Federal funds.	5/16/2017
2016-NY-1007-001-O	3/30/2016	The City of Jersey City, NJ's Community Development Block Grant Program Had Administrative and Financial Control Weaknesses	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct City officials to strengthen administrative controls over the City's CDBG program to ensure compliance with program income and procurement requirements.	5/16/2017
2016-NY-1007-001-N	3/30/2016	The City of Jersey City, NJ's Community Development Block Grant Program Had Administrative and Financial Control Weaknesses	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct City officials to provide documentation to support that those laborers employed by the four contractors are compensated in accordance with Davis-Bacon wage rates. If documentation cannot be provided, the City's line of credit should be reimbursed from non-Federal funds for disbursements made to the four contractors.	5/16/2017
2016-NY-1007-001-M	3/30/2016	The City of Jersey City, NJ's Community Development Block Grant Program Had Administrative and Financial Control Weaknesses	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct City officials to provide documentation to support the review of the remaining 30 tenants' eligibility to occupy low and moderate-income housing units.	5/16/2017
2016-NY-1007-001-L	3/30/2016	The City of Jersey City, NJ's Community Development Block Grant Program Had Administrative and Financial Control Weaknesses	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct City officials to provide documentation to support the eligibility of the three tenants occupying low- to moderate-income housing units at a residential property assisted with CDBG funds.	5/16/2017
2016-NY-1007-001-K	3/30/2016	The City of Jersey City, NJ's Community Development Block Grant Program Had Administrative and Financial Control Weaknesses	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct City officials to provide documentation to support compliance with Federal procurement regulations when contracts were awarded to the three single bidders.	5/16/2017
2016-NY-1007-001-J	3/30/2016	The City of Jersey City, NJ's Community Development Block Grant Program Had Administrative and Financial Control Weaknesses	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct City officials to provide documentation, such as proof of advertising, bids received, bid analysis reports, cost estimates, contracts, and other applicable records, to support compliance with Federal procurement regulations in the awarding of the five contracts.	5/16/2017
2016-NY-1007-001-I	3/30/2016	The City of Jersey City, NJ's Community Development Block Grant Program Had Administrative and Financial Control Weaknesses	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct City officials to record the mortgages on the five CDBG-assisted properties that were demolished and acquired with CDBG assistance of \$1,475,674, thus ensuring that these properties are administered in compliance with program requirements.	5/16/2017

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-NY-1007-001-H	3/30/2016	The City of Jersey City, NJ's Community Development Block Grant Program Had Administrative and Financial Control Weaknesses	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct City officials to record the mortgage on the CDBG-assisted rental property that was rehabilitated or reimburse the \$426,296 from non-Federal funds to the City's CDBG program line of credit, thus ensuring that the funds are put to their intended use.	5/16/2017
2016-NY-1007-001-G	3/30/2016	The City of Jersey City, NJ's Community Development Block Grant Program Had Administrative and Financial Control Weaknesses	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct City officials to strengthen administrative controls over record keeping to ensure that documentation is maintained to support the eligibility of costs paid with CDBG funds.	5/16/2017
2016-NY-1007-001-F	3/30/2016	The City of Jersey City, NJ's Community Development Block Grant Program Had Administrative and Financial Control Weaknesses	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct City officials to provide documentation to support the \$1,652,223 in CDBG funds used for developing the 22 affordable townhouses. Any amount determined to be ineligible should be reimbursed to the City's CDBG program line of credit from non-Federal funds.	5/16/2017
2016-NY-1007-001-E	3/30/2016	The City of Jersey City, NJ's Community Development Block Grant Program Had Administrative and Financial Control Weaknesses	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct City officials to provide documentation to support whether \$148,000 in CDBG program income was generated from the disposition of real properties acquired with CDBG funds so that HUD can determine eligibility. Any recognized program income should be reimbursed to the City's local bank account and recorded in IDIS, thus ensuring that these funds can be put to better use.	5/16/2017
2016-NY-1007-001-C	3/30/2016	The City of Jersey City, NJ's Community Development Block Grant Program Had Administrative and Financial Control Weaknesses	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct City officials to strengthen administrative controls to ensure that future Section 108 income of \$1,162,801 will be recorded in IDIS, thus ensuring that these funds can be used for eligible activities.	5/16/2017
2016-NY-1007-001-A	3/30/2016	The City of Jersey City, NJ's Community Development Block Grant Program Had Administrative and Financial Control Weaknesses	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct City officials to reimburse the City's CDBG local bank account for the \$11,532,769 in uncollected program income generated from the disposition of real property previously assisted with CDBG funds, thus ensuring that these funds can be used for eligible activities.	5/16/2017
2016-NY-1006-001-B	3/29/2016	New York State Did Not Always Disburse Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Funds in Accordance With Federal and State Regulations	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs direct State officials to provide adequate documentation to justify \$152,703 in CDBG-DR funds disbursed to six businesses. If any amount cannot be adequately supported, it should be reimbursed from non-Federal funds to the State's line of credit.	7/25/2017

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-NY-1006-001-A	3/29/2016	New York State Did Not Always Disburse Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Funds in Accordance With Federal and State Regulations	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs direct State officials to reimburse the line of credit for \$272,459 in CDBG-DR funds disbursed to four businesses for ineligible costs from non-Federal funds.	7/25/2017
2016-NY-1006-002-C	3/29/2016	New York State Did Not Always Disburse Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Funds in Accordance With Federal and State Regulations	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs instruct State officials to immediately recapture more than \$300,000 in CDBG-DR funds disbursed to 35 businesses that was subject to full or partial recapture, thus ensuring that these funds will be put to their intended use.	9/30/2018
2016-FW-1001-002-A	3/21/2016	The City of Baton Rouge and Parish of East Baton Rouge, LA, Office of Community Development, Did Not Always Properly Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program Activities	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New Orleans Office of CPD require the City to Support the cost reasonableness of the 64 housing rehabilitation contracts or repay \$677,948 to its CDBG program from non-Federal funds.	5/31/2020
2016-FW-1001-001-C	3/21/2016	The City of Baton Rouge and Parish of East Baton Rouge, LA, Office of Community Development, Did Not Always Properly Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program Activities	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New Orleans Office of CPD require the City to support that project 3, to build a bike path along the Mississippi River, met a national objective or repay \$396,836 to its CDBG program from non-Federal funds.	5/31/2020
2016-FW-1001-001-A	3/21/2016	The City of Baton Rouge and Parish of East Baton Rouge, LA, Office of Community Development, Did Not Always Properly Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program Activities	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New Orleans Office of CPD require the City to support that project 1, to recruit and train low-income persons for construction jobs in the Baton Rouge area, met a national objective or repay \$338,176 to its CDBG program from non-Federal funds.	5/31/2020
2016-SE-1001-001-D	3/9/2016	Homewood Terrace, Auburn, WA, Did Not Always Conduct Timely Reexaminations, Properly Request Assistance Payments, or Verify Income Information	In Process	For the member whose October 1, 2015, reexamination relied on unverified income information, determine the appropriate housing assistance payment amount for that reexamination date by verifying and supporting the member's income at that time, reimburse HUD up to \$3,087 for any amount that remains unsupported, and adjust the next request for assistance to account for the appropriate amount.	7/6/2017

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-CH-0001-001-D	2/26/2016	HUD Lacked Adequate Oversight of Public Housing Agencies' Compliance With Its Declaration of Trust Requirements	In Process	Implement adequate procedures and controls to ensure that public housing agencies maintain valid declarations of trust that are recorded in public records to prevent more than \$509 million in annual operating subsidy funds from being provided to projects in which HUD's interests and investments are not protected. Such procedures and controls should include but not be limited to (1) issuing additional guidance regarding declarations of trust to assist HUD's field office staff, public housing agencies, and independent auditors in clarifying HUD's requirements and appropriately evaluating the declarations of trust and (2) amending the A-133 Compliance Supplement to require auditors to review an entire project at a time and include steps for determining whether all property of the project is included on declarations of trust and whether the declarations of trust were properly recorded in public records.	10/1/2019
2016-CH-0001-001-C	2/26/2016	HUD Lacked Adequate Oversight of Public Housing Agencies' Compliance With Its Declaration of Trust Requirements	In Process	Amend the declaration of trust forms and instructions to (1) include a second identifier for each property, such as physical addresses, so that HUD may verify the effectiveness of the declaration of trust with the information maintained in its systems; (2) allow for the declaration of trust to terminate only upon release from HUD; and (3) reflect the actual preparation process used.	10/1/2019
2016-NY-1801-001-B	2/11/2016	The City of Jersey City's Administration of Its Lead Paint Activities Did Not Comply With Federal and New Jersey State Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct City officials to collect and test lead dust samples from the floors and window sills of the 27 homeowner units that received CDBG funds in program years 2012 and 2013 to ensure that the lead dust does not exceed the allowable lead dust standards. If the tests reveal the existence of excessive lead dust, City officials need to reduce the lead dust to the allowable limit, or reimburse the City's CDBG line of credit from non-Federal funds for disbursements previously made to repair those 27 units.	1/11/2017
2016-NY-1003-001-M	2/5/2016	The City of Rochester, NY Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to request CDBG program training from the HUD Office of CPD field office relating to Section 108 and float loan administration, drawdown and disbursement of funds in a timely manner, and federal procurement regulations.	6/16/2017
2016-NY-1003-001-L	2/5/2016	The City of Rochester, NY Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to strengthen procedures over subrecipient monitoring to ensure that onsite visits are conducted for all CDBG subrecipients annually as specified in the agreements and that monitoring efforts are adequately tracked.	6/16/2017

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-NY-1003-001-K	2/5/2016	The City of Rochester, NY Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to implement procedures to ensure that all HUD-funded procurement is performed in accordance with regulations at 24 CFR 85.36, which require that sealed bid procurements be adequately advertised and involve at least two bids and that independent estimates be documented before bids or proposals are received.	6/16/2017
2016-NY-1003-001-J	2/5/2016	The City of Rochester, NY Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to provide documentation to support that the \$1,166,000 public facilities and improvements procurement contract price was fair and reasonable and that the sole-source method used was justified. Any costs determined not to be fair and reasonable should be reimbursed from non-Federal funds.	6/16/2017
2016-NY-1003-001-I	2/5/2016	The City of Rochester, NY Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to develop and implement procedures to ensure that the City's liens related to HUD-funded loans are not released without repayment or evidence of due diligence to address delinquent, outstanding loans.	6/16/2017
2016-NY-1003-001-H	2/5/2016	The City of Rochester, NY Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to implement procedures to ensure that any future CDBG float-funded activities are administered in accordance with HUD regulations requiring that the annual action plan identify the float-funded activity and a commitment to undertake one of the options listed in the regulations if the funds are unable to be repaid within the required timeframe.	6/16/2017
2016-NY-1003-001-G	2/5/2016	The City of Rochester, NY Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to reimburse the \$1,500,000 in CDBG funds spent for the delinquent float loan that defaulted in 1998 through one of the options identified in HUD regulations so that it can be closed out as bad debt, thereby making the funds available for use on other eligible activities.	6/16/2017
2016-NY-1003-001-F	2/5/2016	The City of Rochester, NY Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to strengthen controls to ensure compliance with Section 108 contract provisions and regulations requiring disbursement of funds in a timely manner after drawdown.	6/16/2017
2016-NY-1003-001-E	2/5/2016	The City of Rochester, NY Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to provide documentation in the loan file that HUD approved the withdrawal of funds after the required deadline, and provide an explanation and obtain approval for the untimely disbursement of the \$6,724,820 after it had been drawn down. Any costs determined to be inadequately supported should be reimbursed from non-Federal funds.	6/16/2017

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-NY-1003-001-D	2/5/2016	The City of Rochester, NY Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to strengthen procedures to ensure that all costs claimed for CDBG reimbursement are adequately supported by documentation before funds are disbursed.	6/16/2017
2016-NY-1003-001-C	2/5/2016	The City of Rochester, NY Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to provide documentation to justify the \$291,236 in unsupported costs related to disbursements made to the City's public services subrecipient. Any costs determined to be inadequately supported should be reimbursed from non-Federal funds.	6/16/2017
2016-NY-1003-001-B	2/5/2016	The City of Rochester, NY Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to strengthen disbursement controls to ensure that CDBG funds are drawn down to reimburse only eligible costs.	6/16/2017
2016-NY-1003-001-A	2/5/2016	The City of Rochester, NY Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to reimburse from non-Federal funds \$153,279 spent on ineligible costs for duplicate and preaward costs of an economic development loan (\$99,616), non-Federal City salary costs (\$46,324), and duplicate subrecipient costs (\$7,339).	6/16/2017
2016-NY-1002-001-F	1/7/2016	The City of Niagara Falls Had Weaknesses in Controls Over CDBG Funded Subgrantee-Administered Rehabilitation Activities	In Process	We recommend that the Director of the HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to strengthen subgrant procedures to ensure that all required documents are received, explanations are obtained when prior performance does not meet goals, and subgrants are executed in a timely manner.	5/17/2017
2016-NY-1002-001-E	1/7/2016	The City of Niagara Falls Had Weaknesses in Controls Over CDBG Funded Subgrantee-Administered Rehabilitation Activities	In Process	We recommend that the Director of the HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to implement procedures to ensure that program income received by subgrantees is properly reported in HUD's integrated Disbursement and Information System and spent before funds are drawn down from the U.S. Treasury.	5/17/2017
2016-NY-1002-001-D	1/7/2016	The City of Niagara Falls Had Weaknesses in Controls Over CDBG Funded Subgrantee-Administered Rehabilitation Activities	In Process	We recommend that the Director of the HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to spend program income of \$113,733 on eligible CDBG activities before drawing down additional CDBG entitlement funds, thus ensuring that these funds are put to better use.	5/17/2017
2016-NY-1002-001-C	1/7/2016	The City of Niagara Falls Had Weaknesses in Controls Over CDBG Funded Subgrantee-Administered Rehabilitation Activities	In Process	We recommend that the Director of the HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to reprogram unexpended funds of \$2,516 on a subgrantee agreement that expired June 30, 2015, for use by other eligible CDBG activities so that these funds are put to better use.	5/17/2017
2016-NY-1002-001-B	1/7/2016	The City of Niagara Falls Had Weaknesses in Controls Over CDBG Funded Subgrantee-Administered Rehabilitation Activities	In Process	We recommend that the Director of the HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to strengthen monitoring procedures for CDBG-funded subgrantees to ensure compliance with program requirements.	5/17/2017

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-NY-1002-001-A	1/7/2016	The City of Niagara Falls Had Weaknesses in Controls Over CDBG Funded Subgrantee-Administered Rehabilitation Activities	In Process	We recommend that the Director of the HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to provide documentation to adequately support that \$70,538 disbursed and \$150,000 obligated were for eligible costs. Any costs determined to be ineligible should be reimbursed from non-Federal funds.	5/17/2017
2016-DP-0002-001-C	12/21/2015	Single Family Insurance System and Single Family Insurance Claims Subsystem	In Process	Coordinate with the FHA Office of Finance and Budget and the ADMIN's Privacy Office to ensure that the PII maintained in SFIS and Claims databases is encrypted.	3/31/2017
2016-AT-1002-002-H	12/17/2015	The Municipality of Toa Alta, PR, Did Not Properly Administer Its Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program	In Process	Provide training, technical assistance, and increase monitoring of the Municipality's performance in the administration of its Section 108 loan program.	4/11/2017
2016-AT-1002-002-F	12/17/2015	The Municipality of Toa Alta, PR, Did Not Properly Administer Its Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program	In Process	Develop and implement a financial management system in accordance with HUD requirements to ensure that program funds can be traced to a level, which ensures that such funds have not been used in violation of the restrictions and prohibitions of applicable statutes.	4/11/2017
2016-AT-1002-002-E	12/17/2015	The Municipality of Toa Alta, PR, Did Not Properly Administer Its Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program	In Process	Provide HUD the additional security requirements according to the loan agreement.	4/11/2017
2016-AT-1002-002-C	12/17/2015	The Municipality of Toa Alta, PR, Did Not Properly Administer Its Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program	In Process	Provide supporting documentation showing that it complied with all environmental requirements. If the Municipality does not provide evidence that it complied with all environmental requirements, HUD must initiate appropriate sanctions under 24 CFR 58.77(d)(1)(v) for noncompliance.	4/11/2017
2016-AT-1002-001-B	12/17/2015	The Municipality of Toa Alta, PR, Did Not Properly Administer Its Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program	In Process	Submit a plan for how it will proceed with respect to the municipal cemetery project, including a schedule that HUD can track to ensure its completion. HUD must reevaluate the feasibility of the activity and determine the eligibility of the \$1,454,801 already invested. If HUD determines that the activity has been canceled or is not feasible, the Municipality must commit any unused loan proceeds for future loan repayments.	4/11/2017
2016-AT-1002-001-A	12/17/2015	The Municipality of Toa Alta, PR, Did Not Properly Administer Its Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program	In Process	Submit a plan for how it will proceed with respect to the multipurpose facility project, including a schedule that HUD can track to ensure its completion. HUD must reevaluate the feasibility of the activity and determine the eligibility of the \$8,111,304 already invested. (Footnote 4: Total investments of \$8,232,388 were adjusted to account for \$109,084 questioned in recommendation 2A and \$12,000 in recommendation 2B.) If HUD determines that the activity has been canceled or is not feasible, the Municipality must commit any unused loan proceeds for future loan repayments.	4/11/2017

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-DP-0801-001-D	11/30/2015	Review of Information System Controls Over the Ginnie Mae	In Process	Direct appropriate Ginnie Mae employees to cancel system authorizations to operate at the end of a specified period for systems that do not achieve the appropriate baseline requirements described in NIST SP 800-53.	11/1/2016
2016-DP-0801-001-E	11/30/2015	Review of Information System Controls Over the Ginnie Mae	In Process	Develop a policy for determining when a contract is breached based on failure to meet information technology security requirements, including failures of contractor vendors.	12/2/2016
2016-DP-0801-001-F	11/30/2015	Review of Information System Controls Over the Ginnie Mae	In Process	Increase Federal IT Security funding for all contracts so that the funding for security is more closely correlated to the value of the data.	1/2/2017
2016-DP-0801-001-C	11/30/2015	Review of Information System Controls Over the Ginnie Mae	In Process	Include penalties in contracts if the contractor fails to regularly monitor its vendors for maintaining compliance with the appropriate baseline requirements described in NIST SP 800-53.	1/2/2017
2016-DP-0801-001-B	11/30/2015	Review of Information System Controls Over the Ginnie Mae	In Process	Include penalties in contracts if the contractor fails to require its vendors to achieve the appropriate baseline requirements described in NIST SP 800-53 by the end of a specified period.	1/2/2017
2016-DP-0801-001-A	11/30/2015	Review of Information System Controls Over the Ginnie Mae	In Process	Include penalties in new contracts if the contractor fails to achieve the appropriate baseline requirements described in NIST SP 800-53 by the end of the specified transition period.	1/2/2017
2016-DP-0801-001-G	11/30/2015	Review of Information System Controls Over the Ginnie Mae	In Process	Increase the number of Ginnie Mae personnel that have the technical capability and responsibility to monitor and enforce Federal IT Security requirements in Ginnie Mae contracts.	3/10/2017
2016-CH-1001-001-B	11/24/2015	EdgeAlliance, Inc., Chicago, IL, Did Not Administer Continuum of Care Program Funds for The Daniel R. Ruscitti Phoenix House in Accordance With Federal Regulations	In Process	Reimburse HUD from non-Federal funds for the \$87,651 in program funds used for improper operating expenses.	10/5/2018
2016-CH-1001-001-A	11/24/2015	EdgeAlliance, Inc., Chicago, IL, Did Not Administer Continuum of Care Program Funds for The Daniel R. Ruscitti Phoenix House in Accordance With Federal Regulations	In Process	Support or reimburse its program from non-Federal funds for the \$686,701 in program funds drawn down for which it did not provide sufficient documentation to support that the funds were used for eligible project expenses.	10/5/2018

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-FO-0003-006-E	11/18/2015	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2015 and 2014 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Dispute	Contact all other HUD program offices to determine whether any other programs authorize or are aware of grantees holding funds in advance of their immediate disbursement needs and determine financial statement impact on and compliance with Treasury cash management requirements of any found.	
2016-FO-0003-006-D	11/18/2015	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2015 and 2014 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Dispute	Review projects and acquisitions to determine whether the proper accounting treatment was applied and determine whether corrections to HUD's financial statements are needed.	
2016-FO-0003-006-C	11/18/2015	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2015 and 2014 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Dispute	Develop procedures to provide oversight of OCPO procurement activities to ensure that those with financial accounting and reporting impact are properly captured and reflected in HUD's financial statements.	
2016-FO-0003-006-B	11/18/2015	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2015 and 2014 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Dispute	Develop a process to ensure that issues and recommendations from all evaluations and audits, including those performed by third parties like NAPA, are adequately documented and tracked and properly evaluated by senior management to ensure that HUD's FMFIA structure remains compliant. HUD should also ensure that corrective actions are agreed upon and responsibility for implementing corrective actions is appropriately delegated.	
2016-FO-0003-008-H	11/18/2015	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2015 and 2014 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Process	Deobligate all obligations marked for deobligation during the departmentwide open obligations review, including as much as \$430,942 in 44 administrative obligations and \$135,957 in 2 program obligations marked for deobligation as of September 30, 2015. Additionally, HUD should review the 17 obligations with remaining balances of \$1,486,191 and close out and deobligate amounts tied to obligations that are no longer valid or needed.	2/2/2017
2016-FO-0003-008-A	11/18/2015	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2015 and 2014 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Process	Close out and deobligate the remaining balances on 2,308 expired homeless assistance contracts of \$104,347,996. HUD should also deobligate \$3,602,342 in 102 program obligations marked for deobligation during the departmentwide open obligations review. Lastly, HUD should review the 57 obligations with remaining balances of \$188,176 and close out and deobligate amounts tied to obligations that are no longer valid or needed.	3/16/2017

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-FO-0003-008-K	11/18/2015	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2015 and 2014 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Process	Deobligate \$140,165 in 41 administrative and \$125,166 in 3 program obligations marked for deobligation during the departmentwide open obligations review.	12/8/2016
2016-FO-0003-008-O	11/18/2015	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2015 and 2014 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Process	Deobligate the \$587,198 in eight administrative obligations marked for deobligation during the departmentwide open obligations review.	3/21/2017
2016-FO-0003-006-J	11/18/2015	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2015 and 2014 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Process	Revise policies and procedures to ensure that MCRs are routinely monitored and completed for all program areas and establish a timeframe for completion of the MCR reports. Further, HUD should ensure that an escalation process is included to address untimely completion of the MCR process.	3/17/2017
2016-FO-0003-008-L	11/18/2015	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2015 and 2014 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Process	Review the 20 obligations with remaining balances of \$77,807 and close out and deobligate amounts tied to obligations that are no longer valid or needed.	3/22/2017
2016-FO-0003-013-A	11/18/2015	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2015 and 2014 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Process	Complete any outstanding validation reviews and transition back as much as \$466.5 million in Housing Choice Voucher program funding from MTW PHAs and \$41 million from non-MTW PHAs.	9/30/2021
2016-FO-0003-002-D	11/18/2015	Additional Details To Supplement Our Fiscal Years 2015 and 2014 (Restated) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Financial Statement Audit	In Dispute	Establish a process to track the amount HUD owes to PHAs to cover prepayment shortages and provide the information to OCFO so that it can be properly recognized as accounts payable.	
2016-FO-0002-001-C	11/16/2015	Fiscal Years 2015 and 2014 Financial Statements Audit	In Process	Start the billing process for the claims paid, plus incentive, in which the lender has not provided the original note and security instrument within the prescribed deadlines for the \$291 million.	11/30/2016
2016-FO-0001-005-B	11/13/2015	Audit of Fiscal Years 2015 and 2014 (Restated) Financial Statements	In Process	Conduct ongoing monitoring of change reports to ensure that unauthorized changes are not made to Ginnie Mae's data, and establish a policy regarding ongoing monitoring of change activity that requires performing periodic reviews of change reports.	3/24/2017

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2016-FO-0001-004-B	11/13/2015	Audit of Fiscal Years 2015 and 2014 (Restated) Financial Statements	In Process	Establish and implement entitywide policies and procedures for an effective model risk management. At a minimum, it should include the following elements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Controls over model development, implementation and use; •Controls over model validation; •Controls over model documentation; •Controls over evaluation for fitness, selection and validation of third-party models; and •Establish adequate structure of responsibilities for model oversight, including evaluation of model data inputs, assumptions and methodology 	6/30/2017
2016-FO-0001-006-A	11/13/2015	Audit of Fiscal Years 2015 and 2014 (Restated) Financial Statements	In Dispute	Request a legal opinion from the implementing agency, the U.S. Treasury, for a determination of whether Ginnie Mae is required to comply with DCIA.	
2016-FO-0001-005-C	11/13/2015	Audit of Fiscal Years 2015 and 2014 (Restated) Financial Statements	In Dispute	Automate the approval process to include restricting the capability to make unauthorized changes unless evidence of approval is present or increase the scope of the “Admin Adjustments Report” to include all exceptions and adjustments. Additionally, the contractor review the report for changes, verify that the changes identified in the report coincide with evidence of proper authorization, and ensure changes that are not properly supported are investigated and resolved accordingly.	
2016-FO-0001-005-A	11/13/2015	Audit of Fiscal Years 2015 and 2014 (Restated) Financial Statements	In Dispute	Segregate duties between individuals collecting, recording, depositing, and reconciling cash, and periodically review the controls over the cash process to ensure proper implementation of compatible functions in its cash operations department.	
2016-FW-1801-001-C	10/2/2015	The Housing Authority of the City of Pearsall, TX, Improperly Procured and Paid Its Interim Executive Director	In Process	We recommend that the Director, Office of Public Housing, San Antonio, TX, require the Authority to repay from non-Federal funds unreasonable contractor costs of \$119,000 to its HUD low-rent program account.	5/21/2021
2016-FW-1801-001-B	10/2/2015	The Housing Authority of the City of Pearsall, TX, Improperly Procured and Paid Its Interim Executive Director	In Process	We recommend that the Director, Office of Public Housing, San Antonio, TX, require the Authority to repay from non-Federal funds ineligible contractor costs of \$19,880 to its HUD low-rent (\$18,900) and Housing Choice Voucher (\$980) program accounts.	5/21/2021
2015-CH-1009-001-D	9/30/2015	The State of Illinois' Administrator Lacked Adequate Controls Over the State's Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Program-Funded Projects	In Process	Implement adequate controls to ensure that the Department administers the program in accordance with Federal requirements.	6/28/2021

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2015-CH-1009-001-C	9/30/2015	The State of Illinois' Administrator Lacked Adequate Controls Over the State's Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Program-Funded Projects	Under Repayment Agreement	Reimburse its program from non-Federal funds \$250,000 for the program funds that the City of Belleville inappropriately forgave the Wagner Motor Car Company from repaying.	6/28/2021
2015-CH-1009-001-A	9/30/2015	The State of Illinois' Administrator Lacked Adequate Controls Over the State's Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Program-Funded Projects	Under Repayment Agreement	Support or reimburse its program from non-Federal funds \$1,211,842 (more than \$652,000 disbursed to Chicago Neighborhood Initiatives, Inc. + \$1 million disbursed to the City of Belleville + nearly \$60,000 for the Association's two contracts - \$500,000) for the program funds used for the three projects without sufficient documentation to support that the use of the funds met Federal requirements.	6/28/2021
2015-LA-1010-001-E	9/30/2015	loanDepot's FHA-Insured Loans With Golden State Finance Authority Downpayment Assistance Gifts Did Not Always Meet HUD Requirements.	In Process	Reimburse \$13,726 to FHA borrowers for the fees that were not customary or reasonable.	5/31/2017
2015-LA-1009-001-E	9/30/2015	loanDepot's FHA-Insured Loans With Downpayment Assistance Funds Did Not Always Meet HUD Requirements	In Process	Reimburse FHA borrowers \$25,700 for fees that were not customary or reasonable and \$46,510 in discount fees that did not represent their intended purpose.	5/31/2017
2015-DE-1802-001-A	9/30/2015	Owner of HUD-insured Multifamily Property Settled Allegations of Authorizing and Paying Out Project Funds for Unallowable Expenses	Under Repayment Agreement	Ensure that HUD records the \$500,000 settlement due in its accounting records, including the \$11,000 paid at the time of settlement, to recognize funds due as a return of an ineligible cost.	9/1/2020
2015-AT-1011-001-B	9/30/2015	The Housing Authority of the City of Durham, NC, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	Reimburse its program \$15,151 from non-Federal funds for the deficiencies cited in the finding. (Footnote: \$672 + \$10,228 + \$537 + \$2,152 in housing assistance payments + \$574 + \$503 + \$140 + \$345 in associated administrative fees.)	2/28/2022
2015-AT-1011-001-A	9/30/2015	The Housing Authority of the City of Durham, NC, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	Support or reimburse its program \$34,414 from non-Federal funds for the unsupported payment of housing assistance due to missing eligibility documentation. (Footnote: \$25,590 + \$6,223 in housing assistance payments + \$2,275 + \$326 in associated administrative fees.)	2/28/2022

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2015-AT-1011-001-C	9/30/2015	The Housing Authority of the City of Durham, NC, Did Not Always Comply With HUD's and Its Own Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	Reimburse its program from non-Federal funds for the overpayment of any housing assistance for approval of rent increases on program units contrary to its requirements.	5/31/2022
2015-CH-1008-002-A	9/25/2015	The Housing Authority of the City of South Bend, IN, Did Not Always Comply with HUD Requirements and Its Own Policies Regarding the Administration of Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Reimburse HUD \$24,088 from non-Federal funds for the Family Self-Sufficiency grant funds inappropriately received.	11/1/2027
2015-CH-1008-001-I	9/25/2015	The Housing Authority of the City of South Bend, IN, Did Not Always Comply with HUD Requirements and Its Own Policies Regarding the Administration of Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	1 Support or reimburse its program \$411,382 from non-Federal funds (\$395,299 + \$2,418 in housing assistance payments + \$13,665 in associated administrative fees for the missing eligibility documentation) for the missing eligibility documentation and unsupported housing assistance payments.	2/1/2094
2015-CH-1008-001-A	9/25/2015	The Housing Authority of the City of South Bend, IN, Did Not Always Comply with HUD Requirements and Its Own Policies Regarding the Administration of Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Reimburse its program \$79,884 from non-Federal funds (\$45,093 in housing assistance overpayments + \$15,816 due to inappropriate voucher size + \$605 in overpaid utility allowances + \$18,370 in associated administrative fees) for the inappropriate payments cited in this finding.	5/1/2108
2015-LA-1802-001-D	9/24/2015	Veterans First Did Not Administer or Spend Its Supportive Housing Program Grants in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Support or repay the June 2015 drawdown of \$11,198, which Veterans First was advised to use for its Susan Street past-due rent.	3/10/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2015-LA-1802-001-C	9/24/2015	Veterans First Did Not Administer or Spend Its Supportive Housing Program Grants in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Support or repay the program for grant funds of \$340,581 that were drawn without being reviewed by HUD.	3/10/2020
2015-LA-1802-001-B	9/24/2015	Veterans First Did Not Administer or Spend Its Supportive Housing Program Grants in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Repay \$8,083 in ineligible costs to the program from non-Federal funds.	3/10/2020
2015-LA-1802-001-A	9/24/2015	Veterans First Did Not Administer or Spend Its Supportive Housing Program Grants in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Support or repay \$49,307 in unsupported costs to the program from non-Federal funds.	3/10/2020
2015-LA-1802-001-F	9/24/2015	Veterans First Did Not Administer or Spend Its Supportive Housing Program Grants in Accordance With HUD Requirements	Pending Judicial Review	Pursue civil remedies or administrative sanctions against Veterans First and responsible parties for the misuse of HUD funds.	3/11/2020
2015-NY-1010-003-E	9/17/2015	New York State Did Not Always Administer Its Rising Home Enhanced Buyout Program in Accordance With Federal and State Regulations	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs instruct State officials to provide documentation that the selection of the appraiser in Staten Island was consistent with the other State agency's contract provisions. If such documentation cannot be provided, the \$1,093,290 budgeted should be deobligated, thus ensuring that the funds will be put to better use.	2/16/2017
2015-NY-1010-002-F	9/17/2015	New York State Did Not Always Administer Its Rising Home Enhanced Buyout Program in Accordance With Federal and State Regulations	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs instruct State officials to strengthen controls to ensure that buyout awards are calculated in accordance with Federal regulations.	2/16/2017
2015-NY-1010-002-E	9/17/2015	New York State Did Not Always Administer Its Rising Home Enhanced Buyout Program in Accordance With Federal and State Regulations	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs instruct State officials to provide documentation to support that the \$85,309 disbursed for four applicants was calculated correctly. If adequate support cannot be provided, the amount should be repaid to the State's line of credit from non-Federal funds.	2/16/2017
2015-NY-1010-002-C	9/17/2015	New York State Did Not Always Administer Its Rising Home Enhanced Buyout Program in Accordance With Federal and State Regulations	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs instruct State officials to review the five properties with indications that they may be second homes and if they are, reimburse the State's line of credit from non-Federal funds for the \$1,664,658 disbursed for these purchases.	2/16/2017

