

## DHAP-IKE Status Report

Data as of 12/13/2010

Referral Round #	Date of FEMA Referral	# of Families Referred	Records being researched for current HUD Assistance	Katrina Assisted Families (Not Loaded as DHAP-IKE)	# of Families Loaded into DIS	# of Families to be Reconciled	# of Families that did not Participate	% of Families that did not Participate	# of Families that were EOP'd	% of Families that were EOP'd	Total # of Families EOP'd or did not Participate	% of Total Families EOP'd or did not Participate
1	10/15/2008	289	0	1	288	0	101	35%	145	50%	246	85%
2	10/17/2008	1,142	0	0	1,142	0	517	45%	556	49%	1,073	94%
3	10/21/2008	2,826	0	11	2,815	0	981	35%	1,419	50%	2,400	85%
4	10/24/2008	2,380	0	6	2,374	0	776	33%	1,306	55%	2,082	87%
5	10/28/2008	2,607	0	8	2,599	0	973	37%	1,305	50%	2,278	87%
6	10/31/2008	17,953	0	47	17,906	0	9,133	51%	6,776	38%	15,909	89%
7	11/4/2008	2,524	0	7	2,517	0	1,220	48%	1,016	40%	2,236	89%
8	11/7/2008	748	0	3	745	0	372	50%	303	41%	675	90%
9	11/10/2008	640	0	0	640	0	330	52%	235	37%	565	88%
10	11/14/2008	2,084	0	9	2,075	0	1,131	54%	734	35%	1,865	89%
11	11/17/2008	3,879	0	5	3,874	0	2,279	59%	1,258	32%	3,537	91%
12	11/20/2008	921	0	3	918	0	520	56%	323	35%	843	92%
13	11/24/2008	791	0	2	789	0	403	51%	294	37%	697	88%
14	12/1/2008	1,600	0	13	1,587	0	770	48%	635	40%	1,405	88%
15	12/4/2008	1,257	0	4	1,253	0	668	53%	460	37%	1,128	90%
16	12/8/2008	507	0	5	502	0	291	57%	157	31%	448	88%
17	12/11/2008	532	0	1	531	0	283	53%	188	35%	471	89%
18	12/15/2008	366	0	2	364	0	198	54%	126	34%	324	89%
19	12/22/2008	344	0	1	343	0	210	61%	96	28%	306	89%
20	12/22/2008	261	0	0	261	0	141	54%	89	34%	230	88%
21	2/2/2009	5,019	0	26	4,993	0	3,134	62%	1,374	27%	4,508	90%
22	2/11/2009	590	0	9	581	0	353	60%	181	31%	534	91%
23	2/17/2009	247	0	2	245	0	169	68%	47	19%	216	87%
24	2/24/2009	339	0	2	337	0	210	62%	93	27%	303	89%
25	3/3/2009	348	0	3	345	0	208	60%	101	29%	309	89%
26	3/10/2009	251	0	4	247	0	139	55%	81	32%	220	88%
27	3/17/2009	183	0	0	183	0	100	55%	59	32%	159	87%
28	3/24/2009	110	0	0	110	0	55	50%	43	39%	98	89%
29	3/31/2009	121	0	2	119	0	63	52%	40	33%	103	85%
30	4/7/2009	71	0	0	71	0	38	54%	22	31%	60	85%
31	4/15/2009	91	0	0	91	0	43	47%	28	31%	71	78%
32	4/21/2009	65	0	1	64	0	29	45%	24	37%	53	82%
33	4/28/2009	44	0	0	44	0	23	52%	17	39%	40	91%
34	5/5/2009	46	0	0	46	0	27	59%	14	30%	41	89%
35	5/12/2009	23	0	0	23	0	9	39%	8	35%	17	74%
36	5/19/2009	27	0	0	27	0	12	44%	10	37%	22	81%
37	5/26/2009	18	0	0	18	0	6	33%	6	33%	12	67%
38	6/2/2009	21	0	1	20	0	8	38%	11	52%	19	90%
39	6/9/2009	84	0	0	84	0	67	80%	11	13%	78	93%
40	6/19/2009	32	0	0	32	0	24	75%	6	19%	30	94%
41	6/23/2009	122	0	0	122	0	90	74%	22	18%	112	92%
42	6/30/2009	14	0	0	14	0	6	43%	5	36%	11	79%
43	7/7/2009	17	0	1	16	0	9	53%	4	24%	13	76%
44	7/15/2009	13	0	0	13	0	7	54%	2	15%	9	69%
45	7/21/2009	15	0	0	15	0	9	60%	3	20%	12	80%
46	7/28/2009	4	0	0	4	0	1	25%	2	50%	3	75%
47	8/4/2009	8	0	1	7	0	4	50%	2	25%	6	75%
48	8/11/2009	37	0	0	37	0	28	76%	6	16%	34	92%
49	8/18/2009	5	0	0	5	0	1	20%	2	40%	3	60%
50	8/25/2009	6	0	0	6	0	2	33%	2	33%	4	67%
51	9/1/2009	2	0	0	2	0	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
52	9/8/2009	3	0	0	3	0	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
53	9/15/2009	4	0	0	4	0	0	0%	3	75%	3	75%
54	9/22/2009	59	0	0	59	0	56	95%	2	3%	58	98%
55	9/29/2009	4	0	1	3	0	2	50%	1	25%	3	75%
56	10/6/2009	6	0	1	5	0	2	33%	2	33%	4	67%
57	10/13/2009	15	0	0	15	0	8	53%	5	33%	13	87%
58	10/20/2009	22	0	2	20	0	16	73%	4	18%	20	91%
59	10/27/2009	17	0	0	17	0	13	76%	1	6%	14	82%
60	11/3/2009	17	0	1	16	0	14	82%	1	6%	15	88%
61	11/10/2009	3	0	0	3	0	1	33%	1	33%	2	67%
62	11/17/2009	10	0	0	10	0	10	100%	0	0%	10	100%
63	11/24/2009	16	0	0	16	0	15	94%	0	0%	15	94%
64	12/2/2009	18	0	0	18	0	18	100%	0	0%	18	100%
65	12/8/2009	12	0	0	12	0	12	100%	0	0%	12	100%
66	12/15/2009	15	0	0	15	0	15	100%	0	0%	15	100%
67	12/22/2009	4	0	0	4	0	3	75%	1	25%	4	100%
68	12/29/2009	1	0	0	1	0	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
69	1/5/2010	1	0	0	1	0	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
73	2/16/2010	2	0	0	2	0	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%
74	3/2/2010	1	0	0	1	0	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
75	3/10/2010	2	0	0	2	0	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
76	3/11/2010	1	0	0	1	0	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
	<b>Total:</b>	<b>51,857</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>51,672</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>26,364</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>19,670</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>46,034</b>	<b>89%</b>

