

Guide for Review of the Overall Benefit Test			
Name of Program Participant:			
Staff Consulted:			
Name(s) of Reviewer(s)		Date	

NOTE: All questions that address requirements contain the citation for the source of the requirement (statute, regulation, NOFA, or grant agreement). If the requirement is not met, HUD must make a finding of noncompliance. All other questions (questions that do not contain the citation for the requirement) do not address requirements, but are included to assist the reviewer in understanding the participant's program more fully and/or to identify issues that, if not properly addressed, could result in deficient performance. Negative conclusions to these questions may result in a "concern" being raised, but not a "**finding.**"

Instructions:

States are required, under 24 CFR 570.484 and sections 101(c) and 104(b)(3) of the Act, to ensure that not less than 70% of their funds are used for activities which benefit low- and moderate-income persons over a period not to exceed three years. This exhibit is designed to review the extent to which this requirement has been met. One form for each time period should be used, e.g., if a state picked a one-year period for 2002 and then a two-year period covering 2003 and 2004, the HUD reviewer would use two forms in determining compliance (one for each period).

Questions:

1.

What is the period of certification (by FY) being reviewed?
Describe Basis for Conclusion:

2.

Is it obvious that the 70% test has been met, e.g., the State spent no funds that did not benefit low- and moderate-income persons? (If yes, the rest of this Exhibit can be skipped.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Yes	No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

3.

a. Total grant amount(s) for the period identified in #1.	\$0.00
b. Plus total amount of reallocated funds state received during the period identified in #1.	+\$0.00
c. Plus total amount of program income state distributed in the period identified in #1.	+\$0.00
d. Total amount subject to the 70% test (sum of items a, b, and c).	\$0.00
e. Less amount of state administrative costs during the period identified in #1:	- \$0.00
f. Less amount for administration/planning and management by recipients for period in #1. (Exclude planning-only grants made to units of local government from this amount.)	-\$0.00
g. Less amount set aside for technical assistance to nonprofits and units of local government, during the period identified in #1:	-\$0.00
h. Less amount expended for repayment of Section 108 loans:	-\$0.00
i. Amount for calculation of 70% test (items e, f, g and h subtracted from item d):	\$0.00
j. Amount for activities which benefit low- and moderate-income during period identified in item #1:	\$0.00
k. Percent expended on low and mod to meet 70% test (item j divided by item i):	0%

4.

Based on the review results, has the state complied with the 70% overall low/moderate income benefit requirement for the period tested? [24 CFR 570.484]	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	