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2015-NY-1011-003-A	9/17/2015	Program Control Weaknesses Lessened Assurance That New York Rising Housing Recovery Program Funds Were Always Disbursed for Eligible Costs	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs direct State officials to provide documentation showing that the \$127.2 million budgeted for inspection-related construction management and environmental review services is fair and reasonable in accordance with a cost or price analysis as required by regulations at 24 CFR 85.36.	3/8/2017
2015-NY-1011-002-G	9/17/2015	Program Control Weaknesses Lessened Assurance That New York Rising Housing Recovery Program Funds Were Always Disbursed for Eligible Costs	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs direct the State to strengthen controls to ensure that all required contracts and amounts are accurately reported on its Web site.	3/8/2017
2015-NY-1011-002-C	9/17/2015	Program Control Weaknesses Lessened Assurance That New York Rising Housing Recovery Program Funds Were Always Disbursed for Eligible Costs	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs direct the State to properly document the low- and moderate-income status of the two homeowners whose status was improperly reported.	3/8/2017
2015-NY-1011-001-H	9/17/2015	Program Control Weaknesses Lessened Assurance That New York Rising Housing Recovery Program Funds Were Always Disbursed for Eligible Costs	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs direct State officials to document the amount paid for the flawed studies used to support the \$160-per-square-foot cost figure and take action to recoup the amount paid, thus ensuring that this amount will be available for other eligible costs.	3/8/2017
2015-NY-1011-001-G	9/17/2015	Program Control Weaknesses Lessened Assurance That New York Rising Housing Recovery Program Funds Were Always Disbursed for Eligible Costs	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs direct State officials to provide adequate documentation for the reasonableness of the cost figure used for reconstruction costs, thus ensuring that the undisbursed award balance of \$31,831,316 is put to its intended use.	3/8/2017
2015-NY-1011-001-F	9/17/2015	Program Control Weaknesses Lessened Assurance That New York Rising Housing Recovery Program Funds Were Always Disbursed for Eligible Costs	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs direct State officials to provide adequate documentation for the reasonableness of the cost figure used to disburse \$55,672,982 for reconstruction costs. Any amount not adequately supported should be repaid to the State's line of credit.	3/8/2017
2015-NY-1011-001-E	9/17/2015	Program Control Weaknesses Lessened Assurance That New York Rising Housing Recovery Program Funds Were Always Disbursed for Eligible Costs	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs direct State officials to strengthen controls over the maintenance of documentation to provide greater assurance that disbursed funds are adequately supported.	3/8/2017

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2015-NY-1011-001-D	9/17/2015	Program Control Weaknesses Lessened Assurance That New York Rising Housing Recovery Program Funds Were Always Disbursed for Eligible Costs	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs direct State officials to provide adequate documentation to support \$119,124 in CDBG-DR funds that was disbursed to three recipients. If any amount cannot be adequately supported, it should be repaid to the State's line of credit.	3/8/2017
2015-NY-1011-001-C	9/17/2015	Program Control Weaknesses Lessened Assurance That New York Rising Housing Recovery Program Funds Were Always Disbursed for Eligible Costs	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs direct State officials to strengthen controls over determining the eligibility of award recipients and substantiate award calculations to ensure that costs charged to the CDBG-DR program are eligible.	3/8/2017
2015-NY-1011-001-B	9/17/2015	Program Control Weaknesses Lessened Assurance That New York Rising Housing Recovery Program Funds Were Always Disbursed for Eligible Costs	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs direct State officials to de-obligate the undisbursed amount of \$911,662 to ensure that the funds will be put to their intended use.	3/8/2017
2015-NY-1011-001-A	9/17/2015	Program Control Weaknesses Lessened Assurance That New York Rising Housing Recovery Program Funds Were Always Disbursed for Eligible Costs	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs direct State officials to reimburse the line of credit for \$2,229,234, which was disbursed to program recipients for ineligible costs.	3/8/2017
2015-FW-0802-001-C	9/16/2015	Very Small and Small Housing Agencies Reviewed Had Common Violations of Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Deputy Assistant Secretary, Office of Public Housing and Voucher Programs require that housing agencies provide HUD with a signed acknowledgement by executive directors and board chairpersons when they are hired or appointed. The acknowledgement should detail their awareness and understanding of their responsibilities, and their acceptance that failure to comply with requirements could result in administrative or other actions.	12/31/2019
2015-CH-1004-001-C	9/9/2015	The Jefferson Metropolitan Housing Authority, Steubenville, OH, Did Not Always Ensure That Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program Files Complied With HUD's and Its Own Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Cleveland Office of PIH require the Authority to support or reimburse its program \$414,781 (\$375,336 + \$7,273 in housing assistance payments + \$32,172 in associated administrative fees) from non-Federal funds for the unsupported payments of housing assistance cited in this finding.	10/1/2057

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2015-AT-1009-001-G	9/3/2015	St. Francis Hospital, Inc., Did Not Comply With the Executed Regulatory Agreement and Federal Regulations for the HUD Section 242 Program	Pending Investigation	Determine legal sufficiency and if legally sufficient, pursue remedies under the Program Fraud Civil Remedies Act against the hospital's former CFO for incorrectly certifying to the accuracy of the financial information submitted to obtain the Section 242 program mortgage increase.	11/12/2019
2015-AT-0801-001-A	8/25/2015	HUD's Approval of the City of High Point's Use of a 15 Percent Margin for Procurement Bids	In Process	Issue a notice to inform all North Carolina grantees that they must use the lowest bidder in a sealed bid process unless they are able to provide sufficient support in compliance with 24 CFR 85.36 to remove the bidder from the procurement process.	12/17/2016
2015-AT-0002-001-A	8/21/2015	HUD's Office of Multifamily Asset Management and Portfolio Oversight Did Not Comply With Its Requirements For Monitoring Management Agents' Costs	In Process	Comply with its Management Agent Handbook requirements that stipulate HUD must perform management reviews of the management agent's central office activities as well as regular onsite reviews of functions carried out at the projects. These central office reviews should be performed at least once every 18 months.	9/30/2016
2015-AT-1007-001-A	8/14/2015	Prudential Huntoon Paige Associates, LTD, Did Not Underwrite and Process a \$22 Million Loan in Accordance With HUD Requirements	Pending Judicial Review	Refer Prudential to the Mortgagee Review Board to take appropriate action for violations that caused \$15,727,529 in unnecessary or unreasonable cost to HUD's FHA insurance fund or other administrative action as appropriate.	7/10/2020
2015-AT-1007-001-B	8/14/2015	Prudential Huntoon Paige Associates, LTD, Did Not Underwrite and Process a \$22 Million Loan in Accordance With HUD Requirements	Pending Judicial Review	Determine legal sufficiency and if legally sufficient, pursue remedies under the Program Fraud Civil Remedies Act against the borrower, its principals, or both for incorrectly certifying that the property's critical repairs were completed before loan closing.	9/9/2020
2015-FW-1807-001-F	8/14/2015	The Hot Springs Housing Authority, Hot Springs, AR Did Not Comply With Federal Regulations and Other Requirements When Administering Its Public Housing Programs	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director, Office of Public Housing, Little Rock, require the Authority to support or repay its programs, as appropriate, \$23,621 from non-Federal funds for unsupported leave balance payments to the former executive director. However, if the Authority made any of the expenditures from its capital fund grants that have not been validated within 2 years, or if the Authority is unable to determine the source of funds used to pay expenditures, the Authority should repay HUD.	12/14/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2015-FW-1807-001-E	8/14/2015	The Hot Springs Housing Authority, Hot Springs, AR Did Not Comply With Federal Regulations and Other Requirements When Administering Its Public Housing Programs	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director, Office of Public Housing, Little Rock, require the Authority to repay its public housing program \$11,651 from non-Federal funds for ineligible expenditures. However, if the Authority made any of the expenditures from its capital fund grants that have not been validated within 2 years, or if the Authority is unable to determine the source of funds used to pay expenditures, the Authority should repay HUD.	12/14/2020
2015-FW-1807-001-B	8/14/2015	The Hot Springs Housing Authority, Hot Springs, AR Did Not Comply With Federal Regulations and Other Requirements When Administering Its Public Housing Programs	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director, Office of Public Housing, Little Rock, require the Authority to support or repay its public housing program \$611,338 from non-Federal funds for improperly procured contracts. However, if the Authority made any of the expenditures from its capital fund grants that have not been validated within 2 years, or if the Authority is unable to determine the source of funds used to pay expenditures, the Authority should repay HUD.	12/14/2020
2015-KC-0002-001-B	8/11/2015	The Office of CPD's Reviews of Matching Contributions Were Ineffective and Its Application of Match Reductions Was Not Always Correct	In Process	Include monitoring of HOME match during its performance reviews to ensure that match contributions exist, are eligible, and are supported.	10/31/2016
2015-KC-0002-001-C	8/11/2015	The Office of CPD's Reviews of Matching Contributions Were Ineffective and Its Application of Match Reductions Was Not Always Correct	In Process	Require the 10 jurisdictions that overstated their excess match balances to remove the overstated amounts from their reported HOME match carry-forward balances.	12/8/2016
2015-KC-0002-001-A	8/11/2015	The Office of CPD's Reviews of Matching Contributions Were Ineffective and Its Application of Match Reductions Was Not Always Correct	In Process	Issue guidance to help participating jurisdictions accurately report the amount of match contributed and consumed.	12/8/2016
2015-KC-1005-001-A	8/4/2015	Berkadia Approved a Mortgage for the Temtor Project That Was Not Economically Sound	Pending Investigation	Refer Berkadia to the Mortgagee Review Board for appropriate action for violations that caused a more than \$11 million loss to HUD's FHA insurance fund.	7/10/2020
2015-CH-0001-001-D	7/31/2015	HUD Did Not Always Provide Adequate Oversight of Its Section 203(k) Rehabilitation Loan Mortgage Insurance Program	In Process	Support that the repair conditions and comments indicated in the direct endorsement underwriter form, form HUD-54114, were satisfied for FHA case number 501-8198149. If the repair conditions and comments were not properly addressed, the lenders should indemnify the loan with an estimated loss amount of \$39,367, based on the loss severity rate of 50 percent of the unpaid principal balance of \$78,733 as of January 29, 2015.	11/23/2016

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2015-CH-0001-001-C	7/31/2015	HUD Did Not Always Provide Adequate Oversight of Its Section 203(k) Rehabilitation Loan Mortgage Insurance Program	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Single Family Housing require the lenders to support that the borrower for FHA case number 451-1165810 was not reimbursed for the cost of labor or indemnify the loan with an estimated loss amount of \$83,715, based on the loss severity rate of 50 percent of the unpaid principal balance of \$167,429 as of January 29, 2015.	11/23/2016
2015-CH-0001-001-B	7/31/2015	HUD Did Not Always Provide Adequate Oversight of Its Section 203(k) Rehabilitation Loan Mortgage Insurance Program	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Single Family Housing require the lenders to support that the repairs to the properties associated with the six loans were not structural repairs or indemnify HUD for the four active loans with a total estimated loss of \$222,073 and reimburse HUD for the actual loss of \$83,322 incurred on the sale of two properties associated with FHA case numbers 052-4308836 and 034-8239100.	11/24/2016
2015-CH-0001-001-A	7/31/2015	HUD Did Not Always Provide Adequate Oversight of Its Section 203(k) Rehabilitation Loan Mortgage Insurance Program	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Single Family Housing require the lenders to support that the repairs to the properties associated with the 32 loans without evidence of permits complied with local code or reimburse HUD \$792,837 for the escrow repair funds.	11/24/2016
2015-CH-0001-002-C	7/31/2015	HUD Did Not Always Provide Adequate Oversight of Its Section 203(k) Rehabilitation Loan Mortgage Insurance Program	In Process	Determine the number of 203(k) loans impacted by the incorrect loan-to-value ratio for mortgage insurance premium calculations and when applicable, reimburse borrowers or apply the overpaid premiums as credits toward borrowers' future premium payments.	11/17/2017
2015-CH-0001-002-A	7/31/2015	HUD Did Not Always Provide Adequate Oversight of Its Section 203(k) Rehabilitation Loan Mortgage Insurance Program	In Process	Reimburse or apply \$10,552 in credit to borrowers' future premiums for the 54 active loans with overpaid premiums and refund \$2,024 to the borrowers of the 7 terminated loans.	11/17/2017
2015-LA-1005-001-E	7/9/2015	NOVA Financial & Investment Corporation's FHA-Insured Loans With Downpayment Assistance Gifts Did Not Always Meet HUD Requirements	In Process	Reimburse FHA borrowers \$376,102 for the unallowable, misrepresented discount fees and \$7,110 for fees that were not customary or reasonable.	10/31/2016
2015-LA-0002-001-K	7/6/2015	HUD Did Not Provide Adequate Oversight of the Section 184 Indian Home Loan Guarantee Program	In Process	Determine whether any of the loan files were missing as a result of the contracts for loan file storage or data recording and if so, seek monetary or administrative recourse for any contract nonperformance.	10/31/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2015-LA-0002-001-J	7/6/2015	HUD Did Not Provide Adequate Oversight of the Section 184 Indian Home Loan Guarantee Program	In Process	Reconcile the total list of guaranteed Section 184 loans to the complete loan file storage list and identify and locate any missing loan files.	10/31/2019
2015-LA-0002-001-I	7/6/2015	HUD Did Not Provide Adequate Oversight of the Section 184 Indian Home Loan Guarantee Program	In Process	Develop and implement written policies and procedures for situations in which the borrower for a Section 184 loan is an Indian housing authority, a tribally designated housing entity, or an Indian tribe.	12/31/2021
2015-LA-0002-001-H	7/6/2015	HUD Did Not Provide Adequate Oversight of the Section 184 Indian Home Loan Guarantee Program	In Process	Ensure that only underwriters that are approved by OLG are underwriting Section 184 loans.	12/31/2021
2015-LA-0002-001-D	7/6/2015	HUD Did Not Provide Adequate Oversight of the Section 184 Indian Home Loan Guarantee Program	In Process	Develop and implement policies and procedures to ensure that OLG uses enforcement actions available under 12 U.S.C. 1715z-3a(g) for lenders that do not underwrite loans according to the Section 184 processing guidelines.	12/31/2021
2015-LA-0002-001-C	7/6/2015	HUD Did Not Provide Adequate Oversight of the Section 184 Indian Home Loan Guarantee Program	In Process	Develop and implement policies and procedures to deny payments to direct guarantee lenders for claims on loans that have material underwriting deficiencies.	12/31/2021
2015-LA-0002-001-B	7/6/2015	HUD Did Not Provide Adequate Oversight of the Section 184 Indian Home Loan Guarantee Program	In Process	Develop and implement policies and procedures for a standardized monthly delinquency report format that lenders must follow when submitting information to OLG.	12/31/2021
2015-LA-0002-001-A	7/6/2015	HUD Did Not Provide Adequate Oversight of the Section 184 Indian Home Loan Guarantee Program	In Process	Develop and implement written policies and procedures with an emphasis on increased controls toward the monitoring, tracking, underwriting, and evaluating of the Section 184 program. Implementing these controls would reduce the current high level of risk in the program and result in potentially \$76,967,618 in funds to be put to better use (see appendix A).	12/31/2021
2015-AT-1004-001-B	7/2/2015	Virgin Islands Community AIDS Resource & Education, Inc., Did Not Administer Its Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	Pending Investigation	Submit all supporting documentation showing the eligibility and propriety of \$143,320 in HOPWA expenditures or reimburse the HOPWA program line of credit from non-Federal funds.	10/31/2019
2015-AT-1004-001-A	7/2/2015	Virgin Islands Community AIDS Resource & Education, Inc., Did Not Administer Its Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	Pending Investigation	Submit all supporting documentation showing the eligibility and propriety of \$538,485 drawn from its treasury account or reimburse the HOPWA program line of credit from non-Federal funds.	10/31/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2015-AT-1004-001-C	7/2/2015	Virgin Islands Community AIDS Resource & Education, Inc., Did Not Administer Its Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	Pending Investigation	Reimburse \$12,447 to the HOPWA program line of credit from non-Federal funds for ineligible disbursements that were not related to the program.	6/3/2020
2015-AT-1003-001-A	6/30/2015	Prudential Huntoon Paige Associates, LTD, Did Not Underwrite and Process a \$19.9 Million Loan in Accordance With HUD Requirements	Pending Judicial Review	Refer Prudential to the Mortgagee Review Board to take appropriate action for violations that caused \$10,159,961 in unnecessary or unreasonable cost to HUD's FHA insurance fund or other administrative action as appropriate.	7/10/2020
2015-FW-1002-001-C	6/26/2015	The City of New Orleans, LA, Did Not Always Comply With Requirements When Administering Its 2013 Disaster Relief Grant	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New Orleans Office of CPD require the City to support the reasonableness of the cost increases for the grant management contract or repay \$328,737 to its grant from non-Federal funds.	7/22/2020
2015-FW-1002-001-B	6/26/2015	The City of New Orleans, LA, Did Not Always Comply With Requirements When Administering Its 2013 Disaster Relief Grant	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New Orleans Office of CPD require the City to support the reasonableness of the cost increases for the demolition contract or repay \$616,529 to its grant from non-Federal funds.	7/22/2020
2015-FW-1002-001-A	6/26/2015	The City of New Orleans, LA, Did Not Always Comply With Requirements When Administering Its 2013 Disaster Relief Grant	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New Orleans Office of CPD require the City to support the cost reasonableness of the drainage cleaning contract and provide adequate support for payment of the contractor's invoices or repay its grant any of the \$1,611,143 that it cannot support. Repayment should be from non-Federal funds.	7/22/2020
2015-CH-0801-001-A	6/25/2015	HUD's Office of Affordable Housing Programs Could Improve Its Oversight of Participating Jurisdictions' HOME Investment Partnerships Program-Funded Rental Housing Projects' Leases	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Office of Affordable Housing Programs implement adequate procedures and controls to ensure that leases between rental housing projects' owners and households for Program-funded units do not include language prohibited by HUD's regulations.	4/30/2018
2015-FW-0001-001-I	6/16/2015	HUD Did Not Adequately Implement or Provide Adequate Oversight To Ensure Compliance With Environmental Requirements	In Process	If an independent program office is not established, the DepSec should ensure that the Assistant Secretaries for Housing, PIH, and CPD, ensure that each program area has a dedicated program environmental clearance officer with an official job description that outlines his or her roles and responsibilities as required by 24 CFR Part 50.	10/6/2016
2015-FW-0001-001-H	6/16/2015	HUD Did Not Adequately Implement or Provide Adequate Oversight To Ensure Compliance With Environmental Requirements	In Process	If an independent program office is not established, the DepSec should ensure that the Assistant Secretaries for Housing, PIH, and CPD develop and implement reporting requirements, which ensure that written records are maintained and the appropriate headquarters personnel are notified of environmental concerns.	10/6/2016

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2015-FW-0001-001-G	6/16/2015	HUD Did Not Adequately Implement or Provide Adequate Oversight To Ensure Compliance With Environmental Requirements	In Process	If an independent program office is not established, the DepSec should ensure that the Assistant Secretaries for Housing, PIH, and CPD develop training programs that meet the needs of all program areas, including 24 CFR Parts 50 and 58.	10/6/2016
2015-FW-0001-001-F	6/16/2015	HUD Did Not Adequately Implement or Provide Adequate Oversight To Ensure Compliance With Environmental Requirements	In Process	If an independent program office is not established, the DepSec should ensure that the Assistant Secretaries for Housing, PIH, and CPD develop and implement a monitoring program that all program area field offices can use to monitor grantees and responsible entities under 24 CFR Part 58.	10/6/2016
2015-FW-0001-001-E	6/16/2015	HUD Did Not Adequately Implement or Provide Adequate Oversight To Ensure Compliance With Environmental Requirements	In Process	If an independent program office is not established, the DepSec should ensure that the Assistant Secretaries for Housing, PIH, and CPD adopt a quality control monitoring program that includes a review of all program area field offices as required by Executive Order 11514.	10/6/2016
2015-FW-0001-001-D	6/16/2015	HUD Did Not Adequately Implement or Provide Adequate Oversight To Ensure Compliance With Environmental Requirements	In Process	If an independent program office is not established, the DepSec should ensure that the Assistant Secretaries for Housing, PIH, and CPD establish an agreement that clearly outlines each program office's responsibilities for oversight of environmental requirements and resource supplements.	10/6/2016
2015-FW-0001-001-C	6/16/2015	HUD Did Not Adequately Implement or Provide Adequate Oversight To Ensure Compliance With Environmental Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the DepSec of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development clarify the delegation of authority issued in the Federal Register related to environmental responsibility and the implementation of requirements.	10/6/2016
2015-FW-0001-001-A	6/16/2015	HUD Did Not Adequately Implement or Provide Adequate Oversight To Ensure Compliance With Environmental Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the DepSec of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development ensure that HUD follows and complies with 24 CFR Part 50, Protection and Enhancement of Environmental Quality, and provides adequate oversight to ensure compliance with 24 CFR Part 58, Environmental Review Procedures for Entities Assuming HUD Environmental Responsibilities.	10/14/2016
2015-FW-1806-001-C	6/11/2015	The Housing Authority of Bexar County, TX, Did Not Operate Its HUD Public Housing Programs in Accordance With Regulations and Other Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of the San Antonio Office of Public Housing require the Authority to support purchases totaling \$195,080 or repay its Housing Choice Voucher program fund \$123,791 and public housing program fund \$71,289 from non-Federal funds.	2/20/2020
2015-FW-1806-001-B	6/11/2015	The Housing Authority of Bexar County, TX, Did Not Operate Its HUD Public Housing Programs in Accordance With Regulations and Other Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of the San Antonio Office of Public Housing require the Authority to support payroll costs totaling \$372,832 or repay its Housing Choice Voucher program fund \$321,684 and public housing program fund \$51,148 from non-Federal funds.	3/20/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2015-PH-1003-001-D	6/4/2015	The State of New Jersey Did Not Comply With Federal Procurement and Cost Principle Requirements in Implementing Its Disaster Management System	In Process	Determine whether the documentation the State provided is adequate to support the \$467,659 disbursed for wages and salaries charged to the program by contractors' employees and if not, direct the State to repay HUD from non-Federal funds any amount that it cannot support.	10/2/2016
2015-LA-1004-001-A	5/29/2015	The Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino, CA, Used Shelter Plus Care Program Funds for Ineligible and Unsupported Participants	In Process	Repay HUD \$3,119,448 from non-Federal funds for program funds spent on ineligible participants.	9/9/2016
2015-LA-1004-001-B	5/29/2015	The Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino, CA, Used Shelter Plus Care Program Funds for Ineligible and Unsupported Participants	In Process	Provide supporting documentation for \$136,346 in program funds used for participants for whom eligibility could not be determined or repay HUD from non-Federal funds (see appendix D).	2/26/2018
2015-NY-1005-002-K	4/30/2015	The City of Paterson, NJ's HOME Investment Partnerships Program Controls Did Not Ensure Compliance With Regulations	In Process	We recommend that the HUD Director of CPD instruct City officials to revise deed restrictions to correct effective affordability periods for the four properties that had not been completed or repay more than \$850,008 from non-Federal funds to the City's HOME program line of credit.	7/30/2023
2015-NY-1005-002-H	4/30/2015	The City of Paterson, NJ's HOME Investment Partnerships Program Controls Did Not Ensure Compliance With Regulations	In Process	We recommend that the HUD Director of CPD instruct City officials to reimburse the City's HOME program line of credit \$95,781 from non-Federal funds for funds spent on the development of two housing properties that were later terminated from the program.	7/30/2023
2015-NY-1005-002-F	4/30/2015	The City of Paterson, NJ's HOME Investment Partnerships Program Controls Did Not Ensure Compliance With Regulations	In Process	We recommend that the HUD Director of CPD instruct City officials to provide documentation to support the income eligibility of the two homeowners who received home-ownership assistance and if documentation cannot be provided, reimburse the City's HOME program line of credit \$55,941 from non-Federal funds.	7/30/2023
2015-NY-1005-002-E	4/30/2015	The City of Paterson, NJ's HOME Investment Partnerships Program Controls Did Not Ensure Compliance With Regulations	In Process	We recommend that the HUD Director of CPD instruct City officials to provide documentation to support the income eligibility of the five home buyers assisted with HOME funds and if documentation cannot be provided, reimburse the City's HOME program line of credit \$379,494 from non-Federal funds.	7/30/2023
2015-NY-1005-002-D	4/30/2015	The City of Paterson, NJ's HOME Investment Partnerships Program Controls Did Not Ensure Compliance With Regulations	In Process	We recommend that the HUD Director of CPD instruct City officials to reimburse City's HOME program line of credit \$163,516 from non-Federal funds for the two ineligible homeowners who owned other real properties.	7/30/2023

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2015-NY-1005-002-C	4/30/2015	The City of Paterson, NJ's HOME Investment Partnerships Program Controls Did Not Ensure Compliance With Regulations	In Process	We recommend that the HUD Director of CPD instruct City officials to reimburse the City's HOME program line of credit \$344,776 from non-Federal funds for HOME assistance spent on housing units acquired by five ineligible home buyers.	7/30/2023
2015-NY-1005-001-G	4/30/2015	The City of Paterson, NJ's HOME Investment Partnerships Program Controls Did Not Ensure Compliance With Regulations	In Process	We recommend that the HUD Director of CPD instruct City officials to provide documentation to support that \$125,810 in costs charged to the three unsupported HOME activities was for eligible costs, and if such documentation cannot be provided, reimburse the City's HOME program line of credit from non-Federal funds.	7/30/2023
2015-NY-1005-001-E	4/30/2015	The City of Paterson, NJ's HOME Investment Partnerships Program Controls Did Not Ensure Compliance With Regulations	In Process	We recommend that the HUD Director of CPD instruct City officials to reimburse the City's HOME line of credit for \$113,849 that was drawn down in excess of need so that these funds can be put to better use.	7/30/2023
2015-NY-1005-001-C	4/30/2015	The City of Paterson, NJ's HOME Investment Partnerships Program Controls Did Not Ensure Compliance With Regulations	In Process	We recommend that the HUD Director of CPD instruct City officials to reimburse the City's HOME program line of credit \$344,341 from non-Federal funds for assistance provided in excess of HOME subsidy limits.	7/30/2023
2015-LA-1003-001-F	4/24/2015	Sutton Irvine Residence, Inc., Irvine, CA, Did Not Operate Its Section 202-Funded Project in Accordance With HUD Rules and Requirements	In Process	Require its sponsor to immediately repay the ineligible loans totaling \$25,300 from nonproject funds.	12/31/2019
2015-LA-1003-001-E	4/24/2015	Sutton Irvine Residence, Inc., Irvine, CA, Did Not Operate Its Section 202-Funded Project in Accordance With HUD Rules and Requirements	In Process	Provide supporting documentation for the \$13,418 in unsupported general costs or repay its project from nonproject funds for any costs that remain unsupported.	12/31/2019
2015-LA-1003-001-C	4/24/2015	Sutton Irvine Residence, Inc., Irvine, CA, Did Not Operate Its Section 202-Funded Project in Accordance With HUD Rules and Requirements	In Process	Provide supporting documentation for the \$77,200 in unsupported administrative and management costs or repay its project from non-project funds for any costs that remain unsupported.	12/31/2019
2015-LA-0001-001-C	4/20/2015	HUD's Claim Payment System Did Not Always Identify Ineligible FHA-HAMP Partial Claims	In Process	Develop and implement controls to detect and prevent payment of duplicate claims.	8/29/2019
2015-LA-1002-003-A	4/16/2015	Veterans First, Santa Ana, CA, Did Not Administer and Spend Its HUD Funding in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Repay the applicable clients the overcharged program fees, which combined totaled \$15,435 (see appendix E).	3/10/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2015-LA-1002-001-C	4/16/2015	Veterans First, Santa Ana, CA, Did Not Administer and Spend Its HUD Funding in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Repay \$3,245 in ineligible costs to the program from non-Federal funds.	3/10/2020
2015-LA-1002-001-B	4/16/2015	Veterans First, Santa Ana, CA, Did Not Administer and Spend Its HUD Funding in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Support or repay the payroll allocation, estimated at \$457,357, to its SHP grants for 2013.	3/10/2020
2015-LA-1002-001-A	4/16/2015	Veterans First, Santa Ana, CA, Did Not Administer and Spend Its HUD Funding in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	Support or repay \$73,451 in unsupported costs to the program from non-Federal funds.	3/10/2020
2015-FW-1805-001-E	4/10/2015	The Housing Authority of the City of Lockney, Lockney, TX, Did Not Operate Its Public Housing Programs in Accordance With Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director, Office of Public Housing, Fort Worth, TX, require the Authority to repay its low-rent public housing program \$9,072 for ineligible USDA program administrative expenses.	4/22/2020
2015-FW-1805-001-D	4/10/2015	The Housing Authority of the City of Lockney, Lockney, TX, Did Not Operate Its Public Housing Programs in Accordance With Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director, Office of Public Housing, Fort Worth, TX, require the Authority to repay its low-rent public housing program \$11,256 from non-Federal funds for ineligible contract labor payments.	4/22/2020
2015-FW-1805-001-C	4/10/2015	The Housing Authority of the City of Lockney, Lockney, TX, Did Not Operate Its Public Housing Programs in Accordance With Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director, Office of Public Housing, Fort Worth, TX, require the Authority to support or repay \$11,875 in unsupported salary costs.	4/22/2020
2015-AT-0001-001-C	3/31/2015	HUD's Office of CPD Did Not Always Pursue Remedial Actions but Generally Implemented Sufficient Controls for Administering Its Neighborhood Stabilization Program	In Process	Work with 134 grantees (29 NSP1 and 105 NSP3) that reported missing expenditure deadlines in DRGR to ensure that expenditure information submitted is accurate and up to date.	4/30/2016
2015-AT-0001-001-B	3/31/2015	HUD's Office of CPD Did Not Always Pursue Remedial Actions but Generally Implemented Sufficient Controls for Administering Its Neighborhood Stabilization Program	In Process	Provide support showing that it took proper remedial action regarding five NSP3 grantees that missed the expenditure deadline, thereby putting \$3,379,269 to better use.	4/30/2016

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2015-FO-0003-003-A	2/27/2015	Audit of the Ginnie Mae's Financial Statements for Fiscal Years 2014 and 2013	In Process	Establish and implement policies and procedures for the documentation and validation of Ginnie Mae management assumptions, including foreclosure costs and redefault rates, used in the loss reserve model going forward.	10/15/2015
2015-FO-0003-001-A	2/27/2015	Audit of the Ginnie Mae's Financial Statements for Fiscal Years 2014 and 2013	In Process	Establish and implement policies and procedures to demonstrate how Ginnie Mae provides appropriate accounting and financial reporting oversight of the master-subservicers to ensure that the master-subservicers are capable of producing accurate and reliable accounting records and reports.	9/30/2016
2015-FO-0003-002-C	2/27/2015	Audit of the Ginnie Mae's Financial Statements for Fiscal Years 2014 and 2013	In Process	Restate fiscal year 2013 financial statements to correct the impact of the accounting errors determined in recommendation 2B.	6/30/2019
2015-FO-0003-004-E	2/27/2015	Audit of the Ginnie Mae's Financial Statements for Fiscal Years 2014 and 2013	In Dispute	Preparing and implementing a plan, based on the results of the risk assessment in recommendation 4D, that i) Demonstrates HUD OCFO oversight of Ginnie Mae's, as a HUD component, financial management activities; ii) Ensures that Ginnie Mae updates its financial management policies to reflect conclusions reached in the financial management risk assessment; iii) Provides complete, reliable, consistent and timely information for defaulted issuers' pooled and non-pooled loans, prepared on a uniform basis for preparation of Ginnie Mae financial statements, management reporting, and cost reporting; and iv) Ensures all of Ginnie Mae's financial management systems, both owned and outsourced, provide the financial information necessary to prepare and support financial statements that comply with generally accepted accounting principles.	
2015-FO-0003-004-D	2/27/2015	Audit of the Ginnie Mae's Financial Statements for Fiscal Years 2014 and 2013	In Dispute	Overseeing a comprehensive risk assessment of Ginnie Mae's financial management governance.	
2015-FO-0003-004-B	2/27/2015	Audit of the Ginnie Mae's Financial Statements for Fiscal Years 2014 and 2013	In Dispute	Work with HUD's CFO to design and implement a compliant financial management governance structure.	
2015-FO-0003-002-F	2/27/2015	Audit of the Ginnie Mae's Financial Statements for Fiscal Years 2014 and 2013	In Dispute	Restate fiscal year 2013 financial statements to show escrow fund balances omitted on the face of the financial statements.	
2015-FO-0003-002-E	2/27/2015	Audit of the Ginnie Mae's Financial Statements for Fiscal Years 2014 and 2013	In Dispute	Report the escrow fund balances on the face of the financial statements, including additional disclosure information in the notes, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.	