## DHAP-IKE Payment Status Report

Data as of 12/13/2010

Case Scenario	Family Contacted	Family Agreed to Case Management	DRSC Signed with Landlord	Totals <sup>2</sup>	% of Families in Process	% of Total	Weekly % Change
A	X	X	X	5,601	10.84%	10.84%	↓0.76%
B	X	X		4	0.01%	0.01%	0.00%
C	X		X	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
D	X			1	0.00%	0.00%	↓66.67%
E (In Process - Transitioning to DHAP)				32	0.06%	0.06%	↑10.34%
EOP's & families that did not participate	N/A	N/A	N/A	46,034	89.09%	89.09%	↑0.09%
	<b>Grand Total<sup>1</sup></b>			<b>51,672</b>			
F	Remaining total of DHAP IKE families loaded into DIS but have not yet been assigned to a PHA.			0		0.00%	0.00%
<b>Total Families Referred by FEMA for DHAP IKE loaded into DIS</b>				<b>51,672</b>			<b>0.00%</b>

<sup>1</sup> The total number of families assigned to PHAs is 40,141 . There are 11,531 families that did not agree to participate prior to assignment to a PHA. The sum of all families assigned and those that did not agree to participate before assignment equals 51,672. Additionally, there are no families that are assigned to a non-participating PHA that are currently being researched.

<sup>2</sup> The total number of families serviced by DHAP-Ike at any point in the process is 17,538.

