

PHAPlans

5YearPlanforFiscalYears2002 -2006
AnnualPlanforFiscalYear2002

KingsportHousing&RedevelopmentAuthority
AgencyPlan
Version3.0
April23,2002

**NOTE:THISPHAPLANSTEMPLATE(HUD50075)ISTOBECOMPLETEDIN
ACCORDANCEWITHINSTRUCTIONSLOCATEDINAPPLICABLEPIHNOTICES**

**PHA Plan
Agency Identification**

PHAName: Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority

PHANumber: TN006

PHAFiscalYearBeginning:(mm/yyyy) 03/2002

Public Access to Information

Information regarding any activities outlined in this plan can be obtained by contacting:(select all that apply)

- Main administrative office of the PHA
- PHA development management offices
- PHA local offices

Display Locations For PHA Plans and Supporting Documents

The PHA Plans (including attachments) are available for public inspection at:(select all that apply)

- Main administrative office of the PHA
- PHA development management offices
- PHA local offices
- Main administrative office of the local government
- Main administrative office of the County government
- Main administrative office of the State government
- Public library
- PHA website
- Other (list below)

PHA Plan Supporting Documents are available for inspection at:(select all that apply)

- Main business office of the PHA
- PHA development management offices
- Other (list below)

5-YEAR PLAN
PHAF ISCAL YEARS 2002 -2006
[24CFRPart903.5]

A.Mission

State the PHA's mission for serving the needs of low -income, very low income, and extremely low -income families in the PHA's jurisdiction. (select one of the choices below)

- The mission of the PHA is the same as that of the Department of Housing and Urban Development: To promote adequate and affordable housing, economic opportunity and a suitable living environment free from discrimination.
- The PHA's mission is: (state mission here)
We affirm that shelter is a basic human necessity and we are dedicated to provide decent housing opportunities to those in need in the Greater Kingsport Area. We believe that blighted areas undermine the vibrancy of our community and therefore we are committed to acting as a catalyst for successful redevelopment efforts in the community.

B.Goals

The goals and objectives listed below are derived from HUD's strategic Goals and Objectives and those emphasized in recent legislation. PHAs may select any of these goals and objectives as their own, or identify other goals and/or objectives. Whether selecting the HUD -suggested objectives or their own, **PHAS ARE STRONGLY ENCOURAGED TO IDENTIFY QUANTIFIABLE MEASURES OF SUCCESS IN REACHING THEIR OBJECTIVES OVER THE COURSE OF THE 5 YEARS.** (Quantifiable measures would include target such as: numbers of families served or PHAS scores achieved.) PHAs should identify these measures in the space to the right of or below the stated objectives.

HUD Strategic Goal: Increase the availability of decent, safe, and affordable housing.

- PHA Goal: Expand the supply of assisted housing
Objectives:
 - Apply for additional rental vouchers:
 - Reduce public housing vacancies:
 - Leverage private or other public funds to create additional housing opportunities:
 - Acquire or build units or developments
 - Other (list below)

- PHAGoal:Improvethethequalityofassistedhousing
 - Objectives:
 - Improvepublichousingmanagement:(PHAS/ MASS:28,HighPerfrmr)
 - Improvevouchermanagement:(SEMAP:125,93%HighPerfrmr)
 - Increasecustomersatisfaction
 - Concentrateoneffortstoimprovespecificmanagementfunctions:
(list;e.g.,publichousingfinance;voucherunitinspections)
 - Renovateormodernizepublichousingunits:
 - Demolishordisposeofobsoletepublichousing:
 - Providereplacementpublichousing:
 - Providereplacementvouchers:
 - Other:(listbelow)

- PHAGoal:Increaseassistedhousingchoices
 - Objectives:
 - Providevoucher mobilitycounseling:
 - Conductoutreacheffortstopotentialvoucherlandlords
 - Increasevoucherpaymentstandards
 - Implementvoucherhomeownershipprogram:
 - Implementpublichousingorotherhomeownershipprograms:
 - Implementpublichousing site -basedwaitinglists:
 - Convertpublichousingtovouchers:
 - Other:(listbelow)

HUDStrategicGoal:Improvecommunityqualityoflifeandeconomicvitality

- PHAGoal:Provideanimprovedlivingenvironment
 - Objectives:
 - Implementmeasurestodeconcentratepovertybybringinghigherincome
publichousinghouseholdsin to lowerincomedevelopments:
 - Implementmeasurestopromoteincomemixinginpublichousingby
assuringaccessforlowerincomefamiliesinto higherincome
developments:
 - Implementpublichousingsecurityimprovements:
 - Designateddevelopmentsorbuildingsforparticularresidentgroups
(elderly,personswithdisabilities)
 - Other:(listbelow)

HUD Strategic Goal: Promote self-sufficiency and asset development of families and individuals

PHA Goal: Promote self-sufficiency and asset development of assisted households

Objectives:

- Increase the number and percentage of employed persons in assisted families:
- Provide or attract supportive services to improve assistance recipients' employability:
- Provide or attract supportive services to increase independence for the elderly or families with disabilities.
- Other: (list below)

HUD Strategic Goal: Ensure Equal Opportunity in Housing for all Americans

PHA Goal: Ensure equal opportunity and affirmatively further fair housing

Objectives:

- Undertake affirmative measures to ensure access to assisted housing regardless of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, familial status, and disability:
- Undertake affirmative measures to provide a suitable living environment for families living in assisted housing, regardless of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, familial status, and disability:
- Undertake affirmative measures to ensure accessible housing to persons with all varieties of disabilities regardless of unit size required:
- Other: (list below)

Other PHA Goals and Objectives: (list below)

N/A

AnnualPHAPlan
PHAFiscalYear2002
 [24CFRPart903.7]

i. AnnualPlanType:

SelectwhichtypeofAnnualPlanthePHAwillsubmit.

StandardPlan

StreamlinedPlan:

- HighPerformingPHA**
- SmallAgency(<250PublicHousingUnits)**
- AdministeringSection8Only**

TroubledAgencyPlan

ii. ExecutiveSummaryoftheAnnualPHAPlan

[24CFRPart903.79(r)]

ProvideabriefoverviewoftheinformationintheAnnualPlan,includinghighlightsofmajorinitiatives anddiscretionarypoliciesthePHAhasincludedintheAnnualPlan.

N/A –StreamlinedPlan

iii. AnnualPlanTableofContents

[24CFRPart903.79(r)]

ProvideatableofcontentsfortheAnnualPlan,includingattachments,andalistofsupporting documentsavailableforpublicinspection.

TableofContents

	<u>Page#</u>
AnnualPlan	
i. ExecutiveSummary –N/A	
ii. TableofContents	
1. HousingNeeds	6
2. FinancialResources	12
3. PoliciesonEligibility,SelectionandAdmissions	13
4. RentDeterminationPolicies	22
5. OperationsandManagementPolicies	26
6. GrievanceProcedures	30
7. CapitalImprovementNeeds	31
8. DemolitionandDisposition	33
9. DesignationofHousing	34
10. ConversionsofPublicHousing	35
11. Homeownership	37
12. CommunityServicePrograms	39
13. CrimeandSafety	42
14. Pets(InactiveforJanuary1PHAs)	44

15. Civil Rights Certifications (included with PHA Plan Certifications)	52
16. Audit	53
17. Asset Management	54
18. Other Information	55

Attachments

Indicate which attachments are provided by selecting all that apply. Provide the attachment's name (A, B, etc.) in the space to the left of the name of the attachment. Note: If the attachment is provided as a **SEPARATE** file submission from the PHA Plans file, provide the filename in parentheses in the space to the right of the title.

Required Attachments:

- D** Admissions Policy for Deconcentration (tn006d03.doc)
- A** FY2002 Capital Fund Program Annual Statement (tn006a03.doc)
- Most recent board -approved operating budget (Required Attachment for PHAs that are troubled or at risk of being designated troubled ONLY) -N/A
- B** Voluntary Conversion Assessment (tn006b03.doc)
- C** City of Kingsport Consolidated Plan (tn006c03.doc)
- F** RASS Follow Up Plan (tn006f03.doc)
- G** Section 8 Homeownership Capacity Statement (tn006g03.doc)
- H** Substantial Deviation (tn006h03.doc)
- I** Progress Statement (tn006i03.doc)
- J** Resident Advisory Board Membership (tn006j03.doc)
- K** Resident Membership of the KHRAB Board (tn006k03.doc)
- L** CGP 708 P&E Reports 9/30/01 (tn006l03.xls)
- M** CFP 501 P&E Reports 9/30/01 (tn006m03.xls)
- N** CFP 50101 P&E Reports 9/30/01 (tn006n03.xls)

Optional Attachments:

- PHA Management Organizational Chart (Page 30)
- E** FY2002 Capital Fund Program 5 Year Action Plan (tn006e03.doc)
- ~~Public Housing Drug Elimination Program (PHDEP) Plan~~ (N/A)
- Comments of Resident Advisory Board or Boards (must be attached if not included in PHA Plan text) (Page 65)
- Other (List below, providing each attachment name)

Supporting Documents Available for Review

Indicate which documents are available for public review by placing a mark in the "Applicable & On Display" column in the appropriate rows. All listed documents must be on display if applicable to the program activities conducted by the PHA.

List of Supporting Documents Available for Review		
Applicable & On Display	Supporting Document	Applicable Plan Component
X	PHA Plan Certifications of Compliance with the PHA Plans and Related Regulations	5 Year and Annual Plans
X	State/Local Government Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan	5 Year and Annual Plans
X	Fair Housing Documentation: Records reflecting that the PHA has examined its programs or proposed programs, identified any impediments to fair housing choice in those programs, addressed or is addressing those impediments in a reasonable fashion in view of the resources available, and worked or is working with local jurisdiction to implement any of the jurisdictions' initiatives to affirmatively further fair housing that require the PHA's involvement.	5 Year and Annual Plans
X	Consolidated Plan for the jurisdiction/s in which the PHA is located (which includes the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (AI)) and any additional backup data to support statement of housing needs in the jurisdiction	Annual Plan: Housing Needs
X	Most recent board -approved operating budget for the public housing program	Annual Plan: Financial Resources;
X	Public Housing Admissions and (Continued) Occupancy Policy (A&O), which includes the Tenant Selection and Assignment Plan [TSAP]	Annual Plan: Eligibility, Selection, and Admissions Policies
X	Section 8 Administrative Plan	Annual Plan: Eligibility, Selection, and Admissions Policies
X	Public Housing Deconcentration and Income Mixing Documentation: 1. PHA board certifications of compliance with deconcentration requirements (section 16(a) of the US Housing Act of 1937, as implemented in the 2/18/99 <i>Quality Housing and Work Responsibility Act Initial Guidance; Notice</i> and any further HUD guidance) and 2. Documentation of the required deconcentration and income mixing analysis	Annual Plan: Eligibility, Selection, and Admissions Policies
X	Public housing rent determination policies, including the methodology for setting public housing flat rents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> check here if included in the public housing A&O Policy	Annual Plan: Rent Determination
X	Schedule of flat rents offered at each public housing development <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> check here if included in the public housing A&O Policy	Annual Plan: Rent Determination

List of Supporting Documents Available for Review		
Applicable & On Display	Supporting Document	Applicable Plan Component
X	Section 8 rent determination (payment standard) policies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> check here if included in Section 8 Administrative Plan	Annual Plan: Rent Determination
X	Public housing management and maintenance policy documents, including policies for the prevention or eradication of pest infestation (including cockroach infestation)	Annual Plan: Operations and Maintenance
X	Public housing grievance procedures <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> check here if included in the public housing A&O Policy	Annual Plan: Grievance Procedures
X	Section 8 informal review and hearing procedures <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> check here if included in Section 8 Administrative Plan	Annual Plan: Grievance Procedures
X	The HUD - approved Capital Fund/Comprehensive Grant Program Annual Statement (HUD 52837) for the active grant year	Annual Plan: Capital Needs
X	Most recent CIAP Budget/Progress Report (HUD 52825) for any active CIAP grant	Annual Plan: Capital Needs
X	Most recent, approved 5 Year Action Plan for the Capital Fund/Comprehensive Grant Program, if not included as an attachment (provided at PHA option)	Annual Plan: Capital Needs
N/A	Approved HOPE VI applications or, if more recent, approved or submitted HOPE VI Revitalization Plans or any other approved proposal for development of public housing	Annual Plan: Capital Needs
N/A	Approved or submitted applications for demolition and/or disposition of public housing	Annual Plan: Demolition and Disposition
N/A	Approved or submitted applications for designation of public housing (Designated Housing Plans)	Annual Plan: Designation of Public Housing
N/A	Approved or submitted assessments of reasonable revitalization of public housing and approved or submitted conversion plans prepared pursuant to section 202 of the 1996 HUD Appropriations Act	Annual Plan: Conversion of Public Housing
N/A	Approved or submitted public housing home ownership programs/plans	Annual Plan: Homeownership
X	Policies governing any Section 8 Homeownership program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> check here if included in the Section 8 Administrative Plan	Annual Plan: Homeownership
X	Any cooperative agreement between the PHA and the TANF agency	Annual Plan: Community Service & Self -Sufficiency
X	FSS Action Plan/s for public housing and/or Section 8	Annual Plan: Community Service & Self -Sufficiency
N/A	Most recent self -sufficiency (ED/SS, TOP or ROSS or other resident services grant) grant program reports	Annual Plan: Community Service & Self -Sufficiency
X	The most recent Public Housing Drug Elimination Program (PHDEP) semi -annual performance report for any open grant and most recently submitted PHDEP application (PHDEP Plan)	Annual Plan: Safety and Crime Prevention

List of Supporting Documents Available for Review		
Applicable & On Display	Supporting Document	Applicable Plan Component
X	The most recent fiscal year audit of the PHA conducted under section 5(h)(2) of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437c(h)), the results of that audit and the PHA's response to any findings	Annual Plan: Annual Audit
N/A	Troubled PHAs: MOA/Recovery Plan	Troubled PHAs
N/A	Other supporting documents (optional) (list individually; use as many lines as necessary)	(specify as needed)

1. Statement of Housing Needs

[24CFR Part 903.79(a)]

A. Housing Needs of Families in the Jurisdiction/s Served by the PHA

Based upon the information contained in the Consolidated Plan/s applicable to the jurisdiction, and/or other data available to the PHA, provide a statement of the housing needs in the jurisdiction by completing the following table. In the "Overall" Needs column, provide the estimated number of renter families that have housing needs. For the remaining characteristics, rate the impact of that factor on the housing needs for each family type, from 1 to 5, with 1 being "no impact" and 5 being "severe impact." Use N/A to indicate that no information is available upon which the PHA can make this assessment.

Housing Needs of Families in the Jurisdiction by Family Type							
Family Type	Overall	Afford-ability	Supply	Quality	Access-ibility	Size	Loca-tion
Income ≤ 30% of AMI	1032	5	5	5	5	5	5
Income > 30% but ≤ 50% of AMI	545	5	5	5	5	5	5
Income > 50% but < 80% of AMI	294	5	5	5	5	5	5
Elderly	522	5	5	5	5	5	5
Families with Disabilities	Unknown	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Black	127	5	5	5	5	5	5
Race/Ethnicity	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Race/Ethnicity	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Race/Ethnicity	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

What sources of information did the PHA use to conduct this analysis? (Check all that apply; all materials must be made available for public inspection.)

- Consolidated Plan of the Jurisdiction/s
Indicate year: 2001 - 2005
- U.S. Census data: the Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy ("CHAS") dataset
- American Housing Survey data
Indicate year:
- Other housing market study
Indicate year:
- Other sources: (list and indicate year of information)

B. Housing Need of Families on the Public Housing and Section 8 Tenant-Based Assistance Waiting Lists

State the housing needs of the families on the PHA's waiting list/s. **Complete one table for each type of PHA - wide waiting list administered by the PHA.** PHAs may provide separate tables for site-based or sub-jurisdictional public housing waiting lists at their option.

Housing Need of Families on the Waiting List			
Waiting list type: (select one)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Section 8 tenant-based assistance			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public Housing			
<input type="checkbox"/> Combined Section 8 and Public Housing			
<input type="checkbox"/> Public Housing Site-Based or sub-jurisdictional waiting list (optional)			
If used, identify which development/subjurisdiction:			
	# of families	% of total families	Annual Turnover
Waiting list total	260		183
Extremely low income <= 30% AMI	226	87%	
Very low income (>30% but <=50% AMI)	32	12%	
Low income (>50% but <80% AMI)	1	1%	
Families with children	53	20%	
Elderly families	37	14%	
Families with Disabilities	65	25%	
Black	29	11%	
White	230	88%	
Hispanic	1	1%	
Other	0	0%	
Characteristics by Bedroom Size (Public Housing Only)			
1BR	119	46%	27
2BR	87	34%	109
3BR	45	17%	43
4BR	9	3%	4
5BR	0	0%	0
5+BR	0	0%	0
Is the waiting list closed (select one)? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes			
If yes:			
How long has it been closed (# of months)?			
Does the PHA expect to reopen the list in the PHA Plan year?			<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Does the PHA permit specific categories of families onto the generally closed?			<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			waiting list, even if

Housing Needsof Familiesonthe WaitingList

Waitinglisttype:(selectone)
 Section8tenant -basedassistance
 PublicHousing
 CombinedSection8andPublicHousing
 PublicHousingSite -Basedorsub -jurisdictionalwaitinglist(optional)
 Ifused,identifywhichdevelopment/subjurisdiction:

	#offamilies	%oftotalfamilies	AnnualTurnover
Waitinglisttotal	358		134
Extremelylow income<=30%AMI	300	84%	
Verylowincome (>30%but<=50%AMI)	49	14%	
Lowincome (>50%but<80%AMI)	6	2%	
Familieswithchildren	71	65%	
Elderlyfamilies	11	10%	
Familieswith Disabilities	90	25%	
Black	31	8.7%	
White	326	91%	
Hispanic	1	0.3%	
Other	0	0%	

Characteristicsby BedroomSize(Public HousingOnly)			
1BR			
2BR			
3BR			
4BR			
5BR			
5+BR			

Isthewaitinglistclosed(selectone)? No Yes
 Ifyes:
 Howlonghasitbeenclosed(#ofmonths)?
 DoesthePHAexpectreopenthelistinthePHAPlanyear? No Yes
 DoesthePHApermitspecificcategoriesoffamiliesontothewaitinglist,evenif generallyclosed? No Yes

C.StrategyforAddressingNeeds

ProvideabriefdescriptionofthePHA'sstrategyforaddressingthehousingneedsoffamiliesinthe jurisdictionandonthe waitinglist **INTHEUPCOMINGYEAR** ,andtheAgency'sreasonsfor choosingthisstrategy.

(1)Strategies

Need:Shortageofaffordablehousingforalleligiblepopulations

Strategy1.MaximizethenumberofaffordableunitsavailabletothePHAwithin itscurrentresourcesby:

Selectallthatapply

- Employeffectivemaintenanceandmanagementpoliciestominimizethe numberofpublichousingunitsoff -line
- Reduceturnovertimeforvacatedpublichousingunits
- Reducetimetorenovatepublichousingunits
- Seekreplacementofpublichousingunitslosttotheinventorythroughmixed financedevelopment
- Seekreplacementofpublichousingunitslosttotheinventorythroughsection 8replacementhousingresources
- Maintainorincreasesection8lease -upratesbyestablishingpaymentstandards thatwillenablefamieliestorentthroughoutthejurisdiction
- Undertakemeasurestoensureaccesstoaffordablehousingamongfamilies assistedbythePHA,regardlessounitsizerequired
- Maintainorincreasesection8lease -upratesbymarketingtheprogramto owners,particularlythoseoutsideofareasofminorityandpoverty concentration
- Maintainorincreasesection8lease -upratesbyeffectivelyscreeningSection8 applicantstoincreaseowneracceptanceofprogram
- ParticipateintheConsolidatedPlandevelopmentprocesstoensure coordinationwithbroadercommunitystrategies
- Other(listbelow)

Strategy2:Increasethenumberofaffordablehousingunitsby:

Selectallthatapply

- Applyforadditionalsection8unitsshouldtheybecomeavailable
- Leverageaffordablehousingresourcesinthecommunitythroughthecreation ofmixed -financehousing
- PursuehousingresourcesotherthanpublichousingorSection8tenant -based assistance.
- Other:(listbelow)

Need: Specific Family Types: Families at or below 30% of median

Strategy 1: Target available assistance to families at or below 30% of AMI

Select all that apply

- Exceed HUD federal targeting requirements for families at or below 30% of AMI in public housing
- Exceed HUD federal targeting requirements for families at or below 30% of AMI in tenant-based section 8 assistance
- Employ admissions preferences aimed at families with economic hardship
- Adopt rent policies to support and encourage work
- Other: (list below)

Need: Specific Family Types: Families at or below 50% of median

Strategy 1: Target available assistance to families at or below 50% of AMI

Select all that apply

- Employ admissions preferences aimed at families who are working
- Adopt rent policies to support and encourage work
- Other: (list below)

Need: Specific Family Types: The Elderly

Strategy 1: Target available assistance to the elderly:

Select all that apply

- Seek designation of public housing for the elderly
- Apply for special purpose voucher targeted to the elderly, should they become available
- Other: (list below)

Need: Specific Family Types: Families with Disabilities

Strategy 1: Target available assistance to Families with Disabilities:

Select all that apply

- Seek designation of public housing for families with disabilities
- Carry out the modifications needed in public housing based on the section 504 Needs Assessment for Public Housing
- Apply for special purpose voucher targeted to families with disabilities, should they become available
- Affirmatively market to local non-profit agencies that assist families with disabilities
- Other: (list below)

Need: Specific Family Types: Races or ethnicities with disproportionate housing needs

Strategy 1: Increase awareness of PHA resources among families of races and ethnicities with disproportionate needs:

Select if applicable

- Affirmatively market to races/ethnicities shown to have disproportionate housing needs
- Other: (list below)

Strategy 2: Conduct activities to affirmatively further fair housing

Select all that apply

- Counsel section 8 tenants as to location of units outside of areas of poverty or minority concentration and assist them to locate those units
- Market the section 8 program to owners outside of areas of poverty/minority concentrations
- Other: (list below)

Other Housing Needs & Strategies: (list needs and strategies below)

(2) Reasons for Selecting Strategies

Of the factors listed below, select all that influenced the PHA's selection of the strategies it will pursue:

- Funding constraints
- Staffing constraints
- Limited availability of sites for assisted housing
- Extent to which particular housing needs are met by other organizations in the community
- Evidence of housing needs as demonstrated in the Consolidated Plan and other information available to the PHA
- Influence of the housing market on PHA programs
- Community priorities regarding housing assistance
- Results of consultation with local or state government
- Results of consultation with residents and the Resident Advisory Board
- Results of consultation with advocacy groups
- Other: (list below)

2. Statement of Financial Resources

[24CFR Part 903.79(b)]

List the financial resources that are anticipated to be available to the PHA for the support of Federal public housing and tenant-based Section 8 assistance programs administered by the PHA during the Plan year. Note: the table assumes that Federal public housing or tenant-based Section 8 assistance grant funds are expended on eligible purposes; therefore, uses of these funds need not be stated. For other funds, indicate the use for those funds as one of the following categories: public housing operations, public housing capital improvements, public housing safety/security, public housing support services, Section 8 tenant-based assistance, Section 8 support services or other.

Financial Resources: Planned Sources and Uses		
Sources	Planned \$	Planned Uses
1. Federal Grants (FY 2002 grants)		
a) Public Housing Operating Fund	1,174,445	
b) Public Housing Capital Fund	990,792	
c) HOPEVI Revitalization		
d) HOPEVI Demolition		
e) Annual Contributions for Section 8 Tenant-Based Assistance	3,571,726	
f) Public Housing Drug Elimination Program (including any Technical Assistance funds)	-0-	
g) Resident Opportunity and Self-Sufficiency Grants		
h) Community Development Block Grant	61,650	Community Learning Center
i) HOME		
Other Federal Grants (list below)		
FSS Service Coordinator	30,882	Section 8 Operations
2. Prior Year Federal Grants (unobligated funds only) (list below)		
3. Public Housing Dwelling Rental Income	697,230	Public Housing Operations
4. Other income (list below)		
Excess Utilities	67,880	Public Housing Operations
Tenant Charges	19,280	Public Housing Operations
Non-Dwelling Rental	3,520	Public Housing Operations
4. Non-federal sources (list below)		
Public Housing Investment Income	20,900	Public Housing Operations
Section 8 Reserves Investment Income	9,000	Section 8 Operations
Total resources	6,647,305	

3.PHAPoliciesGoverningEligibility,Selection,andAdmissions

[24CFRPart903.79(c)]

A.PublicHousing

Exemptions:PHAsthatdonotadministerpublichousingarenotrequiredtocompletesubcomponent 3A.

(1)Eligibility

a.WhendoessthePHAverifyeligibilityforadmissiontopublichousing?(selectall thatapply)

- Whenfamiliesarewithinacertainnumberofbeingofferedaunit:(state number:1)
- Whenfamiliesa rewithinacertaintimeofbeingofferedaunit:(statetime)
- Other:(describe)

b.Whichnon -income(screening)factorsdoesthePHAusetoestablisheligibilityfor admissiontopublichousing(selectallthatapply)?

- CriminalorDrug -relatedactivity
- Rentalhistory
- Housekeeping
- Other(describe) CreditReport

c. Yes No:DoesthePHArequestcriminalrecordsfromlocallaw enforcementagenciesforscreeningpurposes?

d. Yes No:DoesthePHArequestcriminalrecordsfromStatelaw enforcementagenciesforscreeningpurposes?

e. Yes No:DoesthePHAaccessFBIcriminalrecordsfromtheFBIfor screeningpurposes?(eitherdirectlyorthroughanNCIC - authorizedsource)

(2)WaitingListOrganization

a.WhichmethodsdoesthePHAplantousetoorganizeitpublichousingwaitinglist (selectallthatapp ly)

- Community-widelist
- Sub-jurisdictionallists
- Site-basedwaitinglists
- Other(describe)

b.Wheremayinterestedpersonsapplyforadmissiontopublichousing?

- PHAmainadministrativeoffice
- PHAdevelopmentsitemanagementoffice
- Other(listbelow)

c. If the PHA plan to operate one or more site-based waiting lists in the coming year, answer each of the following questions; if not, skip to subsection (3) **Assignment**

1. How many site-based waiting lists will the PHA operate in the coming year?

2. Yes No: Are any or all of the PHA's site-based waiting lists new for the upcoming year (that is, they are not part of a previously HUD-approved site-based waiting list plan)? If yes, how many lists?

3. Yes No: May families be on more than one list simultaneously? If yes, how many lists?

4. Where can interested persons obtain more information about and sign up to be on the site-based waiting lists (select all that apply)?

- PHA main administrative office
- All PHA development management offices
- Management offices at developments with site-based waiting lists
- At the development to which they would like to apply
- Other (list below)

(3) Assignment

a. How many vacant unit choices are applicants ordinarily given before they fall to the bottom of or are removed from the waiting list? (select one)

- One
- Two
- Three or More

b. Yes No: Is this policy consistent across all waiting list types?

c. If answer to b is no, list variations for any other than the primary public housing waiting list/s for the PHA:

(4) Admissions Preferences

a. Income targeting:

Yes No: Does the PHA plan to exceed the federal targeting requirements by targeting more than 40% of all new admission to public housing to families at or below 30% of median area income?

b. Transfer policies:

In what circumstances will transfer take precedence over new admissions? (list below)

- Emergencies
- Overhoused
- Underhoused
- Medical justification
- Administrative reasons determined by the PHA (e.g., to permit modernization work)
- Resident choice: (state circumstances below)
- Other: (list below)

c. Preferences

1. Yes No: Has the PHA established preferences for admission to public housing (other than date and time of application)? (If "no" is selected, skip to subsection **(5) Occupancy**)
2. Which of the following admission preferences does the PHA plan to employ in the coming year? (select all that apply from either former Federal preferences or other preferences)

Former Federal preferences:

- Involuntary Displacement (Disaster, Government Action, Action of Housing Owner, Inaccessibility, Property Disposition)
- Victims of domestic violence
- Substandard housing
- Homelessness
- High rent burden (rent is > 50 percent of income)

Other preferences: (select below)

- Working families and those unable to work because of age or disability
- Veterans and veterans' families
- Residents who live and/or work in the jurisdiction
- Those enrolled currently in educational, training, or upward mobility programs
- Household that contribute to meeting income goals (broad range of incomes)
- Household that contribute to meeting income requirements (targeting)
- Those previously enrolled in educational, training, or upward mobility programs
- Victims of reprisals or hate crimes
- Other preference(s) (list below)

3. If the PHA will employ admissions preferences, please prioritize by placing a "1" in the space that represents your first priority, a "2" in the box representing your second priority, and so on. If you give equal weight to one or more of these choices (either through an absolute hierarchy or through a points system), place the same number next to each. That means you can use "1" more than once, "2" more than once, etc.

Date and Time

Former Federal preferences:

- Involuntary Displacement (Disaster, Government Action, Action of Housing Owner, Inaccessibility, Property Disposition)
- Victims of domestic violence
- Substandard housing
- Homelessness
- High rent burden

Other preferences (select all that apply)

- Working families and those unable to work because of age or disability
- Veterans and veterans' families
- Residents who live and/or work in the jurisdiction
- Those enrolled currently in educational, training, or upward mobility programs
- Household that contribute to meeting income goals (broad range of incomes)
- Household that contribute to meeting income requirements (targeting)
- Those previously enrolled in educational, training, or upward mobility programs
- Victims of pre-1980 hate crimes
- Other preference(s) (list below)

4. Relationship of preferences to income targeting requirements:

- The PHA applies preferences within income tiers
- Not applicable: the pool of applicant families ensures that the PHA will meet income targeting requirements

(5) Occupancy

a. What reference materials can applicants and residents use to obtain information about the rules of occupancy of public housing (select all that apply)

- The PHA - resident lease
- The PHA's Admissions and (Continued) Occupancy policy
- PHA briefing seminars or written materials
- Other source (list) Resident Orientation

b. How often must residents notify the PHA of changes in family composition? (select all that apply)

- At an annual reexamination and lease renewal
- Anytime family composition changes
- At family request for revision
- Other (list)

(6) Deconcentration and Income Mixing

a. Yes No: Does the PHA have any general occupancy (family) public housing developments covered by the deconcentration rule? If no, this section is complete.

b. Yes No: Do any of these covered developments have average incomes above or below 85% to 115% of the average incomes of all such developments? If no, this section is complete.