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2015-LA-1001-001-B	1/30/2015	New Image Emergency Shelter, Long Beach, CA, Did Not Adequately Support HOPWA Salary and Operating Expenses	In Process	Provide adequate supporting documentation for the \$183,642 in unsupported operating expenses and lease costs or repay the HOPWA program from non-Federal funds.	5/13/2016
2015-LA-1001-001-A	1/30/2015	New Image Emergency Shelter, Long Beach, CA, Did Not Adequately Support HOPWA Salary and Operating Expenses	In Process	Provide adequate supporting documentation for the \$82,563 in unsupported salary costs or repay the HOPWA program from non-Federal funds.	5/13/2016
2015-PH-1001-001-C	1/30/2015	The County of Beaver, Beaver Falls, PA, Did Not Always Administer Its HOME Program in Accordance With Applicable HUD and Federal Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	Provide documentation to support its use of \$519,284 in program funds for activities 1760 and 1816 or reimburse its program from non-Federal funds for any amount that it cannot support.	10/30/2019
2015-PH-1001-001-F	1/30/2015	The County of Beaver, Beaver Falls, PA, Did Not Always Administer Its HOME Program in Accordance With Applicable HUD and Federal Requirements	Pending Judicial Review	Evaluate the apparent conflict-of-interest situation identified in this report, determine whether a conflict of interest existed, and pursue administrative sanctions if warranted.	11/30/2019
2015-BO-1001-001-D	12/16/2014	Glenbrook Manor Could Not Always Show That Project Costs Were Eligible and Supported in Accordance With HUD Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	Provide documentation to support that the expenditure of \$57,110 in 2011 was for eligible project salaries and if such support cannot be provided, repay the amount to the project from non-Federal funds.	10/5/2019
2015-BO-1001-001-B	12/16/2014	Glenbrook Manor Could Not Always Show That Project Costs Were Eligible and Supported in Accordance With HUD Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	Provide documentation to support that the \$200,000 transferred to the agent's revolving fund account was expended for eligible project costs and if such support cannot be provided, repay the project this amount from non-Federal funds.	10/5/2019
2015-FO-0002-007-I	12/8/2014	Interim Report on HUD's Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting	In Process	Develop a subsidiary system to accumulate the capitalized cost and related depreciation expense for each software project under development or placed into production.	12/31/2019
2015-FO-0002-007-D	12/8/2014	Interim Report on HUD's Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting	In Process	Periodically reconcile balances with OCIO subsidiary records and research and resolve any identified differences.	12/31/2019
2015-FO-0002-006-J	12/8/2014	Interim Report on HUD's Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting	In Process	Deobligate all obligations marked for deobligation during the departmentwide open obligations review, including as much as \$3,561,042 in 64 administrative obligations marked for deobligation as of September 29, 2014. Additionally, review the 171 obligations with remaining balances totaling \$19,730,791 and close out and deobligate amounts tied to obligations that are no longer valid or needed.	3/6/2016

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2015-FO-0002-006-B	12/8/2014	Interim Report on HUD's Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting	In Process	Deobligate \$174,168 in 5 administrative obligations and \$9,920,926 in 308 program obligations marked for deobligation during the departmentwide open obligations review. Additionally, review the 72 obligations with remaining balances totaling \$313,419 and close out and deobligate amounts tied to obligations that are no longer valid or needed.	10/1/2015
2015-FO-0002-006-U	12/8/2014	Interim Report on HUD's Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting	In Process	Deobligate \$5,210 in two administrative obligations and \$109,500 in one program obligation marked for deobligation during the departmentwide open obligations review. Additionally, review the 17 obligations with remaining balances totaling \$26,711 and close out and deobligate amounts tied to obligations that are no longer valid or needed.	12/16/2015
2015-AT-1001-002-I	12/5/2014	The Office of the Commissioner for Municipal Affairs Needs To Make Improvements in Administering Its Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program	Pending Judicial Review	Increase monitoring of Municipal Affairs' performance in the administration of its Section 108 loan program. Consider imposing sanctions if Municipal Affairs does not demonstrate program progress.	10/19/2020
2015-AT-1001-002-H	12/5/2014	The Office of the Commissioner for Municipal Affairs Needs To Make Improvements in Administering Its Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program	Pending Judicial Review	Conduct monitoring reviews of all Section 108 projects and ensure that borrowers comply with all loan agreement provisions and HUD regulations.	10/19/2020
2015-AT-1001-002-G	12/5/2014	The Office of the Commissioner for Municipal Affairs Needs To Make Improvements in Administering Its Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program	Pending Judicial Review	Ensure that all Section 108 loan proceeds deposited at commercial banks are properly collateralized with Government obligations.	10/19/2020
2015-AT-1001-002-F	12/5/2014	The Office of the Commissioner for Municipal Affairs Needs To Make Improvements in Administering Its Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program	Pending Judicial Review	Ensure that borrowers develop and implement a financial management system in accordance with HUD requirements to ensure that program funds can be traced to a level that ensures that such funds have not been used in violation of the restrictions and prohibitions of applicable statutes.	10/19/2020
2015-AT-1001-002-E	12/5/2014	The Office of the Commissioner for Municipal Affairs Needs To Make Improvements in Administering Its Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program	Pending Judicial Review	Ensure that the borrowers provide HUD the additional security requirements according to the loan agreement.	10/19/2020
2015-AT-1001-002-D	12/5/2014	The Office of the Commissioner for Municipal Affairs Needs To Make Improvements in Administering Its Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program	Pending Judicial Review	Ensure that the borrowers either transfer the unexpended Section 108 loan proceeds to the repayment account or submit a request for extension to HUD.	10/19/2020
2015-AT-1001-002-C	12/5/2014	The Office of the Commissioner for Municipal Affairs Needs To Make Improvements in Administering Its Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program	Pending Judicial Review	Obtain and submit supporting documentation showing the eligibility and propriety of \$367,840 in disbursements or reimburse the loan guarantee account from non-Federal funds.	10/19/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2015-AT-1001-002-B	12/5/2014	The Office of the Commissioner for Municipal Affairs Needs To Make Improvements in Administering Its Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program	Pending Judicial Review	Ensure that borrowers did not use Section 108 funds to finance local government operations and verify the return of any ineligible disbursement.	10/19/2020
2015-AT-1001-001-D	12/5/2014	The Office of the Commissioner for Municipal Affairs Needs To Make Improvements in Administering Its Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program	Pending Judicial Review	Conduct monitoring of the Section 108 activities with signs of slow progress to ensure that program objectives are met and provide the intended benefits.	10/19/2020
2015-AT-1001-001-C	12/5/2014	The Office of the Commissioner for Municipal Affairs Needs To Make Improvements in Administering Its Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program	Pending Judicial Review	Submit a plan for how it will proceed with respect to the Municipality of Camuy hotel project, including a schedule that HUD can track to ensure its completion. HUD must reevaluate the feasibility of the activity and determine the eligibility of the \$5,474,376 already invested. (Footnote 7: Total investments of \$5,830,878 were adjusted to account for \$436 questioned in recommendation 2A and \$356,066 in recommendation 2C.) If HUD determines that the activity has been canceled or is not feasible, Municipal Affairs must mitigate activity losses by committing any unused loan proceeds for future loan repayments.	10/19/2020
2015-AT-1001-001-B	12/5/2014	The Office of the Commissioner for Municipal Affairs Needs To Make Improvements in Administering Its Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program	Pending Judicial Review	Submit a plan for how it will proceed with respect to the Municipality of Dorado hotel project, including a schedule that HUD can track to ensure its completion. HUD must reevaluate the feasibility of the activity and determine the eligibility of the \$7,369,000 already invested. If HUD determines that the activity has been canceled or is not feasible, Municipal Affairs must mitigate activity losses by committing any unused loan proceeds for future loan repayments.	10/19/2020
2015-AT-1001-001-A	12/5/2014	The Office of the Commissioner for Municipal Affairs Needs To Make Improvements in Administering Its Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program	Pending Judicial Review	Submit a plan for how it will proceed with respect to the Municipality of San Lorenzo activity center project, including a schedule that HUD can track to ensure its completion. HUD must reevaluate the feasibility of the activity and determine the eligibility of the \$7,010,276 already invested. (Footnote 6: Total investments of \$7,999,275 were adjusted to account for \$988,154 questioned in recommendation 2A and \$845 in recommendation 2C.) If HUD determines that the activity has been canceled or is not feasible, Municipal Affairs must mitigate activity losses by committing any unused loan proceeds for future loan repayments.	10/19/2020
2015-AT-1001-002-A	12/5/2014	The Office of the Commissioner for Municipal Affairs Needs To Make Improvements in Administering Its Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program	Pending Judicial Review	Recover from the borrowers and reimburse \$1,080,242 to the applicable loan guarantee account from non-Federal funds for ineligible disbursements that were not related to the approved projects and used to finance local government operations.	10/20/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2015-NY-1002-002-C	12/1/2014	The Freeport Housing Authority, Freeport, NY, Did Not Administer Its Low-Rent Housing and Homeownership Programs in Accordance With HUD's Regulations	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New York Office of PIH require Authority officials to provide supporting documents for the proper use of \$1,250,417 in sale proceeds from the scattered-site properties. Any amounts not supported or found to be improperly used should be repaid to the homeownership program from non-Federal funds.	2/1/2036
2015-NY-1001-001-A	11/24/2014	The City of New York, NY, Did Not Always Disburse Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Assistance Funds to Its Subrecipient in Accordance With Federal Regulations	In Process	We recommend that HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs instruct City officials to provide documentation to justify the \$183 million in unsupported salary and fringe benefits and associated expenses charged to the CDBG-DR program. If documentation provided does not support the costs, this amount should be repaid from non-Federal funds.	3/18/2016
2015-FW-1802-001-D	10/31/2014	The Rotan Housing Authority, Rotan, TX, Did Not Administer Its Public Housing and Recovery Act Programs in Accordance With Regulations and Other Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director, Office of Public Housing, Fort Worth, TX, require the Authority to either support or repay to HUD for its transmission to the U.S. Treasury \$43,300 in unsupported asbestos abatement expenses. Repayment must be from non-Federal funds.	1/31/2027
2015-FW-1802-001-C	10/31/2014	The Rotan Housing Authority, Rotan, TX, Did Not Administer Its Public Housing and Recovery Act Programs in Accordance With Regulations and Other Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director, Office of Public Housing, Fort Worth, TX, Require the Authority to support or repay \$46,097 in unsupported expenditures. The funds should be repaid to the Authority's public housing program. However, if the Authority made any of the expenditures from its 2010 or earlier capital fund grants, or if the Authority is unable to determine the source of funds used to pay expenditures, the Authority should repay HUD. Any repayments must be from non-Federal funds.	1/31/2027
2015-FW-1802-001-B	10/31/2014	The Rotan Housing Authority, Rotan, TX, Did Not Administer Its Public Housing and Recovery Act Programs in Accordance With Regulations and Other Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director, Office of Public Housing, Fort Worth, TX, require the Authority to resolve its unpaid payroll taxes and retirement liabilities and ensure that the Authority only uses non-federal or non-HUD funds to pay the unpaid interest or penalties.	1/31/2027
2015-FW-1801-001-M	10/2/2014	The Management of the Housing Authority of the City of Taylor, Taylor, TX, Did Not Exercise Adequate Oversight of Its Programs	Pending Judicial Review	Require the Authority to repay \$23,546 to its Section 8 Homeownership Voucher program from non-Federal funds for the \$17,124 in ineligible owner housing assistance payments to the former Section 8 program manager and the \$6,422 in ineligible owner housing assistance payments to the son of director 1.	6/24/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2015-FW-1801-001-J	10/2/2014	The Management of the Housing Authority of the City of Taylor, Taylor, TX, Did Not Exercise Adequate Oversight of Its Programs	Pending Judicial Review	Require the Authority to determine whether the Authority improperly used Federal funds totaling \$50,000 which were used to pay unnecessary severance contract costs. If Federal funds were improperly used, the Authority should repay \$50,000 to its Federal program accounts from non-Federal funds. If the Authority is unable to accurately determine the amount due to and due from each program or support that the funds charged to the Federal program were appropriate, the full \$50,000 should be repaid to HUD.	6/24/2020
2015-FW-1801-001-I	10/2/2014	The Management of the Housing Authority of the City of Taylor, Taylor, TX, Did Not Exercise Adequate Oversight of Its Programs	Pending Judicial Review	Require the Authority to determine whether the Authority improperly used Federal funds totaling \$657 which were used to purchase ineligible meals and other personal items. If Federal funds were improperly used, the Authority should repay \$657 to its Federal program accounts from non-Federal funds. If the Authority is unable to accurately determine the amount due to and due from each program or support that the funds charged to the Federal program were appropriate, the full \$657 should be repaid to HUD.	6/24/2020
2015-FW-1801-001-H	10/2/2014	The Management of the Housing Authority of the City of Taylor, Taylor, TX, Did Not Exercise Adequate Oversight of Its Programs	Pending Judicial Review	Require the Authority to determine whether the Authority improperly used Federal funds totaling \$11,833 which were used to cancel an existing phone system and purchase a new one. If Federal funds were improperly used, the Authority should repay \$11,833 to its Federal program accounts from non-Federal funds. If the Authority is unable to accurately determine the amount due to and due from each program or support that the funds charged to the Federal program were appropriate, the full \$11,833 should be repaid to HUD.	6/24/2020
2015-FW-1801-001-F	10/2/2014	The Management of the Housing Authority of the City of Taylor, Taylor, TX, Did Not Exercise Adequate Oversight of Its Programs	Pending Judicial Review	Require the Authority to determine whether the Authority improperly used Federal funds totaling \$40,600 to make lease payments on the parking lot it already owned. If Federal funds were improperly used, the Authority should repay \$40,600 from non-Federal funds to its Federal program accounts. If the Authority is unable to accurately determine the amount due to and due from each program or support that the funds charged to the Federal program were appropriate, the full \$40,600 should be repaid to HUD.	6/24/2020
2015-FW-1801-001-D	10/2/2014	The Management of the Housing Authority of the City of Taylor, Taylor, TX, Did Not Exercise Adequate Oversight of Its Programs	Pending Judicial Review	Require the Authority to determine how much of the \$243,442 in salaries for individuals assigned to work at multiple properties was improperly paid with Federal funds and repay the amounts to the appropriate programs from non-Federal funds. If the Authority is unable to accurately determine the amount due to and due from each program or support that the funds charged to the Federal programs were appropriate, the full \$243,422 should be repaid to HUD.	6/24/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2015-FW-1801-001-C	10/2/2014	The Management of the Housing Authority of the City of Taylor, Taylor, TX, Did Not Exercise Adequate Oversight of Its Programs	Pending Judicial Review	Require the Authority to take action to reclaim its properties valued at \$2,032,266 to improve its financial position, decrease its reliance on HUD program funding, and address its comingling issues.	6/24/2020
2014-AT-1015-001-A	9/30/2014	Prudential Huntoon Paige Associates, LTD Did Not Underwrite and Process a \$49 Million Loan in Accordance With HUD Requirements	Pending Judicial Review	Refer Prudential Huntoon Paige Associates, LTD to the Mortgagee Review Board for appropriate action for violations that caused a more than \$20 million loss to HUD's FHA insurance fund.	7/10/2020
2014-AT-1015-001-B	9/30/2014	Prudential Huntoon Paige Associates, LTD Did Not Underwrite and Process a \$49 Million Loan in Accordance With HUD Requirements	Pending Judicial Review	Take appropriate enforcement actions against the responsible parties and pursue civil remedies under the False Claims Act, if legally sufficient, against responsible parties for incorrectly certifying to the integrity of the data or that due diligence was exercised by the underwriting of the loan that resulted in a loss to HUD totaling \$20,157,329.	6/29/2020
2014-AT-1015-001-C	9/30/2014	Prudential Huntoon Paige Associates, LTD Did Not Underwrite and Process a \$49 Million Loan in Accordance With HUD Requirements	Pending Judicial Review	Pursue administrative actions, as appropriate, against the responsible party for the material underwriting deficiencies cited in this report.	9/7/2020
2014-LA-1007-001-C	9/29/2014	The City of Los Angeles, CA, Did Not Always Ensure That Community Development Block Grant-Funded Projects Met National Program Objectives	In Process	Review the rest of its active CDBG-funded projects in its portfolio that were managed by its former redevelopment agency to ensure that all projects meet a national objective. For those that did not meet program national objectives, provide and implement a plan of action to meet the specific national objective within one year of this report or reimburse HUD from non-Federal funds.	1/27/2016
2014-LA-1007-001-B	9/29/2014	The City of Los Angeles, CA, Did Not Always Ensure That Community Development Block Grant-Funded Projects Met National Program Objectives	In Process	Review the rest of its active CDBG-funded projects in its portfolio managed by its former redevelopment agency to ensure that all required executed agreements are in place with the relevant parties, guaranteeing the City's vested interest within one year of this report or take appropriate action against the City for those that did not have the applicable required agreements.	3/31/2017
2014-FW-0005-001-L	9/24/2014	Improvements Are Needed Over Environmental Reviews of Public Housing and Recovery Act Funds in the Detroit Office	In Process	The housing commissions to work with their respective responsible entities and local HUD environmental officer to show that no harm occurred from completion of all of the projects or mitigate any harm that occurred.	5/31/2019
2014-FW-0005-001-K	9/24/2014	Improvements Are Needed Over Environmental Reviews of Public Housing and Recovery Act Funds in the Detroit Office	In Process	The Pontiac Housing Commission to reimburse \$82,470 to the Commissions' 2012 Capital Fund grant for operation expenditures that occurred before the environmental review was completed by the responsible entity. Repayment must be from non-Federal funds.	5/31/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2014-FW-0005-001-J	9/24/2014	Improvements Are Needed Over Environmental Reviews of Public Housing and Recovery Act Funds in the Detroit Office	In Process	The Pontiac Housing Commission to repay \$457,861 in 2011 capital funds to HUD for its statutory violation of the requirement that the responsible entity, not the Commission, sign as certifying officer on the request for release of funds and certification. Repayment must be from non-Federal funds.	5/31/2019
2014-FW-0005-001-H	9/24/2014	Improvements Are Needed Over Environmental Reviews of Public Housing and Recovery Act Funds in the Detroit Office	In Process	The Pontiac Housing Commission to repay \$273,774 in Recovery Act grant funds to HUD for its transmission to the U.S. Treasury for contract obligations that occurred before the environmental review was completed by the responsible entity. Repayment must be from non-Federal funds.	5/31/2019
2014-FW-0005-001-G	9/24/2014	Improvements Are Needed Over Environmental Reviews of Public Housing and Recovery Act Funds in the Detroit Office	In Process	The Detroit Housing Commission and the City of Detroit to provide support that they complied with 24 CFR Part 58 requirements for the Commission's 2012 Capital Fund grant or require the Commission to reimburse \$7,275,028 to its 2012 Capital Fund grant from non-Federal funds.	5/31/2019
2014-FW-0005-001-F	9/24/2014	Improvements Are Needed Over Environmental Reviews of Public Housing and Recovery Act Funds in the Detroit Office	In Process	The Detroit Housing Commission and the City of Detroit to provide support that they complied with 24 CFR Part 58 requirements for the Commission's 2011 Capital Fund grant or require the Commission to repay \$7,756,710 to HUD from non-Federal funds.	5/31/2019
2014-FW-0005-001-E	9/24/2014	Improvements Are Needed Over Environmental Reviews of Public Housing and Recovery Act Funds in the Detroit Office	In Process	The Detroit Housing Commission and the City of Detroit to provide support that they complied with 24 CFR Part 58 requirements for the Commission's Recovery Act grant or require the Commission to repay \$17,275,908 to HUD for its transmission to the U.S. Treasury. Repayment must be from non-Federal funds.	5/31/2019
2014-FW-0005-001-A	9/24/2014	Improvements Are Needed Over Environmental Reviews of Public Housing and Recovery Act Funds in the Detroit Office	In Process	The Dearborn Housing Commission to repay \$63,255 in Recovery Act grant funds to HUD for its transmission to the U.S. Treasury for architect and engineering fees and contract obligations that occurred before the environmental review was completed by the responsible entity. Repayment must be from non-Federal funds.	5/31/2019
2014-AT-1010-001-G	9/11/2014	Miami-Dade County Did Not Always Properly Administer Its HOME Program	In Process	Recalculate the commitment requirement as a result of the County's improperly committing \$1,478,250 The County improperly committed \$1,478, 250, composed of activity 5109 and project 61 with commitments of \$500,000 and \$978,250, respectively. in HOME funds for project 61 and activity 5109 and determine the cumulative effect on the County's commitment requirement.	12/10/2015
2014-AT-1010-001-B	9/11/2014	Miami-Dade County Did Not Always Properly Administer Its HOME Program	In Process	Provide supporting documentation for activity 5134 or reimburse its program \$45,600 from non-Federal funds and put to better use \$204,400 in HOME funds.	12/10/2015

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2014-NY-0003-001-A	9/4/2014	Asset Repositioning Fees for Public Housing Authorities With Units Approved for Demolition or Disposition Were Not Always Accurately Calculated	In Process	We recommend that the Director of the Public Housing Financial Management Division recapture the \$6,206,924 in operating subsidies that was erroneously awarded to seven PHAs (see appendix C).	12/31/2020
2014-PH-1008-001-C	8/29/2014	The State of New Jersey Did Not Fully Comply With Federal Procurement and Cost Principle Requirements in Implementing Its Tourism Marketing Program	In Process	Determine whether the documentation the State provided is adequate to support \$3,487,461 disbursed for wages and salaries charged to the program by the contractors' employees and if not, direct the State to repay HUD from non-Federal funds for any amount that it cannot support.	9/2/2016
2014-LA-0006-001-C	8/19/2014	HUD's ONAP Lacked Adequate Controls Over the ICDBG Closeout Process	In Process	Evaluate management's need for PTD reports and correct deficiencies within applicable PTD reports, including missing, duplicate, or inaccurate records to ensure adequate information is available for management's oversight of the grant closeout process.	11/29/2019
2014-LA-0006-001-B	8/19/2014	HUD's ONAP Lacked Adequate Controls Over the ICDBG Closeout Process	In Process	Review PTD closeout and target closeout dates for open grants and correct inaccurate or missing data.	11/29/2019
2014-FW-1806-001-F	8/19/2014	The South Landry Housing Authority, Grand Coteau, LA, Did Not Always Comply With Federal Procurement and Financial Requirements, Including a Procurement Using Recovery Act Funds	Under Repayment Agreement	Support or repay from non-Federal funds \$2,070 to its Capital or Operating Fund program as appropriate for the missing appliances.	12/31/2020
2014-FW-1806-001-E	8/19/2014	The South Landry Housing Authority, Grand Coteau, LA, Did Not Always Comply With Federal Procurement and Financial Requirements, Including a Procurement Using Recovery Act Funds	Under Repayment Agreement	Support or repay from non-Federal funds \$144,263 to its Operating Fund program for credit card purchases that did not have receipts or other support.	12/31/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2014-FW-1806-001-D	8/19/2014	The South Landry Housing Authority, Grand Coteau, LA, Did Not Always Comply With Federal Procurement and Financial Requirements, Including a Procurement Using Recovery Act Funds	Under Repayment Agreement	Repay \$3,840 from non-Federal funds to its Operating Fund program for amounts paid for ineligible credit card purchases and transactions.	12/31/2020
2014-CH-1006-003-G	8/14/2014	The Goshen Housing Authority, Goshen, IN, Failed To Follow HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Program	In Process	We recommend that the program center coordinator of HUD's Indianapolis Office of PIH require the Authority to reimburse the appropriate household \$3,006 from non-Federal funds for the rent amount paid in excess of 40 percent of its adjusted monthly income for the unit that was not affordable.	12/31/2019
2014-CH-1006-003-F	8/14/2014	The Goshen Housing Authority, Goshen, IN, Failed To Follow HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Program	In Process	We recommend that the program center coordinator of HUD's Indianapolis Office of PIH require the Authority to support or reimburse its program \$118,079 (\$112,571 in housing assistance payments + \$5,508 in associated administrative fees) from non-Federal funds for the unsupported overpayment of housing assistance cited in this finding.	12/31/2019
2014-CH-1006-003-E	8/14/2014	The Goshen Housing Authority, Goshen, IN, Failed To Follow HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Program	In Process	We recommend that the program center coordinator of HUD's Indianapolis Office of PIH require the Authority to reimburse its program \$9,420 from non-Federal funds for the overpayment of housing assistance due to allowing households a one-bedroom payment standard each for a shared-housing unit.	12/31/2019
2014-CH-1006-003-D	8/14/2014	The Goshen Housing Authority, Goshen, IN, Failed To Follow HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Program	In Process	We recommend that the program center coordinator of HUD's Indianapolis Office of PIH require the Authority to reimburse its program \$2,558 from non-Federal funds for the overpayment of housing assistance due to not capturing income increases reported by the households.	12/31/2019
2014-CH-1006-003-C	8/14/2014	The Goshen Housing Authority, Goshen, IN, Failed To Follow HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Program	In Process	We recommend that the program center coordinator of HUD's Indianapolis Office of PIH require the Authority to pursue collection from the applicable households or reimburse its program \$10,369 from non-Federal funds for the overpayment of housing assistance due to unreported income.	12/31/2019
2014-CH-1006-003-B	8/14/2014	The Goshen Housing Authority, Goshen, IN, Failed To Follow HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Program	In Process	We recommend that the program center coordinator of HUD's Indianapolis Office of PIH require the Authority to reimburse the appropriate households \$3,973 from program funds for the underpayment of housing assistance due to inappropriate calculations of housing assistance payments.	12/31/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2014-CH-1006-003-A	8/14/2014	The Goshen Housing Authority, Goshen, IN, Failed To Follow HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Program	In Process	We recommend that the program center coordinator of HUD's Indianapolis Office of PIH require the Authority to reimburse its program \$20,001 (\$12,221 in housing assistance payments + \$7,780 in associated administrative fees) from non-Federal funds for the overpayment of housing assistance due to inappropriate calculations of housing assistance payments.	12/31/2019
2014-CH-1006-002-B	8/14/2014	The Goshen Housing Authority, Goshen, IN, Failed To Follow HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Program	In Process	We recommend that the program center coordinator of HUD's Indianapolis Office of PIH require the Authority to reimburse its program \$35,545 from non-Federal funds (\$32,769 for program housing assistance + \$2,776 in associated administrative fees) for the 19 units that materially failed to meet HUD's housing quality standards and its own requirements.	12/31/2019
2014-CH-1006-001-F	8/14/2014	The Goshen Housing Authority, Goshen, IN, Failed To Follow HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Program	In Process	We recommend that the program center coordinator of HUD's Indianapolis Office of PIH require the Authority to reimburse its program \$12,654 from non-Federal funds for the ineligible overdraft, nonsufficient fund checks, and service charges.	12/31/2019
2014-CH-1006-001-E	8/14/2014	The Goshen Housing Authority, Goshen, IN, Failed To Follow HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Program	In Process	We recommend that the program center coordinator of HUD's Indianapolis Office of PIH require the Authority to support that program funds used were not used to pay the \$23,353 in employee loans or reimburse its program from non-Federal funds for the unsupported employee loans cited in this finding.	12/31/2019
2014-CH-1006-001-D	8/14/2014	The Goshen Housing Authority, Goshen, IN, Failed To Follow HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Program	In Process	We recommend that the program center coordinator of HUD's Indianapolis Office of PIH require the Authority to reimburse its program \$3,036 from non-Federal funds for the ineligible credit card late fees and finance charges.	12/31/2019
2014-CH-1006-001-C	8/14/2014	The Goshen Housing Authority, Goshen, IN, Failed To Follow HUD's and Its Own Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Program	In Process	We recommend that the program center coordinator of HUD's Indianapolis Office of PIH require the Authority to support that program funds were not used for the \$132,974 (\$68,369 in personal and inappropriate expenditures + \$64,605 in unsupported expenditures) or reimburse its program from non-Federal funds for the unsupported credit card expenditures cited in this finding.	12/31/2019
2014-CF-1805-001-A	8/11/2014	Final Civil Action: Borrower Settled Allegations of Making a False Certification to HUD Regarding a Home Purchase Under the Federal Housing Administration Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Agree to allow HUD OIG to record the \$57,500 settlement in HUD's Audit Resolution and Corrective Actions Tracking System as an ineligible cost.	5/23/2020
2014-AT-1007-003-B	8/8/2014	The Municipality of Carolina Did Not Properly Administer Its HOME Program	In Process	Correct any inaccurate information in HUD's information system, including but not limited to the receipt of \$233,137 associated with program income and recaptured funds not reported and inaccurate commitment amounts and dates.	12/31/2015