**Total Family Assignments by PHAs with Grant Agreements - DHAP IKE**

Data as of 12/13/2010

PHA Code	HA Name	Total Families Assigned	# of Families Assessed for Continued Eligibility	# of Families Determined Eligible	% of Families Determined Eligible	Case A	Case A Weekly % Change	Case A Initial Average HAP	Case B	Case C	Case D	Case E	# of Families that did not Participate	# of Families EOP'd	Total # of Families EOP'd or did not Participate	% of Families in Process
<b>LOUISIANA</b>																
LA889	Pilgrim Rest Community Development Agency	3,532	446	446	100.00%	447	↓2.61%	\$661.00	0	0	0	4	1,405	1,676	3,081	100%
LA003	Housing Authority of East Baton Rouge	1,789	310	308	99.35%	310	↓0.32%	\$833.00	1	0	1	7	670	800	1,470	100%
LA211	Terrebonne PH Consolid. Govt., Federal Programs Division	1,198	89	89	100.00%	92	↑8.91%	\$707.00	2	0	0	0	751	353	1,104	100%
LA207	Tangipahoa Parish Council	909	134	133	99.25%	141	0.00%	\$636.00	0	0	0	0	369	399	768	100%
LA001	Housing Authority of New Orleans	848	355	355	100.00%	355	↓1.39%	\$959.00	0	0	0	1	234	258	492	100%
LA013	Housing Authority of Jefferson Parish	766	202	202	100.00%	202	0.00%	\$981.00	0	0	0	1	333	230	563	100%
LA005	Housing Authority of the City of Lafayette	541	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$800.00	0	0	0	0	231	310	541	100%
LA172	Calcasieu Parish Police Jury	444	18	18	100.00%	19	0.00%	\$671.00	0	0	0	0	269	156	425	100%
LA004	Housing Authority of Lake Charles	393	12	11	91.67%	12	0.00%	\$663.00	0	0	0	1	242	138	380	100%
LA204	West Baton Rouge Parish Council	391	27	27	100.00%	27	0.00%	\$591.00	0	0	0	0	259	105	364	100%
LA009	Ascension Parish Section 8 Program	309	19	19	100.00%	19	0.00%	\$751.00	0	0	0	0	217	73	290	100%
LA104	Housing Authority of Hammond	306	78	78	100.00%	78	0.00%	\$647.00	0	0	0	1	70	157	227	100%
LA101	Housing Authority of the City of Denham Springs	182	9	9	100.00%	9	0.00%	\$788.00	0	0	0	1	98	74	172	99%
LA094	Housing Authority of St. Charles Parish	161	40	38	95.00%	41	0.00%	\$856.00	0	0	0	0	73	47	120	100%
LA171	Ouachita Parish Police Jury	145	18	18	100.00%	19	0.00%	\$547.00	0	0	0	0	93	33	126	100%
LA132	Avoyelles Parish Police Jury	103	10	10	100.00%	10	0.00%	\$504.00	0	0	0	0	60	33	93	100%
LA006	Housing Authority of Monroe	81	9	9	100.00%	9	0.00%	\$486.00	0	0	0	0	39	33	72	100%
LA103	Housing Authority of City of Slidell	73	0	0	0.00%	1	0.00%	\$1,271.00	0	0	0	0	46	26	72	100%
LA192	City of Ville Platte	61	28	28	100.00%	28	0.00%	\$521.00	0	0	0	0	16	17	33	100%
LA182	Evangeline Parish Police Jury	45	8	8	100.00%	8	0.00%	\$554.00	0	0	0	0	22	15	37	100%
LA002	Housing Authority of Shreveport	14	1	1	100.00%	1	0.00%	\$525.00	0	0	0	0	4	9	13	100%
LA074	Housing Authority of Sabine Parish	10	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	9	1	10	100%
LA190	Bossier Parish Section 8	5	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	1	4	5	100%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>12,306</b>	<b>1,813</b>	<b>1,807</b>	<b>99.67%</b>	<b>1,828</b>	<b>↓1.46%</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>5,511</b>	<b>4,947</b>	<b>10,458</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>TEXAS</b>																
TX017	Housing Authority of the City of Galveston	6,943	1,282	1,272	99.22%	1,284	↑0.16%	\$884.00	0	0	0	0	1,568	4,091	5,659	100%
TX441	Harris County Housing Authority	6,094	1,003	999	99.60%	1,005	↓0.4%	\$808.00	0	0	0	2	1,776	3,311	5,087	100%
TX005	Houston Housing Authority	5,727	584	535	91.61%	586	↑0.34%	\$817.00	0	0	0	2	1,986	3,153	5,139	100%
TX034	Housing Authority of Port Arthur	3,586	361	360	99.72%	369	↑1.34%	\$694.00	0	0	0	4	1,666	1,547	3,213	100%