If yes, list these developments as follows:

Deconcentration Policy for Covered Developments			
Development Name:	Number of Units:	Explanation (if any) [see step 4 at §903.2(c)(1)(iv)]	Deconcentration Policy (if no explanation) [see step 5 at §903.2(c)(1)(v)]

B. Section 8

Exemptions: PHA that do not administer section 8 are not required to complete sub -component 3B.
Unless otherwise specified, all questions in this section apply only to the tenant -based section 8
assistance program (vouchers, and until completely merged into the voucher program,
certificates).

(1) Eligibility

a. What is the extent of screening conducted by the PHA? (select all that apply)

- Criminal or drug -related activity only to the extent required by law or regulation
- Criminal and drug -related activity, more extensively than required by law or regulation
- More general screening than criminal and drug -related activity (list factors below)
- Other (list below)

b. Yes No: Does the PHA request criminal records from local law enforcement agencies for screening purposes?

c. Yes No: Does the PHA request criminal records from State law enforcement agencies for screening purposes?

d. Yes No: Does the PHA access FBI criminal records from the FBI for screening purposes? (either directly or through an NCIC - authorized source)

e. Indicate what kinds of information you are with prospective landlords? (select all that apply)

- Criminal or drug -related activity
- Other (describe below)

(2) Waiting List Organization

a. With which of the following program waiting lists is the section 8 tenant -based assistance waiting list merged? (select all that apply)

- None
- Federal public housing
- Federal moderate rehabilitation
- Federal project -based certificate program
- Other federal or local program (list below)

b. Where may interested persons apply for admission to section 8 tenant -based assistance? (select all that apply)

- PHA main administrative office
- Other (list below)

(3) Search Time

- a. Yes No: Does the PHA give extensions on standard 60 -day period to search for a unit?

If yes, state circumstances below:
At the program participant's request due to circumstances beyond their control .

(4) Admissions Preferences

a. Income targeting

- Yes No: Does the PHA plan to exceed the federal targeting requirements by targeting more than 75% of all new admission to the section 8 program to families at or below 30% of median area income?

b. Preferences

1. Yes No: Has the PHA established preferences for admission to section 8 tenant based assistance? (other than date and time of application) (if no, skip to subcomponent **(5) Special purpose section 8 assistance programs**)

2. Which of the following admission preferences does the PHA plan to employ in the coming year? (select all that apply from either former Federal preferences or other preferences)

Former Federal preferences

- Involuntary Displacement (Disaster, Government Action, Action of Housing Owner, Inaccessibility, Property Disposition)
 Victims of domestic violence
 Substandard housing
 Homelessness
 High rent burden (rent is > 50 percent of income)

Other preferences (select all that apply)

- Working families and those unable to work because of age or disability
 Veterans and veterans' families
 Residents who live and/or work in your jurisdiction
 Those enrolled currently in educational, training, or upward mobility programs
 Households that contribute to meeting income goals (broad range of incomes)
 Households that contribute to meeting income requirements (targeting)
 Those previously enrolled in educational, training, or upward mobility programs
 Victims of reprisals or hate crimes
 Other preference(s) (list below)
1. Persons 62 and older and disabled.
2. Residents of KHR A whose unit, because of disability, becomes substandard.

3. If the PHA will employ admissions preferences, please prioritize by placing a "1" in the space that represents your first priority, a "2" in the box representing your second priority, and so on. If you give equal weight to one or more of these choices (either through an absolute hierarchy or through a points system), place the same number next to each. That means you can use "1" more than once, "2" more than once, etc.

2 Date and Time

Former Federal preferences

- 1 Involuntary Displacement (Disaster, Government Action, Action of Housing Owner, Inaccessibility, Property Disposition)
- Victims of domestic violence
- Substandard housing
- Homelessness
- High rent burden

Other preferences (select all that apply)

- Working families and those unable to work because of age or disability
- Veterans and veterans' families
- Residents who live and/or work in your jurisdiction
- Those enrolled currently in educational, training, or upward mobility programs
- Households that contribute to meeting income goals (broad range of incomes)
- Households that contribute to meeting income requirements (targeting)
- Those previously enrolled in educational, training, or upward mobility programs
- Victims of reprisals or hate crimes
- Other preference(s) (list below)
 - 1 Persons 62 and older and disabled.
 - 1 Residents of KHR A whose unit, because of disability, becomes substandard (ie Townhouse unit with only bathroom on second floor, resident no longer able to climb stairs).

4. Among applicants on the waiting list with equal preference status, how are applicants selected? (select one)

- Date and time of application
- Drawing (lottery) or other random choice technique

5. If the PHA plans to employ preferences for "residents who live and/or work in the jurisdiction" (select one)

- This preference has previously been reviewed and approved by HUD
- The PHA requests approval for this preference through this PHA Plan

6. Relationship of preferences to income targeting requirements: (select one)

- The PHA applies preferences within income tiers
- Not applicable: the pool of applicant families ensures that the PHA will meet income targeting requirements

(5) Special Purpose Section 8 Assistance Programs

a. In which documents or other reference materials are the policies governing eligibility, selection, and admission to a special -purpose section 8 program administered by the PHA contained? (select all that apply)

- The Section 8 Administrative Plan
- Briefing sessions and written materials
- Other (list below)

b. How does the PHA announce the availability of any special -purpose section 8 programs to the public?

- Through published notices
- Other (list below)

4.PHARentDeterminationPolicies

[24CFRPart903.79(d)]

A.PublicHousing

Exemptions: PHA that do not administer public housing are not required to complete sub -component 4A.

(1)IncomeBasedRentPolicies

Describe the PHA's income based rent setting policy/ies for public housing using, including discretionary (that is, not required by statute or regulation) income disregards and exclusions, in the appropriate spaces below.

a. Use of discretionary policies: (select one)

The PHA will not employ any discretionary rent -setting policies for income based rent in public housing. Income -based rents are set at the higher of 30% of adjusted monthly income, 10% of unadjusted monthly income, the welfare rent, or minimum rent (less HUD mandatory deductions and exclusions). (If selected, skip to sub -component (2))

---or---

The PHA employs discretionary policies for determining income based rent (If selected, continue to question b.)

b. Minimum Rent

1. What amount best reflects the PHA's minimum rent? (select one)

- \$0
 \$1-\$25
 \$26-\$50

2. Yes No: Has the PHA adopted any discretionary minimum rent hardship exemption policies?

3. If yes to question 2, list these policies below :

c. Rents set at less than 30% than adjusted income

1. Yes No: Does the PHA plan to charge rents at a fixed amount or percentage less than 30% of adjusted income?

2. If yes to above, list the amounts or percentages charged and the circumstances under which these will be used below:

d. Which of the discretionary (optional) deductions and/or exclusion policies does the PHA plan to employ (select all that apply)

- For the earned income of a previously unemployed household member
- For increases in earned income
- Fixed amount (other than general rent -setting policy)
If yes, state amount/s and circumstances below:

- Fixed percentage (other than general rent -setting policy)
If yes, state percentage/s and circumstances below:

- For household heads
- For other family members
- For transportation expenses
- For the non-reimbursed medical expenses of non-disabled or non-elderly families
- Other (describe below)

e. Ceiling rents

1. Do you have ceiling rents? (rents set at a level lower than 30% of adjusted income) (select one)

- Yes for all developments
- Yes but only for some developments
- No

2. For which kinds of developments are ceiling rents in place? (select all that apply)

- For all developments
- For all general occupancy developments (not elderly or disabled or elderly only)
- For specified general occupancy developments
- For certain parts of developments; e.g., the high-rise portion
- For certain size units; e.g., larger bedroom sizes
- Other (list below)

3. Select the space or spaces that best describe how you arrive at ceiling rents (select all that apply)

- Market comparability study
- Fair market rents (FMR)
- 95th percentile rents
- 75 percent of operating costs
- 100 percent of operating costs for general occupancy (family) developments
- Operating costs plus debt service
- The "rental value" of the unit
- Other (list below)

f. Rent re-determinations:

1. Between income reexaminations, how often must tenants report changes in income or family composition to the PHA such that the changes result in an adjustment to rent? (select all that apply)

- Never
- At family option
- Anytime the family experiences an income increase
- Anytime a family experiences an income increase above a threshold amount or percentage: (if selected, specify threshold) _____
- Other (list below)
Anytime the family experiences a change in income or family composition.

g. Yes No: Does the PHA plan to implement individual savings accounts for residents (ISAs) as an alternative to the required 12 month disallowance of earned income and phasing in of rent increases in the next year?

(2) Flat Rents

1. In setting the market-based flat rents, what sources of information did the PHA use to establish comparability? (select all that apply.)

- The section 8 rent reasonableness study of comparable housing
- Survey of rents listed in local newspaper
- Survey of similar unassisted units in the neighborhood
- Other (list/describe below)

PHA established Flat Rents

B. Section 8 Tenant -Based Assistance

Exemptions: PHAs that do not administer Section 8 tenant -based assistance are not required to complete sub -component 4B. **Unless otherwise specified, all questions in this section apply only to the tenant -based section 8 assistance program (vouchers, and until completely merged into the voucher program, certificates).**

(1) Payment Standards

Describe the voucher payment standards and policies .

- a. What is the PHA's payment standard? (select the category that best describes your standard)
- At or above 90% but below 100% of FMR
- 100% of FMR
- Above 100% but at or below 110% of FMR
- Above 110% of FMR (if HUD approved; describe circumstances below)
- b. If the payment standard is lower than FMR, why has the PHA selected this standard? (select all that apply)
- FMRs are adequate to ensure success among assisted families in the PHA's segment of the FMR area
- The PHA has chosen to serve additional families by lowering the payment standard
- Reflects market or submarket
- Other (list below)
- c. If the payment standard is higher than FMR, why has the PHA chosen this level? (select all that apply)
- FMRs are not adequate to ensure success among assisted families in the PHA's segment of the FMR area
- Reflects market or submarket
- To increase housing options for families
- Other (list below)
- d. How often are payment standards reevaluated for adequacy? (select one)
- Annually
- Other (list below)
- e. What factors will the PHA consider in its assessment of the adequacy of its payment standard? (select all that apply)
- Success rates of assisted families
- Rent burdens of assisted families
- Other (list below)
- Compare to new FMR

(2) Minimum Rent

- a. What amount best reflects the PHA's minimum rent? (select one)
- \$0
- \$1-\$25
- \$26-\$50
- b. Yes No: Has the PHA adopted any discretionary minimum rent hardship exemption policies? (if yes, list below)

5. Operations and Management

[24CFR Part 903.79(e)]

Exemptions from Component 5: High performing and small PHAs are not required to complete this section. Section 8 only PHAs must complete parts A, B, and C(2)

A. PHA Management Structure

Describe the PHA's management structure and organization.


(select one)

- An organization chart showing the PHA's management structure and organization is attached. (see following page)
- A brief description of the management structure and organization of the PHA follows:

Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority

Organizational Chart

by Titles



B. HUD Programs Under PHA Management

List Federal programs administered by the PHA, number of families served at the beginning of the upcoming fiscal year, and expected turnover in each. (Use "NA" to indicate that the PHA does not operate any of the programs listed below.)

Program Name	Units or Families Served at Year Beginning	Expected Turnover
Public Housing	583	252
Section 8 Vouchers	847	125
Section 8 Certificates	40	4
Section 8 Mod Rehab	N/A	N/A
Special Purpose Section 8 Certificates/Vouchers (list individually)	N/A	N/A
Public Housing Drug Elimination Program (PHDEP)	583	N/A
Other Federal Programs (list individually)		
Section 8/202	48	5

C.ManagementandMaintenancePolicies

ListthePHA'spublichousingmanagementandmaintenancpolicydocuments,manualsandhandbooks thatcontaintheAgency'srules,standards,andpoliciesthatgovernmaintenanceandmanagementof publichousing,includingadescriptionofa nyemeasuresnecessaryfortheventionoreradicationof pestinfestation(whichincludescockroachinfestation)andthepoliciesgoverningSection8 management.

(1)PublicHousingMaintenanceandManagement:(listbelow)

Admissions&ContinuedOccupancyPolicy
PublicHousingLease
ParkingPolicy
MaintenanceOperationandProcedureManual

(2)Section8Management:(listbelow)

Section8AdministrativePlan
Section8HAPContract
Section8BriefingPacket

6. PHA Grievance Procedures

[24CFR Part 903. 79(f)]

Exemptions from component 6: High performing PHAs are not required to complete component 6.
Section 8 - Only PHAs are exempt from sub - component 6A.

A. Public Housing

1. Yes No: Has the PHA established any written grievance procedures in addition to federal requirements found at 24CFR Part 966, Subpart B, for residents of public housing?

If yes, list additions to federal requirements below:

2. Which PHA offices should residents or applicants to public housing contact to initiate the PHA grievance process? (select all that apply)
- PHA main administrative office
 - PHA development management offices
 - Other (list below)

B. Section 8 Tenant -Based Assistance

1. Yes No: Has the PHA established informal review procedures for applicants to the Section 8 tenant -based assistance program and informal hearing procedures for families assisted by the Section 8 tenant -based assistance program in addition to federal requirements found at 24CFR 982?

If yes, list additions to federal requirements below:

2. Which PHA offices should applicants or assisted families contact to initiate the informal review and informal hearing processes? (select all that apply)
- PHA main administrative office
 - Other (list below)

7. Capital Improvement Needs

[24CFR Part 903.79(g)]

Exemptions from Component 7: Section 8 only PHAs are not required to complete this component and may skip to Component 8.

A. Capital Fund Activities

Exemptions from sub-component 7A: PHAs that will not participate in the Capital Fund Program may skip to component 7B. All other PHAs must complete 7A as instructed.

(1) Capital Fund Program Annual Statement

Using parts I, II, and III of the Annual Statement for the Capital Fund Program (CFP), identify capital activities the PHA is proposing for the upcoming year to ensure long-term physical and social viability of its public housing developments. This statement can be completed by using the CFP Annual Statement tables provided in the table library at the end of the PHA Plant template **OR**, at the PHA's option, by completing and attaching a properly updated HUD -52837.

Select one:

The Capital Fund Program Annual Statement is provided as an attachment to the PHA Plan at Attachment (state name: tn006a03.doc)

-or-

The Capital Fund Program Annual Statement is provided below: (if selected, copy the CFP Annual Statement from the Table Library and insert there)

(2) Optional 5 -Year Action Plan

Agencies are encouraged to include a 5 -Year Action Plan covering capital work items. This statement can be completed by using the 5 Year Action Plan table provided in the table library at the end of the PHA Plant template **OR** by completing and attaching a properly updated HUD -52834.

a. Yes No: Is the PHA providing an optional 5 -Year Action Plan for the Capital Fund? (if no, skip to sub-component 7B)

b. If yes to question a, select one:

The Capital Fund Program 5 -Year Action Plan is provided as an attachment to the PHA Plan at Attachment (state name: tn006e03.doc)

-or-

The Capital Fund Program 5 -Year Action Plan is provided below: (if selected, copy the CFP Optional 5 Year Action Plan from the Table Library and insert here)

B.HOPEVIandPublicHousingDevelopmentandReplacement Activities(Non -CapitalFund)

Applicabilityofsub -component7B:AllPHAsadministeringpublichousing.Identifyanyapproved HOPEVIand/orpublichousingdevelopmentorreplacementactivitiesnotdescribedintheCapitalFund ProgramAnnualStatement.

- Yes No:a)HasthePHAreceivedaHOPEVIrevitalizationgrant?(ifno, skiptoquestionc;ifyes,provideresponsestoquestionbfor eachgrant,copyingandcompletingasmanytimesasnecessary)
b)StatusofHOPEVIrevitaliza tiongrant(completeonesetof questionsforeachgrant)

1.Developmentname:

2.Development(project)number:

3.Statusofgrant:(selectthestatementthatbestdescribesthecurrent status)

- RevitalizationPlanunderdevelopment
 RevitalizationPlansubmitted,pendingapproval
 RevitalizationPlanapproved
 ActivitiespursuanttoanapprovedRevitalizationPlan underway

- Yes No:c)DoestheP HAplantoapplyforaHOPEVIRevitalizationgrant inthePlanyear?
Ifyes,listdevelopmentname/sbelow:

- Yes No:d)WillthePHAbeengaginginanymixed -financedevelopment activitiesforpublichousinginthePlanyear?
Ifyes,listdevelopmentsoractivitiesbelow:

- Yes No:e)WillthePHAbeconductinganyotherpublichousing developmentorreplacementactivitiesnotdiscussedinthe CapitalFundProgramAnnual Statement?
Ifyes,listdevelopmentsoractivitiesbelow:

Wewillbestudyinglongtermviabilityof60and70year oldfamilydevelopmentsTN00601, TN00602, TN00603 andTN00604.

8. Demolition and Disposition

[24CFR Part 903.79(h)]

Applicability of component 8: Section 8 only PHAs are not required to complete this section.

1. Yes No: Does the PHA plan to conduct any demolition or disposition activities (pursuant to section 18 of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437p)) in the plan Fiscal Year? (If "No", skip to component 9; if "yes", complete one activity description for each development.)

2. Activity Description

- Yes No: Has the PHA provided the activities description information in the **optional** Public Housing Asset Management Table? (If "yes", skip to component 9. If "No", complete the Activity Description table below.)

Demolition/Disposition Activity Description	
1a. Development name:	
1b. Development (project) number:	
2. Activity type: Demolition <input type="checkbox"/>	
Disposition <input type="checkbox"/>	
3. Application status (select one)	
Approved <input type="checkbox"/>	
Submitted, pending approval <input type="checkbox"/>	
Planned application <input type="checkbox"/>	
4. Date application approved, submitted, or planned for submission: (DD/MM/YY)	
5. Number of units affected:	
6. Coverage of action (select one)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Part of the development	
<input type="checkbox"/> Total development	
7. Timeline for activity:	
a. Actual or projected start date of activity:	
b. Projected end date of activity:	

9. Designation of Public Housing for Occupancy by Elderly Families or Families with Disabilities or Elderly Families and Families with Disabilities

[24CFR Part 903.79(i)]

Exemptions from Component 9; Section 8 only PHAs are not required to complete this section.

1. Yes No: Has the PHA designated or applied for approval to designate or does the PHA plan to apply to designate any public housing for occupancy only by the elderly families or only by families with disabilities, or by elderly families and families with disabilities or will apply for designation for occupancy by only elderly families or only families with disabilities, or by elderly families and families with disabilities as provided by section 7 of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437e) in the upcoming fiscal year? (If “No”, skip to component 10. If “yes”, complete one activity description for each development, unless the PHA is eligible to complete a streamlined submission; PHAs completing streamlined submissions may skip to component 10.)

2. Activity Description

Yes No: Has the PHA provided all required activity description information for this component in the **optional** Public Housing Asset Management Table? If “yes”, skip to component 10. If “No”, complete the Activity Description table below.

Designation of Public Housing Activity Description	
1a. Development name:	
1b. Development (project) number:	
2. Designation type:	
Occupancy by only the elderly	<input type="checkbox"/>
Occupancy by families with disabilities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Occupancy by only elderly families and families with disabilities	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Application status (select one)	
Approved; included in the PHA’s Designation Plan	<input type="checkbox"/>
Submitted, pending approval	<input type="checkbox"/>
Planned application	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Date this designation approved, submitted, or planned for submission: <u>(DD/MM/YY)</u>	
5. If approved, will this designation constitute a (select one)	
<input type="checkbox"/> New Designation Plan	
<input type="checkbox"/> Revision of a previously -approved Designation Plan?	
6. Number of units affected:	
7. Coverage of action (select one)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Part of the development	
<input type="checkbox"/> Total development	

10. Conversion of Public Housing to Tenant -Based Assistance

[24CFR Part 903.79(j)]

Exemptions from Component 10; Section 8 only PHAs are not required to complete this section.

A. Assessment of Reasonable Revitalization Pursuant to Section 202 of the HUD FY1996 HUD Appropriations Act

1. Yes No: Have any of the PHA's developments or portions of developments been identified by HUD or the PHA as covered under section 202 of the HUD FY1996 HUD Appropriations Act? (If "No", skip to component 11; if "yes", complete one activity description for each identified development, unless eligible to complete at least one streamlined submission. PHAs completing streamlined submissions may skip to component 11.)

2. Activity Description

- Yes No: Has the PHA provided all required activity description information for this component in the **optional** Public Housing Asset Management Table? If "yes", skip to component 11. If "No", complete the Activity Description table below.

Conversion of Public Housing Activity Description
1a. Development name: 1b. Development (project) number:
2. What is the status of the required assessment? <input type="checkbox"/> Assessment underway <input type="checkbox"/> Assessment results submitted to HUD <input type="checkbox"/> Assessment results approved by HUD (if marked, proceed to next question) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (explain below)
3. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No: Is a Conversion Plan required? (If yes, go to block 4; if no, go to block 5.)
4. Status of Conversion Plan (select the statement that best describes the current status) <input type="checkbox"/> Conversion Plan in development <input type="checkbox"/> Conversion Plan submitted to HUD on: (DD/MM/YYYY) <input type="checkbox"/> Conversion Plan approved by HUD on: (DD/MM/YYYY) <input type="checkbox"/> Activities pursuant to HUD - approved Conversion Plan underway
5. Description of how requirements of Section 202 are being satisfied by means other than conversion (select one) <input type="checkbox"/> Units addressed in a pending or approved demolition application (date submitted or approved:) <input type="checkbox"/> Units addressed in a pending or approved HOPE VI demolition application (date submitted or approved:) <input type="checkbox"/> Units addressed in a pending or approved HOPE VI revitalization plan (date submitted or approved:) <input type="checkbox"/> Requirements no longer applicable: vacancy rates are less than 10 percent <input type="checkbox"/> Requirements no longer applicable: site now has less than 300 units <input type="checkbox"/> Other: (describe below)

B. Reserved for Conversions pursuant to Section 22 of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937

- a. How many of the PHA's developments are subject to the Required Initial Assessments? **7**
- b. How many of the PHA's developments are not subject to the Required Initial Assessments based on exemptions (e.g., elderly and/or disabled developments not general occupancy projects)? **0**
- c. How many Assessments were conducted for the PHA's covered developments? **7**
- d. Identify PHA developments that may be appropriate for conversion based on the Required Initial Assessments:

Development Name	Number of Units
<i>N/A</i>	

- a. If the PHA has not completed the Required Initial Assessments, describe the status of these assessments: **N/A**

C. Reserved for Conversions pursuant to Section 33 of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937

11. Homeownership Programs Administered by the PHA

[24CFR Part 903.79(k)]

A. Public Housing

Exemptions from Component 11A: Section 8 only PHAs are not required to complete 11A.

1. Yes No: Does the PHA administer any homeownership programs administered by the PHA under an approved section 5(h) homeownership program (42 U.S.C. 1437c(h)), or an approved HOPE I program (42 U.S.C. 1437aaa) or has the PHA applied or plan to apply to administer any homeownership programs under section 5(h), the HOPE I program, or section 32 of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437z-4). (If “No”, skip to component 11B; if “yes”, complete one activity description for each applicable program/plan, unless eligible to complete as streamlined submission due to **small PHA** or **high performing PHA** status. PHAs completing streamlined submissions may skip to component 11B.)

2. Activity Description

Yes No: Has the PHA provided all required activity description information for this component in the **optional** Public Housing Asset Management Table? (If “yes”, skip to component 12. If “No”, complete the Activity Description table below.)

Public Housing Homeownership Activity Description (Complete one for each development affected)
1a. Development name: 1b. Development (project) number:
2. Federal Program authority: <input type="checkbox"/> HOPEI <input type="checkbox"/> 5(h) <input type="checkbox"/> Turnkey III <input type="checkbox"/> Section 32 of the USHA of 1937 (effective 10/1/99)
3. Application status: (select one) <input type="checkbox"/> Approved; included in the PHA’s Homeownership Plan/Program <input type="checkbox"/> Submitted, pending approval <input type="checkbox"/> Planned application
4. Date Homeownership Plan/Program approved, submitted, or planned for submission: (DD/MM/YYYY)
5. Number of units affected: 6. Coverage of action: (select one) <input type="checkbox"/> Part of the development <input type="checkbox"/> Total development

B. Section 8 Tenant Based Assistance

1. Yes No: Does the PHA plan to administer a Section 8 Homeownership program pursuant to Section 8(y) of the U.S.H.A. of 1937, as implemented by 24 CFR part 982? (If "No", skip to component 12; if "yes", describe each program using the table below (copy and complete questions for each program identified), unless the PHA is eligible to complete a streamlined submission due to high performer status. **High performing PHAs** may skip to component 12.)

2. Program Description:

a. Size of Program

- Yes No: Will the PHA limit the number of families participating in the section 8 homeownership option?

If the answer to the question above was yes, which statement best describes the number of participants? (select one)

- 25 or fewer participants
 26- 50 participants
 51 to 100 participants
 more than 100 participants

b. PHA - established eligibility criteria

- Yes No: Will the PHA's program have eligibility criteria for participation in its Section 8 Homeownership Option program in addition to HUD criteria? If yes, list criteria below:
Must be a participant in FSS and meet HUD income and work requirements.

12. PHA Community Service and Self -sufficiency Programs

[24CFR Part 903.79(1)]

Exemptions from Component 12: High performing and small PHAs are not required to complete this component. Section 8 - Only PHAs are not required to complete sub -component C.

A. PHA Coordination with the Welfare (TANF) Agency

1. Cooperative agreements :

Yes No: Has the PHA entered into a cooperative agreement with the TANF Agency, to share information and/or target supportives services (as contemplated by section 12(d)(7) of the Housing Act of 1937)?

If yes, what was the date that agreement was signed? **5/29/01**

2. Other coordination efforts between the PHA and TANF Agency (select all that apply)

- Client referrals
- Information sharing regarding mutual clients (for rent determinations and otherwise)
- Coordinate the provision of specific social and self -sufficiency services and programs to eligible families
- Jointly administer programs
- Partner to administer a HUD Welfare -to-Work voucher program
- Joint administration of other demonstration program
- Other (describe)

B. Services and programs offered to residents and participants

(1) General

a. Self-Sufficiency Policies

Which, if any of the following discretionary policies will the PHA employ to enhance the economic and social self -sufficiency of assisted families in the following areas? (select all that apply)

- Public housing rent determination policies
- Public housing admissions policies
- Section 8 admissions policies
- Preference in admission to section 8 for certain public housing families
- Preferences for families working or engaging in training or education programs for non -housing programs operated or coordinated by the PHA
- Preference/eligibility for public housing homeownership option participation
- Preference/eligibility for section 8 homeownership option participation
- Other policies (list below)

b. Economic and Social self -sufficiency programs

Yes No: Does the PHA coordinate, promote or provide any programs to enhance the economic and social self -sufficiency of residents? (If "yes", complete the following table; if "no" skip to sub -component 2, Family Self Sufficiency Programs. The position of the table may be altered to facilitate its use.)

Services and Programs				
Program Name & Description (including location, if appropriate)	Estimated Size	Allocation Method (waiting list/random selection/specific criteria/other)	Access (development office/ PH main office/ other provider name)	Eligibility (public housing or section 8 participants or both)
Lee Family Learning Center	68	Open Door	Public Housing	Both
One Room Drop In School (ORDIS)	157	Open Door	Kingsport Adult Educ	Both
Smart KIDS	291	Open Door	Public Housing	Both
Boys & Girls Club	60	Open Door	Cloud Apartments	Both
Boys & Girls Club	50	Open Door	Holly Hills	Both
Kingsport Parks & Recreation	210	Open Door	City of Kingsport	Both
Boy Scouts	8	Open Door	Boy Scout of America	Public Housing
ASPIRE	9	Participation	KHRA/Kpt City Schools	Public Housing
Girls Inc Challenger	291	Participation	Girls Inc	Public Housing
Mini Teen Institute	16	Random Selection	Public Housing	Public Housing
Teen Council	10	Random Selection	Public Housing	Public Housing
TNCEP	50+	Open Door	All Communities	Both

(2) Family Self Sufficiency program/s

a. Participation Description

Family Self Sufficiency (FSS) Participation		
Program	Required Number of Participants (start of FY 2002 Estimate)	Actual Number of Participants (As of: 12/31/01)
Public Housing	14	17
Section 8	42	45

- b. Yes No: If the PHA is not maintaining the minimum program size required by HUD, does the most recent FSS Action Plan address the steps the PHA plans to take to achieve at least the minimum program size? If no, list the steps the PHA will take below:

C. Welfare Benefit Reductions

1. The PHA is complying with the statutory requirements of section 12(d) of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937 (relating to the treatment of income changes resulting from welfare program requirements) by: (select all that apply)

- Adopting appropriate changes to the PHA's public housing rent determination policies and train staff to carry out those policies
- Informing residents of new policy on admission and reexamination
- Actively notifying residents of new policy at times in addition to admission and reexamination.
- Establishing or pursuing a cooperative agreement with all appropriate TANF agencies regarding the exchange of information and coordination of services
- Establishing a protocol for exchange of information with all appropriate TANF agencies
- Other: (list below)

D. Reserved for Community Service Requirement pursuant to section 12(c) of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937

13.PHASafetyandCrimePreventionMeasures

[24CFRPart903.79(m)]

ExemptionsfromComponent13:HighperformingandsmallPHAsnotparticipatinginPHDEPand Section8OnlyPHAsmayskipstocomponent15.HighPerformingandsmallPHAsthatare participatinginPHDEPandareshsubmittingaPHDEPPlanwiththisPHAPlanmaykipstosub componentD.

A.Needformeasurestoensurethesafetyofpublic housingresidents

1.Describetheneedformeasurestoensurethesafetyofpublichousingresidents

(selectallthatapply)

- Highincidenceofviolentand/or drug -relatedcrimeinsomeorallofthePHA's developments
- Highincidenceofviolentand/or drug -relatedcrimeintheareassurroundingor adjacenttothePHA'sdevelopments
- Residentsfearfulfortheirsafetyand/orthesafetyoftheirchildren
- Observedlower -levelcrime,vandalismand/orgraffiti
- Peopleonwaitinglistunwillingtomoveintooneormoredevelopmentsdueto perceivedand/oractuallevelsofviolentand/or drug -relatedcrime
- Other(describellow)

2.WhatinformationordatadidthePHAusedtodeterminetheneedforPHAactions toimprovesafetyofresidents(selectallthatapply).