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2014-AT-1007-003-A	8/8/2014	The Municipality of Carolina Did Not Properly Administer Its HOME Program	In Process	Deobligate, reprogram, and put to better use \$387,449 in overstated commitments.	12/31/2015
2014-AT-1007-002-D	8/8/2014	The Municipality of Carolina Did Not Properly Administer Its HOME Program	In Process	Remit to its treasury account and put to better use the reimbursed HOME funds totaling \$56,102.	12/31/2015
2014-AT-1007-002-C	8/8/2014	The Municipality of Carolina Did Not Properly Administer Its HOME Program	In Process	Reimburse from non-Federal funds \$62,204 in ineligible project costs.	12/31/2015
2014-AT-1007-002-B	8/8/2014	The Municipality of Carolina Did Not Properly Administer Its HOME Program	In Process	Submit all supporting documentation showing the eligibility and propriety of \$68,322 charged to the HOME program for project and administrative costs or reimburse the program from non-Federal funds.	12/31/2015
2014-AT-1007-002-A	8/8/2014	The Municipality of Carolina Did Not Properly Administer Its HOME Program	In Process	Submit all supporting documentation showing the eligibility and propriety of \$726,738 in unaccounted for drawdowns from its treasury account or reimburse the HOME program from non-Federal funds.	12/31/2015
2014-AT-1007-001-C	8/8/2014	The Municipality of Carolina Did Not Properly Administer Its HOME Program	In Process	Recapture any shortfalls generated by the closure and deobligation of funds associated with recommendations 1A to 1B that do not meet statutory requirements for the timely commitment and expenditure of funds pursuant to the National Defense Authorization Act of 1991 and/or Title II of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act, as amended.	12/31/2015
2014-AT-1007-001-B	8/8/2014	The Municipality of Carolina Did Not Properly Administer Its HOME Program	In Process	Require the Municipality to submit all supporting documentation showing that the 35 home buyers met the principal residency requirement for the duration of the period of affordability or reimburse the HOME program from non-Federal funds the \$675,194 in HOME assistance provided.	12/31/2015
2014-AT-1007-001-A	8/8/2014	The Municipality of Carolina Did Not Properly Administer Its HOME Program	In Process	Reevaluate the feasibility of the activities and determine the eligibility of the \$7,136,298 disbursed for the three projects with signs of slow progress. (Footnote 4-Total disbursements of \$7,542,737 were adjusted to consider \$230,811 questioned in recommendation 2A, \$68,322 questioned in recommendation 2B, \$56,102 questioned in recommendation 2D, and \$51,204 questioned in recommendation 2C.) The Municipality must reimburse its HOME program from non-Federal funds if HUD determines the activities to have been terminated and reprogram and put to better use any unexpended funds associated with the terminated activities.	12/31/2015
2014-LA-0005-001-D	8/8/2014	HUD Did Not Always Recover FHA Single-Family Indemnification Losses and Ensure That Indemnification Agreements Were Extended	In Process	Determine the loss amounts for the three loans (412-4767940, 442-2462614, and 561-8450712) that were part of the ACD program, which OIG was unable to determine the loss, to be included in recommendation 1A above.	4/4/2017

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2014-LA-0005-001-A	8/8/2014	HUD Did Not Always Recover FHA Single-Family Indemnification Losses and Ensure That Indemnification Agreements Were Extended	In Process	Initiate the billing process, including determining lender status, for the 237 loans that were part of the ACD program for which the lenders were not billed (see appendix D). HUD incurred losses of nearly \$22.4 million for these loans.	4/7/2017
2014-LA-0005-002-D	8/8/2014	HUD Did Not Always Recover FHA Single-Family Indemnification Losses and Ensure That Indemnification Agreements Were Extended	In Dispute	Initiate the billing process for the five loans with losses to HUD that were streamline refinanced. HUD incurred losses of \$373,228 for these loans.	
2014-LA-0005-001-C	8/8/2014	HUD Did Not Always Recover FHA Single-Family Indemnification Losses and Ensure That Indemnification Agreements Were Extended	In Dispute	Initiate the billing process, including determining lender status, for the 217 loans that went into default before the indemnification agreement expired for which the lenders were not billed (see appendix F). HUD incurred losses of nearly \$12.5 million for these loans.	
2014-LA-0005-001-B	8/8/2014	HUD Did Not Always Recover FHA Single-Family Indemnification Losses and Ensure That Indemnification Agreements Were Extended	In Dispute	Initiate the billing process, including determining lender status, for the 32 loans that were part of the CWCOT program for which the lenders were not billed (see appendix E). HUD incurred losses of approximately \$2.2 million for these loans.	
2014-NY-1008-001-F	7/25/2014	Palladia, Inc., New York, NY, Did Not Administer Its Supportive Housing Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New York Office of CPD instruct Palladia officials to reconcile its accounting records to ensure that total revenues and expenditures in its general ledgers reconcile to the revenues and expenditures reported in its annual performance reports and LOCCS.	11/20/2015
2014-NY-1008-001-E	7/25/2014	Palladia, Inc., New York, NY, Did Not Administer Its Supportive Housing Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New York Office of CPD instruct Palladia officials to provide source documentation to substantiate that the \$584,579 in required non-Federal cash matching funds for five of the six program projects reviewed were met.	11/20/2015
2014-NY-1008-001-D	7/25/2014	Palladia, Inc., New York, NY, Did Not Administer Its Supportive Housing Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New York Office of CPD instruct Palladia officials to provide records detailing the funding sources of the non-Federal cash match for the six grant activities reviewed.	11/20/2015
2014-NY-1008-001-C	7/25/2014	Palladia, Inc., New York, NY, Did Not Administer Its Supportive Housing Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New York Office of CPD instruct Palladia officials to follow its policies and procedures for record-keeping to maintain records that adequately identify the source and application of funds provided for financially assisted activities.	11/20/2015
2014-NY-1008-001-B	7/25/2014	Palladia, Inc., New York, NY, Did Not Administer Its Supportive Housing Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New York Office of CPD instruct Palladia officials to strengthen oversight controls over disbursements to ensure that adequate supporting documentation is maintained and complies with applicable regulations.	11/20/2015

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2014-NY-1008-001-A	7/25/2014	Palladia, Inc., New York, NY, Did Not Administer Its Supportive Housing Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New York Office of CPD instruct Palladia officials to provide documentation to justify that the \$1,615,057 in unsupported costs is associated with eligible program activities. Any unsupported costs determined to be ineligible should be reimbursed from non-Federal funds.	11/20/2015
2014-PH-1007-001-D	7/15/2014	The Cumberland Plateau Regional Housing Authority, Lebanon, VA, Did Not Procure Services in Accordance With HUD Requirements	Pending Judicial Review	Based on the outcome of the State's investigation and criminal trial, make a referral to HUD recommending administrative sanctions, as appropriate, up to and including debarment of the Authority's former rehabilitation specialist, the Planning District Commission's former deputy director, and the involved contractors.	10/1/2020
2014-PH-1007-001-B	7/15/2014	The Cumberland Plateau Regional Housing Authority, Lebanon, VA, Did Not Procure Services in Accordance With HUD Requirements	Pending Judicial Review	Provide documentation to support its use of \$308,797 in program funds or reimburse the grantee's program from non-Federal funds for any amount that it cannot support.	10/1/2020
2014-PH-1007-001-A	7/15/2014	The Cumberland Plateau Regional Housing Authority, Lebanon, VA, Did Not Procure Services in Accordance With HUD Requirements	Pending Judicial Review	Reimburse the grantee's program \$312,077 from non-Federal funds for the ineligible disbursements.	10/1/2020
2014-CH-1004-002-A	7/14/2014	The Moline Housing Authority, Moline, IL, Did Not Always Follow HUD's Requirements and Its Own Policies Regarding the Administration of Its Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Acting Director of HUD's Chicago Office of Public Housing require the Authority to reimburse its program \$31,204 from non-Federal funds for the ineligible expenditures cited in this finding.	7/1/2024
2014-CH-1004-001-F	7/14/2014	The Moline Housing Authority, Moline, IL, Did Not Always Follow HUD's Requirements and Its Own Policies Regarding the Administration of Its Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Acting Director of HUD's Chicago Office of Public Housing require the Authority to support or reimburse its program \$220,704 (\$70 + \$197,906 in housing assistance payments + \$26 in utility allowances + \$22,702 in associated administrative fees) from non-Federal funds for the unsupported overpayment of housing assistance due to unsupported calculations, missing eligibility documentation, and discrepancies in the housing assistance payments register.	7/1/2024
2014-CH-1004-001-A	7/14/2014	The Moline Housing Authority, Moline, IL, Did Not Always Follow HUD's Requirements and Its Own Policies Regarding the Administration of Its Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Acting Director of HUD's Chicago Office of Public Housing require the Authority to reimburse its program \$39,605 (\$21,546 in housing assistance payments + \$18,059 in associated administrative fees) from non-Federal funds for the overpayment of housing assistance due to inappropriate calculations of housing assistance payments.	7/1/2024
2014-KC-0002-002-A	7/2/2014	The Data in CAIVRS Did Not Agree With the Data in FHA's Default and Claims Systems	In Process	Update CAIVRS' selection rules to report delinquent Federal debt beyond the 3-year claim period or obtain an exemption from the Secretary of the Treasury to exempt FHA loans after 3 years.	10/12/2018

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2014-KC-0002-001-C	7/2/2014	The Data in CAIVRS Did Not Agree With the Data in FHA's Default and Claims Systems	In Process	Develop and implement system error checks to identify potential reporting issues.	10/12/2018
2014-KC-0002-001-B	7/2/2014	The Data in CAIVRS Did Not Agree With the Data in FHA's Default and Claims Systems	In Process	Update selection rules for CAIVRS to provide for complete reporting of all ineligible borrowers to put \$9.5 million to better use.	10/12/2018
2014-KC-0002-001-A	7/2/2014	The Data in CAIVRS Did Not Agree With the Data in FHA's Default and Claims Systems	In Process	Document the selection rules used for feeding data to CAIVRS to explain in detail the flow of information from HUD's systems.	10/12/2018
2014-FW-1003-002-B	7/2/2014	The St. Charles Parish Housing Authority, Boutte, LA, Mismanaged Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Reimburse its program \$18,391 from non-Federal funds for the 12 units that materially failed to meet HUD's housing quality standards.	6/6/2020
2014-FW-1003-001-C	7/2/2014	The St. Charles Parish Housing Authority, Boutte, LA, Mismanaged Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Support or reimburse its program \$570,834 from non-Federal funds for payments that lacked supporting documentation.	6/6/2020
2014-FW-1003-001-A	7/2/2014	The St. Charles Parish Housing Authority, Boutte, LA, Mismanaged Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Reimburse its program \$16,350 from non-Federal funds for the overpayment of housing assistance and utility reimbursement payments.	6/6/2020
2014-LA-0004-001-H	6/30/2014	HUD Could Not Support the Reasonableness of the Operating and Capital Fund Programs' Fees and Did Not Adequately Monitor Central Office Cost Centers	In Process	Develop, document, and implement written procedures to ensure that fees charged to the asset management projects and Capital Fund program and expenses from the central office cost center are used to support HUD's mission.	5/29/2020
2014-LA-0004-001-C	6/30/2014	HUD Could Not Support the Reasonableness of the Operating and Capital Fund Programs' Fees and Did Not Adequately Monitor Central Office Cost Centers	In Process	Establish and implement procedures to reassess the management and bookkeeping fees periodically to ensure that they are reasonable. HUD should retain the documentation justifying the calculation of the rates.	5/29/2020
2014-LA-0004-001-B	6/30/2014	HUD Could Not Support the Reasonableness of the Operating and Capital Fund Programs' Fees and Did Not Adequately Monitor Central Office Cost Centers	In Process	HUD should remove the provision that allows public housing authorities to charge asset management fees, which would ensure that at least \$81.6 million in operating funds could be put to better use in meeting HUD program objectives.	5/29/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2014-LA-0004-001-A	6/30/2014	HUD Could Not Support the Reasonableness of the Operating and Capital Fund Programs' Fees and Did Not Adequately Monitor Central Office Cost Centers	In Process	Revise HUD's asset management fee policy to refederalize the Operating Fund program's management and bookkeeping fees and the Capital Fund program's management fees.	5/29/2020
2014-AT-1005-005-B	5/29/2014	The City of Huntsville, AL, Community Development Department, Did Not Adequately Account for and Administer the Mirabeau Apartments Project	In Process	Provide the cost allocation plan for review.	12/31/2015
2014-AT-1005-005-A	5/29/2014	The City of Huntsville, AL, Community Development Department, Did Not Adequately Account for and Administer the Mirabeau Apartments Project	In Process	Ensure that the Department obtains all of the project costs from the developer to determine the applicable costs and properly prepare a cost allocation plan.	12/31/2015
2014-AT-1005-004-A	5/29/2014	The City of Huntsville, AL, Community Development Department, Did Not Adequately Account for and Administer the Mirabeau Apartments Project	In Process	Review all of the Department's participation agreements to ensure compliance with HUD and HOME requirements.	12/31/2015
2014-AT-1005-003-B	5/29/2014	The City of Huntsville, AL, Community Development Department, Did Not Adequately Account for and Administer the Mirabeau Apartments Project	In Process	Inspect the project, identify the deficiencies, and require the owner to correct all deficiencies identified.	12/31/2015
2014-AT-1005-003-A	5/29/2014	The City of Huntsville, AL, Community Development Department, Did Not Adequately Account for and Administer the Mirabeau Apartments Project	In Process	Ensure that its annual inspections are properly performed and thoroughly documented so the inspection reports provide a clear trail of necessary repairs to ensure that the deficiencies are corrected and those corrections can be verified against the identified deficiencies.	12/31/2015
2014-AT-1005-002-E	5/29/2014	The City of Huntsville, AL, Community Development Department, Did Not Adequately Account for and Administer the Mirabeau Apartments Project	In Process	Establish and implement procedures to review and oversee the agreements of its Community Development Department and recover CDBG and HOME funds when required.	12/31/2015

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2014-AT-1005-002-C	5/29/2014	The City of Huntsville, AL, Community Development Department, Did Not Adequately Account for and Administer the Mirabeau Apartments Project	In Process	Reimburse \$100,000 in HOME funds to the HOME Investment Trust Fund Treasury account from non-Federal funds.	12/31/2015
2014-AT-1005-002-B	5/29/2014	The City of Huntsville, AL, Community Development Department, Did Not Adequately Account for and Administer the Mirabeau Apartments Project	In Process	Seek recovery of the \$323,720 in CDBG funds from the bank with interest from March 14, 2002, to the present. Reimburse \$323,720 in CDBG funds to the CDBG program from non-federal funds and reimburse the interest to the U.S. Treasury.	12/31/2015
2014-AT-1005-002-A	5/29/2014	The City of Huntsville, AL, Community Development Department, Did Not Adequately Account for and Administer the Mirabeau Apartments Project	In Process	Reimburse \$772,000 in HOME funds used to pay ineligible expenses to the HOME Investment Trust Fund treasury account from non-Federal funds.	12/31/2015
2014-AT-1005-001-B	5/29/2014	The City of Huntsville, AL, Community Development Department, Did Not Adequately Account for and Administer the Mirabeau Apartments Project	In Process	Provide documentation to support the \$1,031,000 in CDBG loans or reimburse the CDBG program from non-Federal funds.	12/31/2015
2014-AT-1005-001-A	5/29/2014	The City of Huntsville, AL, Community Development Department, Did Not Adequately Account for and Administer the Mirabeau Apartments Project	In Process	Reimburse \$1,183,642 in HOME and CHDO funds to the HOME Investment Trust Fund treasury account from non-Federal funds.	12/31/2015
2014-FW-1002-001-B	5/27/2014	The Truth or Consequences Housing Authority's Financial Controls Were Not Adequate To Ensure That It Used Its Low-Rent Funds Appropriately	In Process	Discontinue using its low-rent public housing fund as a general fund to pay costs associated with its business activities until it has established appropriate controls.	2/1/2031
2014-NY-1004-001-C	5/20/2014	The City of Elmira, NY Did Not Always Administer Its CDBG Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to repay from non-Federal funds the ineligible costs of \$18,027 that were paid to a subrecipient, which did not benefit the CDBG program.	8/17/2015

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2014-NY-1004-001-G	5/20/2014	The City of Elmira, NY Did Not Always Administer Its CDBG Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to provide documentation to support the reasonableness and eligibility of the administrative program delivery costs charged to the CDBG program, including \$597,048 in program delivery costs that could have been allocated to the State program, and repay the CDBG program from non-Federal funds any amounts determined to be unreasonable or ineligible.	9/15/2015
2014-NY-1004-001-D	5/20/2014	The City of Elmira, NY Did Not Always Administer Its CDBG Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to provide documentation regarding the repayment of the \$7,035 that was paid an architecture firm related to a State grant to ensure that it was properly repaid to the CDBG program from non-Federal funds.	9/15/2015
2014-NY-1004-001-A	5/20/2014	The City of Elmira, NY Did Not Always Administer Its CDBG Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to submit documentation to justify the unsupported costs of \$200,000 incurred for an economic development loan so that HUD can make an eligibility determination. For any costs determined to be ineligible, HUD should require the City to reimburse the CDBG program from non-Federal funds.	12/12/2015
2014-NY-1004-001-I	5/20/2014	The City of Elmira, NY Did Not Always Administer Its CDBG Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to Establish controls to ensure that assets are adequately safeguarded and duties are adequately segregated.	12/19/2015
2014-NY-1004-001-H	5/20/2014	The City of Elmira, NY Did Not Always Administer Its CDBG Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to establish procedures to ensure that the costs of administering the State-funded rehabilitation program are no longer charged to the CDBG program.	12/19/2015
2014-NY-1004-001-F	5/20/2014	The City of Elmira, NY Did Not Always Administer Its CDBG Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to establish controls to ensure that CDBG funds are not used to pay for costs related to other funding sources or programs.	12/19/2015
2014-NY-1004-001-E	5/20/2014	The City of Elmira, NY Did Not Always Administer Its CDBG Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to establish controls to ensure that grant- and subgrant-supported activities are adequately monitored and administered to provide assurance that funds have been used only for eligible activities, costs incurred are necessary and reasonable, and national objectives have been attained.	12/19/2015

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2014-NY-1004-001-B	5/20/2014	The City of Elmira, NY Did Not Always Administer Its CDBG Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to require the subrecipient to request a waiver related to the apparent conflict of interest and implement standards of conduct procedures that prohibit participation in the selection, award, or administration of a contract involving Federal funds if there is a real or apparent conflict of interest.	12/19/2015
2014-FW-0002-001-H	5/12/2014	Improvements Are Needed Over Environmental Reviews of Public Housing and Recovery Act Funds in the Kansas City Office	In Process	The housing agencies to work with their respective responsible entities and local HUD environmental officer to show that no harm occurred from completion of all the projects or mitigate any harm that did occur.	5/31/2019
2014-FW-0002-001-G	5/12/2014	Improvements Are Needed Over Environmental Reviews of Public Housing and Recovery Act Funds in the Kansas City Office	In Process	The Kansas City, MO, Housing Authority and the City of Kansas City, MO, to provide support that they complied with 24 CFR Part 58 requirements for the Authority's 2012 Capital Fund grant or require the housing agency to reimburse \$2,710,079 to the Authority's 2012 Capital Fund grant from non-Federal funds.	5/31/2019
2014-FW-0002-001-F	5/12/2014	Improvements Are Needed Over Environmental Reviews of Public Housing and Recovery Act Funds in the Kansas City Office	In Process	The Kansas City, MO, Housing Authority and the City of Kansas City, MO, to provide support that they complied with 24 CFR Part 58 requirements for the Authority's 2011 Capital Fund grant or require the housing agency to repay \$2,920,093 to HUD from non-Federal funds.	5/31/2019
2014-FW-0002-001-E	5/12/2014	Improvements Are Needed Over Environmental Reviews of Public Housing and Recovery Act Funds in the Kansas City Office	In Process	The Kansas City, MO, Housing Authority and the City of Kansas City, MO, to provide support that they complied with 24 CFR Part 58 requirements for the Authority's Recovery Act grant or require the housing agency to repay \$4,517,915 to HUD for its transmission to the U.S. Treasury. Repayment must be from non-Federal funds.	5/31/2019
2014-FW-0002-001-D	5/12/2014	Improvements Are Needed Over Environmental Reviews of Public Housing and Recovery Act Funds in the Kansas City Office	In Process	The Kansas City, KS, Housing Authority and the Unified Government of Wyandotte County-Kansas City, KS, to provide support that they complied with 24 CFR Part 58 requirements for the Authority's 2012 Capital Fund grant or require the housing agency to reimburse \$2,555,880 to the Authority's 2012 Capital Fund grant from non-Federal funds.	5/31/2019
2014-FW-0002-001-C	5/12/2014	Improvements Are Needed Over Environmental Reviews of Public Housing and Recovery Act Funds in the Kansas City Office	In Process	The Kansas City, KS, Housing Authority and the Unified Government of Wyandotte County-Kansas City, KS, to provide support that they complied with 24 CFR Part 58 requirements for the Authority's 2011 Capital Fund grant or require the housing agency to repay \$2,827,316 to HUD from non-Federal funds.	5/31/2019
2014-FW-0002-001-B	5/12/2014	Improvements Are Needed Over Environmental Reviews of Public Housing and Recovery Act Funds in the Kansas City Office	In Process	The Kansas City, KS, Housing Authority and the Unified Government of Wyandotte County-Kansas City, KS, to provide support that they complied with 24 CFR Part 58 requirements for the Authority's Recovery Act grant or require the housing agency to repay \$3,438,953 to HUD for its transmission to the U.S. Treasury. Repayment must be from non-Federal funds.	5/31/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2014-FW-0002-001-A	5/12/2014	Improvements Are Needed Over Environmental Reviews of Public Housing and Recovery Act Funds in the Kansas City Office	In Process	The Kansas City, KS, Housing Authority to repay \$1,039,797 in Recovery Act grant funds to HUD for its transmission to the U.S. Treasury for contract obligations that occurred before the environmental review was completed by the responsible entity. Repayment must be from non-Federal funds.	5/31/2019
2014-CH-1003-001-D	4/30/2014	The Hamtramck Housing Commission, Hamtramck, MI, Did Not Always Administer Its Grant in Accordance With Recovery Act, HUD's, or Its Own Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Acting Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to support the use of the sole-sourcing procurement method for its Recovery Act-funded competitive grant or reimburse HUD \$785,159 from non-Federal funds for transmission to the U.S. Treasury.	11/29/2019
2014-CH-1003-001-C	4/30/2014	The Hamtramck Housing Commission, Hamtramck, MI, Did Not Always Administer Its Grant in Accordance With Recovery Act, HUD's, or Its Own Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Acting Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to support the reasonableness or reimburse HUD \$239,033 from non-Federal funds, for transmission to the U.S. Treasury, for the amount of the Commission's Recovery Act-funded contract that exceeded the independent estimate.	11/29/2019
2014-CH-1003-001-B	4/30/2014	The Hamtramck Housing Commission, Hamtramck, MI, Did Not Always Administer Its Grant in Accordance With Recovery Act, HUD's, or Its Own Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Acting Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to reimburse HUD \$20,833 from non-Federal funds, for transmission to the U.S. Treasury, for the Recovery Act-funded work items that were not included in the Commission's annual or 5-year plan.	11/29/2019
2014-CH-1003-001-A	4/30/2014	The Hamtramck Housing Commission, Hamtramck, MI, Did Not Always Administer Its Grant in Accordance With Recovery Act, HUD's, or Its Own Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Acting Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to reimburse HUD \$79,975 from non-Federal funds, for transmission to the U.S. Treasury, for the work items that were not allocable to the Recovery Act competitive grant-funded contract.	11/29/2019
2014-BO-1002-002-A	4/30/2014	Chelsea, MA, Housing Authority Review of Cost Allocations and Reasonableness of Salaries	Pending Judicial Review	Reimburse the Authority's programs from non-Federal funds for more than \$697,471 in unreasonable salary expenditures.	8/2/2020
2014-FO-0004-002-H	4/15/2014	HUD's Fiscal Year 2013 Compliance With the Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Act of 2010	In Process	Work with PIH and Multifamily Housing to determine annual improper payments HUD made to deceased tenants, and report this amount as an additional source of improper payments in its AFR.	12/31/2020
2014-FO-0004-001-G	4/15/2014	HUD's Fiscal Year 2013 Compliance With the Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Act of 2010	In Process	Report on Multifamily, Public Housing, and Section 8 program improper payment rates separately in the agency financial reports.	12/31/2020
2014-FO-0004-002-D	4/15/2014	HUD's Fiscal Year 2013 Compliance With the Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Act of 2010	In Process	Reassess existing supplemental measures and corrective actions, and enhance or develop new supplemental measures and corrective actions to ensure that they target the root causes of error identified in the improper payment studies.	4/30/2015

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2014-FW-1802-001-B	3/31/2014	The Management of the Housing Authority of the City of Nixon, Nixon, TX, Did Not Exercise Adequate Oversight and Allowed Ineligible and Unsupported Costs	Under Repayment Agreement	Require the Authority to support or repay its various program accounts \$109,861 from nonfederal funds for unsupported payroll, other compensation, bonuses, travel, supplies, contractor payments and petty cash disbursements.	8/10/2020
2014-AT-1801-001-D	3/20/2014	Vieques Sports City Complex, Office of the Commissioner for Municipal Affairs, San Juan, PR, Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program	Pending Judicial Review	Submit supporting documentation showing the eligibility and propriety of \$37,215 drawn from HUD or reimburse the State CDBG program from non-Federal funds.	10/19/2019
2014-AT-1801-001-C	3/20/2014	Vieques Sports City Complex, Office of the Commissioner for Municipal Affairs, San Juan, PR, Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program	Pending Judicial Review	Ensure that the Municipality maintains adequate documentation related to the Vieques sports complex project in accordance with HUD requirements and that these demonstrate the allowability, necessity, and reasonableness of the costs incurred.	10/19/2019
2014-AT-1801-001-B	3/20/2014	Vieques Sports City Complex, Office of the Commissioner for Municipal Affairs, San Juan, PR, Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program	Pending Judicial Review	Provide all supporting documentation associated with the \$10,838,880 (Footnote 13) Total disbursements of \$10,876,095 were adjusted to consider \$37,215 questioned in recommendation 1D. in State CDBG, Section 108, and program income proceeds disbursed for the development of the sports complex, if HUD determines the plan to be feasible (recommendation 1A). HUD must determine the eligibility, reasonableness, and allocability of the funds disbursed. OCMA must reimburse its State CDBG program from non-Federal funds any amount determined ineligible.	10/19/2019
2014-AT-0001-001-H	3/14/2014	Violations Increased the Cost of Housing's Administration of Its Bond Refund Program	In Process	Develop and implement controls and procedures to monitor the remitting of trust fund balances to ensure that funds due to HUD upon prepayments or normal payoff of bonds are not released to other parties unless conditions established by headquarters are met and documented.	6/30/2015
2014-AT-0001-001-G	3/14/2014	Violations Increased the Cost of Housing's Administration of Its Bond Refund Program	In Process	Reassess the \$67,000 unsupported writeoff for FAF 184 and reinstate and pursue collection of all or any portion of the amount determined to have been written off without proper justification.	6/30/2015
2014-AT-0001-001-F	3/14/2014	Violations Increased the Cost of Housing's Administration of Its Bond Refund Program	In Process	Reassess the \$72,969 unsupported writeoff for FAF 393 and reinstate and pursue collection of all or any portion of the amount determined to have been written off without proper justification.	6/30/2015
2014-AT-0001-001-E	3/14/2014	Violations Increased the Cost of Housing's Administration of Its Bond Refund Program	In Process	Reassess the \$2,621,624 unjustified writeoff for FAF 210 and reinstate and pursue collection of all or any portion of the amount determined to have been written off without proper justification.	6/30/2015
2014-AT-0001-001-D	3/14/2014	Violations Increased the Cost of Housing's Administration of Its Bond Refund Program	In Process	Develop and implement controls to ensure requests made by Housing for adjustments to bond receivables are properly assessed and supported in accordance with provisions in Housing Notice 3-28.	6/30/2015