TX999	Harris County - NO GA Families	1,665	110	110	100.00%	114	↑1.79%	\$828.00	1	0	0	4	1,065	481	1,546	100%
TX012	Housing Authority of the City of Baytown	917	55	55	100.00%	55	↓1.79%	\$746.00	0	0	0	0	234	628	862	100%
TX512	Deep East Texas Council of Governments	650	46	46	100.00%	52	↓14.75%	\$599.00	0	0	0	1	268	329	597	100%
TX560	Housing Authority of Montgomery County	529	56	56	100.00%	56	0.00%	\$736.00	0	0	0	0	169	304	473	100%
TX505	Liberty County Housing Authority	425	27	27	100.00%	27	↓3.57%	\$595.00	0	0	0	0	184	214	398	100%
TX440	City of Pasadena Housing Assistance Program	304	74	74	100.00%	74	0.00%	\$681.00	0	0	0	0	77	153	230	100%
TX001	Austin Housing Authority	136	40	40	100.00%	40	0.00%	\$761.00	0	0	0	0	25	71	96	100%
TX006	San Antonio Housing Authority	116	15	15	100.00%	16	0.00%	\$709.00	0	0	0	1	30	69	99	99%
TX009	Housing Authority of the City of Dallas, Texas	92	20	20	100.00%	20	0.00%	\$798.00	0	0	0	0	24	48	72	100%
TX526	Brazos Valley Council of Governments	62	3	3	100.00%	3	0.00%	\$300.00	0	0	0	0	22	37	59	100%
TX483	Housing Authority of the City of Rosenberg	55	5	5	100.00%	5	↓16.67%	\$1,038.00	0	0	0	0	12	38	50	100%
TX482	Central Texas Council of Governments	44	3	3	100.00%	4	0.00%	\$559.00	0	0	0	0	25	15	40	100%
TX008	Corpus Christi Housing Authority	38	9	9	100.00%	9	0.00%	\$744.00	0	0	0	0	16	13	29	100%
TX486	Housing Authority of the City of Nacogdoches	36	2	2	100.00%	2	0.00%	\$692.00	0	0	0	0	11	23	34	100%
TX433	Arlington Housing Authority	32	12	12	100.00%	12	0.00%	\$836.00	0	0	0	0	7	13	20	100%
TX010	Housing Authority of the City of Waco	25	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	19	6	25	100%
TX004	Housing Authority of Fort Worth	24	3	3	100.00%	3	↓25%	\$775.00	0	0	0	0	11	10	21	100%
TX128	Housing Authority of Plano	18	2	2	100.00%	2	0.00%	\$880.00	0	0	0	1	4	11	15	94%
TX516	Jacksonville Housing Authority	18	2	2	100.00%	2	0.00%	\$575.00	0	0	0	0	6	10	16	100%
TX459	Longview Housing Authority	16	3	3	100.00%	3	0.00%	\$679.00	0	0	0	0	5	8	13	100%
TX087	San Marcos Housing Authority	15	4	4	100.00%	5	0.00%	\$781.00	0	0	0	0	9	1	10	100%
TX343	New Braunfels Housing Authority	14	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	10	4	14	100%
TX435	Garland Housing Authority	14	6	6	100.00%	6	0.00%	\$765.00	0	0	0	0	2	6	8	100%
TX431	Tarrant County Housing Assistance Office	13	3	3	100.00%	3	0.00%	\$993.00	0	0	0	0	1	9	10	100%
TX480	Travis County Housing Authority	11	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	3	8	11	100%
TX434	Grand Prairie Housing & Neighborhood Services	11	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	2	9	11	100%
TX457	Housing Authority of Marshall	10	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	8	2	10	100%
TX264	Georgetown Housing Authority	9	1	1	100.00%	1	0.00%	\$1,228.00	0	0	0	0	4	4	8	100%
TX025	San Benito HA	4	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	1	3	4	100%
TX327	Housing Authority of Abilene	4	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	100%
TX458	Grayson County Housing Authority	4	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	100%
TX174	Sinton HA	3	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	100%
TX436	Mesquite	3	1	1	100.00%	1	0.00%	\$622.00	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	100%
TX352	Housing Authority of Livingston	3	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	100%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>27,670</b>	<b>3,732</b>	<b>3,668</b>	<b>98.29%</b>	<b>3,759</b>	<b>↓0.42%</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>9,260</b>	<b>14,635</b>	<b>23,895</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Total Family Assignments by PHAs with Grant Agreements - DHAP IKE**