- Safetyandsecuritysurveyofresidents
- Analysisofcrimestatisticsovertimeforcrimescommitted“inand around” publichousingauthority
- Analysisofcosttrendsovertimeforrepairofvandalismandremovalof graffiti
- Residentreports
- PHAemployeereports
- Policereports
- Demonstrable,quantifiablesuccesswithpreviousorongoinganticrime/anti drugprograms
- Other(describellow)

3.Whichdevelopmentsaremostaffected?(listbelow)

- TN00601RobertELeeApartments
- TN00602RiverviewApartments
- TN00603RiverviewAddition
- TN00604FrankLCloudApartments

B. Crime and Drug Prevention activities the PHA has undertaken or plan to undertake in the next PHA fiscal year

1. List the crime prevention activities the PHA has undertaken or plan to undertake: (select all that apply)

- Contracting with outside and/or resident organizations for the provision of crime and/or drug -prevention activities -
- Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design
- Activities targeted to at -risky youth, adults, or seniors
- Volunteer Resident Patrol/Block Watchers Program
- Other (describe below)

Police Bike Patrols, 3 Law Enforcement Officers living in developments, 2 On Site Police Substations

2. Which developments are most affected? (list below)

- TN00601 Robert E Lee Apartments
- TN00602 Riverview Apartments
- TN00603 Riverview Addition
- TN00604 Frank L Cloud Apartments

C. Coordination between PHA and the police

1. Describe the coordination between the PHA and the appropriate police precincts for carrying out crime prevention measures and activities: (select all that apply)

- Police involvement in development, implementation, and/or ongoing evaluation of drug-elimination plan
- Police provide crime data to housing authority staff for analysis and action
- Police have established a physical presence on housing authority property (e.g., community policing office, officer in residence)
- Police regularly testify in and otherwise support eviction cases
- Police regularly meet with the PHA management and residents
- Agreement between PHA and local law enforcement agency for provision of above baselinelawenforcementservices -
- Other activities (list below)

2. Which developments are most affected? (list below)

- TN00601 Robert E Lee Apartments
- TN00602 Riverview Apartments
- TN00603 Riverview Addition
- TN00604 Frank L Cloud Apartments

D. Additional information as required by PHDEP/PHDEP Plan — N/A

PHA eligible for FY2000 PHDEP funds must provide a PHDEP Plan meeting specified requirements prior to receipt of PHDEP funds.

- Yes No: Is the PHA eligible to participate in the PHDEP in the fiscal year covered by this PHA Plan? **N/A**
- Yes No: Has the PHA included the PHDEP Plan for FY2000 in this PHA Plan? **N/A**
- Yes No: This PHDEP Plan is an Attachment. (Attachment Filename: **N/A-2002**)

14.RESERVEDFORPETPOLICY

[24CFRPart903.79(n)]

KINGSPORT HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY PET RULES AND REGULATIONS

I. SELECTION CRITERIA:

A. APPROVAL

Prior to accepting a pet for residency, the pet owner and KINGSPORT HOUSING & REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY must enter into a "Pet Agreement" (Exhibit A). In addition, the pet owner must provide to KINGSPORT HOUSING & REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY proof of the pet's good health and suitability under the standards set forth under "Basic Guidelines" in criteria. In addition, for the case of dogs and cats, proof must be given, and renewed annually, of the animal's licensing and vaccination record, together with proof of spaying or neutering (Exhibit B).

B. Basic Guidelines

1. The following types of common household Pets will be permitted under the following criteria:

a. Dogs

- *Maximum number - one (1)
- *Maximum adult weight - 25 lbs.
- *Must be house broken
- *Must be spayed or neutered
- *Must have all required vaccinations
- *Must be licensed

b. Cats

- *Maximum number - one (1)
- *Must be trained to the litter box or other waste receptacle
- *Must be spayed or neutered
- *Must have all required vaccinations
- *Must be licensed
- *Must be de-clawed.

c. Birds

- *Maximum number - one (1)
- *Must be enclosed inside of cage at all times

d. Fish

- *One aquarium only - maximum size is 50 gallons
- *Must be maintained on approved stand

e. Rodent (Rabbit, guinea pig, hamster, or gerbil ONLY)

- *Maximum number 2
- *Must be enclosed inside of cage at all times
- *Must have any or all inoculations as specified now or in the future by State Law or local ordinance

f. **Turtles**

*Maximum number 1

*Must be enclosed in an acceptable cage or container at all times

3. **Pets Temporarily on the Premises**

*Pets which are not owned by a tenant will not be allowed *except* when the animal is necessary to assist, support or provide service to a person with disabilities.

*Residents are prohibited from feeding or harboring stray animals

4. The pet owner must supply Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority a photo of the pet (cat, dog, bird, etc.) which will identify it.

II. **PET DEPOSITS**

A. A **Pet deposit** of **\$300** shall be required for all dogs and cats. Management reserves the right to change this deposit amount at any time.

B. Resident's liability for damages and expenses caused by his/her pet is not limited to the amount of the pet deposit and the resident will be required to reimburse KHR for the real cost of any and all damages caused by his/her pet where they exceed the amount of the pet deposit.

C. All units occupied by a dog or cat will be fumigated upon being vacated by the animal. Infestation control in the unit by fleas, ticks, etc. shall be the responsibility of the pet owner. Infestation of adjacent units or common areas attributable to a specific pet shall be the responsibility of the pet owner, who shall be liable for the cost of correcting the infestation.

III. **PET RULES**

A. **ALL PETS**

1. Pet owners shall keep their pets under control at all times. Pet owners shall assume sole responsibility for liability arising from any injury sustained by any person attributable to their pet.

2. ANY PET WHO CAUSES BODILY INJURY TO ANY TENANT, GUEST OR STAFF MEMBER SHALL BE IMMEDIATELY AND PERMANENTLY REMOVED FROM THE PREMISES WITHOUT PRIOR NOTIFICATION.

3. Resident pet owners agree to control the noise of his/her pets such that it does not constitute a nuisance to other tenants. Failure to so control pet noises may result in the removal of the pet from the premises.

4. No pet (excluding fish) shall be left unattended in any unit for longer than 24 hours.

5. All resident pet owners shall provide adequate care, nutrition, exercise and medical attention for his/her pet. Pets which appear to be poorly cared for which are left unattended for longer than 24 hours will be reported to the S.P.C.A. or other appropriate authority and will be removed from the premises at the pet owner's expense.

6. In the event of a resident's sudden illness the resident pet owner agrees that management shall have discretion with respect to the provision of care to the pet at the expense of the resident pet owner unless written instructions with respect to such area are provided in advance by the resident to, and accepted by, Management. All care shall be at the resident's expense.
7. In the event of the death of a resident, the resident pet owner agrees that Management shall have discretion to dispose of the pet unless written instructions with respect to such disposal are provided in advance by the resident to, and accepted, by Management.
8. Unwillingness on the part of named caretakers or pet per items #6 and #7 of this section to assume custody of the pet within 24 hours of notification shall relieve Management of any requirements to adhere to any written instructions with respect to the care or disposal of a pet and shall be considered as authorization to Management to exercise discretion in such regard consistent with Federal guidelines. No other KHRA resident is acceptable as a caretaker.
9. Resident pet owners acknowledge that other residents may have chemical sensitivities or allergies related to pets or are easily frightened by such animals. The resident, therefore, agrees to exercise common sense and common courtesy with respect to such other resident's right to peaceful and quiet enjoyment of the premises.
10. Management may move to require the removal of a pet from the premises on a temporary or permanent basis for the following causes:
 - a. Creation of a nuisance
 - b. Excessive pet noise or odor
 - c. Unruly or dangerous behavior
 - d. Excessive damage to the resident's apartment unit and/or project common areas.
 - e. Repeated problems with vermin or flea infestation.
 - f. Failure of the resident to provide for adequate care of his/her pet.
 - g. Leaving pet unattended for more than 24 hours.
 - h. Failure of the resident to provide adequate and appropriate vaccination of the pet.
 - i. Resident death and/or illness.
 - j. Failure to observe any other rule contained in this section and not here listed.
 - k. Residents are not permitted to alter their unit, porch or yard to accommodate the pet.

B. DOGS AND CATS

1. Dogs, cats, rabbit, rodent, etc. shall be maintained within the resident pet owner's unit. When outside, the dog shall be on a leash and under the control of the resident or responsible individual AT ALL TIMES. Under no circumstances shall any pet be permitted to roam free or unrestrained in any common area. No animal shall be left unattended outside.
2. All animal waste or litter from cat litter boxes shall be picked up immediately by the owner and disposed of in sealed plastic trash bags and placed in a dumpster. Cat litter shall be changed at least weekly or as often as needed to maintain a sanitary and odor-free apartment.

Cat litter shall not be disposed of by flushing down toilets. Charges for unclogging toilets required because of attributable pet nuisance shall be billed to and paid by the resident pet owner.
3. The pet owner is required to remove and properly dispose of all removable pet waste.
4. Pet owner shall be charged per occurrence for pet waste removal by Management.
5. Pet owner must be at home and in control of the dog or cat at all times of entry by Management. Cost to Management for later return to apartment will be charged to the resident who fails to be present when properly notified by Management of need to enter unit.

IV. NOTIFICATION POLICY

In the event that any pet owner violates these pet rules, Management shall provide notice of such violation as follows:

A. CREATION OF A NUISANCE

1. The owner of any pet which creates a nuisance upon the grounds or by excessive noise, odor or unruly behavior shall be notified of such nuisance in writing by Management and shall be given no more than five (5) days to correct such nuisance.
2. Consistent with local and state ordinance, Management shall take appropriate steps to remove a pet from the premise in the event that the pet owner fails to correct such a nuisance within the five (5) day compliance period.

B. DANGEROUS BEHAVIOR

1. Any pet which physically threatens a resident, guest, staff member or other authorized person present upon the project grounds shall be considered dangerous.
2. Management shall provide written notification to the pet owner of dangerous behavior and the time allowed --not to exceed five (5) days --to correct the animal's behavior or remove the pet from the premises.

3. Consistent with local and state ordinance, Management shall take appropriate steps to remove a pet from the premises in the event that the pet owner fails to correct the dangerous behavior or his/her pet within the compliance period.
4. **ANY PET WHICH CAUSES PHYSICAL HARM TO ANY RESIDENT, GUEST, STAFF MEMBER OR OTHER AUTHORIZED PERSON PRESENT UPON THE PROJECT GROUNDS SHALL BE IMMEDIATELY REMOVED FROM THE PREMISES BY MANAGEMENT WITHOUT PRIOR NOTIFICATION.** Such occurrence shall be deemed as an emergency for the Owner's right of immediate entry of unit.

"EXHIBIT AGREEMENT"

PET AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT, entered into this _____ day of _____, 20 ____ by and between _____, Owner, and _____ Resident, in consideration of their mutual promises agree as follows:

1. Resident desires and has received permission from the Owner to keep the pet named _____ and described as: _____.
2. This Agreement is an Addendum to the Lease between Owner and Resident executed on _____ 20 _____. In the event of default by Resident of any of the terms of this Agreement, Resident agrees, upon proper written notice of default from Owner, to cure the default, remove the pet, or vacate the premises. Resident agrees that Owner may revoke permission to keep said Pet on the premises by giving Resident proper written notice. Prior notice of removal is not required where the animal causes bodily injury.
3. As a refundable deposit, Resident agrees to pay Owner the sum of \$ _____, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged. Owner may use therefrom such amount as is reasonably necessary to take care of any damages, cleaning, fumigation or other costs caused by or in connection with said Pet. At the termination of this Agreement, any balance shall be disbursed to the Resident within 30 days. Resident agrees to pay Owner for any damages or costs caused by the Pet in excess of the pet and security deposits on demand by Owner.
4. Resident agrees to comply with:
 - a. This Agreement
 - b. All applicable governmental laws and regulations, such as, _____ but not limited to licensing, etc.
 - c. **PET POLICIES of the KINGSPORT HOUSING & REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY**
5. Resident represents that the pet is quiet and house broken, and will not cause any damage or annoy other residents.
6. Resident agrees that the Pet will not be permitted outside the Resident's unit, unless restrained by a leash and under the full control of the owner or responsible individual AT ALL TIMES.
7. Resident shall not permit the Pet to cause any damage, discomfort, annoyance, nuisance or in anyway to inconvenience or cause complaints from any other Resident. Any "mess" created by the Pet shall be immediately cleaned up by the Resident.
8. Resident agrees to remedy any emergency situation involving Pet (e.g., attack by Pet on Maintenance representative, another resident, or a guest) immediately and any nuisance situations within five (5) days.
9. Resident will be financially responsible for any fleas or other insect infestation that affect his/her own or adjacent units as a result of his/her pet.
10. Any pet left unattended for 24 hours or more or whose health is jeopardized by the Resident's neglect, mistreatment, or inability to care for the animal shall be reported to

the SPCA or other appropriate authority. Such circumstances shall be deemed an emergency for the purposes of the Owner's right to enter the Resident's unit to allow such authority to remove the animal from the premises. The owner accepts no responsibility for any pets removed.

11. Resident agrees to maintain Pet in healthy condition and to update PET INFORMATION CARD during reexamination each year.
12. Resident has read and agrees to comply with the Pet Rules and Regulations and Pet Agreement, which are herein incorporated to the Lease by reference, and agrees to comply with such rules and regulations as may be reasonably adapted from time to time by Owner. Resident understands that failure to comply with these provisions may constitute reason for removal of pet from the premises and agrees to effect such removal. Further, Resident understands that failure to do so shall constitute grounds for eviction in accordance with the Lease and grievance Procedures. Repeated or continuous problems with a Pet will constitute cause for eviction.

OWNER: KINGSPORTHOUSING&REDEVELOPMENTAUTHORITY

RESIDENT: _____

BY: _____

RESIDENT: _____

TITLE: _____

DATE: _____

"EXHIBITB

PET INFORMATION

PhoneNumber _____

Resident's Name _____ Apt. No. _____

Pet's Name _____ Age _____

Description _____

Veterinarian's Name _____ Phone _____

Address _____

How long have you had this pet? _____

License No. _____ Date _____

Resident's signed statement regarding pet's disposition in case of resident's inability to care for pet is in Resident file _____.

Photo has been provided and is attached _____.

DATE AND EVIDENCE OF:

TYPE **WEIGHT** **VET'S CERTIFICATE OF GOOD HEALTH** **RABIES**

Dog _____ _____ _____

Cat _____ _____ _____

TYPE **SPAYED/NEUTERED**

Dog _____

Cat _____

TYPE **VET'S CERTIFICATE OF GOOD HEALTH**

Bird _____

FISH AQUARIUM SIZE _____ gallons

15.CivilRightsCertifications

[24CFRPart903.79(o)]

CivilrightscertificationsareincludedinthePHAPlanCertificationsofCompliance
withthePHAPlansandRelatedRegulations.

16.Fiscal Audit

[24CFRPart903.79(p)]

1. Yes No: Is the PHA required to have an audit conducted under section 5(h)(2) of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437c(h))?
(If no, skip to component 17.)
2. Yes No: Was the most recent fiscal audit submitted to HUD?
3. Yes No: Were there any findings as the result of that audit?
4. Yes No: If there were any findings, do any remain unresolved?
If yes, how many unresolved findings remain? _____
5. Yes No: Have responses to any unresolved findings been submitted to HUD?
If not, when are they due (state below)?

17.PHA Asset Management

[24CFR Part 903.79(q)]

Exemptions from component 17: Section 8 Only PHAs are not required to complete this component.
High performing and small PHAs are not required to complete this component.

1. Yes No: Is the PHA engaging in any activities that will contribute to the long-term asset management of its public housing stock, including how the Agency will plan for long-term operating, capital investment, rehabilitation, modernization, disposition, and other needs that have **not** been addressed elsewhere in this PHA Plan?

2. What types of asset management activities will the PHA undertake? (select all that apply)
 - Not applicable
 - Private management
 - Development-based accounting
 - Comprehensive stock assessment
 - Other: (list below)

3. Yes No: Has the PHA included descriptions of asset management activities in the **optional** Public Housing Asset Management Table?

18. Other Information

[24CFR Part 903.79(r)]

A. Resident Advisory Board Recommendations

1. Yes No: Did the PHA receive any comments on the PHA Plan from the Resident Advisory Board/s?

2. If yes, the comments are: (if comments were received, the PHA **MUST** select one)

Attached as Attachment (Filename)

Provided below:

KHRA Response to Public Housing Resident Advisory Board Comments 2002 Agency Plan

1. Right to hearing.

This suggestion largely revolves around procedural protections for applicants and residents of KHRA. The RAB feels that basic due process protections (right to a fair and impartial hearing, right to present a case, and refute factual assertions/assumptions) is necessary in applying for housing, particularly as to factual allegations such as previous bills owed to landlords. Landlords can make mistakes, confuse tenants, or be vindictive for various reasons and report a tenant as a nonpayor, troublemaker, or one who caused damage at a previous residence. Currently, an applicant for KHRA can be turned down with no opportunity to refute these claims. The RAB feels that applying the current "Grievance Procedure" to applicants, as well as residents, would greatly increase fairness, while placing very little administrative burden on the Administration.

KHRA Response:

KHRA will be reviewing, and if necessary, revising the ACOP during the fiscal year ending 3/31/2002. This suggestion will be considered. A concern is the administrative burden a grievance hearing for rejected applicants might impose. During the review of the ACOP, any suggestions concerning mitigation of the hearing would be appreciated.

2. Hearing officers/panels.

Last year the RAB requested that the hearing officer/panels not include members of the employees of the KHRA. It was felt that this creates an understandable question of fairness for those utilizing the system and feeds the mind set that you cannot get a fair hearing from the Housing Authority. It was felt that the panel should be non KHRA employees. Much of this was implemented. In addition, a list of hearing officers or panel members was compiled and an effort to keep the list current and have annual training for current members or replacements to keep them ready for any necessary hearings.

KHRA Response:

We plan on conducting training of the hearing panel at least annually, or as needed. We would appreciate the Resident Advisory Board's input as well as Legal Services.

3. Rental payments.

Last year, the RAB asked that an arrangement to be made for the collection of monthly rents at each of the housing communities run by KHRA. The KHRA has accommodated, and the RAB is appreciative.

KHRA Response:

Thank you for acknowledging our efforts.

4. Availability of "flat rent".

KHRA's current policy of flat rents drew praise from the RAB, but the availability of this option seems to be largely unknown in the communities. The RAB suggests that KHRA adopt an outreach policy, that includes a general notice to all current residents of the availability of this option, as well as this option being discussed at each recertification.

KHRA Response:

We do review the Flat Rent option at initial occupancy and at recertification. A form acknowledging that the Flat Rent has been discussed with the resident is included in the resident file.

5. Capital Improvements.

Last year it was suggested that Cloud and Dogwood communities needed more storage space and that the RAB was interested in discussing with the Housing Authority alternative ways to deal with this problem, but did not affect the appearance and livability of the neighborhoods. Mr. Quillin was to look for a couple of storage modules that KHRA would approve for residents to purchase. Residents have not been advised that this is an option.

KHRA Response:

KHRA is interested in additional outside storage space for communities that currently do not have them. This item is included on the physical needs assessment. It's priority level will be reviewed.

6. Handicaps.

KHRA's use of Section 8 vouchers to accommodate handicaps and to make concerted efforts to assure that handicap units are occupied by handicapped individuals when at all possible has been complied with as with last year's RAB request. The RAB is very grateful for the Housing Authorities efforts in this area.

KHRA Response:

Disabled person's needs. During the RAB process, it was discussed about the need for side-by-side refrigerators for handicapped units. These have been purchased.

7. Safety.

It was felt that police substations are a good presence in the community. Onsite officers should be encouraged to be more involved with residents, and taking an active role in the community.

KHRA Response:

The Kingsport Police Department has assigned two new officers to the Substations in Riverview and Cloud. Corporal Darrell Hopkins has been designated as the coordinator of the Substations, Bike Patrol and Community Policing Team. Also, three units have been deprogrammed for officers to reside (Lee, Dogwood and Holly Hills). All officers assigned to any of these functions is encouraged to be an active participant in the community.

8. Maintenance and Management.

The RAB strongly feels that residents should be considered first for job openings in KHRA maintenance and management. This avenue has been very successful and KHRA should continue to pursue this goal.

KHRA has moved maintenance crews to each site. This was requested last year and responds better to tenant needs.

KHRA Response:

All vacant positions with KHRA not filled by an internal search process are advertised in the Kingsport Times-News newspaper and a listing of the position opening is sent to each Resident Association and posted on KHRA bulletin boards. Sixteen current employees are former residents of KHRA or other public housing.

9. Homeownership.

Last year the RAB encouraged the KHRA to pursue all avenues of homeownership. Thankfully, KHRA has pursued this with vigor and is now in the process of beginning its Section 8 homeownership plan. The RAB commends the Housing Authority for its efforts in this area.

KHRA Response:

The Section 8 Administrative Plan has been revised to allow for homeownership. Section 8 FSS participants have completed homeownership counseling with Eastern 8 CDC. Participant's credit-worthiness is being evaluated to determine feasibility of homeownership. We expect to have Section 8 homeowners during this year.

10. Community Service.

Since last years recommendation, there has developed considerable confusion regarding community service requirements under HUD guidelines. It is the firm belief of the RAB that this requirement should be eliminated if it exists at all.

KHRA Response:

The Appropriations Act for the Federal Fiscal Year starting 10/1/2001 has prohibited PHAs from enforcing provisions of the QHWA of 1998 relative to Community Service. What happens next year remains to be seen.

KHRA Response to Section 8 Resident Advisory Board Comments 2002 Agency Plan

Page 18 – Section 8 Waiting Lists:

While fairness dictates a first come first serve basis for placement in Section 8 housing through the Kingsport Housing Authority, and while aware that shelters exist for domestic abuse, the RAB felt that victims of domestic violence should be a concern of the housing authority and programs targeted toward them should be considered in the future.

KHRA Response:

The Section 8 Administrative Plan is being reviewed. This suggestion will be part of the local preferences recommended to the KHRA Board for approval.

Page 19 – Privacy of personal information of Tenant (Disclosure to Landlord)

The RAB is concerned about the Tenant's Privacy. While a landlord has a right to basic knowledge of the tenant's background, all efforts should be taken by the Kingsport Housing Authority to protect the tenant's privacy.

KHRA Response:

KHRA takes very seriously its responsibility to manage confidential information appropriately. Staff is required to respect the confidentiality of program participant personal information, to not do so is a violation of the "Standard of Conduct" and will subject a KHRA employee to disciplinary action.

Page 29 – Grievance Hearings:

The RAB acknowledges that the KHA has an unspoken policy allowing individuals to appeal or seek informal hearings concerning their being turned down for Section 8 housing or evicted for various reasons. However, the RAB feels that a written policy should be adopted that reflects the basic right of a tenant to present their side of the issue in the case of an eviction or denial of admission, including a system of informal and formal hearings where by the tenant can directly contest

the evidence before a third interested party. It is essential that the tenants are allowed these rights.

KHRA Response:

This matter will be looked into as part of the review of the Section 8 Administrative Plan. However, please note that the request is not required by HUD regulations, Federal or State statute. It is difficult to include an administrative process not required or funded. It is important that KHRA operate in an open and fair manner. The Executive Director is empowered to review any activities or decisions rendered by KHRA staff and overturn them if necessary.

Page 33 – Homeownership:

The Kingsport Housing Authority should encourage Homeownership through the Section 8 Program as opportunities present themselves.

KHRA Response:

The Section 8 Administrative Plan has been revised to allow for homeownership. Section 8 FSS participants have completed homeownership counseling with Eastern 8 CDC. Participant's credit-worthiness is being evaluated to determine feasibility of homeownership. We expect to have Section 8 homeowners during this year.

3. In what manner did the PHA address those comments? (select all that apply)

- Considered comments, but determined that no changes to the PHA Plan were necessary.
- The PHA changed portions of the PHA Plan in response to comments
List changes below:
- Other: (list below)
See above
RAB Comments & KHRA Responses
(Agency Plan Section 18A2)

B. Description of Election process for Residents on the PHA Board

1. Yes No: Does the PHA meet the exemption criteria provided section 2(b)(2) of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937? (If no, continue to question 2; if yes, skip to sub-component C.)
2. Yes No: Was the resident who serves on the PHA Board elected by the residents? (If yes, continue to question 3; if no, skip to sub-component C.)

3. Description of Resident Election Process

a. Nomination of candidates for place on the ballot: (select all that apply)

- Candidates were nominated by resident and assisted family organizations
- Candidates could be nominated by any adult recipient of PHA assistance
- Self-nomination: Candidates registered with the PHA and requested a place on ballot
- Other: (describe)

b. Eligible candidates: (select one)

- Any recipient of PHA assistance
- Any head of household receiving PHA assistance
- Any adult recipient of PHA assistance
- Any adult member of a resident or assisted family organization
- Other (list)

c. Eligible voters: (select all that apply)

- All adult recipients of PHA assistance (public housing and section 8 tenant based assistance)
- Representatives of all PHA resident and assisted family organizations
- Other (list)

C. Statement of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

For each applicable Consolidated Plan, make the following statement (copy questions as many times as necessary).

1. Consolidated Plan jurisdiction: **City of Kingsport**

2. The PHA has taken the following steps to ensure consistency of this PHA Plan with the Consolidated Plan for the jurisdiction: (select all that apply)

- The PHA has based its statement of needs of families in the jurisdiction on the need expressed in the Consolidated Plan/s.
- The PHA has participated in any consultation process organized and offered by the Consolidated Plan agency in the development of the Consolidated Plan.
- The PHA has consulted with the Consolidated Plan agency during the development of this PHA Plan.
- Activities to be undertaken by the PHA in the coming year are consistent with the initiatives contained in the Consolidated Plan. (list below)

Other: (list below)

3. The Consolidated Plan of the jurisdiction supports the PHA Plan with the following actions and commitments: (describe below)

See appropriate sections of the Consolidated Plan in Attachment C of this Plan (tn006c03.doc).

D. Other Information Required by HUD

Use this section to provide any additional information requested by HUD.

Attachments

Use this section to provide any additional attachments referenced in the Plans.

Plan tn006v03.doc FY2002KHRAPHAPlanHUD -50075

Attachments:

<i>A</i>	<i>tn006a03.doc</i>	<i>CFP-501022002BudgetHUD -52837</i>
<i>B</i>	<i>tn006b03.doc</i>	<i>VoluntaryConversionofPublicHousing</i>
<i>C</i>	<i>tn006c03.doc</i>	<i>CityofKingsportConsolidatedPlan</i>
<i>D</i>	<i>tn006d03.doc</i>	<i>DeconcentrationPolicy</i>
<i>E</i>	<i>tn006e03.doc</i>	<i>CapitalFund5 -YearPlanHUD -52834</i>
<i>F</i>	<i>tn006f03.doc</i>	<i>Follow-UpPlantoResidentSurveys</i>
<i>G</i>	<i>tn006g03.doc</i>	<i>Section8HomeownershipCapacityStatement</i>
<i>H</i>	<i>tn006h03.doc</i>	<i>Definitionof"SubstantialDeviation"</i>
<i>I</i>	<i>tn006i03.doc</i>	<i>Five-YearPlanProgressStatement</i>
<i>J</i>	<i>tn006j03.doc</i>	<i>ResidentAdvisoryBoardMembers hip</i>
<i>K</i>	<i>tn006k03.doc</i>	<i>ResidentMembershipoftheKHRABoard</i>
<i>L</i>	<i>tn006l03.xls</i>	<i>CGP708P&EReport9/30/01</i>
<i>M</i>	<i>tn006m03.xls</i>	<i>CFP501P&EReport9/30/01</i>
<i>N</i>	<i>tn006n03.xls</i>	<i>CFP50101P&EReport9/30/01</i>

PHA Plan Table Library

Component 7 Capital Fund Program Annual Statement Parts I, II, and III

Annual Statement Capital Fund Program (CFP) Part I: Summary

Capital Fund Grant Number FFY of Grant Approval: (MM/YYYY)

Original Annual Statement

Line No.	Summary by Development Account	Total Estimated Cost
1	Total Non -CGP Funds	
2	1406 Operations	
3	1408 Management Improvements	
4	1410 Administration	
5	1411 Audit	
6	1415 Liquidated Damages	
7	1430 Fees and Costs	
8	1440 Site Acquisition	
9	1450 Site Improvement	
10	1460 Dwelling Structures	
11	1465.1 Dwelling Equipment -Nonexpendable	
12	1470 Nondwelling Structures	
13	1475 Nondwelling Equipment	
14	1485 Demolition	
15	1490 Replacement Reserve	
16	1492 Moving to Work Demonstration	
17	1495.1 Relocation Costs	
18	1498 Mod Used for Development	
19	1502 Contingency	
20	Amount of Annual Grant (Sum of lines 2 -19)	
21	Amount of line 20 Related to LBP Activities	
22	Amount of line 20 Related to Section 504 Compliance	
23	Amount of line 20 Related to Security	
24	Amount of line 20 Related to Energy Conservation Measures	

**Annual Statement
 Capital Fund Program (CFP) Part II: Supporting Table**

Development Number/Name HA-Wide Activities	General Description of Major Work Categories	Development Account Number	Total Estimated Cost

**AnnualStatement
CapitalFundProgram(CFP)PartIII:ImplementationSchedule**

Development Number/Name HA-WideActivities	AllFundsObligated (QuarterEndingDate)	AllFund sExpended (QuarterEndingDate)

Optional Table for 5 -Year Action Plan for Capital Fund (Component 7)

Complete one table for each development in which work is planned in the next 5 PHA fiscal years. Complete a table for any PHA-wide physical or management improvements planned in the next 5 PHA fiscal year. Copy this table as many times as necessary. Note: PHAs need not include information from Year One of the 5 -Year cycle, because this information is included in the Capital Fund Program Annual Statement.

Optional 5 -Year Action Plan Tables				
Development Number	Development Name (or indicate PHA wide)	Number Vacant Units	% Vacancies in Development	
Description of Needed Physical Improvements or Management Improvements			Estimated Cost	Planned Start Date (HA Fiscal Year)
Total estimated cost over next 5 years				

TableLibrary

Section 8 Homeownership Capacity Statement

Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority is planning to participate in the Section 8 Homeownership Program. We feel we have the capacity to administer this program due to the following reasons.

First, we currently administer 887 units of Section 8 Tenant-Based Assistance with over 25 years of experience.

Second, we are administering 46 Family Self-Sufficiency Vouchers with seven having contributions to their escrow accounts. Eight families have met their program goals and completed the FSS program.