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2014-AT-0001-001-C	3/14/2014	Violations Increased the Cost of Housing's Administration of Its Bond Refund Program	In Process	Renew Housing Notices 97-49 and 3-28 and include appropriate example calculations to guide contract administrators and HUD staff on how to calculate annual adjustment factor rents for bond-refunded projects and for use in enforcing the requirements.	6/30/2015
2014-AT-0001-001-B	3/14/2014	Violations Increased the Cost of Housing's Administration of Its Bond Refund Program	In Process	Require contract administrators that did not apply the back-out requirements to recalculate all FAF projects with Section 8 rent increases that occurred from January 2004 to the present and adjust current and future rents to the amounts supported by the calculations. We estimate annual savings of \$279,639 through the implementation of this recommendation for the projects reviewed.	6/30/2015
2014-AT-0001-001-A	3/14/2014	Violations Increased the Cost of Housing's Administration of Its Bond Refund Program	In Process	Develop and implement controls and procedures to monitor the application of required rent adjustments to Section 8 FAF projects which should include adding a section to Housing's monitoring review checklist to address the backing out of trustee sweep payments.	6/30/2015
2014-NY-0001-001-C	2/19/2014	HUD Did Not Provide Effective Oversight of Section 202 Multifamily Project Refinances	In Process	We recommend that the Director, Office of Multifamily Asset Management, implement procedures to ensure that all future Section 202 refinancings comply with the requirement to generate positive debt service savings or the limited exception to this requirement related to 6 percent or lower interest rates.	12/31/2014
2014-NY-0001-001-B	2/19/2014	HUD Did Not Provide Effective Oversight of Section 202 Multifamily Project Refinances	In Process	We recommend that the Director, Office of Multifamily Asset Management, require that each Hub or field office review its refinanced Section 202/223(f) projects for debt service savings amounts, utilizing data provided from this audit for possible additional debt service savings. Where legally possible each Hub or field office should identify, account for by project, and use these amounts for current and future opportunities benefiting tenants or to fund reductions in housing assistance payments.	12/31/2014
2014-FW-0001-001-K	2/7/2014	The Boston Office of Public Housing Did Not Provide Adequate Oversight of Environmental Reviews of Three Housing Agencies, Including Reviews Involving Recovery Act Funds	In Process	The housing agencies to work with their respective responsible entities and local HUD environmental officer to show that no harm occurred from completion of all of the projects or mitigate any harm that occurred.	5/31/2019
2014-FW-0001-001-J	2/7/2014	The Boston Office of Public Housing Did Not Provide Adequate Oversight of Environmental Reviews of Three Housing Agencies, Including Reviews Involving Recovery Act Funds	In Process	The New Bedford Housing Authority and the City of New Bedford to provide support that they complied with 24 CFR Part 58 requirements for the Authority's 2012 Capital Fund grant or require the housing agency to reimburse \$2,966,280 to the Authority's 2012 Capital Fund grant from non-Federal funds.	5/31/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2014-FW-0001-001-I	2/7/2014	The Boston Office of Public Housing Did Not Provide Adequate Oversight of Environmental Reviews of Three Housing Agencies, Including Reviews Involving Recovery Act Funds	In Process	The New Bedford Housing Authority to repay \$22,786 from non-Federal funds to its 2012 Capital Fund grant for salaries and benefits that were released before the responsible entity documented that activities met exemption requirements.	5/31/2019
2014-FW-0001-001-H	2/7/2014	The Boston Office of Public Housing Did Not Provide Adequate Oversight of Environmental Reviews of Three Housing Agencies, Including Reviews Involving Recovery Act Funds	In Process	The New Bedford Housing Authority and the City of New Bedford to provide support that they complied with 24 CFR Part 58 requirements for the Authority's 2011 Capital Fund grant or require the housing agency to repay \$3,154,021 to HUD. Repayment must be from non-Federal funds.	5/31/2019
2014-FW-0001-001-G	2/7/2014	The Boston Office of Public Housing Did Not Provide Adequate Oversight of Environmental Reviews of Three Housing Agencies, Including Reviews Involving Recovery Act Funds	In Process	The New Bedford Housing Authority to repay \$4,860,197 in Recovery Act grant funds to HUD for its transmission to the U.S. Treasury. Repayment must be from non-Federal funds.	5/31/2019
2014-FW-0001-001-F	2/7/2014	The Boston Office of Public Housing Did Not Provide Adequate Oversight of Environmental Reviews of Three Housing Agencies, Including Reviews Involving Recovery Act Funds	In Process	The Nashua Housing Authority and the City of Nashua to provide support that they complied with 24 CFR Part 58 requirements for the Authority's 2012 Capital Fund grant or require the housing agency to reimburse \$728,596 to the Authority's 2012 Capital Fund grant from non-Federal funds.	5/31/2019
2014-FW-0001-001-E	2/7/2014	The Boston Office of Public Housing Did Not Provide Adequate Oversight of Environmental Reviews of Three Housing Agencies, Including Reviews Involving Recovery Act Funds	In Process	The Nashua Housing Authority and the City of Nashua to provide support that they complied with 24 CFR Part 58 requirements for the Authority's 2011 Capital Fund grant or require the housing agency to repay \$874,261 to HUD. Repayment must be from non-Federal funds.	5/31/2019
2014-FW-0001-001-D	2/7/2014	The Boston Office of Public Housing Did Not Provide Adequate Oversight of Environmental Reviews of Three Housing Agencies, Including Reviews Involving Recovery Act Funds	In Process	The Nashua Housing Authority and the City of Nashua to provide support that they complied with 24 CFR Part 58 requirements for the Authority's Recovery Act grant or require the housing agency to repay \$1,169,494 to HUD for its transmission to the U.S. Treasury. Repayment must be from non-Federal funds.	5/31/2019
2014-FW-0001-001-C	2/7/2014	The Boston Office of Public Housing Did Not Provide Adequate Oversight of Environmental Reviews of Three Housing Agencies, Including Reviews Involving Recovery Act Funds	In Process	The Boston Housing Authority and the City of Boston to provide support that they complied with 24 CFR Part 58 requirements for the Authority's 2012 Capital Fund grant or require the housing agency to reimburse \$17,058,105 to the Authority's 2012 Capital Fund grant from non-Federal funds.	5/31/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2014-FW-0001-001-B	2/7/2014	The Boston Office of Public Housing Did Not Provide Adequate Oversight of Environmental Reviews of Three Housing Agencies, Including Reviews Involving Recovery Act Funds	In Process	The Boston Housing Authority and the City of Boston to provide support that they complied with 24 CFR Part 58 requirements for the Authority's 2011 Capital Fund grant or require the housing agency to repay \$21,478,604 to HUD. Repayment must be from non-Federal funds.	5/31/2019
2014-FW-0001-001-A	2/7/2014	The Boston Office of Public Housing Did Not Provide Adequate Oversight of Environmental Reviews of Three Housing Agencies, Including Reviews Involving Recovery Act Funds	In Process	The Boston Housing Authority and the City of Boston to provide support that they complied with 24 CFR Part 58 requirements for the Authority's Recovery Act grant or require the housing agency to repay \$33,329,733 to HUD for its transmission to the U.S. Treasury. Repayment must be from non-Federal funds.	5/31/2019
2014-BO-1001-001-A	1/23/2014	The Housing Authority of the City of Bridgeport, CT, Did Not Always Ensure That Expenses Charged to Its Federal Programs Were Eligible, Reasonable, and Supported.	Under Repayment Agreement	Repay the Authority's asset management projects from non-Federal funds \$582,562 related to the ineligible cost deficiencies identified in this finding; specifically, charges of \$240,321 for central maintenance employees; \$281,611 for asset management, property management, and bookkeeping fees; \$26,434 for insurance; \$10,000 for auditing; \$20,000 for consulting; and \$4,196 for legal expenses.	12/31/2019
2014-NY-1001-001-A	1/15/2014	The Paterson Housing Authority, Paterson, NJ, Had Weaknesses in Administration of its Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of the HUD Newark Office of PIH instruct Authority officials to provide documentation to support that the 252 units for which the Authority's records did not document that an annual inspection was performed during program year 2012 comply with housing quality standards. If such documentation cannot be provided, the \$3.89 million (consisting of \$3,768,868 in housing assistance payments and \$122,977 of the Authority's administrative fee paid related to those units) should be reimbursed to the program from non-federal funds.	7/1/2025
2014-CH-1002-001-G	1/6/2014	The City of Detroit, MI, Lacked Adequate Controls Over Its Neighborhood Stabilization Program-Funded Demolition Activities Under the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of CPD require the City to determine for the remaining 177 addresses in its report whether fire insurance funds were available when it drew down Program funds for the demolition costs. If fire insurance funds were available, the City should (1) use the fire insurance funds for eligible Program costs before drawing down additional Program funds and (2) reimburse HUD, for transmission to the U.S. Treasury, from non-Federal funds any unnecessary interest the U.S. Treasury paid on the Program funds that it drew down for activities when it had fire insurance funds for the properties associated with the activities.	4/30/2020
2014-CH-1002-001-C	1/6/2014	The City of Detroit, MI, Lacked Adequate Controls Over Its Neighborhood Stabilization Program-Funded Demolition Activities Under the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of CPD require the City to use for eligible Program costs, before drawing down additional Program funds, \$203,802 in (1) the remaining fire insurance funds for which it inappropriately drew down Program funds when it had fire insurance funds available (nearly \$132,000) and (2) Program funds drawn down for duplicate demolition costs (nearly \$72,000).	4/30/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2014-CH-1002-001-B	1/6/2014	The City of Detroit, MI, Lacked Adequate Controls Over Its Neighborhood Stabilization Program-Funded Demolition Activities Under the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of CPD determine whether the U.S. Treasury paid unnecessary interest on the Program funds associated with the more than \$604,000 in fire insurance funds the City transferred into its Program account in July 2013. If the U.S. Treasury paid unnecessary interest, the City should reimburse HUD, for transmission to the U.S. Treasury, from non-Federal funds for the unnecessary interest the U.S. Treasury paid on the Program funds that the City inappropriately drew down for activities when it had fire insurance funds for the properties associated with the activities.	4/30/2020
2014-AT-1004-001-B	12/30/2013	The State of Mississippi Did Not Ensure That Its Subrecipient and Appraisers Complied With Requirements, and It Did Not Fully Implement Adequate Procedures For Its Disaster Infrastructure Program	In Process	Require the State to provide supporting documentation for the \$2,158,715 acquisition of property for projects W19, S21, and S20 or reimburse the program from non-Federal funds.	4/15/2015
2014-AT-1004-001-A	12/30/2013	The State of Mississippi Did Not Ensure That Its Subrecipient and Appraisers Complied With Requirements, and It Did Not Fully Implement Adequate Procedures For Its Disaster Infrastructure Program	In Process	Require the State to provide supporting documentation for the \$7,200 in appraisal fees or reimburse the Program from non-Federal funds.	4/15/2015
2014-PH-1001-001-C	12/17/2013	The City of Norfolk, VA, Generally Failed To Justify Its Community Development Block Grant Activities	Under Repayment Agreement	Provide for HUD review documentation to support \$5,014,403 drawn for the additional 15 activities.	10/30/2021
2014-PH-1001-001-B	12/17/2013	The City of Norfolk, VA, Generally Failed To Justify Its Community Development Block Grant Activities	Under Repayment Agreement	Provide to HUD a remediation plan for the 10 delayed activities and demonstrate that national objectives have been met as required to support \$4,078,941 in program funds drawn for the activities.	10/30/2021
2014-PH-1001-001-A	12/17/2013	The City of Norfolk, VA, Generally Failed To Justify Its Community Development Block Grant Activities	Under Repayment Agreement	Provide documentation to support the \$2,455,162 in unsupported funds drawn for 12 activities or repay the amount to its program from non-Federal funds.	10/30/2021
2014-FO-0003-006-C	12/16/2013	Additional Details To Supplement Our Report On HUD's Fiscal Years 2013 and 2012 (Restated) Financial Statements	In Process	Enforce already existing internal control procedures to ensure proper supervision over accounting for Section 8 FAF receivables.	10/1/2014

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2014-FO-0003-006-D	12/16/2013	Additional Details To Supplement Our Report On HUD's Fiscal Years 2013 and 2012 (Restated) Financial Statements	In Process	Perform a thorough analysis of outstanding FAF receivables and fiscal year 2013 collections to ensure that the receivables accurately represent the amounts owed to HUD, including but not limited to positive confirmations of outstanding receivable balances with the trustees.	3/4/2015
2014-FO-0003-002-E	12/16/2013	Additional Details To Supplement Our Report On HUD's Fiscal Years 2013 and 2012 (Restated) Financial Statements	In Process	Review the cash management process to identify all financial events to be recognized in accordance with GAAP. Establish procedures to account for the cash management activity in a timely manner in compliance with GAAP.	4/8/2015
2014-FO-0003-002-G	12/16/2013	Additional Details To Supplement Our Report On HUD's Fiscal Years 2013 and 2012 (Restated) Financial Statements	In Process	Ensure that PIH's automation of its cash management process complies with Federal financial management requirements.	12/31/2015
2014-FO-0003-008-O	12/16/2013	Additional Details To Supplement Our Report On HUD's Fiscal Years 2013 and 2012 (Restated) Financial Statements	In Process	Deobligate \$7,263,662 tied to 178 administrative obligations marked for deobligation during the departmentwide unliquidated obligations review.	2/13/2015
2014-FO-0003-008-B	12/16/2013	Additional Details To Supplement Our Report On HUD's Fiscal Years 2013 and 2012 (Restated) Financial Statements	In Process	Complete the closeout of any remaining CDBG-R and HPRP grants and forward all grant closeout agreement certifications to OCFO for recapture.	9/30/2014
2014-FO-0003-002-A	12/16/2013	Additional Details To Supplement Our Report On HUD's Fiscal Years 2013 and 2012 (Restated) Financial Statements	Under Repayment Agreement	Transition the PHA NRA excess funds, which are as much as \$643.6 million as of June 30, 2013, to HUD's control as soon as possible to safeguard the program resources.	12/31/2057
2014-FO-0003-002-C	12/16/2013	Additional Details To Supplement Our Report On HUD's Fiscal Years 2013 and 2012 (Restated) Financial Statements	In Dispute	Implement a cost-effective method for automating the cash management process to include an electronic interface of transactions to the standard general ledger.	
2014-FO-0001-001-C	12/6/2013	Ginnie Mae Fiscal Years 2013 and 2012 Financial Statements Audit	In Process	Continue efforts to confirm the insured status of loans not yet matched with data from the insuring agencies.	10/22/2014
2014-FO-0001-001-A	12/6/2013	Ginnie Mae Fiscal Years 2013 and 2012 Financial Statements Audit	In Process	Obtain a corrective action plan from BAC with critical milestones to document how all information is to be provided, supported, and reconciled to the appropriate underlying information system.	3/31/2015

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2014-AT-1002-002-A	12/5/2013	The Housing Authority of the City of Lumberton, NC, Did Not Administer Its Public Housing Program in Accordance With Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	Support \$131,787 in unsupported contracts and procurement payments or repay the appropriate program from non-Federal funds.	4/1/2025
2014-AT-1001-001-B	12/3/2013	The Municipality of Arecibo Did Not Properly Administer Its HOME Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Require the Municipality to submit all supporting documentation showing that 21 home-buyer activities met the principal residency requirement for the duration of the period of affordability or reimburse the HOME program from non-Federal funds the \$307,537 disbursed.	12/31/2014
2013-CH-1011-003-B	9/30/2013	The Michigan State Housing Development Authority, Lansing, MI, Did Not Follow HUD's Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Program	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Multifamily Housing Programs require the Authority to reimburse appropriate escrow accounts \$175,434 from non-Federal funds for the lost interest cited in this finding.	10/1/2019
2013-CH-1011-003-A	9/30/2013	The Michigan State Housing Development Authority, Lansing, MI, Did Not Follow HUD's Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Program	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Multifamily Housing Programs require the Authority to reimburse \$290,437 to the appropriate project escrows from non-Federal funds for the inappropriate disbursement of replacement reserves.	10/1/2019
2013-CH-1011-002-C	9/30/2013	The Michigan State Housing Development Authority, Lansing, MI, Did Not Follow HUD's Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Program	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Multifamily Housing Programs require the Authority to reimburse the U.S. Treasury \$12,830 from non-Federal funds for the lost interest.	10/1/2019
2013-CH-1011-002-B	9/30/2013	The Michigan State Housing Development Authority, Lansing, MI, Did Not Follow HUD's Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Program	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Multifamily Housing Programs require the Authority to obtain approval from HUD to apply the project's replacement reserves to the defaulted mortgage for contract number MI28H150191 or reimburse the U.S. Treasury \$604,949.	10/1/2019
2013-CH-1011-002-A	9/30/2013	The Michigan State Housing Development Authority, Lansing, MI, Did Not Follow HUD's Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Program	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Multifamily Housing Programs require the Authority to reimburse the U.S. Treasury \$608,337 (\$77,856+ 436,759 + \$93,722) for the three projects with terminated program contracts.	10/1/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2013-CH-1011-001-A	9/30/2013	The Michigan State Housing Development Authority, Lansing, MI, Did Not Follow HUD's Requirements Regarding the Administration of Its Program	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Multifamily Housing Programs require the Authority to ensure that \$31,148,477 in residual receipts for the 15 projects as of May 31, 2013, is used to reduce or offset housing assistance payments in accordance with HUD's requirements.	7/31/2029
2013-CH-1012-001-B	9/30/2013	The Hamtramck Housing Commission, Hamtramck, MI, Did Not Administer Its Grant in Accordance With Recovery Act, HUD's, and Its Own Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to provide documentation for the three contracts to support that the costs paid for the contract modifications totaling \$108,766 (\$230,596 less the ineligible use of funds from recommendation 1A) were reasonable. Any amounts that cannot be shown to be reasonable should be repaid to the U.S. Treasury from non-Federal funds.	11/29/2019
2013-DE-1005-001-E	9/30/2013	The Jefferson County Housing Authority, Wheat Ridge, CO, Did Not Properly Use Its Disposition Sales Proceeds	In Process	Require the Authority to submit financial statements that show how its disposition funds were expended by item and dollar amount.	2/28/2020
2013-DE-1005-001-B	9/30/2013	The Jefferson County Housing Authority, Wheat Ridge, CO, Did Not Properly Use Its Disposition Sales Proceeds	In Process	Require the Authority to repay from non-Federal sources \$975,146 in disposition sales proceeds used in violation of its disposition agreement and use the recovered funds for their intended purposes or return those funds to HUD within a reasonable period.	2/28/2020
2013-DE-1005-001-A	9/30/2013	The Jefferson County Housing Authority, Wheat Ridge, CO, Did Not Properly Use Its Disposition Sales Proceeds	Under Repayment Agreement	Ensure that the Authority recovers from non-Federal sources \$5,496,367 in disposition sales proceeds that was not received from the sale of its 65 low-income public housing units and use the recovered funds for their intended purposes or return those funds to HUD within a reasonable period.	2/28/2020
2013-CH-1009-002-E	9/27/2013	The Flint Housing Commission, Flint, MI, Did Not Always Administer Its Grant in Accordance With Recovery Act, HUD's, and Its Own Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to provide sufficient documentation to support that the appliance was installed in a unit renovated under the Recovery Act formula grant or reimburse HUD \$429 from its capital funds for transmission to the U.S. Treasury.	11/29/2019
2013-CH-1009-002-B	9/27/2013	The Flint Housing Commission, Flint, MI, Did Not Always Administer Its Grant in Accordance With Recovery Act, HUD's, and Its Own Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to provide documentation or reimburse HUD \$8,554 from the appropriate fund for transmission to the U.S. Treasury for its force account labor employees due to missing labor logs or labor logs that indicated work on projects other than the Recovery Act formula grant work.	11/29/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2013-CH-1009-002-A	9/27/2013	The Flint Housing Commission, Flint, MI, Did Not Always Administer Its Grant in Accordance With Recovery Act, HUD's, and Its Own Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to provide documentation or reimburse HUD \$12,165 for transmission to the U.S. Treasury for the materials and supplies for its force account labor unit renovations due to missing or inadequate purchase orders, invoices, receipts, or inventory records cited in this finding.	11/29/2019
2013-CH-1009-001-A	9/27/2013	The Flint Housing Commission, Flint, MI, Did Not Always Administer Its Grant in Accordance With Recovery Act, HUD's, and Its Own Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to provide sufficient documentation to support the reasonableness of \$960,904 in expenses or reimburse HUD \$935,412 (\$960,904 - \$2,147 - \$12,165 - \$8,554 - \$1,820 - \$429 - \$377) The reduced amounts were included as part of the recommendations in finding 2. for transmission to the U.S. Treasury for the unsupported costs cited in this finding.	11/29/2019
2013-NY-1010-002-B	9/26/2013	The City of Auburn, NY, Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to develop procedures to ensure that funded activities comply with program objectives and that the activities are sufficiently monitored.	1/20/2015
2013-NY-1010-001-A	9/26/2013	The City of Auburn, NY, Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to expend or reprogram to other eligible program activities the \$2,451,645 in CDBG program income maintained in the City's community development bank accounts as of June 30, 2013, so the City can assure HUD that these funds have been put to better use.	6/30/2015
2013-NY-1010-001-D	9/26/2013	The City of Auburn, NY, Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to Provide documentation to justify the \$177,923 unsupported difference between the City's CDBG program income balance in IDIS and its bank account balances as of June 30, 2013. Any portion of the unsupported difference determined to be ineligible should be reimbursed from non-Federal funds.	9/15/2015
2013-NY-1010-001-E	9/26/2013	The City of Auburn, NY, Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct City officials to develop a comprehensive overall CDBG policies and procedures manual to ensure that City officials adequately administer the City's CDBG program in accordance with HUD regulations. Specifically, the City should ensure that program income is accurately accounted for and reported to HUD in a timely manner.	9/18/2015
2013-FW-1805-001-G	9/26/2013	The Malakoff Housing Authority, Malakoff, TX, Did Not Have Sufficient Controls Over Its Public Housing Programs, Including Its Recovery Act Funds	Under Repayment Agreement	Support or repay \$135,995 for unsupported procurement expenditures. The funds should be repaid to the Authority's public housing program. However, if the Authority made any of the expenditures from its 2008 capital fund grant, or if the Authority is unable to determine the source of funds used to pay expenditures, the Authority should repay HUD. Any repayments must be from non-Federal funds.	4/30/2036

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2013-FW-1805-001-D	9/26/2013	The Malakoff Housing Authority, Malakoff, TX, Did Not Have Sufficient Controls Over Its Public Housing Programs, Including Its Recovery Act Funds	In Process	Support or repay \$42,150 in unsupported additional compensation paid to Authority staff. The funds should be repaid to the Authority's public housing program. However, if the Authority made any of the expenditures from its 2008 capital fund grant, or if the Authority is unable to determine the source of funds used to pay expenditures, the Authority should repay HUD. Any repayments must be from non-Federal funds.	4/30/2036
2013-FW-1805-001-C	9/26/2013	The Malakoff Housing Authority, Malakoff, TX, Did Not Have Sufficient Controls Over Its Public Housing Programs, Including Its Recovery Act Funds	In Process	Support or repay \$31,513 in unsupported equipment and supplies costs. The funds should be repaid to the Authority's public housing program. However, if the Authority made any of the expenditures from its 2008 capital fund grant, or if the Authority is unable to determine the source of funds used to pay expenditures, the Authority should repay HUD. Any repayments must be from non-Federal funds.	4/30/2036
2013-FW-1805-001-B	9/26/2013	The Malakoff Housing Authority, Malakoff, TX, Did Not Have Sufficient Controls Over Its Public Housing Programs, Including Its Recovery Act Funds	Under Repayment Agreement	Repay \$65,360 paid from Recovery Act funds to the executive director's and another Authority employee's family members to HUD for its transmission to the U.S. Treasury. Repayment must be from non-Federal funds.	4/30/2036
2013-FW-1805-001-A	9/26/2013	The Malakoff Housing Authority, Malakoff, TX, Did Not Have Sufficient Controls Over Its Public Housing Programs, Including Its Recovery Act Funds	Under Repayment Agreement	Repay \$287,655 paid to the executive director's and another Authority employee's family members to its public housing program. However, if the Authority made any of the expenditures from its 2008 capital fund grant, or if the Authority is unable to determine the source of funds used to pay expenditures, the Authority should repay HUD. Any repayments must be from non-Federal funds.	4/30/2036
2013-LA-1010-001-E	9/20/2013	The City of Hawthorne, CA, Did Not Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program Cost Allocations in Accordance With HUD Rules and Requirements	In Process	Provide adequate training to CDBG employees so that the employees have a better understanding and knowledge of administering the CDBG program in accordance with HUD rules and requirements.	12/23/2014
2013-LA-1010-001-A	9/20/2013	The City of Hawthorne, CA, Did Not Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program Cost Allocations in Accordance With HUD Rules and Requirements	In Process	Provide adequate supporting documentation for the \$1,628,130 in unsupported salary and benefit costs or repay the CDBG program from non-Federal funds.	12/23/2014
2013-KC-0004-001-B	9/18/2013	HUD Paid Claims That Lacked Contact or Collection Activities With Coborrowers	In Process	Enhance data collection to begin collecting information on whether each coborrower will occupy the subject property as well as the addresses and phone numbers of each coborrower.	1/1/2021

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2013-LA-1009-001-B	9/13/2013	The City of Hawthorne Inappropriately Used Nearly \$1.6 Million in HOME Funds for Section 8 Tenants	In Process	Develop and implement written policies and procedures for its HOME program.	12/23/2014
2013-LA-1009-001-A	9/13/2013	The City of Hawthorne Inappropriately Used Nearly \$1.6 Million in HOME Funds for Section 8 Tenants	In Process	Reimburse its HOME program \$1,595,113 from non-Federal funds for HOME funds that were inappropriately used on Section 8 housing assistance payments.	12/23/2014
2013-AT-1007-001-B	9/13/2013	Lighthouse Inn, Pompano Beach, FL, an Assisted Living Facility Insured Under Section 232, Violated Its Executed Regulatory Agreement	Under Repayment Agreement	Require the owner of Lighthouse Inn to provide documentation to support the \$208,154 in unsupported disbursements cited in this report or reimburse HUD's Federal Housing Administration insurance fund for the applicable portion.	6/3/2024
2013-AT-1007-001-A	9/13/2013	Lighthouse Inn, Pompano Beach, FL, an Assisted Living Facility Insured Under Section 232, Violated Its Executed Regulatory Agreement	Under Repayment Agreement	Require the owner of Lighthouse Inn to reimburse HUD's Federal Housing Administration insurance fund \$146,983 for the ineligible disbursements cited in this report.	6/3/2024
2013-KC-0003-001-C	9/10/2013	HUD Did Not Have Effective Controls To Ensure That Lenders Reported Defaults Accurately and in a Timely Manner	In Process	Develop and implement additional system error checks to identify potential reporting issues.	7/31/2021
2013-LA-1008-003-B	8/20/2013	The Lending Company, Inc., Phoenix, AZ, Did Not Always Comply With FHA Underwriting and Quality Control Program Requirements	Pending Judicial Review	Provide training to ensure that its quality control staff is aware of HUD's quality control program requirements.	6/30/2020
2013-LA-1008-003-A	8/20/2013	The Lending Company, Inc., Phoenix, AZ, Did Not Always Comply With FHA Underwriting and Quality Control Program Requirements	Pending Judicial Review	Fully implement its quality control plan and provide HUD with periodic reports for 12 months to ensure that its quality control reviews, to include early payment defaults, are conducted in accordance with HUD requirements.	6/30/2020
2013-LA-1008-002-C	8/20/2013	The Lending Company, Inc., Phoenix, AZ, Did Not Always Comply With FHA Underwriting and Quality Control Program Requirements	Pending Judicial Review	Pay down the principal balance by \$1,101 for the one overinsured loan as a result of an excessive seller contribution.	6/30/2020
2013-LA-1008-002-B	8/20/2013	The Lending Company, Inc., Phoenix, AZ, Did Not Always Comply With FHA Underwriting and Quality Control Program Requirements	Pending Judicial Review	Reimburse the FHA insurance fund for the \$421,630 See appendix F. in actual losses resulting from the amount of claims and associated expenses paid on five loans with material underwriting deficiencies.	6/30/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2013-LA-1008-001-D	8/20/2013	The Lending Company, Inc., Phoenix, AZ, Did Not Always Comply With FHA Underwriting and Quality Control Program Requirements	Pending Judicial Review	Support or repay the FHA insurance fund \$5,450 for the loss mitigation claims ¹⁵ paid as of April 30, 2013, on seven loans 022-2192845, 023-3720644, 023-3766993, 023-4010358, 023-4081269, 023-4135502, and 023-4485740 that contained an unallowable gift. See appendix E.	6/30/2020
2013-LA-1008-001-C	8/20/2013	The Lending Company, Inc., Phoenix, AZ, Did Not Always Comply With FHA Underwriting and Quality Control Program Requirements	Pending Judicial Review	Reimburse the FHA insurance fund for the \$284,412 in actual losses resulting from the amount of claims and associated expenses paid on seven loans that contained an unallowable gift. See appendixes D and E.	6/30/2020
2013-LA-1008-001-B	8/20/2013	The Lending Company, Inc., Phoenix, AZ, Did Not Always Comply With FHA Underwriting and Quality Control Program Requirements	Pending Judicial Review	Indemnify HUD against losses for the 725 FHA-insured loans with an unallowable gift in the amount of \$97.3 million, thereby putting an estimated loss to HUD of \$55.4 million to better use. See appendixes D and E.	6/30/2020
2013-LA-1008-002-A	8/20/2013	The Lending Company, Inc., Phoenix, AZ, Did Not Always Comply With FHA Underwriting and Quality Control Program Requirements	Pending Judicial Review	Determine legal sufficiency and if legally sufficient, pursue civil and administrative remedies (31 U.S.C. 3801-3812, 3729, or both), civil money penalties (24 CFR 30.35), or both against The Lending Company, its principals, or both for incorrectly certifying to the integrity of the data or that due diligence was exercised during the origination of five loans that resulted in actual losses of \$421,630, which could result in affirmative civil enforcement action of approximately \$880,760. Double damages for actual loss amounts related to five loans (\$421,630) plus a fine of \$7,500 each for the five loans with material underwriting deficiencies (($\$421,630 \times 2$) + ($\$7,500 \times 5$) = \$880,760).	6/30/2020
2013-LA-1008-001-A	8/20/2013	The Lending Company, Inc., Phoenix, AZ, Did Not Always Comply With FHA Underwriting and Quality Control Program Requirements	Pending Judicial Review	Determine legal sufficiency and if legally sufficient, pursue civil and administrative remedies (31 U.S.C. 3801-3812, 3729, or both), civil money penalties (24 CFR (Code of Federal Regulations) 30.35), or both against The Lending Company, its principals, or both for incorrectly certifying to the integrity of the data, the mortgage eligibility for FHA mortgage insurance, or that due diligence was exercised during the origination of 732 loans that resulted in actual losses of \$284,412 on 7 loans and potential losses of \$55.4 million on 725 loans for a total loss of \$55.7 million, which could result in affirmative civil enforcement action of approximately \$116.9 million. Double damages for actual loss amounts related to 7 loans and potential losses to 725 loans ($\$284,412 + \$55,439,896$) plus a fine of \$7,500 each for the 725 loans with unallowable gifts (($\$55,724,308 \times 2$) + ($\$7,500 \times 732$) = \$116,938,616).	6/30/2020
2013-KC-1003-001-B	8/8/2013	The Temtor Disbursed Project Funds for Ineligible and Unsupported Expenses	Pending Investigation	Support the \$316,883 disbursed for unsupported costs or return the funds to the project operating account.	11/12/2019

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2013-KC-1003-001-A	8/8/2013	The Temtor Disbursed Project Funds for Ineligible and Unsupported Expenses	Pending Investigation	Return the \$401,705 in ineligible disbursements to the project operating account.	11/12/2019
2013-CH-1004-001-C	8/1/2013	The Inkster Housing Commission, Inkster, MI, Did Not Follow HUD's Requirements and Its Own Policies Regarding the Administration of Its Programs	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to support or reimburse its program \$425,193 (\$19,924 + \$384,755 in housing assistance payments + \$46 in utility allowances + \$20,468 in associated administrative fees) from non-Federal funds for the unsupported overpayment of housing assistance and utility allowances due to unsupported calculations, missing eligibility documentations, and discrepancies in the housing assistance payments register.	3/31/2020
2013-AT-1006-001-D	7/23/2013	The Puerto Rico Housing Finance Authority Did Not Always Comply With HOME Requirements	Pending Judicial Review	Submit all supporting documentation showing the eligibility and propriety of \$89,331 in unaccounted for program income and recaptured funds or reimburse the HOME program from non-Federal funds.	10/19/2019
2013-AT-1006-001-C	7/23/2013	The Puerto Rico Housing Finance Authority Did Not Always Comply With HOME Requirements	Pending Judicial Review	Remit to its treasury account and put to better use repayment funds totaling \$130,915 in accordance with HUD requirements.	10/19/2019
2013-PH-1804-001-D	7/22/2013	Review of the Administration of HUD Funds by Brownsville Apartments, Brownsville, PA	Pending Judicial Review	Declare the project in default of its regulatory agreement and apply remedies available under the regulatory agreement up to and including foreclosure.	11/19/2020
2013-PH-1804-001-C	7/22/2013	Review of the Administration of HUD Funds by Brownsville Apartments, Brownsville, PA	Pending Judicial Review	Require the owner to calculate and support the amount of funds required to be on deposit in the tenant security deposit account and deposit funds into the account, if needed, so that the balance in the account is equal to or exceeds the amount of funds required to be in the account.	11/19/2020
2013-PH-1804-001-B	7/22/2013	Review of the Administration of HUD Funds by Brownsville Apartments, Brownsville, PA	Pending Judicial Review	Require the owner to provide documentation to support the \$709,753 in unsupported disbursements identified by the audit or reimburse the project's reserve for replacement account from non-Federal funds for any disbursements that it cannot support.	11/19/2020
2013-PH-1804-001-A	7/22/2013	Review of the Administration of HUD Funds by Brownsville Apartments, Brownsville, PA	Pending Judicial Review	Require the owner to deposit \$138,862 from non-Federal funds into the project's reserve for replacement account for the ineligible costs identified by the audit.	11/19/2020
2013-CH-1003-001-A	7/15/2013	The Stark Metropolitan Housing Authority, Canton, OH, Did Not Follow HUD's Requirements and Its Own Policies Regarding the Administration of Its Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Cleveland Office of Public Housing require the Authority to reimburse its capital fund \$3,569,942 (\$3,319,942 + \$250,000) from non-Federal funds for the ineligible drawdowns and disbursements cited in this finding.	10/1/2027