Data as of 12/13/2010

PHA Code	HA Name	Total Families Assigned	# of Families Assessed for Continued Eligibility	# of Families Determined Eligible	% of Families Determined Eligible	Case A	Case A Weekly % Change	Case A Initial Average HAP	Case B	Case C	Case D	Case E	# of Families that did not Participate	# of Families EOP'd	Total # of Families EOP'd or did not Participate	% of Families in Process
<b>REST OF UNITED STATES</b>																
OK901	Oklahoma Housing Finance Agency	22	0	0	0.00%	1	0.00%	\$554.00	0	0	0	0	3	18	21	100%
GA901	Georgia Residential Finance	18	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	3	15	18	100%
GA264	Housing Authority of Fulton County	10	5	5	100.00%	5	0.00%	\$963.00	0	0	0	0	5	0	5	100%
GA237	Housing Authority of the County of Dekalb, GA	8	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	2	6	8	100%
OK005	Housing Authority of the City of Lawton	7	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	3	4	7	100%
WA008	Housing Authority of the City of Vancouver	7	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	6	1	7	100%
AR181	Fayetteville Housing Authority	6	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	1	5	6	100%
AR252	Pulaski County Housing Agency	5	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	3	2	5	100%
OK002	Housing Authority of the City of Oklahoma City	5	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	1	4	5	100%
TN001	Memphis Housing Authority	5	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	1	4	5	100%
CA108	Housing Authority of the County of San Diego	5	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	100%
CA004	Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	4	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	3	1	4	100%
CO034	Loveland Housing Authority	4	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	3	1	4	100%
MS109	The Housing Authority of the City of Long Beach	4	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	100%
AR016	Camden Housing Authority	4	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	100%
AR197	White River Regional Housing Authority	3	1	1	100.00%	1	0.00%	\$600.00	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	100%
GA232	Housing Authority of the City of College Park	3	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	100%
NM064	Region IV Housing Authority	3	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	100%
CA011	County of Contra Costa Housing Authority	3	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	100%
CA007	County of Sacramento Housing Authority	3	2	2	100.00%	2	0.00%	\$825.00	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	100%
NC144	Eastern Carolina Human Services Agency, Inc.	3	1	1	100.00%	1	0.00%	\$495.00	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	67%
KY901	Kentucky Housing Corporation-State Agency	3	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	100%
AR003	The Housing Authority of the City of Fort Smith	2	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	100%
FL024	Ormond Beach Housing Authority	2	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	100%
FL030	Housing Authority of the County of Flagler	2	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	100%
FL066	Hialeah Housing Authority	2	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	100%
GA095	Housing Authority of the City of Newnan	2	1	1	100.00%	1	0.00%	\$600.00	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	100%
NM063	Region VI HA of New Mexico	2	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	100%
NV905	Nevada Rural Hsg Auth	2	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	100%
OK139	Housing Authority of the City of Norman	2	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	100%
TN007	Jackson Housing Authority	2	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	100%
IL002	Chicago Housing Authority	1	1	1	100.00%	1	0.00%	\$750.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100%
NM009	Santa Fe Civic Housing Authority	1	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	100%
PA006	Allegheny County Housing Authority	1	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	100%
AR068	Hope Housing Authority	1	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	100%
IA020	Des Moines Municipal Housing Agency	1	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	100%
MO212	Ripley County HA	1	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	100%
TN020	Murfreesboro Housing Authority	1	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	100%
UT002	Housing Authority of the City of Ogden	1	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	100%
UT004	Housing Authority of Salt Lake City	1	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	100%
CA094	County of Orange Housing Authority	1	1	1	100.00%	1	0.00%	\$2,500.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100%
MS301	Bay Waveland HA	1	0	0	0.00%	1	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100%
VA012	Chesapeake Redevelopment & Housing Authority	1	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	100%
<b>Total</b>		<b>165</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0.00%</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>99%</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>		<b>40,141</b>	<b>5,557</b>	<b>5,487</b>	<b>98.74%</b>	<b>5,601</b>	<b>0.76%</b>		<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>14,833</b>	<b>19,670</b>	<b>34,503</b>	<b>100%</b>

<sup>1</sup> LA238 has rescinded from DHAP-IKE program - 32 families were transferred to LA013

## DHAP-IKE EOP or Did Not Participate by Reason

Data as of 12/13/2010

EOP	# of Families EOP'd	# of Families that did not Participate	Total # of Families EOP'd or did not Participate	% of Families EOP'd or did not Participate
DEDHOH - Deceased Head of Household	100	26	126	0.27%
DUPAST - Family receiving duplicate assistance	34	1,212	1,246	2.71%
FEDICA - Family Not Eligible for DHAP-Ike Continued Assistance	3,245	19	3,264	7.09%
FNAPDU - Family does not agree to move to Pre-Disaster Unit	44	4	48	0.10%
FRVAPA - Family Received Vacancy Payment	134	3	137	0.30%
NOCASE - Family does not agree to Case Management	170	87	257	0.56%
NOCNCT - Unable to contact family	1,070	3,351	4,421	9.60%
NOMVHQ - Family does not agree to move to HQS Compliant Unit	197	7	204	0.44%
NOMVLD - Family does not agree to move to unit with landlord	428	98	526	1.14%
OTHER - Please refer to comment field	163	20	183	0.40%
PMHSNG - Placed in Permanent Housing	1,183	568	1,751	3.80%
REFDAS - Refused Disaster Assistance <sup>1,2</sup>	6,110	20,191	26,301	57.13%
REOSET - REO Settlement	3	3	6	0.01%
RETHOM - Return Home	1,226	761	1,987	4.32%
TAVFO - Termination of Assistance for Violation of Family Obligation	5,563	14	5,577	12.11%
<b>Total</b>	<b>19,670</b>	<b>26,364</b>	<b>46,034</b>	<b>100.00%</b>

<sup>1</sup> Hotel Cases: Automatic Mass EOP requested by FEMA - 605 records on 03/17/2009