Third, a review of the Section 8 program participant's income level indicated 81 families that meet the initial income level for homeownership eligibility. We have surveyed these families and a majority has expressed interest in homeownership.

Fourth, Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority has and is administering the financial part of a homeownership program sponsored by the Greater Kingsport Alliance for Development. This fund has financed down payment and closing costs that have led to the purchase of over forty homes.

Fifth, Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority proposes to work in partnership with the local legal services office on this program. Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority will make the program known to potential homeowners and Legal Services will provide counseling. The Affordable Housing Coalition of Kingsport is assisting in making the program's availability known to real estate firms and local financial institutions. Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority has revised the Section 8 Administrative Plan concerning Homeownership.

Lastly, Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority is working with Eastern Eight Community Development Corporation on the implementation of our Homeownership Program. Recently, seven FSS participants attended an Eastern Eight Homeownership Training Class.

Voluntary Conversion of Public Housing Developments Required Initial Assessment

Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority

As required by 24 CFR parts 972 – Conversion of Public Housing to Tenant Based Assistance, we have:

1. Reviewed each development's operation as public housing;
2. Considered the implications of converting the public housing to tenant based assistance; and
3. Concluded that the conversion of the development may be:
 - Inappropriate because removal of the development would not meet the necessary conditions for voluntary conversion.

Necessary conditions for voluntary conversion:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Not be more expensive than continuing to operate the development (or portion of it) as public housing;</i> • <i>Principally benefit the residents of the public housing development to be converted and the community; and</i> • <i>Not adversely affect the availability of affordable housing in the community.</i>

Development Number	Development Name	Development Exempted?	Exemption Reason	Conversion Appropriate?
TN006-01	Robert E Lee Apartments	No	N/A	No
TN006-02	Riverview Apartments	No	N/A	No
TN006-03	Riverview Apartments	No	N/A	No
TN006-04	Frank L Cloud Apartments	No	N/A	No
TN006-07	Dogwood Terrace Apartments	No	N/A	No
TN006-09	Holly Hills Apartments	No	N/A	No
TN006-11	Tiffany Court Apartments	No	N/A	No

Attached is a short descriptive narrative as documentation of reasoning with respect to each covered development.

<original submission signed>
 Terry W. Cunningham, Executive Director

 9/21/01
 Date

Voluntary Conversion of Public Housing Developments

Narrative

Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority has assessed the viability of voluntary conversion of public housing developments to Section 8 Tenant -Based Assistance.

We have determined that this voluntary conversion does not meet the necessary conditions and would be inappropriate. Conversion to Section 8 would be more expensive to operate than public housing. These calculations are part of the documentation required for our agency plan for the year starting April 1, 2002.

Additionally, we feel the conversion of these units would not principally benefit the residents and community. Also, it would possibly negatively affect the availability of affordable housing in the community.

CONSOLIDATED PLAN
FOR
HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

City of Kingsport
July 1, 2000 - June 30, 2005
Prepared By:
Community Development Department
April, 2000

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

Introduction

Housing & Homeless Needs Assessment

General

Categories of Persons Affected

Description of Housing Needs

Racial or Ethnic Group

Homeless Needs

Other Special Needs

Public Housing Residents

Lead-Based Paint Hazards

Housing Market Analysis

General

Community Description

Public & Assisted Housing

Homeless Facilities

Special Needs Facilities & Services

Barriers to Affordable Housing

Strategic Plan

General

Affordable Housing

Homelessness

Special Needs

Non-Housing Community Development Needs

Barriers to Affordable Housing

Lead-Based Paint Hazards Reduction

Anti-Poverty Strategy

Institutional Structure

Coordination Efforts

Public Housing Resident Initiatives

Action Plan

General

Federal Resources

Activities to be Undertaken

Geographic Distribution

Homeless and Other Special Needs Activities

Other Actions to be Undertaken

MonitoringStandardsandProcedures
General

AppendixA
CitizenParticipationPlan

AppendixB
ConsultationandComments

AppendixC
Certifications

AppendixD
Tables

AppendixE
Maps

INTRODUCTION

The Consolidated Planning process is a collaborative endeavor where by a community establishes a unified vision for community development actions. The Consolidated Plan brings together the planning, application and reporting requirements for the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program, the Home Investment Partnership (HOME) program, the Housing Opportunities for Persons With AIDS (HOPWA) program, and the Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) program. This Consolidated Plan will permit Kingsport to apply a unified vision to address local problems comprehensively. rt

The goals, objectives and various actions outlined in the Plan are readily linked to certain "Key Values" identified by the Kingsport Board of Mayor and Aldermen in their "1999 2001 Strategic Initiatives and Plan" document approved in December 1999. The Board's Strategic Plan identifies nine key values which influence and direct planning of and decisions for actions by the City. The goals and objectives of this Consolidated Plan are consistent with the following Key Values: -

- ✓ Key Value #4: Economic Growth and Development
- ✓ Key Value #7: Reliable, Dependable Infrastructure
- ✓ Key Value #8: Superior Quality of Life
- ✓ Key Value #9: A Safe Community

The Consolidated Plan for Kingsport must also be explicitly consistent with the goals of the Community Development Block Grant program, which is the major source of Federal funds expected to assist the City with implementation of the Plan. The goals of the Plan are:

- to provide decent housing
- provide a suitable living environment
- expand economic opportunities for low income persons.

As part of the Plan's preparation, the Community Development Staff consulted with other public and private agencies that provide assisted housing, health services and social services. The staff also encouraged citizens to participate in the development of the Plan through the Public Hearing process as outlined in the Citizen Participation Plan. This document was prepared following the requirements prescribed by Federal Regulations. The citation for each regulation is provided should the reader need to refer to it for clarification of specific sections.

91.205 Housing & Homeless Needs Assessment

General

This **Housing and Homeless Needs Assessment** describes the community's housing needs for the next five years. It estimates the number and type of families in need of housing assistance, describes the need to include a discussion of cost burden and evaluates whether a disproportionately greater need for racial or ethnic minority groups exists in comparison to the needs of the community as a whole. It also describes the nature and extent of homelessness, including the characteristics of individuals and families with children currently housed but threatened with homelessness, and the nature and extent of homelessness by racial and ethnic group.

These needs are based on housing data from the U.S. Census and reflect consultation with housing providers, social service agencies, and input received through the citizen participation process. Housing needs in Kingsport are not expected to change significantly over the time period of this Plan, which is July, 2000 through June, 2005.

Categories of Persons Affected

The categories of persons affected, as defined by the Department of Housing & Urban Development (HUD) is based on the HUD Adjusted Median Family Income (HAMFI). These categories include extremely low income (0 -30% HAMFI), low income (31 -50% HAMFI), moderate income (51 -80% HAMFI) and middle income (81 -95% HAMFI).

HUD further separates categories into elderly household, in which the head of the household or spouse is at least 62 years of age, small household, consisting of 1 -4 persons, and large households, consisting of 5 or more persons. Current HUD adjusted median family income for the Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) is \$38,600. Income breakdown provided by HUD for very low income and low income households is shown as follows:

12345	678
Prsn Prsn Prsn Prsn Prsn Prsn Prsn Prsn	
\$13,500 \$15,450 \$17,350 \$19,300 \$20,850 \$22,400 \$23,950 \$25,500	
\$21,600 \$24,700 \$27,800 \$30,900 \$33,350 \$35,800 \$38,300 \$40,750	

The chart below shows the estimated number of households in each category and the percentages as they relate to the city as a whole. The 1990 census shows the total number of households as 15,611.

	<u>Households</u>			
<u>Renters</u>	<u>0-30%</u>	<u>31-50%</u>	<u>51-80%</u>	<u>81-95%</u>
Total	1582	911	962	307
Elderly	493	361	214	45
Small Family	705	254	431	130
Large Family	110	93	42	19
<u>Owners</u>				
Total	595	957	1315	725
Elderly	358	715	796	373
Small Family	127	133	378	228
Large Family	24	20	45	68
<u>TOTAL</u>	2177	1868	2277	1032
% Total Households	14.0%	12.0%	15.0%	6.6%

These figures show that 4,045 (25.9%) of the total number of households in the City are below 51% of the HAMFI and, more than likely, in need of housing or social service assistance. No quantitative data is available to estimate the number of persons with disabilities who do not require supportive housing services. However, through contact with various local agencies it appears adequate housing for people in this category is available. Information is not readily available for the number of persons with HIV/AIDS and their families. Between 1982 - 1992 there were 32 cases of AIDS confirmed in Sullivan County.

Description of Housing Needs

Housing needs may be understood through an examination of cost burdens. The cost burden experienced by households is defined by HUD as the extent to which gross housing costs, including utility costs, exceed gross income. Severe cost burden is the extent to which housing costs exceed 50% of the gross income. The

following chart shows the numbers of households experiencing housing costs which fall into these categories.

	<u>Cost Burden</u>							
	<u>0-30%</u>		<u>31-50%</u>		<u>51-80%</u>		<u>81-95%</u>	
	<u>30%</u>	<u>50%</u>	<u>30%</u>	<u>50%</u>	<u>30%</u>	<u>50%</u>	<u>30%</u>	<u>50%</u>
<u>Renters</u>								
Total	995	707	519	92273	0	8	0	
Elderly	263	171	174	3279	0	8	0	
Small Family	466	356	143	4285	0	0	0	
Large Family	82	49	52	013	0	00		
<u>Owners</u>								
Total	405	245	305	98204	54	31	0	
Elderly	239	120	167	2874	19	6	0	
Small Family	97	71	80	4990	21	10	0	
Large Family	12	7	20	6	0	0	8	0
<u>TOTAL</u>	1400	952	824	190477	84	39	0	
<u>%Total</u>								
Households	8.9%	6%	5.2%	1.2%	3%	.5%	.2%	0

These figures show that for all categories the largest number of households with the greatest housing needs are those with very low income and a cost burden of thirty percent. This accounts for almost nine percent of the total households in the community.

The extent to which households are experiencing overcrowding or containing more than one person per room is shown as follows:

	<u>Households With Overcrowding</u>			
	<u>0-30%</u>	<u>31-50%</u>	<u>51-80%</u>	<u>81-95%</u>
<u>Renters</u>				
Total	58	45	21	5
Elderly	0	0	0	0
Small Family	0	5	0	5
Large Family	58	40	17	0
<u>Owners</u>				
Total	9	19	18	7
Elderly	0	0	0	0
Small Family	0	11	0	26
Large Family	9	8	18	0
<u>TOTAL</u>	67	64	39	12
<u>%Total Households</u>	0.43%	0.41%	0.25%	0.08%

Overcrowding for very low income and low income renters illustrates the greatest need. But currently this is not a major need in the city. The number of substandard units, or those experiencing housing problems, are shown below. This includes physical defects, overcrowding, or occupants who are experiencing cost burden or severe cost burden.

	<u>Housing Problems</u>			
	<u>0-30%</u>	<u>31-50%</u>	<u>51-80%</u>	<u>81-95%</u>
<u>Renters</u>				
Total	1032	545	294	13
Elderly	263	180	79	8
Small Family	480	143	91	5
Large Family	92	72	24	0
<u>Owners</u>				
Total	405	316	228	38
Elderly	239	167	80	6
Small Family	97	91	90	17
Large Family	12	20	18	8
<u>TOTAL</u>	1437	861	522	51
<u>% Total City</u>	9.2%	5.5%	3.3%	0.33%

Households experiencing the greatest need in this category are in the same categories as under cost burden. The percentage is almost double the next closest category which is low income households. The very low and low income households are experiencing the greatest needs. Identified needs of households with less than 50% HAMFI, and experiencing cost burden include the following:

- Substandard rental units;
- Assisted home ownership;
- Rehabilitation assistance for homeowners; and
- Rental assistance to reduce high cost burdens.

Moderate income households need some type of housing assistance. Even though those figures show no renter household experiencing a severe cost burden, 273 have a 30% cost burden and 294 have housing problems. Of the owner households, 84 in this income category have a severe cost burden, and 204 have a 30% cost burden. The number of Elderly households, in practically all categories, shows a great need. Need for moderate income households include:

- Affordable purchase units;

- Rehabilitation assistance for homeowners; and
- Credit counseling.

Racial and Ethnic Groups

According to the CHAS Data book, the Caucasian category for Kingsport has 981 moderate income and 7,931 above middle income with 3,763 very low and 2,174 other moderate income. The black population includes 36 middle income and 259 above middle income with 251 moderate and 103 extremely low and low income. These figures show that Kingsport's white population includes more middle and above middle income households than very low and other low income; however the two figures (of combined middle and moderate income opposed to low and extremely low income households) are very close. Kingsport's black population is just the reverse: it's extremely low and low income combined outnumber the middle and moderate income.

To determine if there is a disproportionate greater need for black households, the charts below show number of households and housing problems by units and percentage of black population.

	<u>Housing -Black</u>			
	<u>0-30%</u>	<u>31-50%</u>	<u>51-80%</u>	<u>81-95%</u>
<u>Renters</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Units</u>
Total	129	53	57	2
Elderly	27	20	6	5
Small Family	84	10	30	7
Large Family	6	17	5	0
<u>Owners</u>				
Total	49	20	46	10
Elderly	28	7	22	10
Small Family	12	7	13	0
Large Family	0	6	2	0
<u>TOTAL</u>	178	73	103	36
<u>%Black</u>				
<u>Households</u>	27%	11%	16%	5.5%

Housing Problems -Black

	<u>0-30%</u>	<u>31-50%</u>	<u>51-80%</u>	<u>81-95%</u>
<u>Renters</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Units</u>
Total	81	27	19	0
Elderly	16	4	0	0
Small Family	53	0	11	0
Large Family	0	17	0	0
<u>Owners</u>				
Total	32	13	23	
Elderly	28	0	7	
Small Family		4	7	7
Large Family	0	6	0	
<u>TOTAL</u>	113	40	42	
%Black Households	17%	6.1%	6.5%	.0%

The number of black households in Kingsport is 649. Disproportionate need is considered 10% or greater than the need of the population as a whole. These figures show that a disproportionate number of black households are in the very low, low, and moderate income categories. Disproportionate need is only in the very low category for housing problems.

Available household information is not as detailed for other racial groups. The chart below shows households by minority and income group for Hispanic, Native American, and Asian and Pacific Islander (non-Hispanic).

	<u>Total</u>	<u>0-30%</u>	<u>31-50%</u>	<u>51-80%</u>	<u>81-95%</u>
Hispanic	30	18	0	0	12
Native American	0	0	0	0	0
Asian & Pacific	76	6	0	15	55

Disproportionate need is shown for the Hispanic population where 60% of the total are extremely low income. Other than this need, our assessment did not show a

disproportionately greater need for any income category, family or tenure type for racial/ethnic groups in comparison to the needs as a whole.

Homeless Needs

Homelessness in Kingsport seem to be a growing category. This assessment is derived from consultation with the Salvation Army, Haven of Mercy, the Upper East Tennessee Human Development Agency, and other service providers. The needs of the homeless extend beyond the primary needs of food, shelter and clothing. The need for intensive supportive service combined with shelter is emphasized by service providers. Accurate quantitative data does not exist for homelessness of families with children and homeless subpopulations (severe mental illness, alcohol/drug addiction, fleeing domestic violence, AIDS and related diseases, other). The 1990 Census counted 37 homeless persons in emergency shelters and 2 visible in street locales. Service providers strongly disagree with these figures. These groups indicate the homeless population is in the hundreds. The City Planning Department in conjunction with homeless service providers did a homeless count as part of the City-wide Special Census in April 1995. This count showed 7 visible, 16 sheltered, and 27 in some type of transient housing. Behavioral Services reported 2 homeless in Alcohol/Drug Inpatient with 23 open files on homeless individuals.

The Director of the Haven of Mercy provided the following assessment of homelessness. Homelessness can be divided into two major categories (chronic homeless and temporary homeless). Chronic homelessness constitutes almost 90% of those found in shelters. Although they have economic problems, these are not the main reasons they are homeless. The reasons for chronic homelessness are:

Substance Abuse	46.5%
Dysfunctional Personality	25.0%
Economic Problems	12.5%
Severe Mental Illness	10.2%
Physical Disability	5.6%
Other	.2%

More money, services, handouts, etc. will not solve chronic homelessness. In order to be effective, programs must be directed at solving the real problems. Programs that address only the physical needs are largely a waste of time and money. They are a Band-Aid solution. It is necessary to address both the physical and mental/emotional needs.

The basic needs of shelter, food, and clothing for the chronic homeless and temporary individuals are recurrently being met. Also, facilities and services for homeless persons who are severely mentally ill are being addressed by Frontier Health Services.

Current needs for homeless individuals that are not being met include:

- Rehabilitation programs for those addicted to alcohol or drugs, including aftercare;
- Employment training and job search assistance; and
- Life skill training and case management.

Temporary homelessness constitutes about 10% of the homeless and is generally caused by loss of income or an unusual group of expenses resulting in the loss of housing. Usually this group has family or friends who take them in until they can find a new job and housing. These groups seldom end up in homeless shelters, although the need for family shelter is becoming apparent. Temporary homelessness appears to be experienced more by families now than in the past.

The persons most threatened with homelessness are very low income families (0 to 30% HAMFI) with children, who are paying over 30% of their income on shelter. These families most at risk are not only the traditional family, but are many times single female heads of household which resulted from divorce, death, abandonment by spouse, etc. These families could benefit mostly from:

- Housing counseling to assist families facing foreclosure/eviction;
- Programs offering temporary financial assistance;
- Shelters which keep families together;
- Job training, counseling, placement, and follow-up;
- Payment of deposits and first month rent for permanent housing;
- Educational services such as GED preparation;
- Child care at shelters or accessible to shelters;
- Financial and budgetary training;
- Transportation;
- Programs to help very low income households pay rent and utility bills that exceed their ability to pay; and
- Job placement and retraining programs for laid-off workers, or adults entering the workforce for the first time.

One group of homeless subpopulations which constitute temporary homeless are those fleeing domestic violence. Nationwide, 50% of the homeless women and children are due to physical violence. Safe House currently provides temporary shelter in these cases. Special needs of domestic violence are:

- Comprehensive services to move the families through the system;
- Support groups and outreach programs;
- Job training;
- Affordable day care;
- Court advocacy; and
- Transportation.

The nature and extent of homelessness by race and ethnic group is unknown. A primary need in the development of homeless programs is a need for cultural and racial sensitivity. The existing delivery system for homeless shelter and services is largely all white and Christian oriented. This may alienate homeless individuals of different racial or religious backgrounds. In addition, the increase of foreign population, mainly Latin American, may result in a language barrier between service providers and this group.

There is an overwhelming need for coordination of existing services and an advocacy group for the homeless. Development of a Homeless Coalition in 1998 has attempted to meet this need.

Other Special Needs

The greatest need for the elderly, frail elderly, disabled, persons with drug or alcohol addiction, or other special needs populations is for supportive services tied to affordable housing so individuals can remain in their homes. It is expensive and undesirable to institutionalize persons who could remain in their homes if they had assistance with daily living.

In 1980, the population 65 years and older was 4,210. Between 1980 and 1990 there has been 42% growth in this population. The 1990 Census showed 7,262 or 20% of the total population to be in this group. This growth is expected to continue and represents an emergency need for the elderly and especially the frail elderly.

"Frail elderly" is defined as "elderly persons who are unable to perform at least 3 activities of daily living (i.e., eating, dressing, bathing, grooming, and household management activities)". The number of elderly households in need of supportive housing is 34 while the number for frail elderly is 150. Based on the numbers served, the need for assistance to grow above the 150 elderly and/or frail elderly persons during the period covered under this Consolidated Plan is anticipated. Currently, Madison House provides day care for the frail elderly. The center has a planned therapeutic day program of restorative care, socialization and rehabilitative therapy for its participants.

No quantitative data is available to document housing needs of the elderly and persons with disabilities who do not require supportive housing services. However, we have ascertained through contact with various local agencies that housing for people in these categories is available. We also discovered this type of housing is apparently plentiful for the disabled/handicapped, but the elderly population assisted through the Section 202 program is enoughto warrant a waiting list of applicants. According to CHAS Databook (1990) figures, Kingsport has a total of 854 very low income elderly renter households with 203 of those having a severe cost burden (housing costs, including utilities, exceed 50% of gross income). An additional 214 (other) low income elderly renter households include 9 with a severe cost burden and 79 with a cost burden whereby housing costs (including utilities) exceed 30% of gross income. Of the 854 total very low income

elderly renters, over half, 443, of those have some (unspecified) housing problems. The greatest needs for this population are:

- Increased capacity for Kingsport Meal on Wheels;
- Subsidized adult day care at Madison House for those families unable to afford normal fees;
- Provision of adequate assisted living and independent living housing for all income levels;
- Educating the public on needs of the elderly;
- Encouraging elderly in need of services to ask for assistance; and
- Coordination of services currently available to allow for easier access and to provide a single entity to develop programming.

The number of households in need of supportive services for persons with disabilities are: severe mental illness (80), developmentally disabled (35), and physically disabled (24). Existing organizations which provide housing for the special needs populations include Kingsport Housing Authority, Roller -Russ Home, Rainbow Homes, and Frontier Health.

Identified needs for persons with disabilities include obtaining home health care services, adult day care service, and homemaker services. The number of households in need of supportive services for persons with alcohol or other drug addiction is estimated in the CHA at 120. Frontier Health provides counseling and rehabilitation/detoxification for chemically dependent individuals 18 years of age or older. The facility has 18 beds and averages 22 or more on the waiting list. The average wait for treatment is two weeks. Frontier Health anticipates the need for rehabilitation/detoxification, including counseling, to grow on a yearly basis. This number includes the homeless and residents of public housing assisted through contracts made between CAS and the Rural Health Consortium (Project KIND). This includes not only referral for help, but also provision of transportation to and from professional appointments through a local taxicab company. The primary need in this area is for an expansion of existing services.

After checking with various agencies, we discovered that no housing is available specifically for persons with AIDS (PWA's). Therefore, we don't know if an need even exists for supportive housing of persons in this category or if the quantitative data to support such a facility is available anywhere. The only program which exists for PWA's is Project HOPE which provides education about the HIV/AIDS virus, prevention through awareness and education, confidential counseling and referral to other community services, and programs for their families. This program is available through Frontier Health Services.

The Eldreth (Rainbow) Home located on East Center Street houses mentally ill individuals. It is anticipated that the Eldreth Home will assist up to 15 individuals each year over this covered reporting period.

Public Housing Residents

Kingsport Housing and Redevelopment Authority has been housing single low-income families since 1939. Its mission is providing decent, safe, and affordable housing. Resident Initiatives have become a great need in all the communities. Resident Initiatives, such as education and job training are essential since jobs have become increasingly important in all our communities. Currently, several programs have been implemented and are provided to any public housing and/or Section 8 resident who takes the initiative to change their life. Each program listed is an ongoing program and has already changed the lives of many.

Public Housing - Public Housing manages 569 low rent public housing units at five sites: Lee, Riverview, Cloud, Dogwood Terrace, and Holly Hills Apartments. Fifty (50) low rent 202 Housing (Section 8/new construction) units for the elderly at Holston Terrace Apartments and six (6) units Public Housing plus eight (8) units of Section 8 existing housing at Tiffany Court, which are used to house participants in the Lift -Net program.

Section 8 - The Section 8 program is a rental assistance program which assists eligible families by paying a portion of their rent to a property owner. The program is funded by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. Kingsport Housing and Redevelopment Authority presently assists 745 low income families in Sullivan, Hawkins, Washington, and Greene counties.

O.R.D.I.S. - (One Room Drop - In School) - The mission of O.R.D.I.S. is to provide lifelong alternative educational opportunities. O.R.D.I.S. also encourages knowledge, attitudes, skills, and habits necessary for productive, independent citizenship through collaborative efforts with Kingsport City Schools, Kingsport Housing and Redevelopment Authority, and other community resources. In supporting the belief that learning is a lifelong process, as an educational system we are committed to encourage all people to learn and to overcome barriers. O.R.D.I.S. is the delivery system to provide educational opportunities for the housing community residents.

Smart K.I.D.S. - (Kingsport Interagency Development and Support) - Smart K.I.D.S. is an after school program for children living in or near public housing communities in the Kingsport area. Smart K.I.D.S. provides recreational and educational opportunities to children who are high risk candidates of school drop-outs, substance abuse, delinquency or victims of child abuse. The program is designed to serve kids ages 6 to 17, and to inspire these kids to earn trust, friendship, and encourage them to expand their horizons. The Smart K.I.D.S. office also houses a family counselor who provides Family Counseling and Drug Intervention/Prevention that is available to all KHR residents.

Head Start - The Head Start program is housed in the Cloud Community Center and serves 3 & 4 year olds in Cloud and the surrounding community. They implement a Readiness program which prepares kids for kindergarten.

Cloud Community Center -TheCloudCommunityCenternotonlyhouses theHead Startprogram, butprovidesaspaciousgymdesigned forvariousindoorsportstokeepthe kidsofpublichousingactive, withlesstimefortrouble. Structuredprogramsalready implementedaregirls'basketball, volleyball, boxing, gymnastics, karate, GirlandBoy Scouting, senioraerobicsandothercommunityorResidentAssociationplannedevents.

Vista Volunteer -TheVistaVolunteerprogramisinit'ssecondyear, withtwoVistas, at KingsportHousingandRedevelopmentAuthority. Vista's goalistoalleviatepovertyin Americabyhelpinglow -incomepeop letoimprovetheconditionsoftheirownlives. The missionistostrengthenandsupplementeffortstoeliminateandalleviatepovertyby encouragingandenablingpeopletoperformmeaningfulandconstructivevolunteer serviceinagencies, institutionsandsituationswheretheapplicationofhumantalentand dedicationmayassistinthesolutionofpovertyandpoverty -relatedproblems.

Lee Family Learning Center -TheLeeFamilyLearningCenterprovidestutoring, literacyprograms, childhoodandadulteducation, familylife, parenting, nutrition, computerclasses, librarytrips, ABE/GEDclassesandmanymoredevelopmentclasses. TheCenterisinit'sseventhyearandisopentoallKHRAresidents.

KHRA Mini Police Substation -Inordertoprovidesafeanddrug -freecommunities, KHRAhasimplementedtheconceptofCommunityPolicingwhichhasworkedwellfor bothresidentsandthePoliceDepartment. TheSubstationOfficerfunctionsastheliaison betweenKHRA, KingsportPoliceDepartment, andallfiveRes identAssociations. He alsoworkswithseveralchildren'sprogramssuchasthePoliceAthleticLeague(PAL), whichsponsors theGirl'sBasketballteam.

Bike Patrol -KHRA'sBikePatrolisactiveinthesummermonthsandlonger, depending on warm weather. The Bike Patrols are visible throughout all five of the KHRA communitiesandthekidslovethem. Theofficershavebuiltcloserrelationshipsandoften becomerolemodelstomanyofthepublichousingkids.

Extension Services -Alsoknownasthe **Title XXHomemaker** program, Extension Servicesassiststheelderlyanddisabledinorderthattheymightcontinueindependent living. Thisprogramprovideschoreassistance, errands, groceryshopping, laundry, and emotionalsupportto960KHRAresidents eachyearwith5800hoursofservicesperyear.

K.I.T.E., Inc. -(KingsportInitiativesforTrainingandEmployment) -Thisprogramisa ResidentManagementnon -profitcorporationwithaBoardofDirectorsconsistingof electedofficersfromallfiveoftheKing sportHousingandRedevelopmentAuthority communities. Thisgroupfunctionsasamulti -disciplinaryteamwhosefocusis communityimprovementthrougheducation, employment, jobtrainingandspecial programstobenefittheresidents.

L.I.F.T./N.E.T. -(Life Initiatives for Families in Transition/North East Tennessee) - L.I.F.T./N.E.T. is a new program at KHRA which will serve low income residents of public housing and Section 8 residents. L.I.F.T./N.E.T. will provide an array of services including community participation, resident outreach and mobilization, comprehensive case management, entrepreneurial training, and job and business creation.

Project K.I.N.D. Clinic -(Kingsport Interagency Network Development) -This community health clinic services Kingsport Housing and Redevelopment Authority residents and the homeless in the Kingsport area. Sponsored by the Rural Health Consortium, the clinic provides health care to those who could not otherwise afford it.

DHS Workers -(Department of Human Services) -The Department of Human Services has placed three eligibility counselors on -site whose service Public Housing and Section 8 city residents. This "one -stop-shop" type of service is a benefit to KHRA residents because not only can they pay their rent, but also have the opportunity to see their DHS worker concerning food stamps and TennCare. This is especially beneficial for those who do not have transportation.

Summer Playground Program -Sponsored by the City of Kingsport Parks and Recreation Department, this program functions five days a week during the summer and in all five KHRA communities. This program provides **free** outings, swimming, tennis, and group sport opportunities. A winter program is available in the Riverview community.

Greater Kingsport Alliance for Development -This is a non -profit corporation created through the efforts of the Kingsport Affordable Housing Coalition. The purpose of the partnership is to provide a means for the public/private sector to cooperate in providing affordable housing to low income families in Kingsport.

Tiffany Court -Tiffany Court Apartments is an eight unit apartment complex which was purchased by Greater Kingsport Alliance for Development in 1991 with a grant from THDAH.O.U.S.E. Funds continue to be used in connection with the Kingsport Housing Authority's Family Self -Sufficiency program. This apartment complex houses families with single heads of household who are working and attending school in a program to enable them to become independent of any type of assistance.

Lead-Base Paint Hazard

The Environmental Update for the National Multi -Housing Council May 1993 Report cited lead -base paint as the top health concern having the most significant impact on residential projects in the past ten years. Lead has been identified as the number one health hazard to American children, with 10 -15% of all preschoolers in the country impacted by the problem. Lead poisoning causes many short and long term negative health effects in children and adults. These include learning disabilities, mental retardation, IQ reduction, and decreased attention span. No danger exists unless the lead is ingested which is a concern in children, not adults. Lead based paint was in use prior

to 1980. It appears in white and yellow paints and not in colors. Of the existing housing stock of 16,742 units, there were 2,989 rental units and 8,494 units totaling 11,483 units constructed prior to this date. The chart below shows the likelihood of lead base paint in the existing housing stock .