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2013-CH-1003-001-D	7/15/2013	The Stark Metropolitan Housing Authority, Canton, OH, Did Not Follow HUD's Requirements and Its Own Policies Regarding the Administration of Its Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Cleveland Office of Public Housing require the Authority to provide supporting documentation or reimburse its operating reserve fund \$4,003,683 (\$3,610,666 + \$227,500 + \$111,856 + \$39,189 + \$14,472) from non-Federal funds for the unsupported salary and benefit payments; operating contribution payments; and lawn maintenance, snow removal, utility, and training costs for the developments and program cited in this finding.	3/1/2056
2013-CH-1003-001-B	7/15/2013	The Stark Metropolitan Housing Authority, Canton, OH, Did Not Follow HUD's Requirements and Its Own Policies Regarding the Administration of Its Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Cleveland Office of Public Housing require the Authority to reimburse its operating reserve fund \$2,773,976 (\$2,583,429 + \$190,547) from non-Federal funds for the inappropriate transfers to its cost center and salary and benefit payments cited in this finding.	3/1/2056
2013-KC-0002-001-D	6/26/2013	HUD Did Not Enforce the Reporting Requirements of Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 for Public Housing Authorities	In Process	Resolve issues with the Office of CPD and complete the process to publish final regulations for 24 CFR Part 135.	1/30/2015
2013-FW-1802-001-D	6/21/2013	The Idabel Housing Authority, Idabel, OK, Did Not Comply With HUD Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	Support or repay its public housing programs \$180,379 for HUD funds inappropriately used for Sunnybrook. Repayment should be from non-Federal funds.	1/28/2020
2013-FW-1006-002-C	6/19/2013	The Management and Board of Commissioners of the Harris County Housing Authority Mismanaged the Authority	Under Repayment Agreement	Repay from non-Federal funds the \$3,811,279 it owes HUD for ineligible expenditures from DHAP-Ike funds.	10/3/2020
2013-FW-1006-003-B	6/19/2013	The Management and Board of Commissioners of the Harris County Housing Authority Mismanaged the Authority	Under Repayment Agreement	Determine whether it received the services for which it paid \$582,595 under two contracts that violated conflict-of-interest prohibitions and that those services cost the same or less than comparable services from a source without a conflict-of-interest relationship with the Authority. If it used Federal funds to pay for the services and it either did not receive the services or paid more for them than it would have paid from a source without a conflict-of-interest relationship, it should repay the funds to its appropriate program or HUD.	10/4/2020
2013-FW-1006-002-E	6/19/2013	The Management and Board of Commissioners of the Harris County Housing Authority Mismanaged the Authority	Under Repayment Agreement	Determine how much of the \$7,010,079 in unreimbursed expenditures for damage assessment was paid with Federal funds and repay that amount to the appropriate program. If the Authority is unable to accurately determine the amount due to-due from each program and support that funds charged to Federal programs were appropriate, the full \$7,010,079 should be repaid to HUD.	10/4/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2013-FW-1006-002-D	6/19/2013	The Management and Board of Commissioners of the Harris County Housing Authority Mismanaged the Authority	Under Repayment Agreement	Determine how much of the \$2,827,829 in unreimbursed expenditures for Cypresswood Estates was made with Federal funds and repay that amount to the appropriate program. If the Authority is unable to accurately determine the amount due to-due from each program and support that funds charged to Federal programs were appropriate, the full \$2,827,829 should be repaid to HUD.	10/4/2020
2013-FW-1006-001-A	6/19/2013	The Management and Board of Commissioners of the Harris County Housing Authority Mismanaged the Authority	Under Repayment Agreement	Provide support showing that the \$2,466,779 in unsupported expenses, shown in appendix C to this report, either were paid from non-Federal funds or provide support showing the expenses were an eligible use of Federal funds. Any unsupported expenditures from Federal funds should be repaid to the Authority's Housing Choice Voucher program or to HUD if the Authority is unable to determine the source of funds used to pay the expenses. Any repayments must be from non-Federal funds.	10/6/2020
2013-NY-1006-003-C	5/13/2013	Nassau County, NY, Did Not Administer It's HOME Investment Partnerships Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New York Office of CPD instruct County officials to develop controls that will ensure that the County's decentralized record-keeping system is centralized for ready access to HOME documents.	9/5/2014
2013-NY-1006-002-D	5/13/2013	Nassau County, NY, Did Not Administer It's HOME Investment Partnerships Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New York Office of CPD instruct County officials to develop controls to ensure that the County's recently established debarment verification procedures are implemented for all future procurement activity.	9/5/2014
2013-NY-1006-002-A	5/13/2013	Nassau County, NY, Did Not Administer It's HOME Investment Partnerships Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New York Office of CPD instruct County officials to document their application review committee membership and provide evidence of the committee meetings and their evaluation and rating of subrecipients to fully support their funding recommendations.	9/5/2014
2013-NY-1006-001-D	5/13/2013	Nassau County, NY, Did Not Administer It's HOME Investment Partnerships Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New York Office of CPD instruct County officials to provide documentation to justify the \$1,264 in unsupported project delivery costs. Any unsupported costs determined to be ineligible should be reimbursed from non-Federal funds.	9/5/2014
2013-NY-1006-001-C	5/13/2013	Nassau County, NY, Did Not Administer It's HOME Investment Partnerships Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New York Office of CPD instruct County officials to terminate the contract between the County and the Village of Freeport to rehabilitate and construct single-family public housing units to be sold to low-income residents. The remaining contract balance of \$31,470 should be put to better use by reprogramming it for other eligible purposes.	9/5/2014
2013-NY-1006-001-B	5/13/2013	Nassau County, NY, Did Not Administer It's HOME Investment Partnerships Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New York Office of CPD instruct County officials to reimburse from non-Federal funds \$78,530 for ineligible home-buyer rehabilitation and demolition costs charged to the HOME program.	9/5/2014

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2013-NY-1006-001-A	5/13/2013	Nassau County, NY, Did Not Administer It's HOME Investment Partnerships Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New York Office of CPD instruct County officials to provide documentation to justify the \$189,322 in unsupported administrative and planning costs that was disbursed for employee salaries and fringe benefits. Any unsupported costs determined to be ineligible should be reimbursed from non-Federal funds.	9/5/2014
2013-HA-0001-002-C	4/16/2013	HUD's Oversight of the Wage Restitution and Deposit Account Needs Improvement	In Process	Work with the Office of the CIO to improve the deposit module's reporting capabilities so that Labor Relations staff is able to report and analyze the deposit account transactions and taxes or replace the system.	11/30/2016
2013-AT-1003-002-B	3/22/2013	The Municipality of Arecibo, PR, Did Not Always Ensure Compliance With Community Development Block Grant Program Requirements	In Process	Require the Municipality to provide support showing the allocability and eligibility of \$1,077,577 spent on salaries and fringe benefits for employees who performed local government duties and multiple federally funded activities without properly allocating the costs directly related to carrying out each activity. Any amounts determined ineligible must be reimbursed to the Block Grant program from non-federal funds.	5/31/2014
2013-AT-1003-002-A	3/22/2013	The Municipality of Arecibo, PR, Did Not Always Ensure Compliance With Community Development Block Grant Program Requirements	In Process	Require the Municipality to reimburse from non-federal funds \$552,658 in unallowable and unallocated costs associated with the disbursement of salaries and fringe benefits of employees who did not perform duties directly related to carrying out activities charged with the program delivery costs.	5/31/2014
2013-LA-1003-001-C	3/14/2013	Bay Vista Methodist Heights, San Diego, CA, Violated Its Agreement With HUD When Administering Its Trust Funds	In Process	Repay \$14,250 in ineligible expenses to the trust fund from non-Federal funds.	12/31/2019
2013-LA-1003-001-B	3/14/2013	Bay Vista Methodist Heights, San Diego, CA, Violated Its Agreement With HUD When Administering Its Trust Funds	In Process	Provide support showing the eligibility and reasonableness of the \$1,056,252 in trust funds disbursed or repay the trust fund from non-Federal funds.	12/31/2019
2013-LA-1003-001-A	3/14/2013	Bay Vista Methodist Heights, San Diego, CA, Violated Its Agreement With HUD When Administering Its Trust Funds	In Process	Repay the \$5,178,293, transferred without proper HUD approval and used for ineligible expenses, to the trust fund from non-Federal funds.	12/31/2019
2013-BO-0001-002-B	2/12/2013	HUD's Proposed HOME Regulations Generally Addressed Systemic Deficiencies, but Field Office Monitoring and Data Validation Need Improvement	In Process	Develop and implement formal procedures to continually assess the effectiveness and completeness of field office data monitoring efforts using GMP monitoring data to include (1) verifying that HOME data are tested, (2) analyzing results to determine whether program data as a whole are reliable and to identify systemic data issues or issues that should be addressed, and (3) verifying that findings are corrected in a timely manner and monitoring is complete.	5/29/2015

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2013-BO-0001-001-B	2/12/2013	HUD's Proposed HOME Regulations Generally Addressed Systemic Deficiencies, but Field Office Monitoring and Data Validation Need Improvement	In Process	Develop and implement procedures to evaluate the field office testing of non-high-risk grantees to ensure the soundness of risk assessments and obtain early warning of potential deficiencies as provided for in HUD CPD Notice 12-02.	10/31/2015
2013-BO-0001-001-A	2/12/2013	HUD's Proposed HOME Regulations Generally Addressed Systemic Deficiencies, but Field Office Monitoring and Data Validation Need Improvement	In Process	Develop and implement comprehensive procedures to assess the effectiveness and completeness of monitoring efforts using metric or query data in the GMP database as detailed in this finding.	10/31/2015
2013-BO-0001-002-A	2/12/2013	HUD's Proposed HOME Regulations Generally Addressed Systemic Deficiencies, but Field Office Monitoring and Data Validation Need Improvement	In Process	Develop and implement a quality control system to validate HOME program data recorded in the Integrated Disbursement and Information System by using field office monitoring data in the GMP database or some other auditable method, such as statistical sampling and testing of key program data.	6/30/2016
2013-AT-1001-001-A	11/30/2012	The Municipality of Ponce, PR, Did Not Always Ensure Compliance With HOME Investment Partnerships Program Requirements	In Process	Develop and implement a financial management system in accordance with HUD requirements.	3/14/2014
2013-FO-0003-003-C	11/15/2012	Additional Details To Supplement Our Report on HUD's Fiscal Years 2012 and 2011 Financial Statements	In Process	Develop and implement formal financial management policies and procedures to require an annual evaluation by OCFO and applicable program offices of all allowance for loss rates and other significant estimates currently in use to ensure appropriateness.	11/29/2013
2013-PH-1001-001-A	10/31/2012	Luzerne County, PA, Did Not Properly Evaluate, Underwrite, and Monitor a High-Risk Loan	In Process	Reimburse its business development loan program \$5,999,894 from non-Federal funds for the ineligible expenditures related to the Hotel Sterling project.	1/31/2014
2012-CH-1013-001-A	9/27/2012	The Flint Housing Commission, Flint, MI, Did Not Always Administer Its Grants in Accordance With Recovery Act, HUD's, and Its Own Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to reimburse HUD \$3,120,000 from non-Federal funds for transmission to the U.S. Treasury for its four Recovery Act grant funds for category 4, option 2 of HUD's Notice of Funding Availability, dated June 3, 2009, whose activities were not contracted using full and open competition.	11/29/2019
2012-CH-1012-001-K	9/27/2012	The Saginaw Housing Commission, Saginaw, MI, Did Not Always Administer Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher program in Accordance With HUD's and Its Own Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing determine the amount of the \$573,170 of coordinator grant funds that were actually earned by the Commission for meeting the program's requirements. The funds that are determined to be unearned should be reimbursed to HUD from non-Federal funds.	5/30/2021

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2012-CH-1012-001-A	9/27/2012	The Saginaw Housing Commission, Saginaw, MI, Did Not Always Administer Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher program in Accordance With HUD's and Its Own Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to reimburse its program \$21,650 from non-Federal funds for the overpayment of escrow funds to the participants cited in this finding.	1/1/2023
2012-CH-1012-001-H	9/27/2012	The Saginaw Housing Commission, Saginaw, MI, Did Not Always Administer Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher program in Accordance With HUD's and Its Own Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to provide supporting documentation or reimburse its program \$17,008 from non-Federal funds for the unsupported payments cited in this finding.	1/1/2039
2012-NY-1011-002-B	8/15/2012	City of Elizabeth, New Jersey Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With Regulations	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct City Officials to provide documentation to enable HUD to determine whether the City is entitled to additional program income of \$263,938 from the disposition of the Church property.	10/15/2019
2012-NY-1011-002-A	8/15/2012	City of Elizabeth, New Jersey Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With Regulations	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Newark, NJ, Office of CPD instruct City Officials to reimburse the City's CDBG line of credit \$475,339 from non-Federal funds for the unreported (\$267,141) and unremitted (\$208,198) in program income from the sale of the theater and four properties; and record these reimbursements in HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System as CDBG program income.	10/15/2019
2012-PH-1011-001-G	8/3/2012	Prince George's County, Largo, MD, Generally Did Not Administer Its HOME Program in Accordance With Federal Requirements	In Process	Provide adequate documentation for \$86,575 in salaries and office expenses related to downpayment assistance activities or repay the Program from non-Federal funds.	11/30/2013
2012-PH-1011-001-F	8/3/2012	Prince George's County, Largo, MD, Generally Did Not Administer Its HOME Program in Accordance With Federal Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	Provide adequate documentation to show that affordability requirements were met for three rental projects or repay the Program \$1,272,325 from non-Federal funds.	9/30/2020
2012-PH-1011-001-C	8/3/2012	Prince George's County, Largo, MD, Generally Did Not Administer Its HOME Program in Accordance With Federal Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	Repay the Program \$1,235,940 from non-Federal funds for funds disbursed for a project that was acquired but not completed.	9/30/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2012-PH-1011-001-B	8/3/2012	Prince George's County, Largo, MD, Generally Did Not Administer Its HOME Program in Accordance With Federal Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	Repay the Program the \$1,025,654 it was previously asked to repay for funds disbursed to an ineligible development organization.	9/30/2020
2012-PH-1011-001-A	8/3/2012	Prince George's County, Largo, MD, Generally Did Not Administer Its HOME Program in Accordance With Federal Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	Repay the Program \$150,000 from non-Federal funds for operating funds it disbursed to two ineligible development organizations.	9/30/2020
2012-CH-1009-003-A	8/3/2012	The Hammond Housing Authority, Hammond, IN, Did Not Administer Its Recovery Act Grants in Accordance With Recovery Act, HUD's, and Its Own Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the program center coordinator of HUD's Office of PIH require the Authority to provide documentation to support HUD's approval of its waiver requests or reimburse HUD \$46,828 from non-Federal funds for transmission to the U.S. Treasury for the Recovery Act grant funds used to purchase materials manufactured outside the United States.	2/1/2026
2012-AO-1002-002-I	7/30/2012	The Jefferson Parish Housing Authority, Marrero, LA, Violated Federal Regulations	Pending Investigation	Take appropriate administrative sanctions against the executive director, including but not limited to debarment from HUD programs, for the disbursement of Federal funds prohibited by HUD requirements.	9/28/2020
2012-AT-1012-001-A	6/1/2012	The Housing Authority of the City of Hickory, NC, Mismanaged Some of Its HUD Funds	Under Repayment Agreement	Require the Authority to reimburse its public housing operating fund \$522,125 using non-Federal funds.	1/31/2033
2012-AT-1009-001-A	5/23/2012	The Municipality of Bayamón, PR, Did Not Always Ensure Compliance With HOME Investment Partnerships Program Requirements	In Process	Require the Municipality to develop and implement a financial management system in accordance with HUD requirements and ensure that \$3,213,572 in HOME funds drawn from HUD between July 1, 2009, and December 31, 2011, can be traced to a level which ensures that such funds have not been used in violation of the restrictions and prohibitions of applicable statutes or reimburse the HOME program from non-Federal funds.(Footnote 2) Total disbursements of \$3,523,723 were adjusted to consider \$173,978 questioned in recommendation 1B, \$86,567 questioned in recommendation 1D, and \$49,606 questioned in recommendation 2B.	8/21/2013
2012-AT-1009-002-B	5/23/2012	The Municipality of Bayamón, PR, Did Not Always Ensure Compliance With HOME Investment Partnerships Program Requirements	In Process	Determine the eligibility of the \$537,773 disbursed for the Ciudad de Ensueño project and reevaluate the feasibility of the activity. (Footnote 9) Total disbursements of \$538,973 were adjusted to consider \$1,200 questioned in recommendation 1F. The Municipality must reimburse its HOME program from non-Federal funds if HUD determines the activity to have been terminated.	9/5/2013
2012-AT-1009-001-C	5/23/2012	The Municipality of Bayamón, PR, Did Not Always Ensure Compliance With HOME Investment Partnerships Program Requirements	In Process	Require the Municipality to submit supporting documentation showing the allocability and eligibility of \$114,139 charged to the HOME program for project delivery costs or reimburse the program from non-Federal funds.	9/5/2013

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2012-LA-1006-003-A	5/21/2012	Amar Plaza, La Puente, CA, Was Not Administered in Accordance With HUD Rules and Regulations	Under Repayment Agreement	Establish and implement sufficient written procedures and controls for documenting shareholder interest and the funding and maintenance of the general operating reserve account, which would ensure that \$127,303 in funds can be put to better use.	12/10/2020
2012-LA-1006-002-B	5/21/2012	Amar Plaza, La Puente, CA, Was Not Administered in Accordance With HUD Rules and Regulations	Under Repayment Agreement	Require the project's cooperative ownership to support \$133,904 in unsupported costs or repay the project from non-Federal funds.	12/10/2020
2012-LA-1006-002-A	5/21/2012	Amar Plaza, La Puente, CA, Was Not Administered in Accordance With HUD Rules and Regulations	Under Repayment Agreement	Require the project's cooperative ownership to repay the operating account the \$4,921 in ineligible or unreasonable expenses from non-Federal funds.	12/10/2020
2012-LA-1006-001-C	5/21/2012	Amar Plaza, La Puente, CA, Was Not Administered in Accordance With HUD Rules and Regulations	Under Repayment Agreement	Require Amar Plaza to seek indemnification of \$75,038 in paid legal costs, \$72,246 in outstanding costs, and future legal costs from the two shareholders who improperly executed the deed and note and named Rampart as the beneficiary and retain the two shareholders' subscription price for liquidated damages incurred for the unauthorized encumbrance on the project.	12/10/2020
2012-BO-1002-001-J	3/14/2012	The Housing Authority of the City of Stamford, CT, Did Not Properly Administer and Oversee the Operations of Its Federal Programs	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Program Center Coordinator of the Hartford Office of Public Housing support that \$2,506,434 in contract costs charged to Federal programs was reasonable and supportable, and repay any amounts it cannot support with non-Federal funds.	8/1/2020
2012-KC-1002-002-B	3/2/2012	The East St. Louis Housing Authority Did Not Properly Manage or Report on Recovery Act Capital Funds	Under Repayment Agreement	Support that \$304,780 in Recovery Act change orders was provided at a reasonable cost and repay any amount determined to be unreasonable from non-Federal funds to the U.S. Treasury.	10/1/2044
2012-KC-1002-001-A	3/2/2012	The East St. Louis Housing Authority Did Not Properly Manage or Report on Recovery Act Capital Funds	Under Repayment Agreement	Support that \$1,570,038 in Recovery Act contracts awarded was granted at a reasonable cost and repay the U.S. Treasury from non-Federal funds any amount determined to be unreasonable.	10/1/2044
2012-CH-1002-003-B	1/26/2012	The Saginaw Housing Commission, Saginaw, MI, Did Not Administer Its Grant in Accordance With Recovery Act, HUD's, and Its Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to reimburse \$22,430 (\$16,335 + \$6,095) from non-Federal funds to HUD for transmission to the U.S. Treasury for the projects that had Section 3 funds included but not remitted to the Commission's Section 3 training fund.	7/1/2023
2012-CH-1002-006-A	1/26/2012	The Saginaw Housing Commission, Saginaw, MI, Did Not Administer Its Grant in Accordance With Recovery Act, HUD's, and Its Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to reimburse \$11,289 (the difference between the contract paid price of \$33,638 and the lesser calculated cost of \$ 22,349) from non-Federal funds to HUD for transmission to the U.S. Treasury for the cost savings cited in this finding.	1/1/2039

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2012-LA-0001-001-A	11/16/2011	HUD Did Not Adequately Support the Reasonableness of the Fee-for-Service Amounts or Monitor the Amounts Charged	In Process	Establish and implement procedures to reassess the safe harbor percentage and rates periodically to ensure that they are reasonable. HUD should retain the documentation justifying the calculation of those percentages and rates. In addition, HUD should assess the feasibility of requiring the agencies to periodically justify and retain documentation showing the reasonableness of using the maximum rates, or lower them as appropriate.	5/29/2020
2012-PH-0001-001-B	10/31/2011	HUD Needed to Improve Its Use of Its Integrated Disbursement and Information System To Oversee Its Community Development Block Grant Program	In Process	Direct responsible grantees to justify the use of \$66,849,658 that it disbursed for cancelled Block Grant program activities or repay HUD from non-Federal funds.	12/31/2012
2012-NY-1002-001-B	10/18/2011	The City of New York, NY, Charged Questionable Expenditures to Its Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing Program	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New York City Office of CPD instruct City officials to provide documentation to justify the \$329,937 in unsupported salary costs incurred between June and September 2010. Any unsupported costs determined to be ineligible should be reimbursed from non-Federal funds.	12/31/2012
2012-NY-1002-001-A	10/18/2011	The City of New York, NY, Charged Questionable Expenditures to Its Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing Program	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's New York City Office of CPD instruct City officials to reimburse from non-Federal funds \$93,436 for ineligible costs charged to HPRP; specifically, \$59,430 related to payments for rental arrears over the 6-month eligibility requirement and \$34,006 for payments issued directly to participants.	12/31/2012
2011-AT-1018-002-G	9/28/2011	The Municipality of San Juan, PR, Did Not Properly Manage Its HOME Investment Partnerships Program	In Process	Require the Municipality to develop and implement a financial management system in accordance with HUD requirements, including that HOME funds can be traced to a level which ensures that such funds have not been used in violation of the restrictions and prohibitions of applicable statutes and that funds are disbursed in a timely manner.	1/2/2013
2011-AT-1018-002-F	9/28/2011	The Municipality of San Juan, PR, Did Not Properly Manage Its HOME Investment Partnerships Program	In Process	Require the Municipality to update its accounting records and ensure that receipts and expenditures are properly accounted for, are reconciled with HUD's information system, and comply with HUD requirements.	1/2/2013
2011-AT-1018-002-D	9/28/2011	The Municipality of San Juan, PR, Did Not Properly Manage Its HOME Investment Partnerships Program	In Process	Require the Municipality to put to better use \$2,854,395 associated with unexpended funds maintained in its local bank account.	1/2/2013
2011-AT-1018-001-C	9/28/2011	The Municipality of San Juan, PR, Did Not Properly Manage Its HOME Investment Partnerships Program	In Process	Require the Municipality to reimburse its HOME program from non-Federal funds \$766,480 for disbursements associated with terminated activities that did not meet HOME objectives.	1/2/2013

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2011-AT-1018-001-A	9/28/2011	The Municipality of San Juan, PR, Did Not Properly Manage Its HOME Investment Partnerships Program	In Process	Determine the eligibility of the \$2,399,428 disbursed for four projects with signs of slow progress and reevaluate the feasibility of the activities. Total disbursements of \$3,483,086 were adjusted to consider \$713,008 questioned in recommendation 2C and \$370,650 in recommendation 2A. The Municipality must reimburse its HOME program from non-Federal funds for activities that HUD determines to have been terminated.	1/12/2013
2011-AT-1018-002-B	9/28/2011	The Municipality of San Juan, PR, Did Not Properly Manage Its HOME Investment Partnerships Program	In Process	Require the Municipality to submit supporting documentation showing the allocability of \$39,338 and any additional payroll costs charged to the HOME program between July 1, 2009, and June 30, 2011, associated with the three employees performing other functions not related to the program, or reimburse the program from non-Federal funds.	1/29/2018
2011-AT-1018-002-C	9/28/2011	The Municipality of San Juan, PR, Did Not Properly Manage Its HOME Investment Partnerships Program	In Process	Require the Municipality to reimburse the HOME program from non-Federal funds \$2,263,799 paid for ineligible costs.	9/12/2018
2011-CH-1012-004-B	8/9/2011	The Saginaw Housing Commission, Saginaw, MI, Did Not Fully Implement Prior Audit Recommendations and Continued To Use Its Public Housing Program Funds for Ineligible Purposes	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to reimburse its operating fund \$107,692 from non-Federal funds for ineligible payments cited in this finding.	2/1/2037
2011-CH-1012-004-A	8/9/2011	The Saginaw Housing Commission, Saginaw, MI, Did Not Fully Implement Prior Audit Recommendations and Continued To Use Its Public Housing Program Funds for Ineligible Purposes	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to reimburse its Capital Fund \$127,050 from non-Federal funds for the ineligible payments cited in this finding.	2/1/2037
2011-CH-1012-003-B	8/9/2011	The Saginaw Housing Commission, Saginaw, MI, Did Not Fully Implement Prior Audit Recommendations and Continued To Use Its Public Housing Program Funds for Ineligible Purposes	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to provide supporting documentation or reimburse its appropriate programs \$30,236 from non-Federal funds for the unsupported costs cited in this finding.	2/1/2037

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2011-CH-1012-003-A	8/9/2011	The Saginaw Housing Commission, Saginaw, MI, Did Not Fully Implement Prior Audit Recommendations and Continued To Use Its Public Housing Program Funds for Ineligible Purposes	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to reimburse its appropriate programs \$180,649 from non-Federal funds for the ineligible payments cited in this finding.	2/1/2037
2011-CH-1012-002-F	8/9/2011	The Saginaw Housing Commission, Saginaw, MI, Did Not Fully Implement Prior Audit Recommendations and Continued To Use Its Public Housing Program Funds for Ineligible Purposes	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to reimburse its Capital Fund \$13,085 from non-Federal funds for the inappropriately earned interest cited in this finding.	2/1/2037
2011-CH-1012-002-D	8/9/2011	The Saginaw Housing Commission, Saginaw, MI, Did Not Fully Implement Prior Audit Recommendations and Continued To Use Its Public Housing Program Funds for Ineligible Purposes	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to provide supporting documentation or reimburse its Capital Fund \$394,683 from non-Federal funds for the unsupported costs cited in this finding.	2/1/2037
2011-CH-1012-002-B	8/9/2011	The Saginaw Housing Commission, Saginaw, MI, Did Not Fully Implement Prior Audit Recommendations and Continued To Use Its Public Housing Program Funds for Ineligible Purposes	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to return the \$411,228 in excess capital fund draws cited in this finding.	2/1/2037
2011-CH-1012-002-A	8/9/2011	The Saginaw Housing Commission, Saginaw, MI, Did Not Fully Implement Prior Audit Recommendations and Continued To Use Its Public Housing Program Funds for Ineligible Purposes	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to reimburse its Capital Fund \$1,539,629 from non-Federal funds for the ineligible payments cited in this finding.	2/1/2037
2011-AO-0001-001-J	6/22/2011	The Lafayette Parish Housing Authority Violated HUD Procurement Requirements and Executed Unreasonable and Unnecessary Contracts	Under Repayment Agreement	As related to DHAP, support or repay from non-Federal funds any amounts that it cannot support for a total of \$435,442 to its operating fund, which includes (1) \$140,966 and \$96,525 disbursed to two DHAP case managers who were paid without an executed contract and for unsubstantiated, excessive salary increases; and (2) \$197,951 disbursed to the three DHAP case managers for unsubstantiated, excessive salary increases.	2/28/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2011-AO-0001-001-A	6/22/2011	The Lafayette Parish Housing Authority Violated HUD Procurement Requirements and Executed Unreasonable and Unnecessary Contracts	Under Repayment Agreement	Support or repay from non-Federal funds any amounts that it cannot support, including \$1,568,245 to its operating fund and \$973,126 to its capital fund paid for (1) contracts that were improperly procured, (2) contract overpayments, or (3) contract payments made outside of the contract effective dates.	2/28/2020
2011-FW-0002-001-E	4/26/2011	The Office of Healthcare Programs Could Increase Its Controls To More Effectively Monitor the Section 232 Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Review the \$756,833 in unsupported costs at the two properties identified in this report, determine their validity, and take appropriate action.	8/1/2024
2011-NY-1010-003-D	4/15/2011	The City of Buffalo Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We further recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct the City to develop administrative control procedures that will ensure compliance with CDBG program requirements, including ensuring that costs are eligible and necessary before being charged to the program.	1/24/2013
2011-NY-1010-003-C	4/15/2011	The City of Buffalo Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We further recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct the City to provide documentation to justify the \$716,622 (\$545,607 + \$24,069 + \$146,946) in unsupported clean and seal costs incurred so that HUD can make an eligibility determination. Any costs determined to be ineligible should be reimbursed from non-Federal funds.	1/24/2013
2011-NY-1010-003-B	4/15/2011	The City of Buffalo Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We further recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct the City to reimburse from non-Federal funds the \$304,506 related to ineligible clean and seal code enforcement costs.	1/24/2013
2011-NY-1010-003-A	4/15/2011	The City of Buffalo Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD require the City to suspend incurring costs and/or reimbursing itself for costs paid from the City's municipal general expense account for clean and seal activities until HUD determines whether the City has the capacity to carry out its CDBG clean and seal activities in compliance with HUD regulations. If it is determined that the City lacks the capacity, \$744,479 in fiscal year 2010 clean and seal program funds should be reprogrammed so the City can assure HUD that these funds will be put to better use.	1/24/2013
2011-NY-1010-002-C	4/15/2011	The City of Buffalo Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We further recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct the City to certify and provide support that the proper amount of CDBG assets was returned to the City from the subrecipient by performing an audit of the accounts that the Corporation maintained.	1/24/2013