<sup>2</sup> Case F Called 3x: Automatic Mass EOP - 12,405 records

**DHAP-IKE RETURN REFERRAL and END of PARTICIPATION SUMMARY**

Data as of 12/13/2010

<b>Total number of families referred by FEMA for DHAP-Ike assistance</b>		<b>51,857</b>
<b>Total number of families referred back to FEMA because family did not participate in DHAP-Ike<sup>1</sup></b>		<b>26,549</b>
Percent of referred families that did not participate in DHAP-Ike		51%
<b>Total number of families referred back to FEMA that were homeowners before Hurricanes Ike and Gustav</b>	<b>12,627</b>	
Percent of families referred back to FEMA that were homeowners before Hurricanes Ike and Gustav	48%	
Percent of all homeowners (19,877) referred to HUD	64%	
<b>Total number of families referred to HUD that are being contacted by HUD or the PHA for participation in DHAP-Ike<sup>2</sup></b>		<b>33</b>
Percentage of families referred to HUD that are being contacted by HUD or the PHA for participation in DHAP-Ike		0%
<b>Total number of families that agreed to participate in DHAP-Ike after referral from FEMA<sup>3</sup></b>		<b>25,275</b>
Percent of referred families that agreed to participate		49%
<b>Total number of families currently under DRSC (Case A Families)</b>	<b>5,601</b>	
Percent of families in Case A	22%	
<b>Total number of families that agreed to participate and are working to execute a DRSC (Case B Families)</b>	<b>4</b>	
Percent of families in Case B	0%	
<b>Total number of families that ended their participation (EOP) with DHAP-Ike or were terminated for cause by PHAs</b>	<b>19,670</b>	
Percent of families that were EOP'd or terminated for cause by PHAs after agreeing to participate	78%	
Number of families ineligible to receive DHAP-IKE assistance due to Continuing Eligibility	3,245	
Percent of families ineligible to receive DHAP-IKE assistance due to Continuing Eligibility	16%	

<sup>1</sup> # of families that did not participate + 187 families that were not load into DIS under DHAP-Ike (See *DHAP-Ike Status Report*)

<sup>2</sup> Case C, D, E and F families

<sup>3</sup> Case A, B, and # of families that were EOP'd

**Disaster Housing Assistance-Ike (DHAP-Ike)**  
**Data Run December 14, 2010, for Obligations Week Ending December 14, 2010,**  
**and Payments Month of December 2010**

Agreements Received/Executed	117
Agreements Funded	117
Families Under GA and Assigned	<b>40,141</b>
<b>Percentage of Families Assisted</b>	<b>77.68%</b>
Obligations Weekly Total	\$0
<b>Program Start-to-Date Obligations Total</b>	<b>\$275,087,883</b>
<b>Total Program Funds Allotment</b>	<b>\$291,463,308</b>
<b>Percentage of Total Funds Obligated of Allotment</b>	<b>94.38%</b>
Monthly Disbursements Total	\$1,424,289
<b>Program Net Disbursements</b>	<b>\$270,255,102</b>

**DHAP-Ike CASE MANAGEMENT - (Caseload and Demographics)<sup>1</sup>**  
**Efforts to Outcomes (ETO) Data as of 12/14/2010**

<b>ACTIVE CASES Assigned to a PHA (Heads of Household)</b>	<b>5,662</b>	
Heads of household assigned to a case manager	5,639	99.6%
DRSCs executed	5,625	99.3%
Heads of household age 62 and over	540	9.5%
Heads of household self-reporting disability	1,639	28.9%
<i>Elderly disabled (=&gt;62)</i>	288	5.1%
<i>Non-elderly disabled (&lt;62)</i>	1,351	23.9%
Hardship waivers	5,360	94.7%
Total number of adult family members (ages 18+) currently receiving services <sup>2</sup>	5,727	
Case managers	277	
Heads of household to case manager ratio	20	
<b>ACTIVE CASES Not Assigned to a PHA (Heads of Household)</b>	<b>2</b>	
<b>CLOSED CASES (Heads of Household)<sup>3</sup></b>	<b>46,006</b>	
Deceased Head of Household	126	0.3%
Family Receiving Duplicate Assistance	1,241	2.7%
Family Not Eligible for DHAP-Ike Continued Assistance	3,268	7.1%
Family does not agree to move to Pre-Disaster Unit	48	0.1%
Family Received Vacancy Payment	136	0.3%
Family does not agree to Case Management	258	0.6%
Unable to contact family	4,422	9.6%
Family does not agree to move to HQS Compliant Unit	204	0.4%
Family does not agree to move to unit with landlord	526	1.1%
Other	182	0.4%
Placed in Permanent Housing	1,740	3.8%
Refused Disaster Assistance	26,299	57.2%
REO Settlement	6	0.0%
Return Home	1,989	4.3%
Termination of Assistance for Violation of Family Obligation	5,561	12.1%
<b>TOTAL CASES (Heads of Household)</b>	<b>51,670</b>	

1 ETO System data in this report was created from a re-fresh of data in the DIS system as of 12/09/2010. The ETO data is a reflection of data as of 12/13/2010.