<u>Year Structure Built</u>	<u>Owner Occupied</u>		<u>Renter Occupied</u>	
	<u>White</u>	<u>Black</u>	<u>White</u>	<u>Black</u>
1970- 1980	1,185	49	1,617	97
1960- 1969	1,499	23	675	55
1950- 1959	2,225	69	842	56
1940- 1949	1,885	46	697	74
1939 or Earlier	1,440	73	557	33
TOTAL	8,234	260	4,388	315

The estimated number of housing units containing lead -base paint hazards for very low, low and moderate income families is as follows:

<u>Occupied</u>	<u>Owner Occupied</u>	<u>Renter</u>
Very Low Income	277	1,582
Low Income	216	373
Moderate Income	156	201
TOTAL	649	2,156

The Sullivan County Health Department reported that in Kingsport no elevated levels were reported in 22 screenings the first quarter of 1995. There were 142 screenings in 1994, 6 were elevated but did not require a lead survey. This leads us to believe that the abatement programs in place are effective and should be continued. These programs include the CDBG housing rehabilitation programs, and Kingsport Housing and Redevelopment Authority's modernization program for its apartments.

General

This Housing Market Analysis looks at the supply, demand, condition, and cost of the existing housing stock. The analysis evaluates existing public and assisted housing facilities, inventories homeless facilities, and explains if public policies create a barrier to providing affordable housing.

Community Description

The City of Kingsport is located in the northeast portion of the state and contains approximately 40 square miles. It is an industrialized community with a diverse group of people residing here. The top employers in the city are Tennessee Eastman Company (8,500 employees), AFG Industries (2,500 employees), Quebecor Printing (2,375 employees), Wellmont (2,200 employees), Willamette Corporation, Fine Paper Division (425 employees), British Aerospace Royal Ordnance, NA (112 employees), Kingsport City Schools (900 employees), and Indian Path Medical Center (583 employees). Though some recent workforce "downsizing" has occurred, this highly diverse industrial base gives the city and its area a generally healthy economy. Kingsport's population grew by 13.5% between 1980 and 1990. The U.S. Census population for the City of Kingsport in 1980 was 32,027 and in 1990 it was 36,365. This population included 34,480 white, 1,611 black, and 495 other races. The current State certified population for 1998 is 41,414.

This small city is well known for its "volunteerism", community and hometown spirit, and for being a "good place to live and raise a family." It has a tourist appeal with its annual Fun Fest and all the beautiful scenery including Riverfront Park, Greenbelt and Bays Mountain Park (largest city-owned park in U.S. in acreage). Kingsport was formally incorporated as a city in 1917 after a long period of settlement going all the way back to colonial times. Its name originated from Colonel James King who housed the Boatyard as a port for shipping various commodities.

Kingsport as it is today has a diversified industrial and commercial base. The City's land area has increased significantly following several annexations. The city is surrounded by an urbanized region which extends into Virginia to the north and Hawkins County to the west, as well as easterly and southerly within Sullivan County.

Kingsport has grown considerably over the past 15 years and is still focused on growth, particularly to attract new industry and commercial concerns to the city and surrounding urbanized area. Three cities - Kingsport, Johnson City, and Bristol - comprise the Tri-Cities and are included in the Upper East Tennessee Metropolitan Statistical Area or MSA. The growth trend has involved most of the Upper East Tennessee region, including Washington and Sullivan Counties. Scott County and Washington County,

Virginia, and the City of Bristol, Virginia have played and continue to play a role in defining the greater Metropolitan Area.

The Upper East Tennessee region is unusual in that there are three primary growth centers rather than a central city. This situation has led to a certain degree of cooperation among the three cities, but has also fostered an atmosphere of competition. That competition applies to attracting industrial and commercial establishments and their constituent employment and consumer opportunities.

All three cities have shown a predominantly steady population increase although the rate over four consecutive ten-year census periods shows a large degree of variation. Much of this variation can be attributed to varying rates of annexation rather than a natural increase or net migration although the latter two components play a very definite role in population fluctuations.

The 1990 Census figures show the City of Kingsport had a total of 16,742 housing units, of which 1,113 (6%) were vacant. Of these 15,629 total households, 9,832 (63%) were owner-occupied and 5,797 (37%) were renter-occupied. Population per household was 2.28 persons. The home owner vacancy rate was 1.9% and rental vacancy rate was 7.1%. The Housing Inventory below is based on 1990 Census figures.

HOUSING INVENTORY

Total Housing Units	16,742	Vacant	1,113
Units in Structure	1-unit detached		11,013
	1-unit attached		504
	2-4 units		1,165
	5-9 units		1,404
	10 or more units		2,109
	mobile home, trailer		547
		<u>Large Family</u>	<u>Small Family</u>
Structures with Housing Problems	3,150	301	1,081
Owner Occupied	1,217	113	511
Renter Occupied	1,933	188	570

HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS

Total Households	15,629		
Owner Occupied	9,832	Percent	63%
Renter Occupied	5,797	Percent	37%
 Income			
HUD Adjusted Median Family Income (MFI)		\$38,600	1999
Census designated Median Household Income		\$22,750	1990
 Housing Value Owner Occupied Units			
		8,685	
Less than \$50,000		3,820	
\$50,000 to \$99,000		3,218	
\$100,000 to \$149,000		892	
\$150,000 to \$199,999		486	
\$200,000 to \$299,999		231	
\$300,000 or more		38	
 Renter Occupied Units Paying Cash Rent			
		5,365	
Less than \$250 monthly		3,024	
\$250 to \$499		2,177	
\$500 to \$749		123	
\$750 to \$999		20	
\$1,000 or more		15	

In 1995, U.S. Census changed the total housing units within the City to 18,457. This count reflects boundary changes due to annexation. There has not been an inventory made for this new count.

No recent surveys have been conducted to ascertain the physical condition of the existing housing stock. In 1986, the City Planning Department did a windshield survey of the City for its Land Use Plan 2010 which classified housing units by condition. This survey showed the following: 419 units were substandard, defined as run-down but could be repaired; 314 units were in deteriorated condition, which is defined as no major structural deficiencies and the unit could be rehabilitated to meet building codes; 105 residences classified as dilapidated, not able to be brought to meet City code. Units within the city can be brought into code compliance as they become vacant. The City Building Department may refuse to have electrical services restored to substandard structures. This mechanism is ineffective for rental units since these have a high turnover than owner occupied residences. New construction has consisted mainly of houses in the upper price range (\$85,000 and up). Not unlike most cities, lower-priced (new) housing built has been minimal and, realistically speaking, practically non-existent. Therefore, we concluded that affordable housing in Kingsport is minimal at best.

Building permit data for the City of Kingsport, which tracks construction of new residential units, shows that 121 single-family homes and 60 multi-family units were constructed in 1999. Permits were also issued for 744 additions, alterations, and remodeling to residential structures. The number of new single-family dwellings was down from 1998 and 1997, which showed 140 units, and 131 units, respectively. However, construction has been an overall increase since 1980. The estimated construction cost of the 121 houses was \$12,981,538, with the average cost of a new single-family dwelling at \$107,285. This is an average decrease of \$2,260 over 1998. A breakdown of the construction costs of the homes is listed below:

Under \$25,000	7
\$26,000-50,000	13
\$51,000-100,000	54
\$101,000-200,000	39
\$201,000-300,000	7
<u>\$301,000-400,000</u>	<u>1</u>
TOTAL	121

Multi-family permits, mainly new apartment projects, decreased dramatically from 168 units in 1998 to 60 permits in 1999. The Needs Assessment Report developed by the United Way of Greater Kingsport indicates there is a shortage of affordable housing units and a need for housing assistance in Kingsport. The City strongly promotes Fair Housing practices to insure that the limited housing stock is made available to all citizens, regardless of racial or ethnic background and persons with HIV/AIDS and their families.

The 1994 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) identified areas of low income families concentrated in the Gibsontown/Nelsontown, Rotherwood Heights, Sunset Hills, and Highland sections. The CHAS also identified a previous neighborhood development project area which includes Maple/Dale/Sevier Street portions of the City. These areas are located in Census Tracts 408, 411, 416, and 506. Areas in which more than 65% of the families fall within 80% of the median family income are considered low income areas. A high concentration of low income households is also located in the recently annexed areas of Lynn Garden, Midfields, Westview, and Vernon Heights. This has been documented through awarding of Sewer Tap Fee Grants paid through the Community Development Block Grant program.

Minority/racial concentration exists in the Riverview area, Rotherwood Heights area, and Maple/Dale/Sevier Street area. These are Census Tracts 402, 406, and 506. A relatively high percentage of the minority concentrations are also designated "low income". Census tracts where minority populations constitute more than 65% are considered areas of minority concentrations. Kingsport contains an overall small percentage of minorities (under 5%). In 1990, the ethnic composition of the City was:

White	34,480	94.8%
Black	1,611	4.4%
Asian	204	.56%

Native American	41	.11%
Pacific Islander	8	.02%
Other	21	.05%

A Special Census of an annexed area completed in 1998 enumerated Kingsport's population at 41,414 (certified). The increase is due to a number of annexations which became effective in the last few years. Previously mentioned areas annexed include a large percentage of low and very low income people, some of those elderly including a good number of female heads of household. The Special Census was conducted by the City of Kingsport Planning Department whereby employees actually went into affected neighborhoods and obtained names of its residents in a door-to-door setting. The State of Tennessee certified this census and numbers derived as a result of it, but the assumptions of family status were derived from the Planning staff's assessment.

Public and Assisted Housing

The Kingsport Housing and Redevelopment Authority has a total of 617 households assisted through its public housing units and 707 through Section 8 certificates and vouchers. Latest statistics showed 277 families on a waiting list to receive housing assistance. In order to be on the Federal Preference List, applications must meet one of these conditions:

- Live in overcrowded conditions which must be verified either by an agency or minister;
- Spend 50% or more of income on rent and utilities;
- Live in substandard housing, i.e., housing not meeting Housing Quality Standards set forth by HUD;
- Be a victim of domestic violence which must be verified by police reports, agencies, social services, etc.; or
- Be homeless due to no fault of their own.

Kingsport Housing and Redevelopment Authority utilizes the Federal Preference only, and does not have a local preference.

Apartments Managed by Kingsport Housing and Redevelopment Authority

<u>Apartments</u>	<u>Units</u>
Cloud	189
Dogwood Terrace	76
Holly Hills	84
Holston Terrace	48 (Elderly/Disabled)
Lee	128
Riverview	92
Tiffany Court	14

Subsidized Apartments Managed by Developers and Others

<u>Apartments</u>	<u>Units</u>
Hickory Hills	48
Kingsport Manor	100
Kingsport West	103
Kiwanis Towers	94
Maple Oak	175
Miller Village	153
Model City	256
One Wilcox Place	72

It is not anticipated that any subsidized units will be lost over the time frame of this Plan.

Homeless Facilities

The following is a listing of facilities and agencies which provides some level of service to the homeless and persons threatened with homelessness.

Salvation Army Transient Lodge - Provides emergency shelter with 54 beds. The Salvation Army also uses Model City Motel to temporarily house families and single women. This facility contains 20 rooms and 2 apartments for emergency situations in conjunction with the Upper East TN Human Development Agency. The Salvation Army also provides hot meals each day at Noon, and at 4:00 p.m. on Sunday.

Hope Haven Ministries - A Christian halfway house that provides a supportive living environment and counseling for up to 18 men. More of a rehabilitative type of organization which offers programs for drug and alcohol rehabilitation, they also offer a job search program for those lacking training and education.

Link House, Inc. - A not-for-profit corporation that currently has 2 facilities for homeless teenagers. Emergency Shelter - Group Home is licensed for a short-term stay for 8 residents (4 males, 4 females) and up to 20 in an emergency. An Independent Living For Boys facility houses 4 male clients for long-term stays.

Safe House - A shelter for abused women and children which can provide 9 beds and 3 cribs.

John Hay House - Provides transitional housing for 18 persons. It is a residential program for men who can benefit from an alternative to prison. Eligible clients include non-violent youthful and/or first-time offenders, and are often court-ordered referrals for probationers. The Hay House program is designed around a six-month structured residency during which time the resident receives professional counseling, educational or vocational opportunities, job placement, and after-care services.

Emergency Relief Agency -Provides short term crisis assistance to permanent residents of the Kingsport area who are having temporary financial emergencies as a result of loss of employment, illness, desertion, and domestic violence.

Department of Human Services -Refers individuals and families to agencies that can provide housing or emergency assistance.

United Way of Greater Kingsport -Provides funding to Salvation Army, Red Cross, Link House, and Safe Houses so these agencies can give emergency relief to individuals and families in need.

American Red Cross, Kingsport Area-Hawkins County Chapter -Provides planning for community disasters, assistance to fire and disaster victims, and casework for an emergency fuel assistance program.

Upper East Tennessee Human Development Agency - Provides temporary shelter, education and job assistance for the homeless. Sometimes UETHDA works in conjunction with the local housing authority to provide temporary housing and assistance through the Alliance of Business and Training.

Contact Concern -Provides an answering and referral service to agencies for those looking for assistance.

Local homeless programs consist of:

- Homeless Chronically Mentally Ill Veterans
(Administered by V.A.)
- Homeless Chronically for Families & Individuals (8 counties)
(Administered by U.E.T.H.D.A.)
- Homeless Chronically Mentally Ill Citizens
(Housing Assistance provided by Frontier Health Services)

Currently, there are no organizations within Kingsport that provide permanent supportive housing or permanent housing for the homeless who are not in the mentally ill category of Special Needs.

Special Needs Facilities and Services

Existing organizations which provide housing for the special needs populations include the Kingsport Housing and Redevelopment Authority, Roller -Russ Home, and Rainbow Homes. There are currently no programs to insure persons returning from mental or physical health institutions will receive appropriate housing, and there is no facility to house persons with HIV/AIDS.

Kingsport has two Section 202 (for elderly and disabled) units: Holston Terrace and Kiwanis Towers which together total 144 units. The units are all occupied and have a waiting list of prospective applicants. There are 20 units (10 each) available for mentally challenged at Rainbow (Eldreth) Home and for the physically challenged at Roller Home. In addition, Link House, Holston Methodist Home, and Sullivan County Youth Center provide assistance for approximately 250 young people per year. -Russ

Kingsport has endeavored to assist people with special needs. One area in which it has been successful is with the Madison House project which provides day care for its frail, elderly citizens. The Center provides a planned therapeutic day program of restorative care, socialization, and rehabilitative therapy for its participants. Madison House has set many goals for the facility, including:

- Furnish help during the day, allowing the participant to continue living at home, thereby preventing premature institutionalization.
- Decrease the severity of physical disability.
- Promote health maintenance through an ongoing health education program.
- Minimize social isolation by providing a safe environment which stimulates peer interaction.
- Assist families caring for a disabled participant.

Barriers To Affordable Housing

While neither state nor local policies and regulations in and of themselves are considered to be major barriers to the development of affordable housing in Kingsport, the combination of the difficult terrain in the area with these regulations makes new construction rather costly. The ridge and valley topography of the Kingsport area, geology and general lack of vacant buildable sites within the existing corporate boundaries have proven a challenge to developers who have targeted moderate-cost housing as a viable market. The Board of Mayor and Aldermen have challenged the Development Services Department to review land use policies as they apply to potential projects which propose to construct affordable housing, especially in topographically difficult areas. Beyond these issues, City policies are applied evenly to developers of affordable housing. Land use controls administered through the City of Kingsport Planning and Building Departments provide equitable and fair treatment to all applicants for various services provided by the respective departments. All zoning, subdivisions, requests for variances, building permits and business licenses are evaluated on site selection and other housing construction requirements. Building codes are not deemed to be significant barriers to production of affordable housing in Kingsport. Also, the withholding of developable land or establishment of growth limits is not employed as a development policy. The adequacy of current infrastructure is considered within rezoning requests to higher intensive use.

Property taxes for the City of Kingsport are comparable to other jurisdictions in the East Tennessee area. The City's current tax rate is \$2.39 with Sullivan County's tax rate of \$2.58 on \$100 of assessed value. There are no Special Assessment districts within the City. For residential properties, the assessed value is based on 25% of the appraised value of the property as opposed to 30% for commercial, and 40% for industrial properties.

Building permit fees, plat review fees, and utility connection costs are considered reasonable for the City and are not viewed as an impediment to affordable housing development. These fees are established through public hearings and budget review processes which are open to the public for comment. There are no public policies that affect the return on residential development within the community. As indicated, upon the increase in multi-family construction that return on investment is governed by market conditions.

91.215 STRATEGIC PLAN

General

The Strategic Plan identifies the priority needs established by the community and obstacle to address underserved needs. These strategies will continue to be followed over the time period of this Plan (2000 - 2005). The following discusses priority needs and proposes objectives to address the needs in the categories of Affordable Housing, Homelessness, Special Needs and Non -Housing Community Development. The needs identified as "high" in priority in HUD Needs Tables provided in the Community 2020 software are addressed in the Strategic Plan with specific objectives. There are also needs identified as "medium" in priority included in the Strategic Plan as well. The objectives in the Strategic Plan are long -term in nature and should be achieved over the five -year time period of this plan.

A. Affordable Housing

The basis for assigning each relative priority in the HUD "Priority Needs Summary Table" are the numbers of estimated households in each category. These numbers were provided in the CHAS Data book. The range of numbers extended from 0 to 480 units. A scale was used to rank the priorities as 0 -160 units (low), 160 -320 units (medium) and 320-480 units (high). While specific subgroups, such as elderly households, may have problems that are unique to that population, housing problems in Kingsport are primarily related to low and moderate income, elderly or disabled and/or aging housing stock. The highest priorities identified in this table are:

- Very low income, small related families, experiencing cost burdens of 30% and 50%, living in substandard rental and owned units.
- Very low income elderly, experiencing a cost burden over 30%, living in substandard rental units.
- Very low, low and moderate income owner -occupied households, experiencing severe cost burden and living in substandard housing.

Housing market conditions also drive the assignment of priority to housing needs and determination of Community Development fund planning. The primary market condition which influences fund planning in Kingsport is the shortage of affordable housing in the metropolitan area. This is the major factor contributing to high rental rates. The number of rental assistance certificates and vouchers currently available does not meet the existing need. Fair market rent for a two -bedroom unit in Kingsport was represented in the 1990 CHAS Data book as \$324, but the latest local statistics for the Kingsport area show that the current average rent for a two -bedroom unit is \$348 per month. Vacancy rates average 2.41% for one -bedroom, 1.57% for two -bedroom, and 4.0% for three or more bedrooms. Although construction of rental units has increased during the last five years, most of those units were aimed at the high end of the market.

Local corporate and collective knowledge of area housing market conditions also affect Community Development/Housing Strategic Planning. The Kingsport area has seen a marked increase in new single-family, owner-occupied housing construction. However, this construction again is aimed at the high end of the market. Combined with a marked increase of retired and elderly populations, the existing housing stock is aging and is becoming increasingly in need of rehabilitation. The City believes rehabilitation of the existing housing stock is in the best interest of the community, especially for the low and moderate income populations. Also, by assisting people to maintain their homes we avoid creating a greater demand for rental assistance.

While the City recognizes a high priority for owner-occupied rehabilitation and emergency repair, it also is cognizant of a need to develop new housing and homeownership opportunities for low and moderate income persons and families, especially those who have been living in substandard rental units. Even as the population ages, there remains some growth in young families for which homeownership should be available.

With these factors in mind, the City proposes to undertake the following strategies and objectives:

Owner-occupied Housing Rehabilitation

The City proposes to provide rehabilitation to 12-15 owner-occupied housing units city-wide per year for the next three years, for a total of 36-45 homes, utilizing approximately \$850,000 Federal and/or State funding. It is expected that these funds would be provided through the Community Development Block Grant Entitlement program and the HOME program administered through the State of Tennessee. After the three-year period, the City expects CDBG funding to be available to rehabilitate 6-8 houses per year for two years at a cost of approximately \$400,000.

Emergency Repair

As part of the rehabilitation program, some housing is identified which needs only emergency and/or minor repair to bring them up to minimum code without substantial rehabilitation. Typically the City completes five of these projects per year at a total cost of approximately \$25,000. For the next five years, the City proposes to continue utilizing CDBG funding in this manner.

Acquisition/Relocation

Occasionally, the City encounters owner-occupied housing units, which cannot be rehabilitated to meet even the most basic of housing and building codes. In this case, the City proposes to acquire the properties and relocate the families to standard housing. The costs of these type projects are usually \$45,000 to \$60,000 total, including acquisition, clearance and relocation. Because of these severe, extensive and disruptive nature of these projects, the City proposes to complete no more than one per year. Funding expected to be available are the CDBG and HOME programs.

Rental Rehabilitation

In the next five years, the City plans to address needs for rehabilitation of various rental properties within strict parameters. Those parameters relate to the City's position that community development efforts, whether utilizing CDBG funding or not, be directed to benefiting low and moderate income persons. To that end, the City will work with rental properties owned primarily by organizations such as Greater Kingsport Alliance for Development (GKAD) which owns properties primarily housing low/mod families. The first project to be completed will be rehabilitation of 24 units of rental property owned by GKAD. This project will utilize \$78,000 Tennessee Housing Development Agency HOUSE program funds. The project will be completed during the first year of the 2000 Consolidated Plan. Upon completion of this program, the City will identify others which fit this mold.

New Construction

Currently, the City has no plans for new construction of affordable housing. Although the CDBG program does not allow new construction by the grantee, it does allow the grantee to contract with other appropriate community development organizations to conduct the activity. The City will work with the Greater Kingsport Alliance for Development (GKAD) and the South Central Kingsport CDC to consider opportunities to utilize CDBG and future HOME funding for new construction projects.

Homeownership

As part of its housing rehabilitation program, in the next three to five years, the City will work with GKAD and South Central Kingsport CDC to initiate a homeownership element. This program will require the marriage of CDBG, HOME and other State and local funding. The Consolidated Plan will be updated and amended, if needed, as plans become more definite.

HOME Consortium

During the planning stages of this Consolidated Plan, Community Development staff had an opportunity to meet with other entitlement cities, Bristol and Johnson City, representatives from Eastern Eight Community Development Corporation, TONYA, Inc. (HUD technical assistance contractor) representatives, and HUD staff to explore the possibility of forming a HOME Consortium. This consortium would be a collection of local governments, through a written cooperation agreement, which would be eligible to receive direct funding from HUD under the HOME program. It is anticipated that an agreement could be reached among member governments within 1.5 years. The City will pursue this possibility within the period covered by this Plan.

B. Homelessness

Priority needs for the homeless include emergency shelter, transitional and permanent housing and services for some special needs categories such as substance abuse and mentally challenged persons. These needs were identified in 1997-98 by an organization studying homeless needs in the Northeast Tennessee Region—the Northeast Tennessee

Coalition for the Homeless. The results of this study are included in the Continuum of Care: Gaps Analysis Priority Needs Table.

During the next five years the City expects to receive Emergency Shelter Grant funds in the amount of approximately \$40,000 per year. Each year, the City proposes to contract with the Salvation Army to provide emergency shelter to approximately 160 persons per year.

For the other identified needs, the City is working with the Coalition to pursue Federal and State grant funds to address the priority needs. The Consolidated Plan may be updated and/or amended as plans become more definite.

C. Special Needs

The City recognizes the need to provide housing for the frail elderly, persons with disabilities, persons with HIV/AIDS and others who need a supportive housing environment. Such facilities should be located in group home situations throughout the city. This is based on the number of elderly residents currently within the city, the limited number of facilities for disabled persons, the lack of facilities for persons returning from mental and physical institutions, and for persons with HIV/AIDS. Obstacles to meeting underserved needs include inadequate resources, lack of coordination among service providers, and neighborhood opposition to proposals for supporting living facilities locating in residential areas. Funding for such facilities have been secured through private and semi-public entities in the past. It is reasonable that such funding will continue in the future, and that the City will support these organizations to the fullest extent possible. Proposed accomplishments over the next five years will be an increase in the capacity of existing facilities and services previously identified in this Plan, and possible addition of new programs.

D. Non-Housing Community Development Needs

The City of Kingsport has received assistance under the Community Development Block Grant programs since the mid-1970's. The framework of the CDBG program requires activities to serve low and moderate income households, eliminate blight, or provide for urgent needs. Kingsport has targeted a large portion of its block grant funds to specific neighborhoods so that a comprehensive approach to revitalization can be taken. These neighborhoods are selected because of the condition of housing, income levels, and adequacy or inadequacy of existing infrastructure to protect the health, safety and welfare of the residents.

Through a consultation process, taking into consideration information provided through the 1990 Census, the City has identified several non-housing community development needs in the following categories:

- Infrastructure
- Economic Development
- Public Facilities
- Public Services
- Downtown

These are the categories which have been identified as having the highest priorities. These priorities reflect consultation with government agencies, housing and public service providers, and reference to the new Strategic Plan designed and approved by the current Board of Mayor and Aldermen. Objectives listed within each category have been evaluated and planned as follows:

High Priority – Activities to address this need will be funded by the locality during the five -year period.

Medium Priority – If funds are available, activities to address this need may be funded by the locality during the five -year period. Also, the locality will take other actions to help this group locate other sources of funds.

Low Priority – The locality will not fund activities to address this need during the five-year period. The locality will consider certificates of consistency for other entities' applications for Federal assistance.

No Such Need – The locality finds there is no need or the grantees show that this need is substantially addressed. No certificates of consistency will be considered.

A matrix outline of identified non -housing community development needs may be found in the Community Development Needs Table attached to the Consolidated Plan document. One note that should be recognized here concerns the “estimate dollar to address” section of this table. The guidelines for preparing the Consolidated Plan state, “Enter the estimated expenditure need (in current dollars) to correct the priority needs the jurisdiction has or will have over the period of time designated in the strategy portion of this document. Include all funds (public and private) that would be needed to correct the priority needs.” The estimates outlined in the attached table are “educated guesses” based upon comparison to other similar projects, or other information relevant to local conditions.

Following are descriptions of objective projects and activities to address identified non-housing community development needs for the period of 2000 -2005, organized by the above mentioned categories:

a. Infrastructure

In 1990, the City of Kingsport committed to an improvement strategy for one of its neighborhoods, Highland. Since 1991, the City has utilized CDBG funding to provide for street improvements, utility upgrades and housing rehabilitation for

this low -mod income neighborhood. While most of the owner -occupied houses have received rehabilitation, and “D”, “E”, “F” Streets and a portion of Westmoreland Avenue have been improved, with a second portion of Westmoreland and “G” Street being improved in the Spring of 2000, there remains other improvements to be undertaken. In the next three to five years, the City will provide improvements to pavement, sidewalks, drainage and utilities to the final section of Westmoreland Avenue (approx. 300 feet) , approximately 900 feet of Overlook Road and the entire length of Reedy Creek Road (approx. 2500 feet). Estimates for Westmoreland and Overlook are about \$70,000 per 300 feet. Due to difficult terrain, estimates for Reedy Creek Road will be significantly more per foot. Community Development Block Grant funds will be the source of funding for this objective.

For other infrastructure -related objectives, the City is currently reviewing and updating its Capital Improvements Program. The strategy for this program will be on a five -year basis. The Board of Mayor and Aldermen has set April, 2000 as the deadline for approving a five -year CIP for the City. Community Development will be reviewing this “list” for potential projects for the five -year period covered by this Consolidated Plan. Criteria will include potential benefit to low and moderate income persons, overall benefit for community development consistent with Community Development Block Grant Program goals and objectives, and other qualifying criteria outlined by HUD for funding under the CDBG program. As projects are identified, the Consolidated Plan will be amended appropriately.

b. Economic Development

The Board of Mayor and Aldermen has identified economic development as one of its primary areas of emphasis in its Strategic Plan and has consistently cited economic development as one of its highest priorities. Therefore, the Consolidated Plan outlines several objectives in meeting economic development needs in the City, particularly as they pertain to benefiting low and moderate income persons.

Riverview Employment Outreach – The City will fund the Riverview Employment Outreach Office for a period of three to five years through the Community Development Block Grant program. It is expected that the South Central Kingsport Community Development Corporation, the newly formed Community-Based Development Organization, will be the applicant operating as a sub -recipient. It is also expected that funding levels will be in the \$25,000 to \$30,000 range for each of these years. The Office will assist approximately 300 low income persons per year in preparing for and finding employment.

South Central Kingsport CDC Micro -Enterprise Initiative – South Central is underway in planning and forming a micro -enterprise program for low and moderate income persons within their neighborhood and target area, generally

defined as Census Tracts 402 and 406. While plans are still being formulated, it is likely that one initiative will be a Peer Lending Program, targeting public housing residents in particular. The program will act as an enabler and resource, including funding, for peer-lending groups focusing on micro-enterprises and smaller businesses. Funding is expected to be from the CDBG program and other local business contributors. CDBG funds will be in the \$5,000 to \$10,000 per year range.

Redevelopment – A focus of the City in recent months has been the issue of redevelopment as it relates to re-use of vacant, previously developed land in the city as well as economic development potential. Several initiatives have been undertaken to approach the redevelopment topic. Most recent has been the appointment of the Redevelopment Subcommittee of the Mayor's Economic Development Taskforce. The role of this subcommittee is to evaluate and recommend areas that have potential for redevelopment. For the next three to five years, redevelopment will be the economic development tool most likely to involve the Community Development program and/or the CDBG program which could be used either in support of redevelopment initiatives or as "hard dollars" for a specific project. For example, initially CDBG funding could be used to match local contributions for development of a financial plan for a redevelopment district. As plans become more firm, the Consolidated Plan may be updated with specific projects/plans. ch

c. Public Facilities

Through its Capital Improvements Program planning effort, the City may identify public facilities improvement projects eligible for CDBG funding. As the "CIP" becomes more firm, plans for specific projects will be evaluated for eligibility and feasibility for the Community Development program.

One on-going project the City will be undertaking over the next three to five years is improvement to the V.O. Dobbins Center which houses the Riverview Employment Outreach Office, Upper East Tennessee Human Development Agency and the Dobbins Gymnasium which primarily serves the Riverview Neighborhood. Improvements will include an upgrade of the HVAC system, installation of modern window systems, handicapped accessibility and conversion of the auditorium to a multi-use community facility. Costs of these items have been estimated to exceed \$150,000. CDBG and local funding are expected to be utilized to complete this multi-year project.

d. Public Services

In the past five years, the City has utilized Community Development Block Grant funds to enhance the efforts of local agencies involved with activities defined as "Public Services" in CDBG program regulations. In the next five years it is

expected the City will continue in this vein. Among the Public Services the City expects to fund are the Lee Family Learning Center and CASA of Sullivan County.

Lee Family Learning Center – For the past 3 -5 years the City has provided CDBG funding to Lee Family through a subrecipient agreement in the amount of approx. \$55,000 to \$60,000 per year. Lee Family has consistently served 200 -300 low and moderate income children and adults with literacy services per year, targeting in particular those from Public Housing. The City expects to continue funding Lee Family in the manner it has in the previous Consolidated Plan tenure.