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2011-NY-1010-001-C	4/15/2011	The City of Buffalo Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We further recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct the City to provide documentation to justify the \$1,982,988 in unsupported costs associated with street improvement expenditures incurred between June 2007 and October 2009. Any unsupported costs determined to be ineligible should be reimbursed from non-Federal funds.	1/24/2013
2011-NY-1010-001-B	4/15/2011	The City of Buffalo Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD reimburse from non-Federal funds \$162,923 (\$134,711+\$28,212) expended on ineligible costs pertaining to street improvement projects not done and a duplicate reimbursement.	1/24/2013
2011-NY-1010-002-B	4/15/2011	The City of Buffalo Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct the City to provide documentation to justify the \$20,143,219 (\$4,902,754 + \$15,240,465) in unsupported transactions recorded in the CDBG program income account. Any receipts determined to be unrecorded program income should be returned to the CDBG program, and any expenditures determined to be ineligible should be reimbursed from non-Federal funds.	6/30/2017
2011-NY-1010-002-D	4/15/2011	The City of Buffalo Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	Pending Investigation	We further recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD instruct the City to establish and implement controls that will ensure adequate monitoring of subrecipient-administered activities, that CDBG funds are properly safeguarded, the achievement of performance goals in subrecipient supported activities, and that corrective actions are taken for nonperforming subrecipients.	6/29/2020
2011-NY-1010-002-A	4/15/2011	The City of Buffalo Did Not Always Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance With HUD Requirements	Pending Investigation	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Buffalo Office of CPD require the City to suspend incurring costs and/or reimbursing itself for costs paid from the City's municipal general expense account for economic development activities until HUD determines whether the City has the capacity to carry out its CDBG economic development activities in compliance with HUD regulations. If it is determined that the City lacks the capacity, the \$4,739,829 in economic development projects funds remaining for fiscal years 2008, 2009, and 2010 should be reprogrammed so the City can assure HUD that these funds will be put to better use.	6/29/2020
2011-AT-1006-002-B	4/8/2011	The Municipality of Mayaguez, PR, Did Not Ensure Compliance With HOME Program Objectives	Under Repayment Agreement	Require the Municipality to submit all supporting documentation showing the eligibility and propriety of \$23,862 drawn from HUD or reimburse the HOME program from non-Federal funds.	9/30/2022
2011-AT-1006-002-A	4/8/2011	The Municipality of Mayaguez, PR, Did Not Ensure Compliance With HOME Program Objectives	Under Repayment Agreement	Require the Municipality to submit all supporting documentation showing the eligibility and propriety of \$1.5 million charged to the HOME program for the development of the Villas de Felisa housing project or reimburse the program from non-Federal funds.	9/30/2022

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2011-AT-1006-001-D	4/8/2011	The Municipality of Mayaguez, PR, Did Not Ensure Compliance With HOME Program Objectives	Under Repayment Agreement	Require the Municipality to reimburse its HOME treasury account or HUD, as appropriate, from non-Federal funds \$273,009 paid for land acquired for the Villas de Felisa housing project that did not provide the intended benefits.	9/30/2022
2011-AT-1006-001-C	4/8/2011	The Municipality of Mayaguez, PR, Did Not Ensure Compliance With HOME Program Objectives	Under Repayment Agreement	Require the Municipality to submit all supporting documentation showing the allowability and allocability of \$1,062,991 disbursed for participant families at the Villas de Felisa housing project or reimburse this amount to its HOME treasury account or HUD, as appropriate, from non-Federal funds. Footnote 6: Total disbursements of \$2,836,000 were adjusted to consider \$1,500,000 questioned in recommendation 2A and \$273,009ineligible in recommendation 1D.	9/30/2022
2011-AT-1006-001-A	4/8/2011	The Municipality of Mayaguez, PR, Did Not Ensure Compliance With HOME Program Objectives	Under Repayment Agreement	1A.Require the Municipality to reimburse its HOME treasury account or HUD, as appropriate, from non-Federal funds \$4,433,035 for disbursements associated with two activities that did not meet HOME program objectives. Footnote 5:Total disbursements of \$4,444,697 were adjusted to consider \$11,662 questioned in recommendation 2B.	9/30/2022
2011-CH-1006-001-A	3/23/2011	The DuPage Housing Authority, Wheaton, IL, Inappropriately Administered Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program	Pending Investigation	We recommend that the Director of HUD’s Chicago Office of Public Housing, in conjunction with the Director of HUD’s Departmental Enforcement Center take administrative action against the executive director and board of commissioners for failing to administer the Authority according to HUD’s and its own requirements.	4/9/2020
2011-CH-1006-003-A	3/23/2011	The DuPage Housing Authority, Wheaton, IL, Inappropriately Administered Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD’s Chicago Office of Public Housing require the Authority to reimburse its program \$2,828,244 (\$2,609,362 in housing assistance payments and \$218,882 in associated administrative fees) from non-Federal funds for the housing assistance payments and associated administrative fees for the 146 households cited in this finding.	11/1/2019
2011-CH-1006-002-A	3/23/2011	The DuPage Housing Authority, Wheaton, IL, Inappropriately Administered Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD’s Chicago Office of Public Housing require the Authority to reimburse its program \$2,303,853 from non-Federal funds for the unallowable transactions cited in this finding.	11/1/2019
2011-KC-1002-001-B	3/1/2011	The East St. Louis, IL Housing Authority Drew Capital Funds for Unsupported and Ineligible Expenses	In Process	Return the \$81,153 in ineligible draws to HUD subject to the 90 percent limitation on the obligation period and repay the remaining amount to the project from non-Federal funds.	8/31/2022
2011-KC-1002-001-A	3/1/2011	The East St. Louis, IL Housing Authority Drew Capital Funds for Unsupported and Ineligible Expenses	In Process	Provide support for the \$90,534 drawn for unsupported costs or return the funds to HUD subject to the 90 percent limitation on the obligation period and repay the remaining amount to the project from non-Federal funds. This support includes verifying that the Authority appropriately reimbursed the \$80,716 via voucher 092-51938.	8/31/2022

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2011-PH-1005-004-G	12/23/2010	The District of Columbia, Washington, DC, Did Not Administer Its HOME Program in Accordance With Federal Requirements	In Process	Establish a procedure, on an annual basis, on which to base future funds obligated for administrative costs on actual administrative expenses. This procedure will ensure that any amount in excess of actual expenditures is recommitted for use on eligible HOME projects.	4/22/2012
2011-PH-1005-003-B	12/23/2010	The District of Columbia, Washington, DC, Did Not Administer Its HOME Program in Accordance With Federal Requirements	In Process	Deobligate \$279,245 in available funds associated with the ineligible CHDO and reprogram the funds for other eligible HOME activities, thereby putting the funds to better use.	4/22/2012
2011-FO-0003-001-D	11/15/2010	Additional Details to Supplement Our Report on HUD's Fiscal Years 2010 and 2009 Financial Statements	In Process	Include as part of the annual CAPER, a reconciliation of HUD's grant management system, IDIS, to grantee financial accounting records on an individual annual grant basis, not cumulatively, for each annual grant awarded to the grantee.	6/15/2015
2010-NY-1806-001-A	9/22/2010	Security Atlantic Mortgage Company, Inc., Edison, NJ, Did Not Properly Underwrite a Selection of FHA Loans	Pending Investigation	We recommend that HUD's Associate OGC for Program Enforcement determine legal sufficiency and if legally sufficient, pursue remedies under the Program Fraud Civil Remedies Act (31 U.S.C 3801-3812) and/or civil money penalties (24 CFR 30.35) against Security Atlantic and/or its principals for incorrectly certifying to the integrity of the data or that due diligence was exercised during the underwriting of six loans that resulted in actual losses of \$452,217 on five loans and the potential loss of \$101,513 on one loan, which could result in affirmative civil enforcement action of approximately \$1,152,460. Double damages for actual loss amounts related to five loans (\$452,217) and the potential loss (\$101,513) related to one loan (\$553,730 x 2 = \$1,107,460) plus \$45,000, which is a \$7,500 fine for each of the six loans with material underwriting deficiencies.	6/29/2020
2010-AT-1011-001-E	8/25/2010	The Puerto Rico Department of Housing, San Juan, PR, Did Not Ensure Compliance With HOME Program Objectives	Pending Judicial Review and Under Repayment Agreement	Recapture any shortfalls generated by the closure and deobligation of funds associated with recommendations 1C and 1D that do not meet statutory requirements for the timely commitment and expenditure of funds pursuant to the National Defense Authorization Act of 1991 and/or Title II of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act, as amended.	10/19/2019
2010-AT-1011-001-B	8/25/2010	The Puerto Rico Department of Housing, San Juan, PR, Did Not Ensure Compliance With HOME Program Objectives	Pending Judicial Review and Under Repayment Agreement	Determine the eligibility of the \$9,027,082 disbursed for projects with signs of slow progress and reevaluate the feasibility of these activities. The Government of Puerto Rico must reimburse HUD from non-Federal funds for activities that HUD determines to have been terminated and reprogram and put to better use any unexpended funds associated with the terminated activities.	6/18/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2010-AT-1010-001-B	8/23/2010	The Housing Authority of DeKalb County Improperly Used Its Net Restricted Assets	Under Repayment Agreement	Require the Authority to reimburse the net restricted assets fund account from non-Federal funds the \$2,583,244 or the current amount owed.	10/1/2035
2010-AT-1009-001-B	8/13/2010	The Puerto Rico Public Housing Administration, San Juan, PR, Needs To Improve Its Procurement Procedures	Pending Judicial Review and Under Repayment Agreement	Require the authority to reimburse its operational fund account or HUD, as appropriate, from non-Federal funds \$3,576,521 paid for equipment that did not provide the intended benefits and/or was unaccounted for.	6/18/2020
2010-AT-1009-001-A	8/13/2010	The Puerto Rico Public Housing Administration, San Juan, PR, Needs To Improve Its Procurement Procedures	Pending Judicial Review and Under Repayment Agreement	Require the authority to provide support showing the eligibility and reasonableness of \$9,784,157 (Footnote 2: Total disbursements of \$13,371,572 were adjusted to consider \$3,576,521 questioned in recommendation 1B and \$10,894 questioned in recommendation 1C.) disbursed for the surveillance system and multifunction printers or reimburse this amount to its operational fund account or HUD, as appropriate, from non-Federal funds.	6/18/2020
2010-PH-1012-001-A	7/27/2010	The Harrisburg, PA, Housing Authority Did Not Procure Goods and Services in Accordance With HUD Regulations and Its Procurement Policy	Under Repayment Agreement	Provide documentation to support that payments for goods and services totaling \$1,736,962 were fair and reasonable or reimburse the applicable programs from non-Federal funds for any amounts that it cannot support.	2/1/2021
2010-CH-1008-001-A	6/15/2010	The DuPage Housing Authority, Wheaton, IL, Inappropriately Administered Its Section 8 Project-Based Voucher Program	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Chicago Office of Public Housing require the Authority to provide supporting documentation or reimburse its program \$3,861,652 (\$3,483,294 in housing assistance payments plus \$378,358 in associated program administrative fees) from non-Federal funds for the housing assistance payments and associated administrative fees for the unsupported program projects cited in this finding.	11/1/2019
2010-AT-1006-004-B	6/11/2010	The Puerto Rico Department of Housing Failed To Properly Manage Its HOME Investment Partnerships Program	Pending Judicial Review	Require the Department to review all grant agreements for each activity entered into HUD's information system and correct any inaccurate information, including funding amount, activity status, and fund type classification.	10/28/2019
2010-AT-1006-003-A	6/11/2010	The Puerto Rico Department of Housing Failed To Properly Manage Its HOME Investment Partnerships Program	Pending Judicial Review and Under Repayment Agreement	Require the Department to collect \$1,269,032 associated with the 17 overdue loans and put the program income generated to better use in accordance with HUD requirements.	6/18/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2010-PH-1008-001-B	5/11/2010	Sasha Bruce Youthwork, Incorporated, Washington, DC, Did Not Support More Than \$1.9 Million in Expenditures	In Process	Provide documentation to demonstrate that \$1,945,050 was used for eligible activities that met the criteria of its HUD-approved budget line items or repay HUD from non-Federal funds.	2/28/2020
2010-AT-1003-001-J	4/28/2010	The Housing Authority of Whitesburg, Kentucky, Mismanaged Its Operations	In Process	Require the Authority to provide support for the \$275,282 in capital fund drawdowns or reimburse its capital improvement program from nonfederal funds.	11/29/2035
2010-AT-1003-001-I	4/28/2010	The Housing Authority of Whitesburg, Kentucky, Mismanaged Its Operations	In Process	Require the Authority to provide support that \$446,918 in contracts were fairly and openly competed or reimburse its public housing and capital improvement program from nonfederal funds.	11/29/2035
2010-AT-1003-001-H	4/28/2010	The Housing Authority of Whitesburg, Kentucky, Mismanaged Its Operations	In Process	Require the Authority to support the \$27,097 in unreasonable costs or reimburse its public housing and capital improvement program from nonfederal funds.	11/29/2035
2010-AT-1003-001-G	4/28/2010	The Housing Authority of Whitesburg, Kentucky, Mismanaged Its Operations	In Process	Require the Authority to reimburse its public housing program \$2,250 for ineligible costs using non-federal funds.	11/29/2035
2010-AT-1003-001-F	4/28/2010	The Housing Authority of Whitesburg, Kentucky, Mismanaged Its Operations	In Process	Require the Authority to provide support for \$264,229 in disbursements or repay any unsupported costs to its public housing operating and capital improvement program from nonfederal funds.	11/29/2035
2010-AT-1003-001-D	4/28/2010	The Housing Authority of Whitesburg, Kentucky, Mismanaged Its Operations	In Process	Require the Authority to account for \$134,889 in tenant rent receipts or repay any unsupported amounts to its public housing operating program from nonfederal funds.	11/29/2035
2010-NY-1011-001-B	4/7/2010	New Rochelle Municipal Housing Authority, New Rochelle, NY, Had Weaknesses in Its Self-Sufficiency Grant Programs	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director, Office of Public Housing, New York, instruct the Authority to provide documentation for the unsupported Family Self-Sufficiency and ROSS grant program costs of \$100,637 so that HUD can make an eligibility determination. If adequate documentation cannot be provided, these costs should be repaid from non-Federal funds.	7/1/2032
2010-NY-1011-001-A	4/7/2010	New Rochelle Municipal Housing Authority, New Rochelle, NY, Had Weaknesses in Its Self-Sufficiency Grant Programs	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director, Office of Public Housing, New York, instruct the Authority to reimburse from non-Federal funds the \$219,715 expended for ineligible costs as follows; \$215,402 to HUD, and \$4,313 to the 2007 ROSS Family grant.	7/1/2032
2010-NY-1010-002-C	4/7/2010	New Rochelle Municipal Housing Authority, New Rochelle, NY, Had Control Weaknesses in Its Low-Rent Housing Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director, Office of Public Housing, New York, instruct the Authority to reimburse from non-Federal sources the low-rent program operating account for the ineligible expenditure of \$38,355.	7/1/2032

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2010-NY-1010-002-B	4/7/2010	New Rochelle Municipal Housing Authority, New Rochelle, NY, Had Control Weaknesses in Its Low-Rent Housing Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director, Office of Public Housing, New York, instruct the Authority to strengthen controls over payroll processing to ensure that employees properly account for time worked, employee time records are signed, and adequate segregation of duties is established in compliance with OMB Circular 87.	7/1/2032
2010-PH-1801-002-B	12/17/2009	Audit of the Scranton Housing Authority	Under Repayment Agreement	Provide documentation to support the source and use of \$801,000 transferred into and withdrawn from its nonfederal account or reimburse HUD or the appropriate programs from nonfederal sources for any amounts that it cannot support.	7/31/2060
2010-PH-1801-001-D	12/17/2009	Audit of the Scranton Housing Authority	Under Repayment Agreement	Provide documentation to support payments totaling \$180,000 for insurance or reimburse HUD or the applicable program from nonfederal sources for any amounts that it cannot support.	7/31/2060
2010-PH-1801-001-C	12/17/2009	Audit of the Scranton Housing Authority	Under Repayment Agreement	Provide documentation to support payments totaling \$132,000 for vehicle services or reimburse HUD or the applicable program from nonfederal sources for any amounts that it cannot support.	7/31/2060
2010-PH-1801-001-B	12/17/2009	Audit of the Scranton Housing Authority	Under Repayment Agreement	Provide documentation to support the \$282,000 expended to acquire and dispose of the vacant commercial building or reimburse the applicable program from nonfederal sources for any amounts that it cannot support.	7/31/2060
2009-AT-0001-001-C	9/28/2009	HUD Lacked Adequate Controls to Ensure the Timely Commitment and Expenditure of HOME funds	In Process	Recapture any shortfalls generated by the closure and deobligation of fund balances associated with the open activities.	3/1/2011
2009-AT-0001-002-A	9/28/2009	HUD Lacked Adequate Controls to Ensure the Timely Commitment and Expenditure of HOME funds	In Process	Establish and implement procedures to monitor the accuracy of commitments that participating jurisdictions enter into the information system. These procedures should include expanding HUD's risk rating system to include risk factors for this review area and development of an appropriate monitoring checklist to ensure consistency and thoroughness of coverage among field offices.	3/3/2011
2009-AT-0001-001-B	9/28/2009	HUD Lacked Adequate Controls to Ensure the Timely Commitment and Expenditure of HOME funds	In Process	Require participating jurisdictions to reimburse HUD from nonfederal sources any portion of the \$11,634,558 for activities listed in appendix C that HUD determines had been terminated, voluntarily or involuntarily. When making this determination, HUD should consider the participating jurisdictions' lack of timely physical completion and/or production of affordable housing occupied by HOME income-eligible individuals.	3/3/2011
2009-AT-0001-001-A	9/28/2009	HUD Lacked Adequate Controls to Ensure the Timely Commitment and Expenditure of HOME funds	In Process	Ensure that field offices require participating jurisdictions to close out in a timely manner \$62,201,487 in activities reflected in its open activities report that are more than five years old and cancel the fund balances.	3/3/2011
2009-SE-0003-001-C	9/1/2009	HUD's Monitoring of the Performance-Based Contract Administrators Was Inadequate	Pending Judicial Review	Reassess the resources allocated to overseeing the PBCAs to ensure that the resources are sufficient to monitor their performance.	7/10/2020

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2009-SE-0003-001-B	9/1/2009	HUD's Monitoring of the Performance-Based Contract Administrators Was Inadequate	Pending Judicial Review	Ensure that HUD staff follow the revised guidance when conducting the annual compliance reviews and monthly remote reviews to ensure that it receives quality work and the best value for funds spent on contract administration activities.	7/10/2020
2009-SE-0003-001-A	9/1/2009	HUD's Monitoring of the Performance-Based Contract Administrators Was Inadequate	Pending Judicial Review	Revise the PBCA initiative guides (e.g., the monitoring and evaluation guide and the Section 8 guidebook) to clarify inconsistencies or unclear guidance for monitoring the PBCAs including clarification of PBCA performance that requires issuing incentive fees or assessment of disincentives.	7/10/2020
2009-BO-1009-001-H	8/4/2009	Casa Otonal Multifamily Housing Project, New Haven, Connecticut, Was Not Properly Managed in Accordance with HUD Regulations	Pending Judicial Review	Pursue double damages remedies against the responsible parties for the ineligible/inappropriate and applicable portion of the unsupported disbursements that were used in violation of the project's regulatory agreement.	7/1/2020
2009-BO-1009-001-A	8/4/2009	Casa Otonal Multifamily Housing Project, New Haven, Connecticut, Was Not Properly Managed in Accordance with HUD Regulations	Pending Judicial Review	Deposit \$254,470 for the ineligible disbursements \$236,439 + \$12,559 + \$5,472. cited in this report into the project's reserve for replacement or a restricted capital account that requires HUD approval for the release of the funds.	7/19/2020
2009-AT-1009-002-A	7/20/2009	The Housing Authority of the City of Newnan, Georgia, Inappropriately Encumbered Assets and Advanced Funds to Support Its Nonprofit Organization	Pending Judicial Review and Under Repayment Agreement	Require the Authority to propose a legal solution regarding the ownership structure of the nonprofit organization. If a legal solution is not possible, the Director should require the Authority to repay its public housing program \$221,531 in nonfederal funds or the current amount owed that the Authority advanced to its nonprofit organization.	7/1/2035
2009-NY-1012-001-B	5/20/2009	The City of Rome, New York, Did Not Administer Its Economic Development Activity in Accordance with HUD Requirements	In Process	We recommend that the Director, Buffalo Office of CPD, require the City of Rome to establish a schedule for documenting completion of the General Cable activity and the jobs retained and/or created at the site and reimburse HUD any portion of the \$2,953,754 in CDBG funds expended on the activity for costs that do not qualify as meeting the job creation requirement.	1/30/2032

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2009-NY-1011-002-G	5/15/2009	North Hempstead Housing Authority, Great Neck, New York, Had Weaknesses in Its Housing Choice Voucher and Family Self-Sufficiency Programs	Pending Investigation	We recommend that the Director, Office of Public Housing, New York, instruct Authority officials to seek repayment of \$50,237 in ineligible housing assistance payments.	6/18/2020
2009-AO-1002-001-B	5/5/2009	State of Louisiana, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, Road Home Program, Did Not Ensure That Multiple Disbursements to a Single Damaged Residence Address Were Eligible	Pending Judicial Review	Either support or repay \$441,027 disbursed for five unsupported grants.	12/6/2019
2009-AO-1002-001-A	5/5/2009	State of Louisiana, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, Road Home Program, Did Not Ensure That Multiple Disbursements to a Single Damaged Residence Address Were Eligible	Pending Judicial Review	Repay \$294,060 disbursed for three ineligible grants to its Road Home program.	12/6/2019
2009-AO-1001-001-A	5/5/2009	State of Louisiana, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, Road Home Program, Did Not Ensure That Road Home Employees Were Eligible to Receive Additional Compensation Grants	Pending Judicial Review	Repay \$228,930 disbursed for five ineligible grants to its Road Home program.	12/6/2019
2009-CH-1007-001-H	4/28/2009	The Springfield Housing Authority,Needs to Improve Its Controls over Its Section 8 Housing Assistance Payments	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Chicago Office of Public Housing require the Authority to reimburse its program \$19,071 from nonfederal funds for the improper administrative fees related to the households cited in this finding.	4/30/2040
2009-CH-1007-001-D	4/28/2009	The Springfield Housing Authority,Needs to Improve Its Controls over Its Section 8 Housing Assistance Payments	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Chicago Office of Public Housing require the Authority to provide support or reimburse its program \$16,487 from non federal funds for the unsupported overpayment of housing assistance and utility allowances for the nine households cited in this finding.	4/30/2040
2009-CH-1007-001-C	4/28/2009	The Springfield Housing Authority,Needs to Improve Its Controls over Its Section 8 Housing Assistance Payments	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Chicago Office of Public Housing require the Authority to pursue collection from the applicable households or reimburse its program \$31,668 from nonfederal funds for the overpayment of housing assistance due to unreported income.	4/30/2040

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2009-CH-1007-001-A	4/28/2009	The Springfield Housing Authority,Needs to Improve Its Controls over Its Section 8 Housing Assistance Payments	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Chicago Office of Public Housing require the Authority to reimburse its program \$25,074 from nonfederal funds for the overpayment of housing assistance cited in this finding.	4/30/2040
2009-CH-1007-002-D	4/28/2009	The Springfield Housing Authority,Needs to Improve Its Controls over Its Section 8 Housing Assistance Payments	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Chicago Office of Public Housing require the Authority to review the remaining 284 (333 minus 49) households claiming zero income as of October 2, 2008, to determine whether the households had unreported income. For households that received excessive housing assistance and utility allowance payments, the Authority should pursue collection and/or reimburse its program the applicable amount from nonfederal funds.	5/30/2041
2009-CH-1007-002-A	4/28/2009	The Springfield Housing Authority,Needs to Improve Its Controls over Its Section 8 Housing Assistance Payments	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Chicago Office of Public Housing require the Authority to pursue collection from the applicable households or reimburse its program \$41,044 (\$41,569 minus \$525) from nonfederal funds for the overpayment of housing assistance cited in this finding.	5/30/2041
2009-LA-1008-001-A	3/18/2009	Campaige Place at Jackson, Phoenix, Arizona, Did Not Use Its Project Funds in Compliance with HUD's Regulatory Agreement and Other Federal Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	Reimburse HUD's Federal Housing Administration insurance fund \$73,750 less amounts repaid after the completion of the audit (\$15,000) for the ineligible disbursements cited in this report.	1/29/2021
2009-KC-1005-001-B	3/2/2009	The East St. Louis, Illinois, Housing Authority's Section 8 Voucher Program Units Did Not Always Meet HUD's Housing Quality Standards	Under Repayment Agreement	Repays the voucher program fund from nonfederal sources \$64,528 in improper housing assistance.	9/30/2039
2009-CH-1002-003-B	1/23/2009	The Indianapolis Housing Agency Failed to Operate Its Housing Choice Voucher Program According to HUD's and Its Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Cleveland Office of Public Housing require the Agency to provide supporting documentation or reimburse its program \$2,081,512 from nonfederal funds for the 11 Section 8 project-based projects cited in this finding.	11/30/2025
2009-CH-1002-003-A	1/23/2009	The Indianapolis Housing Agency Failed to Operate Its Housing Choice Voucher Program According to HUD's and Its Requirements	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Cleveland Office of Public Housing require the Agency to reimburse its program \$211,680 from nonfederal funds for the Section 8 administrative fees received related to its inappropriate program administration cited in this finding.	11/30/2025

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2009-LA-1005-002-A	12/30/2008	The City of San Diego, California Did Not Administer Its Community Development Block Grant Program in Accordance with HUD Requirements When Funding the City's Redevelopment Agency Projects	Under Repayment Agreement	Execute loan agreements between the City and its Agency indicating specific loan terms for repayment of the loans totaling \$139,201,997 (\$63,072,960 principal and \$76,129,037 interest), which would result in an estimated additional recovery of \$7,269,854 in CDBG program income over the first year.	10/1/2019
2009-BO-1002-001-B	11/6/2008	Orchard Court Multifamily Project, Located in Bath, Maine, Was Not Properly Managed in Accordance with HUD Regulations	Pending Investigation	Reimburse or require the responsible management agent(s) to reimburse \$64,601 to the project for ineligible project costs of \$15,331 and for ineligible administrative, site supervisor, HUD 202 and site management fees of \$49,270.	9/5/2020
2009-BO-1002-001-E	11/6/2008	Orchard Court Multifamily Project, Located in Bath, Maine, Was Not Properly Managed in Accordance with HUD Regulations	Pending Investigation	Request from responsible management agents supporting documentation for the \$265,412 in unsupported costs charged to the project so that the eligibility of these costs can be determined. For any amounts determined to be ineligible, the project owner should repay or seek reimbursement from responsible management agent to pay the project from non-project funds or remove payables from the project's accounting.	10/2/2020
2009-BO-1002-001-D	11/6/2008	Orchard Court Multifamily Project, Located in Bath, Maine, Was Not Properly Managed in Accordance with HUD Regulations	Pending Investigation	Ensure that \$23,499 for unreasonable late charges on fuel bills and sewer lien penalties and \$6,779 for unreasonable payments to a lawn care company be reimbursed to the project from non-project funds.	10/2/2020
2009-AT-1001-001-C	10/20/2008	The Housing Authority of the City of Conyers, Georgia, Did Not Maintain Adequate Controls over its Federal Funds	Under Repayment Agreement	Provide support for \$523,335 in payments made for various purchases or repay any unsupported costs to its public housing operating and capital improvement programs from nonfederal funds.	1/31/2040
2009-AT-1001-001-B	10/20/2008	The Housing Authority of the City of Conyers, Georgia, Did Not Maintain Adequate Controls over its Federal Funds	Under Repayment Agreement	Provide support for \$182,369 in payments made to or on behalf of the former executive director and former lease enforcement officer or repay any unsupported costs to its public housing operating and capital improvement programs from nonfederal funds.	1/31/2040
2009-AT-1001-001-A	10/20/2008	The Housing Authority of the City of Conyers, Georgia, Did Not Maintain Adequate Controls over its Federal Funds	Under Repayment Agreement	Repay \$185,764 to its public housing operating and capital improvement programs for ineligible payments made to or on behalf of the former board chairman from nonfederal funds.	1/31/2040
2008-CH-1016-001-B	9/29/2008	The Springfield Housing Authority Did Not Always Ensure That Section 8 Units Met HUD's Housing Quality Standards	Under Repayment Agreement	Reimburse its program \$55,047 from nonfederal funds (\$50,356 for housing assistance payments and \$4,691 in associated administrative fees) for the 28 units that materially failed to meet HUD's housing quality standards.	7/31/2039

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2008-CH-1013-002-A	9/24/2008	The Highland Park Housing Commission, Highland Park, Michigan, Lacked Adequate Controls Over Unit Conditions and Maintenance Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to reimburse its program \$29,148 from nonfederal funds for the seven long-term vacant units it inappropriately included in its program operating subsidy calculations.	11/1/2029
2008-CH-1013-001-A	9/24/2008	The Highland Park Housing Commission, Highland Park, Michigan, Lacked Adequate Controls Over Unit Conditions and Maintenance Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to reimburse its program \$46,478 from nonfederal funds for the 34 units cited in this finding that were in material noncompliance.	11/1/2029
2008-CH-1008-001-D	4/30/2008	The Lansing Housing Commission, Lansing, Michigan Failed to Follow HUD's Requirements for Its Nonprofit Development Activities	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to reimburse its Public Housing program \$745,436 from nonfederal funds for the improper use of funds cited in this finding.	7/31/2038
2008-CH-1007-003-G	4/18/2008	The Housing Authority of the City of Fort Wayne, Indiana, Needs to Improve Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program Administration	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Cleveland Office of Public Housing require the Authority to provide documentation to support its allocation of time spent correctly administering its Family Self-Sufficiency Program or reimburse its program's undesignated fund balance for administration account from nonfederal funds the appropriate portion of the \$151,661 in Coordinator funds received for fiscal years 2004 and 2005 that were incorrectly administered.	6/30/2029
2008-CH-1007-003-D	4/18/2008	The Housing Authority of the City of Fort Wayne, Indiana, Needs to Improve Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program Administration	In Process	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Cleveland Office of Public Housing require the Authority to review the files for the 20 participants whose contracts of participation expired between July 1, 2005, and June 30, 2007, to support its use of program funds for the escrow accounts, or reimburse its program the applicable amount from nonfederal funds.	6/30/2030
2008-CH-1006-003-A	4/15/2008	The Indianapolis Housing Agency, Indianapolis, Indiana, Did Not Effectively Operate Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Cleveland Office of Public Housing require the Agency to reimburse its program \$47,543 (\$36,748 for housing assistance payments and \$10,795 for utility allowance payments) from nonfederal funds for the inappropriate housing assistance payments related to the 17 households cited in this finding.	2/28/2021
2008-CH-1006-002-E	4/15/2008	The Indianapolis Housing Agency, Indianapolis, Indiana, Did Not Effectively Operate Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Cleveland Office of Public Housing require the Agency to determine the appropriate administrative fees for the applicable households for which it is unable to provide supporting documentation cited in recommendation 2D and reimburse its program the applicable amount from nonfederal funds.	2/28/2021