2 Includes all active heads of household assigned to a case manager and any other family members that are 18 years or older who currently receive case management services, defined as having completed an initial DHAP-Ike Needs Assessment.

3 Closed Cases are shown for Heads of Household previously assigned to a specific PHA or never assigned.

**DHAP-Ike CASE MANAGEMENT - (Caseload and Demographics)**

**Efforts to Outcomes (ETO) Data as of 12/14/2010**

<b>ASSESSMENTS, IDPs, and REFERRALS - Active and EOP'd Heads of Households<sup>1</sup></b>				
Families with an Initial Assessment			<b>17,557</b>	
Families Re-Assessed			<b>13,969</b>	
Total Assessments Completed (includes all re-assessments for a given head of household)			<b>70,725</b>	
IDPs established			<b>17,587</b>	
<i>IDPs Completed</i>			<b>2,793</b>	
Families Referred To Services (at least one service referral for a Head of Household)			<b>14,042</b>	
<i>Total Service Referrals Made (includes all service referrals for a given HOH)<sup>2</sup></i>			<b>199,766</b>	
<b>KEY INDICATORS - Active Heads of Households with a completed Needs Assessment<sup>3</sup></b>				
<b>Initial and Current Needs Assessment</b>	<b>Initial N= 5,645</b>		<b>Current N= 5,645</b>	
Has high school diploma or GED	<b>3,084</b>	<b>54.6%</b>	<b>3,421</b>	<b>60.6%</b>
Has professional certification	<b>195</b>	<b>3.5%</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>2.3%</b>
Has college degree	<b>258</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>367</b>	<b>6.5%</b>
Self reports to be on subsidized housing waiting list	<b>1,695</b>	<b>30.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Has wages or benefits	<b>4,532</b>	<b>80.3%</b>	<b>4,792</b>	<b>84.9%</b>
<i>Employed</i>	<b>1,366</b>	<b>30.1%</b>	<b>2,177</b>	<b>38.6%</b>
<i>Elderly but not disabled</i>	<b>255</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>4.3%</b>
<i>Disabled but not elderly (self reported disability)</i>	<b>959</b>	<b>21.2%</b>	<b>1,222</b>	<b>21.6%</b>
<i>Elderly and disabled (self reported disability)</i>	<b>242</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>288</b>	<b>5.1%</b>
<i>Has high school diploma or GED</i>	<b>2,486</b>	<b>54.9%</b>	<b>2,896</b>	<b>51.3%</b>
<i>Has professional certification</i>	<b>152</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>1.9%</b>
<i>Has college degree</i>	<b>207</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>5.6%</b>
No wages or benefits	<b>1,113</b>	<b>19.7%</b>	<b>853</b>	<b>15.1%</b>
<i>Able to work</i>	<b>711</b>	<b>63.9%</b>	<b>297</b>	<b>34.8%</b>
<i>Looking for employment</i>	<b>649</b>	<b>58.3%</b>	<b>431</b>	<b>50.5%</b>
<i>Needs additional skills or training for employment</i>	<b>37</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>4.5%</b>
<i>Elderly but not disabled</i>	<b>27</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1.2%</b>
<i>Disabled but not elderly (self reported disability)</i>	<b>115</b>	<b>10.3%</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>15.1%</b>
<i>Elderly and disabled (self reported disability)</i>	<b>14</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0.6%</b>
<i>Has high school diploma or GED</i>	<b>598</b>	<b>53.7%</b>	<b>525</b>	<b>61.5%</b>
<i>Has professional certification</i>	<b>43</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>2.5%</b>
<i>Has college degree</i>	<b>51</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>5.9%</b>
<b>Current Risk Level<sup>4</sup></b>		<b>N = 5,645</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Median</b>
Tier 4 - Highest risk level		<b>3,062</b>	<b>54.2%</b>	<b>\$600.00</b>
Tier 3		<b>1,054</b>	<b>18.7%</b>	<b>\$742.00</b>
Tier 2		<b>251</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>\$900.00</b>
Tier 1 - Lowest risk level		<b>1,278</b>	<b>22.6%</b>	<b>\$1,133.00</b>

1 Total number of needs assessments, risk assessments and IDPs reported across all heads of households.

2 This referral number represents efforts made by case managers to refer clients to a specific agency for a particular identified need. Multiple referral efforts documented to the same agency for the same reason are included. The distinct number of referrals to an agency for a given need identified is 123,536.

3 Key indicators are reported for families that have participated in the DHAP program. Data from families that have been EOP'd is not included.