CASA of Sullivan County – The City expects that CDBG funding for CASA will continue in some form over the next three to five years. Funding levels will fall in the \$15,000 to \$20,000 range per year. CASA will provide advocacy services to approximately 200 abused and neglected children, 80% to 90% of which have been from low and very low income families.

Beyond working with these agencies, the City will continue to evaluate service gaps for low and moderate income persons and families for possible funding in the future.

e. **Downtown**

The primary objectives for the Central Business District are the prevention and/or elimination of slums and blight, and the generation or retention of employment through appropriate economic development activities. In 1997, the “Model City Coalition” was formed as a visioning and planning committee with a stated goal of “making downtown Kingsport a viable place to work, live, shop and entertain.” The Coalition sponsored a research group of planners, architects and economists to develop a study and plan for the downtown area. This plan “would provide both short -term goals and long -term direction for future plans to revitalize the downtown area and those gateways leading to it.” The plan has been completed and is under review by Coalition members, Planning Commission and the Board of Mayor and Aldermen for adoption as an action document for the City. There are several elements of the Plan which may be eligible for CDBG funding and/or support. Upon adoption, Community Development will take a more focused look at the Plan for possible projects and downtown activities involving CDBG funding.

BarrierstoAffordableHousing

While State and local public policies are not considered to be major barriers to the development of affordable housing in Kingsport, the combination of policies with difficult terrain and the lack of buildable sites have presented significant challenges to the development of new construction of housing which is considered affordable in this

market. Changes in the local housing market and national standardization of building codes have also had impacts on new construction. The City of Kingsport proposes the following strategies to monitor factors which influence affordable housing to ensure such barriers and challenges are not established, and in some cases avoided:

Land Use Controls – The Kingsport Regional Planning Commission will continue working to implement land use controls that promote housing development in a safe, efficient manner that is harmonious with existing neighborhoods.

Zoning Ordinance – The Kingsport Board of Zoning Appeals shall monitor requests for zoning variances due to hardships imposed by specific zoning regulations to determine if existing regulations are unreasonable.

Building Codes – The City Building Department will continue to monitor the Southern Building Code for changes in restrictions which might be extraordinary and potentially unreasonable.

Infrastructure Requirements – The City Planning Department will scrutinize the impact of infrastructure costs, along with utility fees and subdivision design requirements on the provision of affordable housing.

Court Orders and HUD Sanctions – There are no court orders or HUD sanctions in force for the City of Kingsport.

Actions to Affirmatively Further Fair Housing – The City will keep an aggressive approach to affirmatively further fair housing by completing many fair housing activities. Its primary focus is to educate the public about fair housing through videos on local television and audio spots on local radio stations. This has been shown to be the most effective of all Fair Housing activities due to the wide exposure of these two media. Other activities included distribution of fair housing booklets (“About Renting – Rights and Responsibilities of Landlords and Tenants”) to the public.

Implementing these goals will provide for more affordable housing and thereby ameliorate negative effects of any public policies which may keep housing costs above the affordable index.

Lead-Based Paint Hazards Reduction

Reduction of lead-based paint hazard will be accomplished in the rehabilitation of existing owner-occupied residences built before 1978. Homes showing signs of peeling and cracking paint will be abated. Contractors will be instructed to encapsulate existing lead-based contaminated walls and ceilings with new ½” drywall and replace any existing trim, doors, and windows originally painted with lead-based paint with new materials.

Anti-Poverty Strategy

Eighteen percent (18%) of persons in Kingsport are living below the poverty level compared to 16% for Tennessee and 13% nationally. Fourteen percent (14%) of all persons in Kingsport 65 years of age and older have incomes below the poverty level. Employment opportunities are the key to reducing the number of households living in poverty. Many times a lack of education and/or skill training for many adults limit their employment potential. Efforts such as the Lee Family Learning Center and Riverview Employment Outreach Office which assist in preparing individuals with education and skills, as well as connecting them with employment opportunities, are vital to the City's anti-poverty strategy.

Growing the economy of Kingsport and employment opportunities are also an essential element in the City's Anti-Poverty Strategy. The Kingsport Industrial Development Board works toward development of industrial sites, assisting existing industries to grow and expand, forming new (homegrown) industries, and helping to relocate responsible, community-minded industries into the area.

The Mayor's Economic Development Task Force is also taking a broad view of the City's efforts to grow the economy of the Kingsport area. These efforts will help the City address the needs of those who need opportunities to lift themselves out of poverty status.

Institutional Structure

The following agencies will carry out the Housing and Community Development Plan for Kingsport:

Public Institutions – The City of Kingsport is a municipal government which receives Federal funds to use for administering its Housing/Community Development program. The Planning Department is the City department responsible for that administration and for writing and carrying out the Plan. The Community Development Program consists of rehabilitation of substandard housing, acquisition of dilapidated (not feasible to rehab) housing and other administrative activities related to the Consolidated Plan. The Planning Department is responsible for carrying out the strategies via administration of the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program.

The Kingsport Housing and Redevelopment Authority (KHRA) is the public housing authority which receives Federal and State funding for administering its public housing and Section 8 programs in addition to other initiatives. KHRA is responsible for carrying out its own activities and programs outlined in the Plan.

Upper East Tennessee Human Development Agency (UETHDA) is a local community action/social services agency with programs to assist the homeless and very low income with housing needs. UETHDA is responsible for carrying out its own public assistance programs, such as the Weatherization Program and Commodities Program.

Non-Profit Organizations –SalvationArmyandHopeHavenMinistriesarenon-profit socialserviceagencieswhichprovideshelter,mealsandotherservicesto thehomeless. TheArmy’sprimaryroleistocontinueadministrationistocontinueadministrationofits services/programstothehomelessandtoprovidethestatisticsnecessaryforcompilation ofthePlan.

HolstonHabitatforHumanityshallcontinuetohelpneedy,qualifiedfamielstobuildand toowntheirownhomes.

TheHungerCoalitionofNortheastTennesseeisacoalitionofcaregiversandprogram participantsthatempowereachothertoalleviatethecausesofhungerandpovertyinthis area.

UnitedWayisanon-profit socialservicesagencywhichprovidesfundingtolocal agenciesforpersonsinthedifferentcategoriesof:non-homelesswithspecialneeds,the homeless(includingyouth,familiesandfamilieswithchildren),shelterforthe persistentlymentallyill,etc.EmergencyReliefAgencyalsocomesunderRedCross SocialServicesandprovidesbasicneedsassistancetothoseindireneedoffinancial assistance.Theirroleandresponsibilityincarryingoutthestrategiesisthatofsolic iting anddistributingfundstothevarious socialserviceprovidersandinadministeringits otherfunctions.

Coordination Efforts

Whiletheaboveinstitutionalstructureisseenasgenerallyadequatetocarryoutthe strategiesoutlinedintheConsolidatedPlan,thereexistssomeinitiativeswhichmay provideopportunitiestocoordinatecommunitydevelopmenteffortsina moreeffective and/orcomprehensivefashion.

Regional Homeless Coalition –TheCityhasbeenparticipatingwitharegionalhomeless coalitiontoassess,monitoranddevelopplansforaddressingtheneedsofthehomeless populationinNortheastTennessee.TheapproachhasbeenonaContinuumofCare strategywherebyhomelessneedsareconsideredacrossthespectrum.This hasbeenan efforttoeliminategapsandincreaseaccess toservicesavailabletothehomeless. Inthenextfiveyears,theCommunityDevelopmentprogramwillcontinuetocoordinateefforts withtheCoalition.

Greater Kingsport Alliance for Development –FormerlyHousingPartnershipsof Kingsport,Inc.,GKADisalocalnot-for-profitwhichworkstoprovidehousing opportunitiestolowandmoderateincomepersons.In1999,theCitydevelopedaState grantapplicationandprogramincooperationwithGKADfortherehabilitationofrental propertyownedbytheorganization.Inthenextfiveyears,theCityexpectstocoordinate othersimilarprojectswithGKAD.

HOME Consortium –During the Consolidated Planning process, the City had an opportunity to meet with Community Development Planners from Bristol, TN and Johnson City, at the Knoxville HUD Office, to receive information and discuss the possibility of forming a HOME Consortium. This would allow local jurisdiction to receive HOME funding directly from HUD in place of applying for HOME funding on a competitive basis from the State of Tennessee. During the next two years, it is expected that the City will continue to work with local governments in forming a consortium and begin planning for housing programs which are eligible under the HOME program.

Public Housing Resident Initiatives

The Kingsport Housing and Redevelopment Authority (KHRA) is the public housing agency that is responsible for disbursing local, State and Federal funds made available for public housing and rental assistance programs under Section 8. The appointing authority for the KHRA board is the Kingsport Board of Mayor and Aldermen. KHRA is completely autonomous with regard to hiring, contracting and procurement; however, it is a subrecipient for funds from the CDBG program (Lee Family Learning Center). Kingsport Housing and Redevelopment Authority is independent with regard to the jurisdiction of proposed development sites, of the Comprehensive Plan of the agency, and any proposed demolition or disposition of public housing development. KHRA is not designated as a “troubled by HUD”.

The City encourages and supports the efforts of the Housing Authority to promote resident initiatives in the public housing developments in their system. KHRA and the City developed a police sub-station in the area of Riverview Apartments (public housing development). The sub-station is currently staffed by the Kingsport Police Department and will continue. Cloud Community Center, located in the City’s largest public housing community, will be utilized by residents for the next five years and in the future beyond. The Center contains space being utilized for Head Start, daycare, and includes community space plus a full-sized gymnasium. Funds for construction of this facility were provided by the Upper East Tennessee Human Development Agency (UETHDA) and the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) including CDBG funds given by the City of Kingsport.

The Lee Family Learning Center, housed in the Lee Apartments public housing development, is in its seventh year of operation. It receives CDBG funding through a subrecipient agreement between the City and KHRA. The Lee Center offers one-on-one basic reading and writing instruction to residents of Lee Apartments and surrounding low-income families, teaches parents and other adults reading skills along with children, and helps parents become involved in the learning process of their children. In addition, the Center provides a GED (General Equivalency Diploma) class, appropriate referrals to adult education classes, computer skills instruction, and a growing library for family readers.

The City encouraged KHRA in the formation of a resident council which in turn formed a non-profit corporation, Kingsport Initiative for Training and Employment (K.I.T.E.). This corporation promotes resident management and employment by employing several public housing residents who are doing maintenance. This program accomplishes several positive things. It makes these residents "self-sufficient", builds self-esteem and pride by allowing them to be productive citizens and therefore mutually benefit the residents and their employer, both tangibly and intangibly.

KHRA will continue to provide assistance and support to strengthen resident organizations. Those organizations will continue their neighborhood watch, cooperation with Clean Kingsport to "clean up and fix up", and participate in the Resident Initiative Recycling program. In addition, the family literacy, Smart Kids, and One Room Drop School (ORDIS) programs will be continued. KHRA continually works to initiate innovative and uplifting programs which will boost the confidence, abilities and opportunities for residents of public housing. The City will continue to support Federal programs undertaken by the Kingsport Housing and Redevelopment Authority to promote economic independence and self-sufficiency for participating families. Such programs may include Project Self-Sufficiency and Operation Lift-Net program that originated under earlier Section 8 rental certificate and voucher initiatives, as well as the Family Self-Sufficiency program. In addition, the City will encourage locally developed programs or special projects designed to promote economic independence and self-sufficiency.

91.220 Annual Action Plan FY00/01

General

The Annual Action Plan provides a description of the projects and activities expected to be undertaken using grant funds and program income the City expects to receive during the program year.

Federal Resources

The Federal resources expected to be available to address the priority needs and specific objectives in the strategic plan of the Consolidated Plan include:

<u>Program</u>	<u>Funds</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total</u>
CDBG	\$512,000	\$2,000*	\$514,000

The chart below shows how the CDBG funds for the Annual Action Plan will be used.

*Projected.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT

Infrastructure	
Overlook Road Improvements	\$100,000
Economic Development	
South Central Kingsport CDC	\$40,000
Housing	
Housing Needs Program	\$180,000
(Program Income)	\$2,000
Public Services	
Lee Family Learning Center	\$59,750
CASA of Sullivan County	\$17,050
Redevelopment Program	\$45,000
Administration	<u>\$70,200</u>
TOTAL	\$514,000

Other Resources

In 1998, the City of Kingsport was approved for funding in the amount of \$312,683 from the Tennessee Housing Development Agency under the Home Investment Program (HOME). These funds will be utilized to supplement the housing rehabilitation program the City offers low and very low -income families citywide. The HOME grant is a five - year grant; however the City expects to complete the program in three years, with approximately \$100,000 spent each year. The number of families per year expected to be assisted is 12 -14.

Other than Federal and State funds, the City expects to receive no other resources designated for Community Development.

TOTAL FUNDS ANTICIPATED FY00/01 **\$614,000**

Activities To Be Undertaken

Community Development Block Grant –The activities to be undertaken with CDBG funds will meet both housing and non -housing priorities. As outlined in the “Priority Needs Summary Table” of the overall Consolidated Plan, the housing needs with high priority, more specifically owner -occupied rehabilitation, will be targeted. Non -housing needs of high and medium priority outlined in the Summary, and included as targets of this year’s plan, are public facility improvements (street improvements, sidewalk improvements, water and sewer improvements, drainage); economic development needs, public service needs, such as literacy services provided by the Lee Family Learning Center and CASA of Sullivan County projects. The activities planned in this year’s program, through which these needs will be addressed, are described below.

Infrastructure –The proposed **\$100,000** to be expended for infrastructure will provide for improvements as described below:

Overlook Road Improvements - **\$100,000**

This project will utilize \$100,000 to provide improvements to Overlook Road in the Highland CDBG Target Area. The scope of the project will be Overlook Road from “F” Street to Reedy Creek Road. Improvements will include widening of the street to City standard, replacement of utilities, as needed, including water and sewer lines and storm sewer, and installation of sidewalks. As the plans and designs will be completed prior to the program year, this project is expected to be completed within FY00/01.

Economic Development – The proposed **\$85,000** to be expended for economic development activities will be for the following activities:

Redevelopment Program

\$45,000

This project will utilize \$45,000 to build the capacity of the Kingsport Housing and Redevelopment Authority to conduct redevelopment projects in the City of Kingsport. KHRA will work with the Redevelopment Subcommittee of the Mayor's Economic Development Task Force to identify potential redevelopment districts and prepare a "boiler-plate" Redevelopment Plan for future projects. The program will be administered through a sub-recipient agreement with KHRA and have a grant period consisting of Fiscal Year 2000-01.

South Central Kingsport CDC

\$40,000

This project will utilize \$40,000 to continue operation of the Riverview Employment Outreach Office which prepares and connects job seekers with employment opportunities. Primary beneficiaries include public housing residents and other low and very low income persons seeking employment. The project will also initiate a micro-enterprise program, of which a peer lending pilot program will be an element. This activity will be administered through a sub-recipient agreement with South Central Kingsport Community Development Corporation. South Central is a Community-Based Development Organization which was parented by the "Catch the Vision" committee.

Housing - For FY00/01 the City has programmed **\$180,000** for projects, which are offered on a citywide basis. These projects are described below.

Housing Needs Program – The proposed \$180,000 for this program will be spent toward the rehabilitation of substandard, low and very low income, owner-occupied housing. As noted previously, this program will be matched with \$100,000 HOME funds during this Fiscal Year. Approximately 12-14 houses will be rehabilitated.

Program Income – Any program income received during FY00/01 will be allocated to The Housing Needs Program.

Literacy Program – The proposed CDBG funds utilized by the Lee Family Learning Center will provide **\$59,750** to continue the operation of its Literacy Program. The Center is located in KHRA's Lee Public Housing Development. This activity will be administered through a sub-recipient agreement with the Kingsport Housing and Redevelopment Authority. Funding will be completed within the program year. Approximately 300 youth and adults from low and moderate-income families in the public housing community will benefit from the program.

CASA of Sullivan County - \$17,050 has been programmed for CASA of Sullivan County for FY00/01. CASA, or Court Appointed Special Advocates, is an advocacy program for alleged abused/neglected children in Sullivan County and Kingsport. CDBG funds are proposed to cover the costs of a part-time administrative assistant and rent for offices. CDBG funds will flow through a subrecipient agreement.

Community Development Administration - The \$70,000 for Community Development Administration will be used to provide staffing and meet necessary expenses in the Community Development program. The figure is 14% of the total entitlement grant for FY00/01. It does not exceed the allowable administrative costs established by the U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development.

Geographic Distribution

The maps attached to this plan illustrate the geographic locations of projects and activities to be undertaken by the City. These maps also indicate the relation of proposed activities to areas of low and moderate income, minority and unemployment concentrations. The maps showing low/mod population and proposed projects indicate that most are located in an area of such concentration. The maps showing minority population and proposed projects indicate that projects located in areas of minority concentration include the Catch the Vision project and the Lee Family Learning Center. The maps showing unemployment and proposed projects indicate a fairly even spread across unemployment groups. Citywide projects, i.e. Housing Needs Grants are not located on the map exhibits. The only series of projects, which are geographically located by strategy, are those within the Highland Neighborhood Target Area. In 1989, the City committed to a program of community development in this neighborhood based upon concentration of low and moderate-income persons/families and housing and non-housing needs discussed in the previous section.

Homeless and Other Special Needs Activities

Homeless - In 1997, Community Development staffs from Kingsport, Bristol and Johnson City met with staff from a local service provider, Frontier Health, a representative from Douglas -Cherokee Economic Authority, and staff from the First Tennessee Development District to continue discussion the development of a regional Continuum of Care Plan for the homeless. As a result Frontier Health hired a local planning consultant to write a draft Continuum of Care Plan. The three entitlement cities mentioned above worked with the consultant in assembling necessary information for the plan. The Community Development Department of the City of Kingsport will continue to work with the coalition in the fine-tuning of its plans and applications for funding.

The City will also continue to participate with the Salvation Army in provision of homeless services. The City provides pass-through funding of Emergency Shelter Grant funds from the State of Tennessee. The expected allocation for FY00/01 is \$41,900.

Assisted Living – Staff of the Community Development program are witnessing a phenomenal growth in private sector assisted living and adult day care facilities in Kingsport. As the City becomes aware of the initiatives, Community Development staff is providing technical assistance with planning the projects and fundraising, seeking not only private funding but also Federal and State funding as well.

Other Action To Be Undertaken

The City plans to continue making applications to use Federal entitlement funds and State funds to preserve and improve the existing housing stock by providing funds to rehabilitate existing owner-occupied housing. The goal is to address underserved needs.

Homeownership – In 1998, the City of Kingsport participated in the formation of the Eastern Eight Housing Development Corporation. EEHDC is a regional not-for-profit community development corporation that will attempt to address housing needs in the upper eight counties of Tennessee. It is expected that a major priority of EEHDC will be homeownership.

Another initiative in Homeownership will be explored during Program Year 2000-2001 through a proposed HOME Consortium. During the planning stages of this Consolidated Plan, the Knoxville Field Office of HUD sponsored an informational meeting for local grantees to consider forming a HOME Consortium. The three upper East Tennessee entitlement cities, Kingsport, Bristol and Johnson City, will work during the program year to continue this initiative. Although a definite program has yet to be established for possible direct HOME funding of the Kingsport community development program, a likely component will be some type of homeownership element. The Consolidated Plan will be updated as plans become more definite.

Barriers to Affordable Housing – The City of Kingsport is underway with a critical review of local land use and building codes to determine if any create barriers to affordable housing, particularly in areas where difficult terrain exists. The Board of Mayor and Aldermen will take appropriate action if it is determined that any local codes are onerous or create an unnecessary burden on potential affordable housing developments.

The Community Development program will continue efforts to affirmatively further fair housing. Local television commercials and newspaper advertisements that advise citizens of Fair Housing Law and practices will continue to appear throughout the year, concentrating in April as “Fair Housing Month”. These advertisements have proven effective in generating questions and some discrimination complaints. In 1999, the City began placing fair housing television advertisements on cable television. Staff believes these advertisements have proven more effective as they reach more people. All questions and complaints concerning fair housing will be duly investigated.

Lead-Based Paint Hazards –Housingrehabilitationprogramsandmodernizationof publichousingunitsbytheKingsportHousingandRedevelopmentAuthoritywill continuetoabatelead-basedpaintasitisencountered.EachunitrehabilitatedbytheCity willbeassessedforexistingandpotentiallead-basedpainthazards,whichwillbeabated. Thenumberofunitstargetedforrehabilitationinthenextyearis12 -14.

Reduce Number of Households Below Poverty Line –TheCitywillprovidesupportto activitiesandagenciesshatarecurrentlyinplacewhichaddresstherootcausesof poverty. Anareaofspecialemphasisistheparticipationinself-sufficiencyprogramsby residentsofpublichousing. ChangesintheFederalWelfareSystemhavehadanimpact uponthetypesofservicesneededbyextremelylow-incomehouseholdstohelpthem moveoutofpoverty.

CommunityDevelopmentstaffwillalsocontinuesupportingandactivelyparticipating withgroupssuchasSouthCentralKingsportCommunityDevelopmentCorporationand KingsportInitiativeforTrainingandEmployment(KITE)astheycrateprogramswhich forwardeconomicdevelopmentforlowincomepersons. Someoftheinitiatives these groupshavecommunicatedincludeemploymenttrainingandsmallandmicro-business development.

Institutional Structure –TherearenoproposalstochangetheexistingInstitutional Structure. TheKingsportHousingandRedevelopmentAuthorityisnotconsidereda “troubled”publichousingagencyandmostoftheotherpublicandsocialserviceagencies intheKingsportareaareverywellorganizedandstriving. Actionstoimprovethe structurewillbetaken,astheybecomeapparent.

Enhanced Coordination –TheCitywillcontinuetosupportandparticipateineffortsto enhancecoordinationofpublicandprivatehousingagencies,andsocialservice providers,bothlocallyandonaregionalbasis. Aspreviouslyindicated,duringProgram Year2000,theCitywillpursueaninitiativetoformaHOMEConsortiumwitharea jurisdictions. Thisrelationshipcouldbebeneficial,notonlytotheCity’shousing programthroughpotentialdirectHOMEfunding,buttoaunifiedandmoreconsistent affordablehousingproductionregion-wide.

Public Housing –TheKingsportHousingandRedevelopmentAuthorityisexpectedto forwarditsAnnualComprehensiveGrantPlan(CGP)totheCityforreview,comment andrequestforsupport. TheCGPsetsoutKHRA’splanforexpendingHUDPublic Housingfundingtoimprovethepublichousingcommunitiesinthesystem. The CommunityDevelopmentDepartmentwillreviewthisplanandforwardappropriate commentstoKHRA.

91.230 Monitoring Standards and Procedures

General

The City of Kingsport Planning Division of the Development Services Department has been designated as the agency to monitor progress of strategies, priorities, and goals contained in the Consolidated Plan. The Planning Department has primary responsibility for administering the CDBG program for the City and is directly involved with most housing and service agencies throughout the jurisdiction as well as monitoring of projects and activities. All activities are reviewed annually to assure they are carried out in accordance with applicable Federal, State and local laws and regulations and are consistent with local and HUD goals and objectives. ts

The City's CDBG program consistently has several subrecipients carrying out program activities. The City has in place a specific plan for monitoring subrecipients, which will be utilized over the life of the 2000 Consolidated Plan.

The Planning Department also works with the City's Purchasing Department to ensure that a program of outreach and recruitment of minority business is undertaken. In this program, a list of minority businesses is maintained, new businesses regularly recruited and businesses specifically invited to participate in bidding processes for contracts using Federal funding sources.

APPENDIX A
CITIZEN PARTICIPATION PLAN

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT
CITIZEN PARTICIPATION PLAN
12-14-94

1.0 PURPOSE

The City of Kingsport Community Development Citizen Participation Plan represents a document and program to actively seek the advice and counsel of the citizens of Kingsport in the preparation, administration and implementation of the Community Development Program. It is the express purpose of this plan to develop mechanisms which will insure that the citizens of the City of Kingsport have the continuing opportunity for ongoing citizen participation. It is also intended to establish procedures through which the Community Development staff and officials of the City of Kingsport may solicit and receive input from citizens, non-profit organizations, and other interested parties an adequate opportunity to review and comment on the Community Development program, goals, priorities, and projects.

The City of Kingsport Community Development staff will be responsible for the implementation and coordination of citizen participation activities, responses, and comments.

2.0 METHOD OF APPROACH

Several approaches or techniques will be utilized to implement this plan. All retain the primary purpose of soliciting and receiving citizen input on the Community Development program, goals, priorities, and projects.

3.0 Public Hearings

The Kingsport Community Development staff will conduct two Public Hearings each fiscal year. At each Public Hearing the citizens of Kingsport will be invited to participate for the purpose of obtaining their views as well as those of public agencies, and other interested parties. The Public Hearings will identify housing and Community Development needs of the community, review proposed uses of funds, and review program performance.

- One Public Hearing shall be conducted by the City of Kingsport Community Development staff at 7:00 p.m. in the large courtroom on the second floor of City Hall during the fourth quarter of the program year cycle (April, May or June).
- A second Public Hearing shall be conducted by the City of Kingsport Community Development staff during the second quarter of the regular program year cycle (October, November, or December) at a location in a neighborhood of Community Development activity.

The citizens of Kingsport, public agencies, and other interested parties are invited to comment on the Community Development program, goals,

priorities, and projects at the Public Hearings or within 30 days after the Public Hearings.

The Community Development staff will ensure that special procedures will be used to assist low and moderate income persons, elderly, persons with disabilities, and non-English speaking persons serving or attending meetings have access to information and material.

The City of Kingsport encourages citizen participation in the Community Development program, emphasizing the involvement of low, very low, and poverty income residents where housing and Community Development funds may be spent. The City of Kingsport shall afford the citizens adequate and timely notification of all local meetings, public forums, and Public Hearings.

- Notices shall be placed in the local newspaper of general circulation no less than 15 days before the meeting, forum, and hearings.

The Citizen Participation Plan guarantees the access of information for the citizens, public agencies, and other interested parties including those most affected to have the opportunity to review information, review and submit comments on any proposed submission concerning the amount of funds available, including the estimated amount proposed to benefit low, very low, and poverty income residents. Community Development information can be accessed at City Hall, 225 W. Center Street, Kingsport, Tennessee 37660 in the Planning Department.

Plans to minimize displacement and assist those displaced as a result of these activities shall be made available to all citizens, public agencies, and other interested parties.

The Community Development staff shall provide technical assistance to low, very low, and poverty income groups that request assistance concerning the Community Development program, goals, priorities, and projects.

4.0 Community-Wide and Project Area Activities

In keeping with the scope of the Community Development program, the City of Kingsport has established and will utilize the Kingsport Citizens Advisory Committee to provide broad citizen representation of the entire city concerning the Community Development program, goals, priorities, and projects.

The Kingsport Citizens Advisory Committee has and shall maintain a subcommittee devoted to the Community Development program. The President of the Kingsport Citizens Advisory Committee will take care to ensure that an

appropriate number of low and moderate income, as well as minority persons, are appointed to the sub -committee. It is the responsibility of the Kingsport Citizens Advisory Committee to act as a communication link between the citizens of the City of Kingsport and the Community Development staff. The members of the Kingsport Citizens Advisory Committee should attempt to gather information concerning desires of the citizens with respect to Community Development and communicate these desires to the appropriate City officials.

The Community Development staff will disseminate project information to the Kingsport Citizens Advisory Committee and to other interested citizens. The Kingsport Citizens Advisory Committee and the sub -committee will actively assist the Community Development staff in developing plans for Community Development programs, goals, priorities, and projects. The members of the Kingsport Citizens Advisory Committee will bring to the attention of the Community Development staff those situations which may be particular to the City including special needs and specific problems, and will identify housing and Community Development needs, use of funds, and program performance. The Kingsport Citizens Advisory Committee meetings will be open to the public and conducted on a regular basis.

The Kingsport Regional Planning Commission will comment on the Community Development program, goals, priorities, and projects in keeping with the scope of the Community Development Block Grant Program prior to the Public Hearings. The Planning Commission will be requested to identify housing and Community Development needs, review proposed use of funds, and review program performance. Planning Commission meetings are open to the public and conducted on a regular basis. The Community Development staff will ensure that special procedures will be used to assist low and moderate income persons, elderly, handicapped, and non -English speaking persons serving on or attending meetings have access to information and material.

The City of Kingsport Community Development Citizen Participation Plan will utilize the citizens group, Kingsport Tomorrow, to provide ongoing opportunity to comment on the Community Development program, goals, priorities, and projects in keeping with the scope of the Community Development Block Grant Program. The Kingsport Tomorrow organization will be requested to identify housing and Community Development needs, review proposed use of funds, and review program performance on an annual basis prior to each Public Hearing. The Community Development staff will ensure that special procedures will be utilized to assist low and moderate income persons, elderly, handicapped, and non -English speaking persons serving on or attending meetings dealing with Community Development issues, will have access to information and materials concerning the Community Development Program.

5.0 PROGRAM CHANGES

Prior to the submission of any substantial change in the proposed use of C funds, citizens shall have notice of, an opportunity to comment on, the proposed amendment. D

The Community Development staff shall contact other City departments, local agencies, and organizations to assist in the identification of housing and Community Development needs, review proposed use of funds, and review program performance. Public agencies and other interested parties shall include, but not be limited to, Kingsport Housing Authority for public housing residents, Downtown Kingsport Association, Kingsport Citizens Advisory Committee, Kingsport Regional Planning Commission, Kingsport Tomorrow, and Kingsport Affordable Housing Coalition.

6.0 PLANAMENDMENT

This plan may be amended from time to time in order to strengthen the process for encouraging constructive citizen participation in the Community Development program, goals, priorities, and projects. Prior to plan amendment the citizens of Kingsport, non-profit organizations, public agencies, and other interested parties shall be invited to comment on plan amendments by notification in the Kingsport Times News, a newspaper of general circulation.

APPENDIX B
CONSULTATION AND COMMENTS

In accordance with HUD, and other Federal, regulations and the City of Kingsport's Citizen Participation Plan, a prescribed method of soliciting citizen involvement and consultation was followed in preparing the 2000 Consolidated Plan. Public meetings/hearings and other comment opportunities were provided to the public and various agencies and organizations which are involved in community development in Kingsport.