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2008-CH-1006-002-D	4/15/2008	The Indianapolis Housing Agency, Indianapolis, Indiana, Did Not Effectively Operate Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Cleveland Office of Public Housing require the Agency to provide supporting documentation or reimburse its program \$587,022 from nonfederal funds for the unsupported payments related to the 59 households cited in this finding.	2/28/2021
2008-CH-1006-002-C	4/15/2008	The Indianapolis Housing Agency, Indianapolis, Indiana, Did Not Effectively Operate Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Cleveland Office of Public Housing require the Agency to reimburse its program \$9,815 from nonfederal funds for the program administrative fees related to the underpaid housing assistance payments.	2/28/2021
2008-CH-1006-002-A	4/15/2008	The Indianapolis Housing Agency, Indianapolis, Indiana, Did Not Effectively Operate Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Cleveland Office of Public Housing require the Agency to reimburse its program \$192,854 (\$113,973 for overpaid housing assistance, \$17,257 for overpaid utility allowances, and \$61,624 in associated administrative fees) for the 63 households cited in this finding from nonfederal funds.	2/28/2021
2008-CH-1006-001-A	4/15/2008	The Indianapolis Housing Agency, Indianapolis, Indiana, Did Not Effectively Operate Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Cleveland Office of Public Housing require the Agency to reimburse its program \$41,094 from nonfederal funds (\$37,280 for program housing assistance payments and utility allowances plus \$3,814 in associated administrative fees) for the 38 units that materially failed to meet HUD's housing quality standards and/or the Corporation's housing standards.	2/28/2021
2008-CH-1005-003-B	4/10/2008	The Peoria Housing Authority, Peoria, IL, Did Not Effectively Administer Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program	Pending Investigation and Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Chicago Office of Public Housing require the Authority to reimburse its program \$11,225 from nonfederal funds for the inappropriate administrative fees related to the 47 households cited in this finding.	3/31/2049
2008-CH-1005-003-A	4/10/2008	The Peoria Housing Authority, Peoria, IL, Did Not Effectively Administer Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program	Pending Investigation and Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Chicago Office of Public Housing require the Authority to pursue collection from the applicable households or reimburse its program \$46,619 from nonfederal funds for the overpayment of housing assistance and utility allowances cited in this finding.	3/31/2049
2008-CH-1005-002-E	4/10/2008	The Peoria Housing Authority, Peoria, IL, Did Not Effectively Administer Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Chicago Office of Public Housing require the Authority to provide documentation to support its allocation of time spent correctly administering the Family Self-Sufficiency Program or reimburse its Coordinator funds from nonfederal funds the appropriate portion of the \$72,235 used when the Authority's Family Self-Sufficiency Program was incorrectly administered.	3/31/2049

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2008-CH-1005-002-A	4/10/2008	The Peoria Housing Authority, Peoria, IL, Did Not Effectively Administer Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Chicago Office of Public Housing require the Authority to reimburse its program \$14,576 from nonfederal funds for the escrow funds overpaid to the seven participants cited in this finding.	3/31/2049
2008-CH-1005-001-C	4/10/2008	The Peoria Housing Authority, Peoria, IL, Did Not Effectively Administer Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Chicago Office of Public Housing require the Authority to reimburse its program \$14,603 from nonfederal funds for the inappropriate administrative fees related to the 32 households in this finding.	3/31/2049
2008-CH-1005-001-A	4/10/2008	The Peoria Housing Authority, Peoria, IL, Did Not Effectively Administer Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Chicago Office of Public Housing require the Authority to reimburse its program \$52,737 from nonfederal funds for the overpayment of housing assistance and utility allowances cited in this finding.	4/30/2049
2008-CH-1003-002-B	2/15/2008	The Highland Park Housing Commission, Highland Park, Michigan, Did Not Effectively Administer Its Public Housing and Capital Fund Programs	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to provide support that the use of \$82,774 (\$27,286 to three family members, \$23,418 to two independent contractors, \$22,150 to CLM Architects, and \$9,920 to Harold Dunne, Attorney at Law) in Public Housing program funds for housing maintenance, cleaning, and professional services were reasonable or reimburse its program from nonfederal funds for the applicable amount.	11/1/2029
2008-CH-1003-002-A	2/15/2008	The Highland Park Housing Commission, Highland Park, Michigan, Did Not Effectively Administer Its Public Housing and Capital Fund Programs	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to provide supporting documentation for the use of \$61,202 for work performed under its Public Housing Capital Fund program or reimburse its program from nonfederal funds for the applicable amount.	11/1/2029
2008-CH-1003-001-F	2/15/2008	The Highland Park Housing Commission, Highland Park, Michigan, Did Not Effectively Administer Its Public Housing and Capital Fund Programs	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to reimburse its Public Housing program \$7,932 in operating subsidies from nonfederal funds for the two properties sold by the City.	11/1/2029
2008-CH-1003-001-C	2/15/2008	The Highland Park Housing Commission, Highland Park, Michigan, Did Not Effectively Administer Its Public Housing and Capital Fund Programs	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to reimburse the appropriate households \$13,070 for the underpayment of housing assistance and utility allowance payments cited in this finding.	11/1/2029

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2008-CH-1003-001-B	2/15/2008	The Highland Park Housing Commission, Highland Park, Michigan, Did Not Effectively Administer Its Public Housing and Capital Fund Programs	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to reimburse its Public Housing program \$28,663 (\$16,262 plus \$12,401) from nonfederal funds for the lost total household payments for 23 households cited in this finding.	11/1/2029
2008-CH-1003-001-A	2/15/2008	The Highland Park Housing Commission, Highland Park, Michigan, Did Not Effectively Administer Its Public Housing and Capital Fund Programs	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to provide supporting documentation or reimburse its Public Housing program \$153,223 (\$22,092 for household eligibility and \$131,131 for continued occupancy) from nonfederal funds for the unsupported operating subsidies related to the 36 household files cited in this finding.	11/1/2029
2008-AO-1002-001-C	1/30/2008	State of Louisiana, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, Road Home Program, Funded 418 Grants Coded Ineligible or Lacking an Eligibility Determination	Pending Judicial Review	Review all of the remaining 392 grants coded ineligible or lacking an eligibility determination and either support or repay \$14,697,812 disbursed for them.	12/6/2019
2008-AO-1002-001-A	1/30/2008	State of Louisiana, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, Road Home Program, Funded 418 Grants Coded Ineligible or Lacking an Eligibility Determination	Pending Judicial Review and Under Repayment Agreement	Repay \$743,344 disbursed for the 17 ineligible grants to its Road Home program.	12/6/2019
2008-CH-1001-001-D	11/19/2007	The Housing Authority of the City of Michigan City Nonprofit Development Activities, Michigan City, IN, The Authority Failed to Follow HUD's Requirements for Its Nonprofit Development Activities	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Cleveland Office of Public Housing require the Authority to reimburse its Public Housing program \$337,870 from nonfederal funds for the rental income received by its nonprofit from the Turnkey III properties.	1/23/2023
2007-CH-1016-001-B	9/28/2007	Plymouth Housing Commission Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program, Plymouth, MI, The Commission Failed to Adequately Administer Its Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to reimburse its program \$38,537 from nonfederal funds (\$35,918 for housing assistance payments and \$2,619 in associated administrative fees) for the 38 units that materially failed to meet HUD's housing quality standards.	3/1/2020
2007-PH-1013-001-B	9/27/2007	The Harrisburg Housing Authority, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, Did Not Properly Administer Its Low-Rent Public Housing Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Repay its low-rent public housing program \$834,969 from nonfederal funds for the ineligible disbursements related to the credit union.	12/31/2024

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2007-CH-1014-001-F	9/24/2007	Peoria Housing Authority Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program, Peoria, IL, The Authority Did Not Effectively Administer Its Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Chicago Office of Public Housing require the Authority to reimburse its program \$52,421 from nonfederal funds in associated administrative fees for the 402 units that were more than 30 days late in receiving their annual inspections.	5/31/2038
2007-CH-1014-001-B	9/24/2007	Peoria Housing Authority Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program, Peoria, IL, The Authority Did Not Effectively Administer Its Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the Director of HUD's Chicago Office of Public Housing require the Authority to reimburse its program \$54,024 from nonfederal funds (\$47,295 for housing assistance payments and \$6,729 in associated administrative fees) for the 28 units that materially failed to meet HUD's housing quality standards.	5/31/2038
2007-NY-1011-001-C	8/17/2007	The Hoboken Housing Authority, Hoboken, New Jersey, Requires Improved Controls Over Its Capital Fund Program and Cash Disbursement Process	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the director of HUD's Office of Public Housing instruct the Authority to reduce the Authority's future capital funds by \$632,039 related to the fiscal years 2003 and 2004 capital funds transferred to the low-rent public housing program.	7/1/2039
2007-NY-1011-001-A	8/17/2007	The Hoboken Housing Authority, Hoboken, New Jersey, Requires Improved Controls Over Its Capital Fund Program and Cash Disbursement Process	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the director of HUD's Office of Public Housing instruct the Authority to reimburse the capital fund program \$818,536 related to the administrative and management improvement costs that exceeded HUD limitations.	7/1/2039
2007-CH-1012-001-B	8/3/2007	The Plymouth Housing Commission, Plymouth, Michigan, Needs to Improve Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program Administration	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to reimburse the applicable program \$27,578 (\$9,644 for the overpayment of housing assistance and utility allowances and \$17,934 in administrative fees associated with the overpayment and underpayment of housing assistance and utility allowances for the 48 households) from nonfederal funds.	3/1/2020
2007-CH-1011-001-A	7/23/2007	The Indianapolis Housing Agency, Indianapolis, Indiana, Lacked Adequate Controls over Expenses Charged to Its Section 8 Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the director of HUD's Cleveland Office of Public Housing require the Agency to reimburse its program administrative fee reserve \$1,636,075 from the appropriate funds for the excessive administrative expenses cited in this finding.	1/31/2025
2007-CH-1010-003-C	7/20/2007	The Madison County Housing Authority, Collinsville, Illinois, Did not Effectively Administer Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the director of HUD's Chicago Office of Public Housing require the Authority to review the remaining 36 (70 minus 34) zero-income households as of September 11, 2006, to determine whether they had unreported income. For households that received excessive housing assistance and utility allowance payments, the Authority should pursue collection and/or reimburse its program the applicable amount from nonfederal funds.	5/31/2042

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2007-CH-1010-002-C	7/20/2007	The Madison County Housing Authority, Collinsville, Illinois, Did not Effectively Administer Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the director of HUD's Chicago Office of Public Housing require the Authority to provide supporting documentation or reimburse its program \$140,521 (\$126,224 in housing assistance and utility allowance payments plus \$14,297 in related administrative fees) from nonfederal funds for the unsupported payments and associated administrative fees related to the 20 households cited in this finding.	5/31/2042
2007-CH-1010-002-A	7/20/2007	The Madison County Housing Authority, Collinsville, Illinois, Did not Effectively Administer Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the director of HUD's Chicago Office of Public Housing require the Authority to reimburse its program \$39,428 in housing assistance from nonfederal funds for the overpayment of housing assistance and utility allowance payments cited in this finding.	5/31/2042
2007-CH-1010-001-A	7/20/2007	The Madison County Housing Authority, Collinsville, Illinois, Did not Effectively Administer Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the director of HUD's Chicago Office of Public Housing require the Authority to reimburse its program \$30,360 from nonfederal funds (\$27,944 for housing assistance payments and \$2,416 in associated administrative fees) for the 35 units that materially failed to meet HUD's housing quality standards.	5/31/2042
2007-NY-1006-001-A	5/24/2007	Housing Authority of the City of Asbury Park, New Jersey	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the director of HUD's Office of Public Housing instruct the Authority to reimburse HUD for the excessive administrative fee charge of \$692,990 in capital funds in accordance with the procedures described in 24 CFR 905.120.	5/1/2033
2007-CH-1005-001-A	3/23/2007	The Housing Authority of the City of Gary, Indiana, Lacked Adequate Controls over Refunding Savings	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the director of HUD's Cleveland Office of Public Housing require the Authority to provide documentation to support that the \$913,365 in refunding savings cited in this finding was used to provide affordable, decent, safe, and sanitary housing to very low-income households or reimburse from nonfederal funds its refunding savings account(s), as appropriate, to be able to trace its use of the savings.	12/31/2056
2007-CH-1002-002-A	1/25/2007	Benton Harbor Housing Commission, Benton Harbor, Michigan, Did Not Effectively Manage Its Public Housing Program and Has Not Used Special Purpose Grant Funds It Received More Than Nine Years Ago	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to provide supporting documentation or reimburse its program \$166,782 from nonfederal funds for the unsupported operating subsidies related to the 51 household files cited in this finding.	5/31/2026
2007-KC-1004-003-C	1/12/2007	The Housing Authority of East St. Louis, Illinois, Improperly Used Public Housing Funds	Under Repayment Agreement	Repay \$29,095 in unauthorized vehicle allowances.	5/31/2037
2007-KC-1004-002-A	1/12/2007	The Housing Authority of East St. Louis, Illinois, Improperly Used Public Housing Funds	Under Repayment Agreement	Repay from nonfederal sources, the \$147,934 improperly spent for employee leave.	5/31/2037

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2007-CH-1001-002-B	12/13/2006	The Marion Housing Authority, Marion, Indiana, Improperly Used HUD funds for Nonprofit Development Activities	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the director of HUD's Cleveland Office of Public Housing require the Authority to provide documentation to support the use of \$25,033 in salary and benefits expenses for its former counselor was eligible or reimburse its Coordinators funds from nonfederal funds as appropriate.	8/1/2028
2007-CH-1001-002-A	12/13/2006	The Marion Housing Authority, Marion, Indiana, Improperly Used HUD funds for Nonprofit Development Activities	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the director of HUD's Cleveland Office of Public Housing require the Authority to reimburse its Coordinators funds \$18,757 from nonfederal funds for the inappropriate payment of salary and benefits cited in this finding.	8/1/2028
2007-CH-1001-001-A	12/13/2006	The Marion Housing Authority, Marion, Indiana, Improperly Used HUD funds for Nonprofit Development Activities	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the director of HUD's Cleveland Office of Public Housing require the Authority to reimburse its public housing operating fund \$181,513 from nonfederal funds for the inappropriate disbursements cited in this finding.	8/1/2028
2006-CH-1021-002-C	9/30/2006	Housing Authority of the County of Cook, Chicago, Illinois, Had Weak Controls over Its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program	In Process	We recommend that the director of HUD's Chicago Office of Public Housing require the Authority to review the remaining 717 (779 minus 62) zero-income households as of September 23, 2005, to determine whether they had unreported income. For households that received excessive housing assistance and utility allowance payments, the Authority should pursue collection and/or reimburse its program the applicable amount from nonfederal funds.	9/30/2037
2006-CH-1020-001-B	9/29/2006	Rockford Housing Authority, Rockford, Illinois, Needs to Improve Its Controls over Program Housing Assistance and Utility Allowance Payments	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the director of HUD's Chicago Office of Public Housing require the Authority to reimburse its program \$80,776 (\$49,034 for the overpayment of housing assistance and utility allowance payments for 34 households and \$31,742 in administrative fees associated with the overpayment and underpayment of housing assistance and utility allowance payments for 48 households) from nonfederal funds.	9/30/2057
2006-CH-1020-001-A	9/29/2006	Rockford Housing Authority, Rockford, Illinois, Needs to Improve Its Controls over Program Housing Assistance and Utility Allowance Payments	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the director of HUD's Chicago Office of Public Housing require the Authority to provide supporting documentation or reimburse its program \$582,926 (\$547,238 in housing assistance and utility allowance payments and \$35,688 in associated administrative fees) from nonfederal funds for the unsupported payments and associated administrative fees related to the 73 household files cited in this finding.	9/30/2057
2006-CH-1018-001-A	9/28/2006	Saginaw Housing Commission, Saginaw, Michigan Improperly Used Public Housing Funds to Purchased Property	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to Reimburse its program \$535,903 from nonfederal funds (\$507,860 for the property purchase plus \$28,043 for legal costs) for the improper use of program funds to pay for the property's acquisition costs.	12/31/2021

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2006-NY-1012-001-D	9/22/2006	The Housing Authority of the City of Passaic Section 8 and Public Housing Capital Fund Programs	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the director, New Jersey Office of Public Housing, instruct the Authority to reimburse the capital fund from the Section 8 program the \$401,046 in excess/ineligible capital fund transfers.	8/30/2037
2006-NY-1012-001-B	9/22/2006	The Housing Authority of the City of Passaic Section 8 and Public Housing Capital Fund Programs	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the director, New Jersey Office of Public Housing, instruct the Authority to recapture or reduce the Section 8 administrative fee reserve account by \$590,042 to comply with the requirements of PIH [PIH] Notice 2005-30.	8/30/2037
2006-NY-1010-002-B	9/20/2006	Orange City Housing Authority , Orange, New Jersey, Has Weakness in its Housing Choice Voucher Program	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the director, New Jersey Office of Public Housing, require the Authority to provide additional documentation for the \$23,592 in unsupported costs related to managerial services and legal and auditing costs so that HUD can determine the eligibility of these items. Any amounts determined to be ineligible should be repaid.	3/31/2048
2006-PH-1013-001-B	9/18/2006	The Commonwealth of Virginia, Richmond, Virginia, Did Not Ensure HOME Funds Were Disbursed and Used in Accordance with Federal Regulations	Under Repayment Agreement	Require the Commonwealth to provide documentation to substantiate the eligibility of \$150,000 provided to Southampton or repay the HOME program from nonfederal funds.	10/1/2034
2006-BO-0001-001-C	7/11/2006	HUD Incorrectly Approved \$42 Million in Operating Subsidies for Phase-Down for Demolition Add-On Funding	Under Repayment Agreement	For the overpayments of phase-down funding identified in appendix C, recover \$20.6 million in ineligible phase-down funding requests from the public housing agencies for fiscal years 2004 and 2005.	4/30/2020
2006-BO-0001-001-B	7/11/2006	HUD Incorrectly Approved \$42 Million in Operating Subsidies for Phase-Down for Demolition Add-On Funding	Under Repayment Agreement	Obtain and review support (as identified in recommendation 1D) for \$15.1 million in unsupported phase-down funding in fiscal years 2004 and 2005, determine the correct amount of phase-down funding, and require the public housing agencies to reimburse HUD for any ineligible funding received.	4/30/2020
2006-NY-1008-001-C	6/30/2006	Freeport Housing Authority - Freeport, New York	Pending Judicial Review	We recommend that the director, Office of Public Housing, New York Hub seek repayment of the \$49,483 in ineligible and erroneous housing assistance payments.	10/22/2020
2006-CH-1010-001-A	5/18/2006	Benton Harbor Housing Commission Public Housing Capital Fund Program, Benton Harbor, Michigan	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the director of HUD's Detroit Office of Public Housing require the Commission to provide documentation to support the \$206,224 in unsupported program disbursements cited in this finding or reimburse its program from nonfederal funds for the applicable amount.	12/1/2023

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2005-CH-1020-004-A	9/29/2005	Housing Authority of the City of Gary Section 8 Housing Program, Gary, IN	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the director of HUD's Public Housing Hub, Cleveland Field Office, require the Authority to provide support or reimburse its Section 8 program \$812,967 (\$738,708 in housing assistance payments plus \$74,259 in related administrative fees) from nonfederal funds for unsupported housing assistance payments and unearned administrative fees related to the 65 tenants cited in this finding.	12/31/2033
2005-CH-1020-003-A	9/29/2005	Housing Authority of the City of Gary Section 8 Housing Program, Gary, IN	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that the director of HUD's Public Housing Hub, Cleveland Field Office, require the Authority to reimburse its Section 8 administrative fees \$805,585 from nonfederal funds for inappropriately funding HOPE VI expenses.	12/31/2052
2005-AT-1013-003-A	9/15/2005	Corporacion para el Fomento Economico de la Ciudad Capital, San Juan, Puerto Rico, Did Not Administer Its Independent Capital Fund in Accordance with HUD Requirements.	In Process	Require the Municipality to obtain and submit all supporting documentation and HUD determine the eligibility and compliance with national objectives of the \$631,195 the Corporation disbursed for the four loans. Any amounts determined ineligible must be reimbursed to the Block Grant program from nonfederal funds.	10/31/2008
2005-AT-1013-002-A	9/15/2005	Corporacion para el Fomento Economico de la Ciudad Capital, San Juan, Puerto Rico, Did Not Administer Its Independent Capital Fund in Accordance with HUD Requirements.	In Process	Require the Municipality to obtain and submit all supporting documentation and HUD determine the eligibility and propriety of \$1,011,801 in administrative costs the Corporation charged to the Block Grant revolving fund. Any amounts determined ineligible must be reimbursed to the Block Grant program from nonfederal funds.	10/31/2008
2005-CH-1010-001-A	4/8/2005	Kankakee County Housing Authority Low-Rent Housing Program, Kankakee, IL	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that HUD's Director of Public Housing Hub, Chicago Regional Office, assure that the Authority reduces its Low-Rent Performance Funding Operating Subsidy by \$119,376 for the excessive operating subsidy cited in this finding.	12/31/2025
2005-CH-1003-002-C	11/29/2004	Royal Oak Township Housing Commission, Public Housing Program, Ferndale, Michigan	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that HUD's Director of Public Housing Hub, Detroit Field Office, assure the Royal Oak Township Housing Commission: Reimburse its Public Housing Program \$3,340 from non-Federal funds for three ineligible travel costs.	9/30/2023
2005-CH-1003-002-A	11/29/2004	Royal Oak Township Housing Commission, Public Housing Program, Ferndale, Michigan	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that HUD's Director of Public Housing Hub, Detroit Field Office, assure the Royal Oak Township Housing Commission: Reimburse its Public Housing Program \$45,220 from non-Federal funds for the operating subsidy that was not used in accordance with HUD's One Strike Policy.	9/30/2023

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2005-CH-1003-001-A	11/29/2004	Royal Oak Township Housing Commission, Public Housing Program, Ferndale, Michigan	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that HUD's Director of Public Housing Hub, Detroit Field Office, assure the Royal Oak Township Housing Commission: Reimburse its Public Housing Program \$367,516 from non-Federal funds for the improper use of HUD operating subsidy funds cited in this finding.	9/30/2023
2005-AT-1004-002-E	11/19/2004	Housing Authority of the City of Durham, Durham, North Carolina	Under Repayment Agreement	Require the Authority to repay its programs \$6,855,271 spent for ineligible procurements. Repayment should be from non-Federal funds and paid in the following amounts and to the following programs: Conventional Public Housing General Fund \$2,818,331, Capital Fund \$3,630,215, HOPE VI \$259,289, Section 8 \$115,128, Drug Elimination \$12,048, Economic Development Support Services \$13,831, and Turnkey III Program \$6,429.	1/31/2114
2004-PH-1011-002-B	9/8/2004	Petersburg, VA Redevelopment and Housing Authority Did Not Follow Federal Procurement Regulations or Properly Manage HUD Funds	Under Repayment Agreement	Direct the Authority to provide adequate documentation to support \$1,943,993 or reimburse HUD from nonfederal sources.	1/30/2057
2004-PH-1011-001-B	9/8/2004	Petersburg, VA Redevelopment and Housing Authority Did Not Follow Federal Procurement Regulations or Properly Manage HUD Funds	Under Repayment Agreement	Require the Authority to reimburse HUD \$882,916 from nonfederal sources.	1/30/2057
2004-AT-1006-001-B	4/22/2004	Puerto Rico Public Housing Administration, San Juan, Puerto Rico	Pending Judicial Review	Require the PRPHA to submit all supporting documentation and determine the accuracy of the \$4,230,646 owed by PBA and its public housing management agents. Any amounts determined ineligible must be reimbursed to the ACC projects, from non-Federal funds.	12/28/2019
2004-AT-1001-001-A	1/15/2004	Housing Authority of the City of Cuthbert, Georgia	Under Repayment Agreement	Require the Authority to collect the \$327,326 due from SCHDC and discontinue advancing funds.	5/29/2039
2004-CH-1001-003-D	11/26/2003	Kankakee County Housing Authority Section 8 Housing Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Provides documentation to support the annual income used in 26 reexaminations for \$112,753 in Housing Assistance Payments. If adequate documentation cannot be provided, then the Authority should reimburse its Section 8 Housing Program from non-Federal funds for the appropriate amount.	1/1/2079
2004-CH-1001-003-B	11/26/2003	Kankakee County Housing Authority Section 8 Housing Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Provides documentation to support that it appropriately made \$324,364 in Housing Assistance Payments. If adequate documentation cannot be provided, then the Authority should reimburse its Section 8 Housing Program from non-Federal funds for the appropriate amount.	1/1/2079

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2004-CH-1001-002-B	11/26/2003	Kankakee County Housing Authority Section 8 Housing Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Reimburses its Section 8 Housing Program \$150,851 from non-Federal funds for the Section 8 administrative fees collected by the Authority (\$14,942) and the Housing Assistance Payments (\$135,909) improperly made for the Section 8 housing units that did not meet HUD's Housing Quality Standards.	1/1/2079
2004-BO-1002-001-A	11/4/2003	Family Living Adult Care Center FHA Project Number 024-22019 Biddeford and Saco, Maine	Under Repayment Agreement	Recover from owner \$2,687,822, the difference between \$3,662,822 owed to HUD by owner and \$975,000 proceeds of foreclosure sale.	1/1/2075
2003-CH-1019-003-A	7/25/2003	Section 8 Housing Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Reimburses its Voucher Program from non-Federal funds \$60,399 for Section 8 subsidy at units it incorrectly certified met Housing Quality Standards.	1/31/2054
2003-CH-1019-002-D	7/25/2003	Section 8 Housing Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Provides documentation to support the \$43,132 of unsupported payments cited in the Indiana State Board of Accounts audit report. If documentation cannot be provided, then the Authority should reimburse its Section 8 Voucher Program for the amount that cannot be supported from non-Federal funds.	1/31/2054
2003-CH-1019-002-C	7/25/2003	Section 8 Housing Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Reimburses its Section 8 Voucher Program \$40,708 from non-Federal funds for ineligible costs cited in the Indiana State Board of Accounts audit report.	1/31/2054
2003-CH-1019-002-B	7/25/2003	Section 8 Housing Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Provides documentation to support the \$1,672 of unsupported payments cited in this finding. If documentation cannot be provided, then the Authority should reimburse its Section 8 Voucher Program from non-Federal funds for the amount that cannot be supported.	1/31/2054
2003-CH-1019-002-A	7/25/2003	Section 8 Housing Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Reimburses its Section 8 Voucher Program \$42,206 from non-Federal funds for the ineligible costs cited in this finding.	1/31/2054
2003-CH-1019-001-B	7/25/2003	Section 8 Housing Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Establishes a formal repayment agreement with the Housing Authority that will allow the current debt owed to HUD of \$533,432 to be repaid without disrupting the Section 8 Program. The following should be included in the agreement: prior HUD approval of the Housing Authority's proposed budgets; and a requirement that the Housing Authority revise its funding requisitions when leasing levels materially change so that future overpayments will be avoided.	1/31/2054
2003-CH-1014-005-A	3/28/2003	Coshocton Metropolitan Housing Authority Public Housing Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Provides documentation to support the \$33,284 of unsupported payments cited in this finding. If documentation cannot be provided, then the Authority should reimburse its Public Housing Program the amount that cannot be supported from non-Federal funds.	4/30/2023
2003-CH-1014-002-A	3/28/2003	Coshocton Metropolitan Housing Authority Public Housing Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Provides documentation to support the \$72,329 of unsupported salaries and wages cited in this finding. If documentation cannot be provided, the Authority should reimburse its Public Housing Program the appropriate amount from non-Federal funds.	5/31/2035

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2003-CH-1011-001-B	3/24/2003	Coshocton Metropolitan Housing Authority Comprehensive Improvement Assistance Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Reimburses its Comprehensive Assistance Improvement Program (now the Capital Fund Program) \$36408 from non-federal funds for the inappropriate use.	5/31/2053
2003-CH-1011-001-A	3/24/2003	Coshocton Metropolitan Housing Authority Comprehensive Improvement Assistance Program	Under Repayment Agreement	Ensures that the \$287,224 of housing work cited in this finding is completed correctly using non-federal funds. If the Authority is unable to ensure the work is completed correctly, then the Authority should reimburse its Comprehensive Assistance Improvement Program (now the Capital Fund Program) from non-Federal funds the applicable amount of work not completed correctly or not provided.	4/30/2101
2002-PH-1005-001-D	9/30/2002	Philadelphia Regional Alliance of HUD Tenants, OTAG and ITAG, Philadelphia PA	In Process	Reimburse HUD for the \$23,422 ineligible expenditures charged to the grant.	9/19/2019
2002-PH-1005-001-C	9/30/2002	Philadelphia Regional Alliance of HUD Tenants, OTAG and ITAG, Philadelphia PA	In Process	Support unsupported expenditures of \$60,750 that were drawn down for the grant. For any unsupported expenditures require grantee reimburse HUD.	9/19/2019
2002-AT-1002-005-C	7/3/2002	Housing Authority of the City of Tupelo, Housing Programs Operations, Tupelo, Mississippi	Under Repayment Agreement	Remove the refrigerators and ranges from the Ida Street development or require reimbursement from the partnership.	12/31/2036
2002-AT-1002-003-B	7/3/2002	Housing Authority of the City of Tupelo, Housing Programs Operations, Tupelo, Mississippi	Under Repayment Agreement	Provide proper support for the \$331,665 of expenditures for the fiscal year 2000 Annual Statement, or reimburse the CGP funds.	12/31/2036
2002-AT-1002-003-A	7/3/2002	Housing Authority of the City of Tupelo, Housing Programs Operations, Tupelo, Mississippi	Under Repayment Agreement	Provide proper supporting documentation or reimburse the CGP \$293,544 of unsupported expenditures.	12/31/2036
2002-AT-1002-001-A	7/3/2002	Housing Authority of the City of Tupelo, Housing Programs Operations, Tupelo, Mississippi	Under Repayment Agreement	Require the Authority to seek repayment of the \$728,159 owed from the Tupelo Apartment Homes, L.P.	12/31/2036
2002-NY-0001-001-B	2/25/2002	NATIONWIDE AUDIT ASSET CONTROL AREA PROGRAM - SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING	Pending Legislation	If implementation continues we recommend that HUD complete and implement the regulations.	5/18/2020
2001-PH-1803-001-A	8/31/2001	Housing Authority of the County of Chester, Assessment of Problems, West Chester PA	Under Repayment Agreement	Take action to refinance mixed financing developments, recover inappropriately expended Annual Contributions Contract funds, sanctions for Annual Contributions Contract violations.	12/31/2025
2001-AT-1001-001-A	10/20/2000	Housing Authority of the City of Miami Beach, Miami Beach, Florida	Under Repayment Agreement	Obtain additional supporting documentation or recover from city \$795,178 paid for police protection, recreation and code enforcement activities.	12/31/2023

**GAO-IG Report for Budget Submission
OIG Recommendations as of 9/30/19**

Report and Recommendation Number	Report Date	Report Title	Status	OIG Recommendation	Final Action Target Date
2000-AT-1003-003-C	3/6/2000	Puerto Rico Public Housing Administration, Procurement Management, San Juan, Puerto Rico	Pending Judicial Review and Under Repayment Agreement	Require that the PHA reimburse \$2,568,000, less any restitution, for the fictitious training invoices.	6/18/2020
2000-NY-1003-004-C	12/30/1999	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF PLAINFIELD LOW RENT HOUSING PROGRAM - PLAINFIELD, NEW JERSEY	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend you instruct the Public Housing Authority to reimburse from nonfederal funds, the amount of unsupported costs determined to be ineligible.	10/1/2020
1995-NY-1001-001-B	1/24/1995	1199 HOUSING CORPORATION - MULTIFAMILY MORTGAGOR OPERATIONS	Under Repayment Agreement	We recommend that you make a determination on collectability of past due excess income liability and require the mortgagor to start correctly completing monthly excess income report.	10/18/2019