4 Individuals with one or more Primary Risks, such as no income, are triaged as Tier 4 (these heads of household may be eligible for hardship waivers). Individuals with lower priority risks are categorized as having a lower overall level of service needs. The triage determination is based on a DHAP-Ike Assessment performed by the case manager.

Active DHAP-Ike Families  
Based on Income Reported in the DHAP-Ike Case Management Needs Assessment for Active Families\*  
12/14/2010

Heads of Household	Total
Total Assigned to a specific PHA	5,662
Closed Cases	46,006
DRSCs Executed	5,625
Initial Needs Assessments Completed (currently active only)	5,645

Population Characteristics*	Total	% of Active Participants	% of DRSCs
Elderly (based on Date of Birth)	540	9.5%	9.6%
Disabled (based on self-report in the DHAP-Ike Needs Assessment)	1,639	28.9%	29.1%
<i>Elderly disabled (=&gt;62)</i>	288	5.1%	5.1%
<i>Non-elderly disabled (&lt;62)</i>	1,351	23.9%	24.0%
IRT Exemptions	5,360	94.7%	95.0%

**Change in Families with Assessments from Previous Report**

Active assessed families in previous report	5,672
Assessed families from previous report now EOP'd/inactive*	27
Newly assessed/EOP reversed families active in this report	0
<b>Total active assessed families in this report</b>	<b>5,645</b>
Change in number of assessments from previous report	-27
Number of re-assessments completed since previous report	298

\*Clients listed may fall into multiple categories (a disabled head of household may also be elderly, and have an IRT waiver)

\*The number of active assessed families from the previous report that are no longer active in this report may be greater than the change in active families, because the change in active families includes EOPs and reversals of EOPs.

**Annual Median Income for DHAP Populations**

All Active DHAP-Ike Participants	Number of Families	Assessments	Families with No Income	IRTs Exemptions	50% and below (≤50%)	Above 50% (>50%)	Cannot Match Address to AMI Table	30% and below (<=30%)	30%-50% (>30% to <= 50%)	50%-80% (>50% to <= 80%)	Above 80% (>80%)	Cannot Match Address to AMI Table
Currently Active DHAP Families	5,662	5,645	853	5,360	5,529	54	62	5,205	324	47	7	62
Change from Previous Report	-27	-27	-17	-20	-32	4	1	-31	-1	4	0	1
<b>Elderly</b>	<b>Number of Elderly</b>	<b>Assessments</b>	<b>Elderly Families with No Income</b>	<b>IRTs Exemptions</b>	<b>50% and below (≤50%)</b>	<b>Above 50% (&gt;50%)</b>	<b>Cannot Match Address to AMI Table</b>	<b>30% and below (&lt;=30%)</b>	<b>30%-50% (&gt;30% to &lt;= 50%)</b>	<b>50%-80% (&gt;50% to &lt;= 80%)</b>	<b>Above 80% (&gt;80%)</b>	<b>Cannot Match Address to AMI Table</b>
Currently Active Elderly DHAP Heads of Household	540	538	15	522	527	4	7	507	20	2	2	7
Change from Previous Report	0	0	-1	1	0	0	0	-1	1	0	0	0
<b>Active DHAP Participants Self Reporting Disability</b>	<b>Number of Disabled</b>	<b>Assessments</b>	<b>Disabled Families with No Income</b>	<b>IRTs Exemptions</b>	<b>50% and below (≤50%)</b>	<b>Above 50% (&gt;50%)</b>	<b>Cannot Match Address to AMI Table</b>	<b>30% and below (&lt;=30%)</b>	<b>30%-50% (&gt;30% to &lt;= 50%)</b>	<b>50%-80% (&gt;50% to &lt;= 80%)</b>	<b>Above 80% (&gt;80%)</b>	<b>Cannot Match Address to AMI Table</b>
Currently Active Disabled DHAP Heads of Household	1,639	1,639	134	951	1,621	4	14	1,572	49	4	0	14
Change from Previous Report	-6	-6	-5	1	-6	0	0	-4	-2	0	0	0
<b>Active DHAP-Ike Participants with IRTs</b>	<b>IRTs</b>	<b>Assessed Families with IRTs</b>	<b>Families with No Income</b>	<b>50% and below (≤50%)</b>	<b>Above 50% (&gt;50%)</b>	<b>Cannot Match Address to AMI Table</b>	<b>30% and below (&lt;=30%)</b>	<b>30%-50% (&gt;30% to &lt;= 50%)</b>	<b>50%-80% (&gt;50% to &lt;= 80%)</b>	<b>Above 80% (&gt;80%)</b>	<b>Cannot Match Address to AMI Table</b>	
Currently Active DHAP Heads of Household	5,360	5,353	819	5,300	25	28	5,056	244	23	2	28	
Change from Previous Report	-20	-20	-16	-22	2	0	-24	2	1	1	0	