Public Meetings

As prescribed by the Citizens Participation Plan and CDBG rules, two public hearings/meetings were held for public comment and input. The first public meeting was held at Jefferson Elementary School in the Highland Neighborhood Target Area on December 13, 1999 at 6:30 PM. This meeting had two purposes or functions: 1) to provide a report on the City's efforts in implementing the 1995 Consolidated Plan; and 2) to solicit comments and input from the public for consideration for the 2000 Consolidated Plan. After a presentation from Community Development staff, the floor was opened for public comments/input. Four persons from the neighborhood and citywide were present and provided information relevant to the Community Development program in general.

The second public hearing was held at City Hall on Tuesday, March 21, 2000 in conjunction with the regular Board of Mayor and Aldermen meeting. At this meeting, the proposed 2000 Consolidated Plan was presented for consideration to the Board. After a staff presentation, the Mayor opened the meeting for public comment. No persons came forward with comments. After the public hearing, the Board of Mayor and Aldermen approved the 2000 Consolidated Plan.

Copies of the Minutes of these meetings are on file in the Community Development office for public review.

Consultation

As part of the Consolidated Planning process, the City Community Development Department consulted with various City departments, committees, public service organizations and others. From these consultations came identification of needs, funding proposals and recommendations. The following organizations were consulted and invited to provide assistance to the Community Development staff during the process:

- US Dept. of Housing and Urban Development (Knoxville office)
- Kingsport Housing and Redevelopment Authority
- Salvation Army
- Hope Haven Ministries
- Safe House
- United Way of Greater Kingsport
- Link House
- Frontier Health

KingsportTomorrow
Dept.ofHumanServices
KingsportMinistries
HolstonHabitatforHumanity
KingsportAffordableHousingCoalition
AmericanRedCross
SullivanCountyHealthDepartment
NeighborhoodServiceCenter
HungerCoalitionforNortheastTennessee
BehavioralHealthServices
HomebuildersAssociationofGreaterKingsport
DowntownKingsportAssociation
KingsportRegionalPlanningCommission
KingsportCitizensAdvisoryCommittee

Comments

OnApril12,2000,aConsolidatedPlanSummarywaspublishedinthe KingsportTimes Newsforpublicreviewandcomment.ThePlanwasmadeavailableforpubliccomment foraperiodofthirty(30)days,fromApril12,2000throughMay12,2000.Copiesofthe PlanwereplacedatCityHall,theKingsportPublicLibraryandtheKingsportHousing andRedevelopmentAuthority.Asoftheclosingdateforcomments(May12),the KingsportCommunityDevelopmentDepartmenthadreceivednocomments.

**APPENDIX
CERTIFICATIONS**

**APPENDIXD
TABLES/FORMS**

APPENDIXE
MAPS

May 12, 2000

Ms. Virginia Peck, Director
Community Planning and Development
Department of Housing and Urban Development
John J. Duncan Federal Building
710 Locust Street
Knoxville, TN 37902 -2526

Dear Ms. Peck:

On behalf of the City of Kingsport I am pleased to submit to your office one original and four (4) copies of the City's 2000 Consolidated Plan for Housing and Community Development. I believe this Plan, with the critical assistance of the Department of Housing and Urban Development, will benefit this City's Community Development program in meeting the needs of our citizens, particularly those that are low and moderate income. I would also like to thank you and your staff for the assistance we received in completing this Plan. Your guidance is always very helpful. I look forward to a continued working relationship with you in putting the 2000 Consolidated Plan into action.

If you should have any questions or need additional information, please contact our Community Development office at (423) 229 -9485.

Sincerely,

Jeanette D. Blazier
Mayor

JDB/mh
Enclosure

C: Jeff Fleming
Fred Crowell

DECONCENTRATION POLICY

(adopted as part of the Admissions and Continued Occupancy Policy on November 29, 1999, effective December 1, 1999)

It is Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority's policy to provide for deconcentration of poverty and encourage income mixing by bringing higher income families into lower income developments and lower income families into higher income developments. Toward this end, we will skip families on the waiting list to reach other families with a lower or higher income. We will accomplish this in a uniform and non-discriminating manner.

The Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority will affirmatively market our housing to all eligible income groups. Lower income residents will not be steered toward lower income developments and higher income people will not be steered toward higher income developments.

Prior to the beginning of each fiscal year, we will analyze the income levels of families residing in each of our developments, the income levels of census tracts in which our developments are located and the income levels of the families on the waiting list. Based on this analysis, we will determine the level of marketing strategies and deconcentration incentives to implement. The worksheet for the analysis is as follows:

Income Limits and Deconcentration Worksheet

Development Name	Number of Units Under ACC	Number of Occupied Units	Number of Units Occupied by Very Poor Families	% Occupied by Very Poor Families
TN00601 Robert E Lee	128	120	93	77.5%
TN00602 Riverview Apts	56	40	32	80.0%
TN00603 Riverview Apts	36	34	31	91.2%
TN00604 Frank L Cloud	189	150	127	84.7%
TN00607 Dogwood Terrace	76	70	46	65.7%
TN00609 Holly Hills	84	75	56	74.7%
TN00611 Tiffany Court	14	14	13	92.9%
Overall KHRA	583	503	398	79.1%

% Very Poor in Census Tracts:

CT402(TN00601, TN00602, TN00603)	-37.7%
CT406(TN00604, TN00611)	-31.4%
CT407(TN00607)	-14.6%
CT506(TN00609)	-12.3%

Target Number:

Number Needed of below 30% of median area income:

Number Needed above 30% of median area income:

Waiting list number of families: 260

Five-Year Action Plan

Part I: Summary

Capital Fund Program (CFP)

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Office of Public and Indian Housing

OMB Approval No. 2577-0157 (Exp. 7/31/98)

HAName: Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Locality: (City/County & State) Kingsport/Sullivan, Tennessee	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Revision No: _____
---	---	--

A. Development Number/Name	Work Stmt. for Year 1 FFY: 2002	Work Statement for Year 2 FFY: 2003	Work Statement for Year 3 FFY: 2004	Work Statement for Year 4 FFY: 2005	Work Statement for Year 5 FFY: 2006
TN6-1 Robert E Lee Homes	See Annual Statement	\$ -	\$ 121,000	\$ -	\$ 54,000
TN6-2 Riverview Apartments		\$ 42,000	\$ 42,000	\$ -	\$ 45,000
TN6-3 Riverview Apartments		\$ -	\$ 27,000	\$ -	\$ 43,214
TN6-4 Frank L Cloud Homes		\$ 97,272	\$ 120,050	\$ -	\$ 15,500
TN6-7 Dogwood Terrace		\$ 7,000	\$ -	\$ 213,500	\$ 220,920
TN6-9 Holly Hills		\$ 303,420	\$ 87,084	\$ 216,420	\$ 50,000
TN6-11 Tiffany Court		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12,000
PHA-Wide		\$ 27,942	\$ 57,000	\$ 48,714	\$ 40,000
B. Physical Improvements Subtotal		\$ 477,634	\$ 454,134	\$ 478,634	\$ 480,634
C. Management Improvements		\$ 177,000	\$ 202,000	\$ 181,000	\$ 179,000
D. HA-Wide Nondwelling Structures and Equipment		\$ 25,000	\$ 28,500	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000
E. Administration		\$ 63,000	\$ 63,000	\$ 63,000	\$ 63,000
F. Other-A&E		\$ 50,000	\$ 45,000	\$ 45,000	\$ 45,000
G. Operations		\$ 198,158	\$ 198,158	\$ 178,158	\$ 198,158
H. Demolition		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
I. Replacement Reserve		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
J. Mod Used for Development		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
K. Total CGPF Funds		\$ 990,792	\$ 990,792	\$ 970,792	\$ 990,792
L. Total Non-CGPF Funds		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
M. Grand Total		\$ 990,792	\$ 990,792	\$ 970,792	\$ 990,792

Signature of Executive Director & Date: _____

Signature of Public Housing Director/Office of Native American Programs Administrator & Date: _____

Five-Year Action Plan
Part II: Supporting Pages
Physical Needs Work Statement(s)
 Capital Fund Program (CFP)

Work Statement for Year		2		Work Statement for Year		3	
Work Statement for Year 1 FFY: 2002		FFY: 2003		Work Statement for Year 2 FFY: 2004		FFY: 2004	
Development Number/Name/General Description of Major Work Categories		Quantity	Estimated Cost	Development Number/Name/General Description of Major Work Categories		Quantity	Estimated Cost
See Annual Statement	PHA Administration	1410		PHA Administration	1410		
	Mod Manager	1	\$ 41,000	Mod Manager	1	\$ 41,000	
	Employee Benefits		\$ 16,000	Employee Benefits		\$ 16,000	
	Sundry		\$ 2,500	Sundry		\$ 2,500	
	Telephone		\$ 1,500	Telephone		\$ 1,500	
	Local Travel		\$ 2,000	Local Travel		\$ 2,000	
	Total		\$ 63,000	Total		\$ 63,000	
	Fees and Costs	1430		Fees and Costs	1430		
	PHAWide-AandEFees		\$ 25,000	PHAWide-AandEFees		\$ 20,000	
	Inspector/Employee Benefits	1	\$ 25,000	Inspector/Employee Benefits	1	\$ 25,000	
	Total		\$ 50,000	Total		\$ 45,000	
	TN6-2 Riverview Apartments	1460		PHAWide	1450		
	Repair Ceilings		\$ 42,000	Playground Improvements		\$ 15,000	
	Total		\$ 42,000	Landscaping/Sidewalk Repairs		\$ 31,000	
	TN6-4 Frank L Cloud Homes	1460		Total		\$ 46,000	
	Floor Tile		\$ 97,272	TN6-1 Robert E Lee Homes	1460		
	Total		\$ 97,272	Replace Ridge Vents		\$ 25,000	
	TN6-9 Holly Hills Apartments	1460		Replace Ranges	128 units	\$ 96,000	
	Replace HVAC		\$ 303,420	Total		\$ 121,000	
	Total		\$ 303,420	TN6-2 Riverview Apartments	1460		
	TN6-7 Dogwood Terrace	1460		Replace Ranges	56 units	\$ 42,000	
	Lights in Attics	76 units	\$ 7,000	Total		\$ 42,000	
	Total		\$ 7,000	TN6-3 Riverview Apartments	1460		
	PHAWide	1465		Replace Ranges	36 units	\$ 27,000	
	Refrigerators		\$ 6,942	Total		\$ 27,000	
Total		\$ 6,942	TN6-4 Frank L Cloud Homes	1460			
PHAWide	1450		Replace Ranges	189 units	\$ 120,050		
Landscaping		\$ 21,000	Total		\$ 120,050		
Total		\$ 21,000	TN6-9 Holly Hills Apartments	1460			
Non-Dwelling Equipment	1475		Security Screens		\$ 87,084		
Maint/Mgt Vehicle	1	\$ 25,000	Total		\$ 87,084		
Total		\$ 25,000	TN6-4 Maintenance Shop	1470			
			Renovations/Modifications		\$ 3,500		
			Total		\$ 3,500		
			PHAWide	1465			
			ADAModifications		\$ 11,000		
			Total		\$ 11,000		
Subtotal of Estimated Cost			\$ 615,634	Subtotal of Estimated Cost			\$ 565,634

Five-Year Action Plan
Part II: Supporting Pages

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
 Public and Indian Housing

Office of

OMB Approval No. 2577-0157 (Exp. 7/31/98)

Physical Needs Work Statement(s)

Capital Fund Program (CFP)

Work Statement for Year		4		Work Statement for Year		5	
Work Statement for Year 1		FFY: 2005		Work Statement for Year 1		FFY: 2006	
FFY: 2002	Development Number/Name/General Description of Major Work Categories	Quantity	Estimated Cost	Development Number/Name/General Description of Major Work Categories	Quantity	Estimated Cost	
See Annual Statement	PHA Administration	1410		PHA Administration	1410		
	Mod Manager	1	\$ 41,000	Mod Manager	1	\$ 41,000	
	Employee Benefits		\$ 16,000	Employee Benefits		\$ 16,000	
	Sundry		\$ 2,500	Sundry		\$ 2,500	
	Telephone		\$ 1,500	Telephone		\$ 1,500	
	Local Travel		\$ 2,000	Local Travel		\$ 2,000	
	Total		\$ 63,000	Total		\$ 63,000	
	Fees and Costs	1430		Fees and Costs	1430		
	PHAWide-AandEFees		\$ 20,000	PHAWide-AandEFees		\$ 20,000	
	Inspector/Employee Benefits		\$ 25,000	Inspector/Employee Benefits		\$ 25,000	
	Total		\$ 45,000	Total		\$ 45,000	
	PHAWide	1450		PHAWide	1450		
	Landscaping		\$ 24,714	Landscaping		\$ 30,000	
	Total		\$ 24,714	Termite Baiting/Extermination		\$ 10,000	
	TN6-9 Holly Hills Apartments	1460		Total		\$ 40,000	
	Kitchen Cabinets/Counter Tops	84 units	\$ 216,420	TN6-1 Robert E Lee Homes	1460		
	Total		\$ 216,420	Bathtub Repairs	45 units	\$ 54,000	
	TN6-7 Dogwood Terrace	1460		Total		\$ 54,000	
	Kitchen Cabinets/Counter Tops	76 units	\$ 213,500	TN6-2 Riverview Apartments	1460		
	Total		\$ 213,500	Replace Interior Light Fixtures		\$ 45,000	
			Total		\$ 45,000		
			TN6-3 Riverview Apartments	1460			
			Interior Doors		\$ 40,500		
			Install Lights in Attics		\$ 2,714		
			Total		\$ 43,214		
			TN6-4 Frank L Cloud Homes	1460			
			Install Lights in Attics		\$ 15,500		
			Total		\$ 15,500		
			TN6-7 Dogwood Terrace	1460			
			Replace Windows		\$ 220,920		
			Total		\$ 220,920		
			TN6-9 Holly Hills	1460			
			Closet Doors & Tracks	40 units	\$ 50,000		
			Total		\$ 50,000		
			TN6-11 Tiffany Court	1460			
			Replace Roof		\$ 12,000		
			Total		\$ 12,000		
			Subtotal of Estimated Cost	\$ 562,634	Subtotal of Estimated Cost	\$ 588,634	

Five-Year Action Plan
Part II: Supporting Pages
Physical Needs Work Statement(s)

Capital Fund Program (CFP)

Work Statement for Year		4		Work Statement for Year		5		
Work Statement for Year 1	FFY: 2005	Quantity	Estimated Cost	Development Number/Name/General Description of Major Work Categories	Quantity	Estimated Cost		
FFY: 2002								
See Annual Statement	PHA Wide Dwelling Equipment	1465						
	Refrigerators	27	\$ 10,000					
	Ranges	32	\$ 8,000					
	ADA Modifications/Equipment		\$ 6,000					
	Total		\$ 24,000					
	Non-Dwelling Equipment	1475			Non-Dwelling Equipment	1475		
	Maint/Mgt Vehicle	1	\$ 25,000		Maint/Mgt Vehicle	1	\$ 25,000	
Total		\$ 25,000		Total		\$ 25,000		
Subtotal of Estimated Cost			\$ 49,000	Subtotal of Estimated Cost			\$ 25,000	

Five-Year Action Plan
Part III: Supporting Pages
Management Needs Work Statement(s)
 Capital Fund Program (CFP)

Work Statement for Year for Year 1 FFY: _____	2			3		
	FFY:		Quantity	Estimated Cost	FFY:	
	Development Number/Name/General Description of Major Work Categories				Development Number/Name/General Description of Major Work Categories	Quantity
See Annual Statement	1406			1406		
	Operations		\$ 198,158	Operations		\$ 198,158
			\$ 198,158			\$ 198,158
	1408			1408		
	VISTA Workers	4	\$ 50,000	VISTA Workers	4	\$ 50,000
	VISTA Office Supplies		\$ 4,000	VISTA Office Supplies		\$ 4,000
	Resident Training	2	\$ 5,000	Resident Training	2	\$ 5,000
	Resident Initiatives Manager	1	\$ 41,000	Resident Initiatives Manager	1	\$ 42,000
	Resident Initiatives Supplies		\$ 2,000	Resident Initiatives Supplies		\$ 2,000
	Mgt/Maint Training	4	\$ 8,000	Mgt/Maint Training	4	\$ 8,000
MISSpecialist	1	\$ 17,000	MISSpecialist	1	\$ 18,000	
UPCS Inspection/Services	1	\$ 45,000	UPCS Inspection/Services	1	\$ 45,000	
Telecommunications/Computer Upgrades		\$ 5,000	Telecommunications/Computer Upgrades		\$ 5,000	
		\$ 177,000			\$ 179,000	
				Non-Dwelling Equipment	1475	
				Maint/Mgt Vehicle	1	\$ 25,000
				Total		\$ 25,000
				Energy Audit		\$ 25,000
				Total		\$ 25,000
	Subtotal of Estimated Cost		\$ 375,158	Subtotal of Estimated Cost		\$ 427,158

Five-Year Action Plan
Part III: Supporting Pages
Management Needs Work Statement(s)
 Capital Fund Program (CFP)

Work Statement for Year		4		Work Statement for Year		5	
Work Statement for Year 1	FFY: 2002	Development Number/Name/General Description of Major Work Categories	Quantity	Estimated Cost	Development Number/Name/General Description of Major Work Categories	Quantity	Estimated Cost
See Annual Statement		1406			1406		
		Operations		\$ 198,158	Operations		\$ 198,158
				\$ 198,158			\$ 198,158
		1408			1408		
		VISTA Workers	4	\$ 50,000	VISTA Workers	4	\$ 50,000
		VISTA Office Supplies		\$ 3,000	VISTA Office Supplies		\$ 4,000
		Resident Training		\$ 5,000	Resident Training		\$ 5,000
		Resident Initiatives Manager	1	\$ 44,000	Resident Initiatives Manager	1	\$ 42,000
		Resident Initiatives Supplies		\$ 2,000	Resident Initiatives Supplies		\$ 2,000
		Mgt/Maint Training		\$ 8,000	Mgt/Maint Training		\$ 8,000
	MISSpecialist	1	\$ 19,000	MISSpecialist	1	\$ 18,000	
	UPCS Inspection/Services	1	\$ 45,000	UPCS Inspection/Services	1	\$ 45,000	
	Telecommunications/Computer Upgrades		\$ 5,000	Telecommunications/Computer Upgrades		\$ 5,000	
				\$ 181,000			\$ 179,000
				\$ 379,158			\$ 377,158
		Subtotal of Estimated Cost		\$ 379,158	Subtotal of Estimated Cost		\$ 377,158

Attachment F

2002 Resident Assessment Follow -Up Plan

Survey Maintenance and Repair Section

Score: 90%

No Additional Action Required

Survey Communication Section

Score: 77%

No Additional Action Required

Survey Safety Section

Score: 71%

Inclusion in a follow-up plan is required.

The Comprehensive Grant Program 707 and 708 funded security screens in TN 6 -1, 6 -2, 6 -3, 6 -4 and 6 -7. This includes 485 of the 583 units in the public housing program. TN 6 -11 already had security screens, thus 499 of 583 units have them installed as of September 2000. TN 6 -9 is scheduled for installation in FY 2004. Additionally, the front porch lights on all units except at TN 6 -9 have been replaced with fluorescent lights that are on dusk to dawn sensors. TN 6 -9 was scheduled for these lights, however they require a different type of fixture that is being evaluated. When a suitable fixture is located it will be installed. The lights have been well received; however, some complaints concerning attracting insects during summer evenings have been registered. A number of residents have addressed that problem by covering the sensor and the light does not come on. The TN 6 -2/6-3 Riverview Resident Association requested that the light be installed in the back door porch lights as well. We were able to comply with this request with the lights from TN 6 -9. The uniform level of illumination has been well received by the residents. Additionally, these lights have been marked with the unit address so that it is easier to identify by emergency service providers. They have indicated that these improvements in lighting and identification have helped them. The total cost of the screens and porch lighting is \$267,340. Also, a comprehensive review of street and alley lighting is underway. The TN 6 -2/6-3 community has been completed. The following improvements have been made need to get this from Buford Quillin as well as other neighborhood information.

The current format of the Resident Satisfaction Survey makes it difficult to determine which neighborhood has a concern. The format of the next survey will reportedly offer an analysis by each neighborhood. This will help determine how to address each individual neighborhood's concerns.

Survey Services Section

Score:93%

No Additional Action Required

Survey Neighborhood Appearance Section

Score:66%

Inclusion in a follow-up plan is required.

We are addressing their concerns by increasing enforcement of the lease relative to exteriors. This includes additional exterior inspections by Housing Management and Maintenance Staff. Funding has been allocated from the Capital Fund Program for additional landscaping and items identified in the Physical Inspection by HUD contractors. Maintenance Staff has met to formulate a plan to address the concerns of neighborhood appearance, see 12/3/01 memo attached.

Overall

Score:4.0

271 Surveys Sent, 84 Surveys Returned

Memorandum

To: Terry Cunningham
From: Buford Quillin *BQ*
Date: December 3, 2001
Subject: Resident Assessment Survey 2001

As requested, on December 3, 2001 we had a Maintenance Department Supervisor's meeting regarding the 2001 Resident Assessment Survey. The survey sections which scored below the National Average for KHRA were covered both by individual project and also as a summary of all projects.

The following sections were related to the supervisors and details explaining the items that the Maintenance Department should be targeting to improve:

Maintenance and Repairs – Emergency, Non-emergency, Response time, Confidence levels in repairs and
Over-all customer satisfaction with Maintenance related contacts and repairs
Safety – Lighting and parking
Neighborhood Appearance – Playgrounds, landscaping, broken glass, trash/litter, building exteriors and
Common areas

We will schedule a meeting with all of the Maintenance Department employees to relate these same areas of concern. If you have any questions please let me know.

RECEIVED
DEC 4 - 2001
KINGSPORT HOUSING

Annual Statement/Performance and Evaluation Report
Capital Fund Program (CGP) Part I: Summary

US Department of Housing and Urban Development
Office of Public and Indian Housing

OMB Approval No. 2577-0157 (Exp. 3/31/2002)

Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority PO Box 44 Kingsport, TN 37662	Capital Fund Number TN37P006501-02	FFY of Grant Approval 2002
---	--	--------------------------------------

- Original Annual Statement
 Reserve for Disasters/Emergencies
 Revised Annual Statement/Revision Number
 Performance and Evaluation Report for Program Year Ending _____
 Final Performance and Evaluation Report

Line No.	Summary by Development Account	Total Estimated Cost		Total Actual Cost ²	
		Original	Revised ¹	Obligated	Expended
1	Total Non-CGPF Funds	0			
2	1406 Operations	198,158			
3	1408 Management Improvements	162,000			
4	1410 Administration	63,000			
5	1411 Audit	0			
6	1415 Liquidated Damages	0			
7	1430 Fees and Costs	49,000			
8	1440 Site Acquisition	0			
9	1450 Site Improvement	44,000			
10	1460 Dwelling Structures	421,134			
11	1465.1 Dwelling Equipment - Nonexpendable	0			
12	1470 Nondwelling Structures	0			
13	1475 Nondwelling Equipment	40,000			
14	1485 Demolition	13,500			
15	1490 Replacement Reserve	0			
16	1492 Moving to Work Demonstration	0			
17	1495.1 Relocation Costs	0			
18	1498 Mod Used for Development	0			
19	1502 Contingency (may not exceed 8% of line 19)	0			
20	Amount of Annual Grant (Sum of lines 2-18)	\$990,792			
21	Amount of line 20 Related to LBP Activities	0			
22	Amount of line 20 Related to Section 504 Compliance	0			
23	Amount of line 20 Related to Security	0			
24	Amount of line 20 Related to Energy Conservation Measures	0			

Signature of Executive Director and Date X Terry W. Cunningham, Executive Director	Signature of Public Housing Director/Office of Native American Programs Administrator and Date X
---	--

¹ To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report or a Revised Annual Statement.
² To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report.

Annual Statement/Performance and Evaluation Report
Capital Fund Program (CGP) Part II: Supporting Pages

US Department of Housing and Urban Development
Office of Public and Indian Housing

OMB Approval No. 2577-0157 (Exp. 3/31/2002)

Development Number/Name HA-Wide Activities	General Description of Major Work Categories	Development Account Number	Quantity	Total Estimated Cost		Total Actual Cost		Status of Proposed Work ²
				Original	Revised ¹	Funds Obligated ²	Funds Expended ²	
PHA-Wide Management Improvements	Operations	1406		198,158				
	Vista Workers	1408	4	50,000				
	Vista Office Supplies	1408		4,000				
	Resident Training	1408		5,000				
	Resident Initiatives Manager	1408	1	40,000				
	Resident Initiatives Supplies	1408		2,000				
	Mgt/Maint Training	1408		8,000				
	MIS Specialist	1408	1	16,000				
	UPCS Inspection/Services	1408		32,000				
	Telecomm/Computer Upgrades	1408		5,000				
				162,000				
PHA-Wide Administration	Mod Manager	1410	1	41,000				
	Employee Benefits	1410		16,000				
	Sundry	1410		2,500				
	Telephone	1410		1,500				
	Local Travel	1410		2,000				
					\$63,000			
PHA-Wide Fees & Costs	A and E Fees PHA Wide	1430		24,000				
	Inspector/Employee Benefits	1430	1	25,000				
					\$49,000			
Signature of Executive Director and Date				Signature of Public Housing Director/Office of Native American Programs Administrator and Date				
X				X				
Terry W Cunningham, Executive Director								

¹To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report or a Revised Annual Statement.

form HUD-52837(9/98)

²To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report.

ref Handbook 7485.3

1406	198,158	0	0	0
1408	162,000	0	0	0
1410	63,000	0	0	0
1430	49,000	0	0	0

**Annual Statement/Performance and Evaluation Report
Capital Fund Program (CGP) Part II: Supporting Pages**

**US Department of Housing
and Urban Development
Office of Public and Indian Housing**

OMB Approval No. 2577-0157 (Exp. 3/31/2002)

Development Number/Name HA-Wide Activities	General Description of Major Work Categories	Development Account Number	Quantity	Total Estimated Cost		Total Actual Cost		Status of Proposed Work ²
				Original	Revised ¹	Funds Obligated ²	Funds Expended ²	
KHRA-Wide	Landscaping	1450		24,000				
TN37P006001	Replace HVAC	1460		55,000				
LeeHomes	Replace Water Lines	1450		20,000				
	Address/Security Lights	1460		6,100				
	Replace Water Heaters	1460		56,928				
				138,028				
TN37P006002	Demolition (#49-56)	1485		13,500				
Riverview	Reconfigure 2&3BR units to 4&5BR	1460		48,686				
				62,186				
TN37P006007	Replace HVAC	1460		254,420				
Dogwood								
KHRA-Wide Non-Dwelling Equipment	Maint/Mgt Vehicle	1475	2	40,000				

Signature of Executive Director and Date

X

Terry W Cunningham, Executive Director

Signature of Public Housing Director/Office of Native American Programs Administrator and Date

X

¹ To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report or a Revised Annual Statement.

² To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report.

1450	44,000	0	0	0
1460	421,134	0	0	0
1465	0	0	0	0
1470	0	0	0	0
1475	40,000	0	0	0
1485	13,500.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Printed on: 4/24/2002 9:36 AM

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Office of Public and Indian Housing

**Small PHA Plan Update
Annual Plan for Fiscal Year: 2002**

NOTE: THIS PHA PLAN TEMPLATE (HUD50075) IS TO BE COMPLETED IN
ACCORDANCE WITH THE INSTRUCTIONS LOCATED IN APPLICABLE PIH NOTICES

HUD50075
OMB Approval No.: 2577-0226
Expires: 03/31/2002

Definition of "Substantial Deviation" and "Significant Amendment or Modification"

Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority will consider the following to be significant amendments or modifications:

- change to rent or admissions policies or organization of the waiting list;
- additions of non-emergency work items (items not included in the current Annual Statement or 5-Year Action Plan) or change in use of replacement reserve funds under the Capital Fund;
- additions of new activities not included in the current PHDEP Plan;
- and any change with regard to demolition or disposition, designation, homeownership programs or conversion activities.

An exception to this definition will be made for any of the above that are adopted to reflect changes in HUD regulatory requirements; such changes will not be considered significant amendments by the Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority or by HUD.

Brief Statement of Progress in Meeting the 5 -Year Plan Mission and Goals

Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority made significant progress on a number of our goals listed in the Five Year Plan. Among these is progress on our efforts to increase the availability of decent, safe and affordable housing. This was accomplished through applying for and receiving additional Section 8 vouchers through the Fair Share Allocation. Also, Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority has assigned a management contract on a proposed 58 -unit senior development that will utilize low -income housing tax credit and Federal Home Loan Bank funds. This proposed development will be partially owned by the Greater Kingsport Alliance for Development, an instrumentality developed by Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority.

We continue to make progress on the modernization of our various communities. Chief among these improvements is the installation of new heating systems that include air conditioning. This was completed in TN006 -02/TN006 -03 in 2001 and is underway in TN006 -04. Bids for TN006-01 have been taken and contract awarded. Specifications for TN006 -011 are being prepared. We feel this will add substantially to the quality of life and marketability of the community.

Through the Section 8 program we have revised our Administrative Plan concerning a homeownership program. This is being coordinated by our Family Self -Sufficiency staff. Legal Services is assisting with the housing counseling and the Affordable Housing Coalition of Kingsport plans to assist with generating support among the realtors and financial institutions. Easter Eight Community Development Corporation is also a partner in this program.

We have continued to promote self -sufficiency in both public housing and Section 8 and had eight program participants in Section 8 complete their requirements.

Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority and the ETSU School of Nursing have collaborated to provide access to preventive medical services to residents of TN006 -02 and TN006-03. This has the potential to allow seniors the opportunity to continue to reside in their homes. ETSU has indicated a desire to assist other Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority communities as interest grows among the residents.

Funds have been utilized to meet various needs of persons with disabilities. This has primarily taken the form of the building of access ramps.

The Holly Hills Learning Center is being expanded in collaboration with the Kingsport Boys & Girls Club.

Our PHAS Advisory Score increased from 82.6 to 90 (MASS 28). Our first Section 8 Management Assessment Program (SEMAP) rating was 93.

We feel as we continue to implement the Five -Year Plan that continued progress will be made.

Resident Advisory Board Membership Information

If the number of participants is too large to reasonably list, then the document should include a list of the organizations represented on the RAB or other descriptions sufficient to identify how members were chosen.

Suggested Format:

Public Housing Resident Advisory Board

<u>Name</u>	<u>Resident Association/Organization Represented</u>
Fay Reed	TN006-01 Lee Resident Association President
Grace Simpson	TN006-02/03 Riverview Resident Association President
Darlene Davidson	TN006-04 Cloud Resident Association President
Scottie Hensley	TN006-07 Dogwood Resident Association President
Bob Foley	TN006-09 Holly Hills Resident Association Designee
Johnny Ritchie	Executive Director, KITE *
Arlena Lovin	Holston Terrace Auxillary President
Tim Matheson	Tennessee Legal Services Attorney

Section 8 Resident Advisory Board

<u>Name</u>	<u>Resident Association/Organization Represented</u>
Janice E. Riddle	Section 8 Resident
Donna Debien	Section 8 Resident
Judy Smith	Section 8 Resident
Octavia L. Fain	Section 8 Resident
Cathy L. Bradley	Section 8 Resident
Pat Carpenter	Section 8 Family Self - Sufficiency Manager
Tim Matheson	Tennessee Legal Services Attorney

* KITE: Kingsport Initiative for Training & Employment (KITE) is a 501(c)3 Corporation made up of Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority public housing and Section 8/202 (Holston Terrace) Resident Association Presidents and Designees. The Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority Board of Commissioners designated KITE as the Resident Advisory Board by Resolution #2000 -23. KITE accepted the role as RAB for Public Housing and requested KHRAdesignate as separate RAB for Section 8.

**Resident Membership of the
Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority
Board of Commissioners**

Name: Grace Simpson
Method of Selection : Appointed by Board of Mayor & Alderman
Term of Appointment : 4/1/96 through 3/1/2001 to replace Inez Erwin
Reappointed for 3/1/2001 through 3/1/2006

Ms. Grace Simpson passed away 1/5/01. She had participated with the Public Housing Resident Advisory Board during the approval of this Agency Plan. Actions are taken to appoint a new Resident Commissioner.

The City of Kingsport Board of Mayor & Alderman have selected and will appoint the Resident Member of the Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority Board of Commissioners at their first meeting in April 2002.

**Annual Statement/Performance and Evaluation Report
Comprehensive Grant Program (CGP) Part I: Summary**

**US Department of Housing
and Urban Development
Office of Public and Indian Housing**

OMB Approval No. 2577-0157 (Exp. 7/31/98)

Kingsport Housing Authority PO Box 44 Kingsport, TN 37662	Comprehensive Grant Number TN37P006-708	FFY of Grant Approval 1999
--	---	--------------------------------------

- Original Annual Statement
 Reserve for Disasters/Emergencies
 Revised Annual Statement/Revision Number
 Performance and Evaluation Report for Program Year Ending 9/30/01
 Final Performance and Evaluation Report

Line No.	Summary by Development Account	Total Estimated Cost		Total Actual Cost ²	
		Original	Revised ¹	Obligated	Expended
1	Total Non-CGPF Funds	0	0	0	0
2	1406 Operations (May not exceed 10% of line 20)	0	0	0	0
3	1408 Management Improvements	169,738	169,738	169,738	169,738
4	1410 Administration	43,799	43,799	43,799	43,799
5	1411 Audit	0	0	0	0
6	1415 Liquidated Damages	0	0	0	0
7	1430 Fees and Costs	43,546	43,546	43,546	26,871
8	1440 Site Acquisition	0	0	0	0
9	1450 Site Improvement	109,923	109,923	109,923	83,192
10	1460 Dwelling Structures	295,389	295,389	295,389	257,997
11	1465.1 Dwelling Equipment - Nonexpendable	40,200	40,200	40,200	25,998
12	1470 Nondwelling Structures	134,634	134,634	134,634	98,690
13	1475 Nondwelling Equipment	75,985	75,985	75,985	64,705
14	1485 Demolition	0	0	0	0
15	1490 Replacement Reserve	0	0	0	0
16	1492 Moving to Work Determination	0	0	0	0
17	1495.1 Relocation Costs	0	0	0	0
18	1498 Mod Used for Development	0	0	0	0
19	1502 Contingency (may not exceed 8% of line 20)	0	0	0	0
20	Amount of Annual Grant (Sum of lines 2-18)	\$913,214	913,214	913,214	770,990
21	Amount of line 20 Related to LBP Activities	0	0		
22	Amount of line 20 Related to Section 504 Compliance	10,000	10,000		
23	Amount of line 20 Related to Security	33,505	33,505		
24	Amount of line 20 Related to Energy Conservation Measures	700	700		

Signature of Executive Director and Date

X

3/26/2002

X

Signature of Public Housing Director/Office of Native American Programs Administrator and Date

Terry W. Cunningham, Executive Director

¹ To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report or a Revised Annual Statement.

² To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report.

**Annual Statement/Performance and Evaluation Report
Comprehensive Grant Program (CGP) Part II: Supporting Pages**

**US Department of Housing
and Urban Development
Office of Public and Indian Housing**

OMB Approval No. 2577-0157 (Exp. 7/31/98)

CGP708-FFY99

Development Number/Name HA-Wide Activities	General Description of Major Work Categories	Development Account Number	Quantity	Total Estimated Cost		Total Actual Cost		Status of Proposed Work ²
				Original	Revised ¹	Funds Obligated ²	Funds Expended ²	
PHA-Wide Management Improvements	Vista Workers	1408	4	64,500	64,500	64,500	64,500	
	Vista Office Supplies	1408		3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	
	Resident Training	1408	2	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	
	Resident Initiatives Manager	1408	1	39,288	39,288	39,288	39,288	
	Resident Initiatives Supplies	1408		2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	
	Mgt/Maint Training	1408	4	13,546	13,546	13,546	13,546	
	MISSpecialist	1408	1	16,404	16,404	16,404	16,404	
	UPS Inspection/Services	1408	1	26,000	26,000	26,000	26,000	
				169,738	169,738	169,738	169,738	
PHA-Wide Administration	Mod Manager	1410	1	28,101	28,101	28,101	28,101	
	Employee Benefits	1410		6,800	6,800	6,800	6,800	
	Sundry	1410		1,862	1,862	1,862	1,862	
	Telephone	1410		3,719	3,719	3,719	3,719	
	Local Travel	1410		3,317	3,317	3,317	3,317	
					43,799	43,799	43,799	
PHA-Wide Fees & Costs	A and E Fees PHA Wide	1430		20,000	20,000	20,000	3,325	
	Inspector/Employee Benefits	1430	1	23,546	23,546	23,546	23,546	
				43,546	43,546	43,546	26,871	

Signature of Executive Director and Date

X

Terry W Cunningham, Executive Director

Signature of Public Housing Director/Office of Native American Programs Administrator and Date

X

¹ To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report or a Revised Annual Statement.

² To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report.

**Annual Statement/Performance and Evaluation Report
Comprehensive Grant Program (CGP) Part II: Supporting Pages**

**US Department of Housing
and Urban Development
Office of Public and Indian Housing**

OMB Approval No. 2577-0157 (Exp. 7/31/98)

CGP708-FFY99

Development Number/Name HA-Wide Activities	General Description of Major Work Categories	Development Account Number	Quantity	Total Estimated Cost		Total Actual Cost		Status of Proposed Work ²
				Original	Revised ¹	Funds Obligated ²	Funds Expended ²	
TN37P006001 LeeHomes	Repair Gutters	1460	21 Bldgs	3,734	3,734	3,734	3,734	
	Repair Ceilings	1460	128 units	29,768	29,768	29,768	30,310	
	Address/Security Lights	1460	128 units	6,052	6,052	6,052	6,052	
				39,554	39,554	39,554	40,096	
TN37P006002 Riverview	Repair Gutters	1460	7 Bldgs	1,239	1,239	1,239	1,239	
	Floor Tile	1460	57 units	19,098	19,098	19,098	19,098	
	Address/Security Lights	1460	57 units	5,794	5,794	5,794	5,794	
	HVAC	1460		9,300	9,300	9,300	8,437	
				35,431	35,431	35,431	34,568	
TN37P006003 Riverview	Repair Gutters	1460		716	716	716	716	
	Repair Ceilings	1460		27,580	27,580	27,580	27,817	
	Floor Tile	1460		1,502	1,502	1,502	1,502	
	Address/Security Lights	1460		2,530	2,530	2,530	2,530	
	HVAC	1460		5,700	5,700	5,700	5,288	
				38,028	38,028	38,028	37,853	
TN37P006004 FrankLCloud	Repair Ceilings	1460	189 units	130,433	130,433	130,433	105,057	
	Address/Security Lights	1460	189 units	8,650	8,650	8,650	8,650	
	Renovations to Community Center	1470	1 Bldg	25,000	25,000	25,000	24,502	
	Maintenance Storage Building	1470	1 Bldg	96,634	96,634	96,634	63,613	
				260,717	260,717	260,717	201,822	

Signature of Executive Director and Date

X

Terry W Cunningham, Executive Director

Signature of Public Housing Director/Office of Native American Programs Administrator and Date

X

¹ To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report or a Revised Annual Statement.

² To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report.

1450				
1460	252,096	252,096	252,096	226,224
1470	121,634	121,634	121,634	88,115

**Annual Statement/Performance and Evaluation Report
Comprehensive Grant Program (CGP) Part II: Supporting Pages**

**US Department of Housing
and Urban Development
Office of Public and Indian Housing**

OMB Approval No. 2577-0157 (Exp. 7/31/98)

CGP708-FFY99

Development Number/Name HA-Wide Activities	General Description of Major Work Categories	Development Account Number	Quantity	Total Estimated Cost		Total Actual Cost		Status of Proposed Work ²
				Original	Revised ¹	Funds Obligated ²	Funds Expended ²	
TN37P006007 Dogwood Terrace	Replace Entrance Hardware	1460	36 units	12,160	12,160	12,160	525	
	Address/Security Lights	1460	76 units	3,586	3,586	3,586	3,586	
	Replace Water Heaters	1460	76 units	13,490	13,490	13,490	13,490	
	Renovations to Community Building	1470	1 Bldg	13,000	13,000	13,000	10,575	
				42,236	42,236	42,236	28,176	
TN37P006009 Holly Hills	Install Main Breakers	1460	84 units	4,885	4,885	4,885	4,885	
	Security Lighting	1460	84 units	8,348	8,348	8,348	8,348	
	Security Fence	1450	900 ft	4,583	4,583	4,583	4,583	
	Parking	1450	9 lots	35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000	
	Improve Drainage/Community Center	1450	200 ft	1,540	1,540	1,540	1,540	
				54,356	54,356	54,356	54,356	
TN37P006011 Tiffany Court	Insulate Water Heaters	1460	12 units	232	232	232	347	
	Address/Security Lights	1460	12 units	592	592	592	592	
				824	824	824	939	

Signature of Executive Director and Date

X

Terry W Cunningham, Executive Director

Signature of Public Housing Director/Office of Native American Programs Administrator and Date

X

¹To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report or a Revised Annual Statement.

²To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report.

1450	41,123	41,123	41,123	41,123
1460	43,293	43,293	43,293	31,773
1475	0	0	0	0
1470	13,000	13,000	13,000	10,575

**Annual Statement/Performance and Evaluation Report
Comprehensive Grant Program (CGP) Part II: Supporting Pages**

**US Department of Housing
and Urban Development
Office of Public and Indian Housing**

OMB Approval No. 2577-0157 (Exp. 7/31/98)

CGP708-FFY99

Development Number/Name HA-Wide Activities	General Description of Major Work Categories	Development Account Number	Quantity	Total Estimated Cost		Total Actual Cost		Status of Proposed Work ²
				Original	Revised ¹	Funds Obligated ²	Funds Expended ²	
PHA-Wide	Landscaping	1450	50%	17,800	17,800	17,800	17,800	
	Playground Improvements	1450	30%	21,000	21,000	21,000	3,787	
	Termite Baiting System	1450	20%	30,000	30,000	30,000	20,482	
	Refrigerators	1465	52	21,000	21,000	21,000	17,323	
	Ranges	1465	30	9,200	9,200	9,200	335	
	ADA Modifications/Equipment	1465	6 units	10,000	10,000	10,000	8,340	
	Computer Upgrades	1475		49,067	49,067	49,067	37,537	
	Maintenance/Management Vehicle	1475	1	26,918	26,918	26,918	27,168	
				184,985	184,985	184,985	132,772	

Signature of Executive Director and Date

X

Terry W Cunningham, Executive Director

Signature of Public Housing Director/Office of Native American Programs Administrator and Date

X

¹ To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report or a Revised Annual Statement.

² To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report.

1450	68,800	68,800	68,800	42,069
1460				
1465	40,200	40,200	40,200	25,998
1475	75,985	75,985	75,985	64,705

**Annual Statement/Performance and Evaluation Report
Comprehensive Grant Program (CGP) Part III: Implementation Schedule**

**US Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

OMB Approval No. 2577-0157 (Exp. 7/31/95)

Office of Public and Indian Housing

CGP708-FFY99

Development Number/Name HA-Wide Activities	All Funds Obligated Quarter Ending Date			All Funds Expended Quarter Ending Date			Reasons for Revised Target Dates ²
	Original	Revised ¹	Actual ²	Original	Revised ¹	Actual ²	
1408	3/31/2001		12/31/1999	9/30/2002		9/30/2001	
1410	3/31/2001		12/31/1999	9/30/2002		3/31/2001	
1430	3/31/2001		12/31/1999	9/30/2002			
1465	3/31/2001		3/31/2000	9/30/2002			
1475	3/31/2001		3/31/2000	9/30/2002			
TN37P006001	3/31/2001		3/31/2000	9/30/2002		6/30/2001	
TN37P006002	3/31/2001		3/31/2000	9/30/2002			
TN37P006003	3/31/2001		3/31/2000	9/30/2002			
TN37P006004	3/31/2001		3/31/2000	9/30/2002			
TN37P006007	3/31/2001		3/31/2000	9/30/2002			
TN37P006009	3/31/2001		3/31/2000	9/30/2002		6/30/2001	
TN37P006011	3/31/2001		6/30/2000	9/30/2002			

Signature of Executive Director and Date

X

Terry W Cunningham, Executive Director

Signature of Public Housing Director/Office of Native American Programs Administrator and Date

X

¹ To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report or a Revised Annual Statement.

² To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report.

Annual Statement/Performance and Evaluation Report
Capital Fund Program (CFP) Part I: Summary

US Department of Housing and Urban Development
Office of Public and Indian Housing

OMB Approval No. 2577-0157 (Exp. 3/31/2002)

Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority
PO Box 44 Kingsport, TN 37662

Capital Fund Number
TN37P006501-00

FFY of Grant Approval
2000

- Original Annual Statement Reserve for Disasters/Emergencies Revised Annual Statement/Revision Number
 Performance and Evaluation Report for Program Year Ending 9/30/01 Final Performance and Evaluation Report

Line No.	Summary by Development Account	Total Estimated Cost		Total Actual Cost ²	
		Original	Revised ¹	Obligated	Expended
1	Total Non-CFP Funds	0	0	0	0
2	1406 Operations (May not exceed 20% of line 19)	147,831	147,831	147,831	147,831
3	1408 Management Improvements	159,500	159,500	158,619	101,782
4	1410 Administration	53,500	53,500	53,500	46,180
5	1411 Audit	0	0	0	0
6	1415 Liquidated Damages	0	0	0	0
7	1430 Fees and Costs	43,000	43,000	43,000	35,575
8	1440 Site Acquisition	0	0	0	0
9	1450 Site Improvement	19,000	19,000	19,000	9,530
10	1460 Dwelling Structures	497,214	497,214	497,214	221,246
11	1465.1 Dwelling Equipment - Nonexpendable	0	0	0	0
12	1470 Nondwelling Structures	14,000	14,000	8,394	11,194
13	1475 Nondwelling Equipment	37,000	37,000	37,000	28,178
14	1485 Demolition	0	0	0	0
15	1490 Replacement Reserve	0	0	0	0
16	1492 Moving to Work Demonstration	0	0	0	0
17	1495.1 Relocation Costs	0	0	0	0
18	1498 Mod Used for Development	0	0	0	0
19	1502 Contingency (may not exceed 8% of line 19)	0	0	0	0
20	Amount of Annual Grant (Sum of lines 2-18)	971,045	971,045	964,558	601,516
21	Amount of line 20 Related to LBP Activities	0	0		
22	Amount of line 20 Related to Section 504 Compliance	0	0		
23	Amount of line 20 Related to Security	0	0		
24	Amount of line 20 Related to Energy Conservation Measures	0	0		

Signature of Executive Director and Date

X **3/26/2002** **X**

Terry W. Cunningham, Executive Director

Signature of Public Housing Director/Office of Native American Programs Administrator and Date

¹ To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report or a Revised Annual Statement.

² To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report.

**Annual Statement/Performance and Evaluation Report
Capital Fund Program (CFP) Part II: Supporting Pages**

**US Department of Housing
and Urban Development
Office of Public and Indian Housing**

OMB Approval No. 2577-0157 (Exp. 3/31/2002)

Development Number/Name HA-Wide Activities	General Description of Major Work Categories	Development Account Number	Quantity	Total Estimated Cost		Total Actual Cost		Status of Proposed Work ²
				Original	Revised ¹	Funds Obligated ²	Funds Expended ²	
PHA-Wide Management Improvements	Operations	1406		147,831	147,831	147,831	147,831	
	Vista Workers	1408	4	35,500	35,500	35,500	37,500	
	Vista Office Supplies	1408		4,000	4,000	4,000	662	
	Resident Training	1408	2	5,000	5,000	5,000	1,138	
	Resident Initiatives Manager	1408	1	38,000	38,000	38,000	31,454	
	Resident Initiatives Supplies	1408		2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	
	Mgt/Maint Training	1408	4	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000	
	MIS Specialist	1408	1	15,000	15,000	15,000	13,752	
	UPS Inspection/Services	1408	1	32,000	32,000	32,000	2,344	
	Salary/Wage Study	1408		10,000	10,000	10,000	4,932	
HTVNSatellite System	1408		10,000	10,000	9,119	0		
PHA-Wide Administration				307,331	307,331	306,450	249,613	
	Mod Manager	1410	1	37,000	37,000	37,000	30,632	
	Employee Benefits	1410		10,500	10,500	10,500	10,245	
	Sundry	1410		2,500	2,500	2,500	403	
	Telephone	1410		1,500	1,500	1,500	2,554	
	Local Travel	1410		2,000	2,000	2,000	2,346	
PHA-Wide Fees & Costs				53,500	53,500	53,500	46,180	
	A and E Fees PHA Wide	1430		25,000	25,000	25,000	17,575	
	Inspector/Employee Benefits	1430	1	18,000	18,000	18,000	18,000	
				43,000	43,000	43,000	35,575	
Signature of Executive Director and Date X Terry W Cunningham, Executive Director				Signature of Public Housing Director/Office of Native American Programs Administrator and Date X				

¹ To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report or a Revised Annual Statement.

² To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report.

**Annual Statement/Performance and Evaluation Report
Capital Fund Program (CFP) Part II: Supporting Pages**

**US Department of Housing
and Urban Development
Office of Public and Indian Housing**

OMB Approval No. 2577-0157 (Exp. 3/31/2002)

Development Number/Name HA-Wide Activities	General Description of Major Work Categories	Development Account Number	Quantity	Total Estimated Cost		Total Actual Cost		Status of Proposed Work ²
				Original	Revised ¹	Funds Obligated ²	Funds Expended ²	
KHRA-Wide	Landscaping	1450		19,000	19,000	19,000	9,530	
TN37P006004 Frank L Cloud	Replace HVAC	1460	115 units	494,214	494,214	494,214	221,246	
TN37P006009 Holly Hills	Meter Box Covers	1460	22	3,000	3,000	3,000	0	
TN37P006001 Lee Homes	Community Room Renovations	1470	1	10,000	10,000	4,394	4,394	
	Maintenance Shop Renovations	1470	1	4,000	4,000	4,000	6,800	
				14,000	14,000	8,394	11,194	
KHRA-Wide Non-Dwelling Equipment	Dumpster Replacement	1475	20	15,000	15,000	15,000	5,891	
	Maint/Mgt Vehicle	1475	1	22,000	22,000	22,000	22,287	
				37,000	37,000	37,000	28,178	

Signature of Executive Director and Date
X
Terry W Cunningham, Executive Director

Signature of Public Housing Director/Office of Native American Programs Administrator and Date
X

¹To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report or a Revised Annual Statement.

form HUD-52837 (9/98)

²To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report.

ref Handbook 7485.3

1450	19,000	19,000	19,000	9,530
1460	497,214	497,214	497,214	221,246
1475	37,000	37,000	37,000	28,178

**Annual Statement/Performance and Evaluation Report
Capital Fund Program (CFP) Part III: Implementation Schedule**

**US Department of Housing
and Urban Development
Office of Public and Indian Housing**

OMB Approval No. 2577-0157 (Exp. 3/31/2002)

Development Number/Name HA-Wide Activities	All Funds Obligated Quarter Ending Date			All Funds Expended Quarter Ending Date			Reasons for Revised Target Dates ²
	Original	Revised ¹	Actual ²	Original	Revised ¹	Actual ²	
1406	3/31/2002		12/31/2000	9/30/2003		12/31/2000	
1408	3/31/2002			9/30/2003			
1410	3/31/2002		3/31/2001	9/30/2003			
1430	3/31/2002		12/31/2000	9/30/2003			
1460	3/31/2002		3/31/2001	9/30/2003			
1465	3/31/2002		3/31/2001	9/30/2003		6/30/2001	
1475	3/31/2002		3/31/2001	9/30/2003			
TN37P006001	3/31/2002		3/31/2001	9/30/2003		6/30/2001	
TN37P006004	3/31/2002		12/31/2000	9/30/2003			
TN37P006009	3/31/2002		3/31/2001	9/30/2003			
Signature of Executive Director and Date X Terry W Cunningham, Executive Director				Signature of Public Housing Director/Office of Native American Programs Administrator and Date X			

¹To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report or a Revised Annual Statement.

²To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report.

**Annual Statement/Performance and Evaluation Report
Capital Fund Program (CGP) Part I: Summary**

**US Department of Housing
and Urban Development
Office of Public and Indian Housing**

OMB Approval No. 2577-0157 (Exp. 3/31/2002)

**Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority
PO Box 44 Kingsport, TN 37662**

Capital Fund Number
TN37P006501-01

FFY of Grant Approval
2001

- Original Annual Statement Reserve for Disasters/Emergencies Revised Annual Statement/Revision Number
 Performance and Evaluation Report for Program Year Ending 9/30/2001 Final Performance and Evaluation Report

Line No.	Summary by Development Account	Total Estimated Cost		Total Actual Cost ²	
		Original	Revised ¹	Obligated	Expended
1	Total Non-CGPF Funds	0	0	0	0
2	1406 Operations	167,578	167,578	167,578	167,578
3	1408 Management Improvements	157,000	157,000	157,000	14,191
4	1410 Administration	53,500	53,500	53,500	1,755
5	1411 Audit	0	0	0	0
6	1415 Liquidated Damages	0	0	0	0
7	1430 Fees and Costs	42,000	42,000	42,000	12,793
8	1440 Site Acquisition	0	0	0	0
9	1450 Site Improvement	21,714	21,714	21,714	0
10	1460 Dwelling Structures	482,000	482,000	415,850	113
11	1465.1 Dwelling Equipment - Nonexpendable	26,000	26,000	26,000	91
12	1470 Nondwelling Structures	16,000	16,000	0	0
13	1475 Nondwelling Equipment	25,000	25,000	25,000	20,011
14	1485 Demolition	0	0	0	0
15	1490 Replacement Reserve	0	0	0	0
16	1492 Moving to Work Demonstration	0	0	0	0
17	1495.1 Relocation Costs	0	0	0	0
18	1498 Mod Used for Development	0	0	0	0
19	1502 Contingency (may not exceed 8% of line 19)	0	0	0	0
20	Amount of Annual Grant (Sum of lines 2-18)	990,792	990,792	908,642	216,532
21	Amount of line 20 Related to LBP Activities	0	0	0	0
22	Amount of line 20 Related to Section 504 Compliance	0	0	0	0
23	Amount of line 20 Related to Security	0	0	0	0
24	Amount of line 20 Related to Energy Conservation Measures	0	0	0	0

Signature of Executive Director and Date

X **3/26/2002** **X**

Terry W. Cunningham, Executive Director

Signature of Public Housing Director/Office of Native American Programs Administrator and Date

¹ To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report or a Revised Annual Statement.

² To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report.

**Annual Statement/Performance and Evaluation Report
Capital Fund Program (CGP) Part II: Supporting Pages**

**US Department of Housing
and Urban Development
Office of Public and Indian Housing**

OMB Approval No. 2577-0157 (Exp. 3/31/2002)

Development Number/Name HA-Wide Activities	General Description of Major Work Categories	Development Account Number	Quantity	Total Estimated Cost		Total Actual Cost		Status of Proposed Work ²
				Original	Revised ¹	Funds Obligated ²	Funds Expended ²	
PHA-Wide Management Improvements	Operations	1406		167,578	167,578	167,578	167,578	
	Vista Workers	1408	4	50,000	50,000	50,000	13,500	
	Vista Office Supplies	1408		4,000	4,000	4,000	0	
	Resident Training	1408		5,000	5,000	5,000	0	
	Resident Initiatives Manager	1408	1	40,000	40,000	40,000	0	
	Resident Initiatives Supplies	1408		2,000	2,000	2,000	77	
	Mgt/Maint Training	1408		8,000	8,000	8,000	614	
	MIS Specialist	1408	1	16,000	16,000	16,000	0	
UPCS Inspection/Services	1408		32,000	32,000	32,000	0		
				157,000	157,000	157,000	14,191	
PHA-Wide Administration	Mod Manager	1410	1	37,000	37,000	37,000	0	
	Employee Benefits	1410		10,500	10,500	10,500	0	
	Sundry	1410		2,500	2,500	2,500	0	
	Telephone	1410		1,500	1,500	1,500	1,074	
	Local Travel	1410		2,000	2,000	2,000	681	
				53,500	53,500	53,500	1,755	
PHA-Wide Fees & Costs	A and E Fees PHA Wide	1430		24,000	24,000	24,000	12,000	
	Inspector/Employee Benefits	1430	1	18,000	18,000	18,000	793	
				42,000	42,000	42,000	12,793	
Signature of Executive Director and Date				Signature of Public Housing Director/Office of Native American Programs Administrator and Date				
X				X				
Terry W Cunningham, Executive Director								

¹To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report or a Revised Annual Statement.

form HUD-52837(9/98)

²To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report.

ref Handbook 7485.3

1406	167,578	167,578	167,578	167,578
1408	157,000	157,000	157,000	14,191
1410	53,500	53,500	53,500	1,755
1430	42,000	42,000	42,000	12,793

**Annual Statement/Performance and Evaluation Report
Capital Fund Program (CGP) Part II: Supporting Pages**

**US Department of Housing
and Urban Development
Office of Public and Indian Housing**

OMB Approval No. 2577-0157 (Exp. 3/31/2002)

Development Number/Name HA-Wide Activities	General Description of Major Work Categories	Development Account Number	Quantity	Total Estimated Cost		Total Actual Cost		Status of Proposed Work ²
				Original	Revised ¹	Funds Obligated ²	Funds Expended ²	
KHRA-Wide	Landscaping	1450		21,714	21,714	21,714	0	
TN37P006001 Lee Homes	Replace HVAC	1460	128 units	384,000	384,000	384,000	113	
TN37P006004 Frank L Cloud	Replace Water Heaters	1460	189 units	66,150	66,150	0	0	
TN37P006009 Holly Hills	Replace Water Heaters	1460	84 units	29,400	29,400	29,400	0	
TN37P006011 Tiffany Court	Replace Water Heaters	1460	14 units	2,450	2,450	2,450	0	
KHRA-Wide Dwelling Equipment	Refrigerators	1465	34	14,000	14,000	14,000	0	
	Ranges	1465	20	6,000	6,000	6,000	0	
	ADA Modification/Equipment	1465	5	6,000	6,000	6,000	91	
				26,000	26,000	26,000	91	
TN37P006001 Lee Homes	Maintenance Shop Renovation	1470		16,000	16,000	0	0	
KHRA-Wide Non-Dwelling Equipment	Maint/Mgt Vehicle	1475	1	25,000	25,000	25,000	20,011	

Signature of Executive Director and Date

X

Terry W Cunningham, Executive Director

Signature of Public Housing Director/Office of Native American Programs Administrator and Date

X

¹ To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report or a Revised Annual Statement.

² To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report.

1450	21,714	21,714	21,714	0
1460	482,000	482,000	415,850	113
1465	26,000	26,000	26,000	91
1470	16,000	16,000	0	0
1475	25,000	25,000	25,000	20,011

**Annual Statement/Performance and Evaluation Report
Capital Fund Program (CGP) Part III: Implementation Schedule**

**US Department of Housing
and Urban Development
Office of Public and Indian Housing**

OMB Approval No. 2577-0157 (Exp. 3/31/2002)

Development Number/Name HA-Wide Activities	All Funds Obligated Quarter Ending Date			All Funds Expended Quarter Ending Date			Reasons for Revised Target Dates ²
	Original	Revised ¹	Actual ²	Original	Revised ¹	Actual ²	
1406	3/31/2003	3/31/2003	6/30/2001	9/30/2004	9/30/2004	6/30/2001	
1408	3/31/2003	3/31/2003	6/30/2001	9/30/2004	9/30/2004		
1410	3/31/2003	3/31/2003	6/30/2001	9/30/2004	9/30/2004		
1430	3/31/2003	3/31/2003	6/30/2001	9/30/2004	9/30/2004		
1460	3/31/2003	3/31/2003		9/30/2004	9/30/2004		
1465	3/31/2003	3/31/2003	6/30/2001	9/30/2004	9/30/2004		
1470	3/31/2003	3/31/2003		9/30/2004	9/30/2004		
1475	3/31/2003	3/31/2003	6/30/2001	9/30/2004	9/30/2004		
TN37P006001	3/31/2003	3/31/2003	9/30/2001	9/30/2004	9/30/2004		
TN37P006004	3/31/2003	3/31/2003		9/30/2004	9/30/2004		
TN37P006009	3/31/2003	3/31/2003	6/30/2001	9/30/2004	9/30/2004		
TN37P006011	3/31/2003	3/31/2003	6/30/2001	9/30/2004	9/30/2004		
Signature of Executive Director and Date X Terry W Cunningham, Executive Director				Signature of Public Housing Director/Office of Native American Programs Administrator and Date X			

¹To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report or a Revised Annual Statement.

²To be completed for the Performance and Evaluation Report.