

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
ALBUQUERQUE										
ALBUQUERQUE	C	3/31/2006		\$24,703,032	\$25,346,141	\$4,682,094	\$30,028,236	100.0	\$0	
NM	R		\$27,356,533	\$4,054,562			\$4,059,062	15.0	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$19,426,000		\$4,682,094	\$28,154,901	100.0	\$0	
LAS CRUCES										
LAS CRUCES	C	8/31/2006		\$4,593,005	\$4,952,176	\$566,285	\$5,518,461	100.0	\$0	
NM	R		\$5,258,022	\$713,703			\$1,182,270	22.5#	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$3,545,000		\$566,285	\$5,739,268	100.0	\$0	
NEW MEXICO										
NEW MEXICO	C	5/31/2006		\$66,851,882	\$69,858,276	\$4,472,698	\$74,330,974	100.0	\$0	
NM	R		\$77,183,262	\$10,842,623			\$17,368,653	22.7	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$53,143,000		\$4,472,698	\$72,753,713	100.0	\$0	

[±] C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
ANCHORAGE										
ALASKA	C	8/31/2006		\$34,543,427	\$33,637,981	\$1,114,415	\$34,752,396	100.0	\$0	
AK	R		\$39,212,834	\$5,040,221			\$9,525,685	24.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$30,000,000		\$1,114,415	\$34,561,351	100.0	\$0	
ANCHORAGE	C	5/31/2006		\$10,864,990	\$10,067,263	\$1,004,144	\$11,071,407	100.0	\$0	
AK	R		\$12,269,514	\$1,686,304			\$1,705,256	14.1#	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$8,669,700		\$1,004,144	\$10,469,659	100.0	\$0	

⁺ C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
ATLANTA										
ALBANY	C	7/31/2006		\$8,608,818	\$7,596,277	\$1,668,419	\$9,264,696	100.0	\$0	
GA	R		\$9,057,124	\$1,358,569			\$1,455,705	16.1	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$6,886,000		\$1,668,419	\$9,149,987	100.0	\$0	
ATHENS-CLARKE										
GA	C	8/31/2006		\$7,854,388	\$8,671,140	\$767,307	\$9,438,448	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$9,123,351	\$1,350,601			\$3,296,311	36.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$6,236,000		\$767,307	\$8,997,943	100.0	\$0	
ATLANTA										
GA	C	4/30/2006		\$43,505,066	\$42,119,149	\$1,743,224	\$43,862,373	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$49,155,996	\$7,300,046			\$8,977,604	18.4	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$36,896,000		\$1,743,224	\$44,100,735	100.0	\$0	
AUGUSTA										
GA	C	4/30/2006		\$12,305,586	\$12,999,508	\$930,251	\$13,929,759	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$13,914,085	\$2,064,563			\$4,093,185	29.7	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$9,477,000		\$930,251	\$13,879,250	100.0	\$0	
CLAYTON CO										
GA	C	7/31/2006		\$3,784,856	\$4,077,650	\$114,993	\$4,192,644	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$4,358,848	\$632,864			\$783,778	18.6	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$1,485,000		\$114,993	\$3,843,685	100.0	\$0	
COBB CO CON										
GA	C	4/30/2006		\$26,981,963	\$28,371,561	\$2,759,192	\$31,130,753	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$31,318,871	\$4,653,407			\$7,255,149	23.4	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$25,116,000		\$2,759,192	\$31,965,627	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

PJ	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline	%	Shortfall	Current Status
COLUMBUS-MUSCOGEE	C	8/31/2006		\$14,746,391	\$14,841,825	\$165,071	\$15,006,897	100.0	\$0	
GA	R		\$16,389,972	\$2,439,331			\$2,868,563	17.6	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$12,304,000		\$165,071	\$15,928,879	100.0	\$0	
DEKALB CO	C	4/30/2006		\$23,642,510	\$22,964,290	\$4,140,438	\$27,104,729	100.0	\$0	
GA	R		\$26,938,072	\$3,978,259			\$3,983,259	15.0	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$18,095,000		\$4,140,438	\$28,521,398	100.0	\$0	
FULTON CO CON	C	4/30/2006		\$6,434,986	\$7,898,858	\$333,464	\$8,232,322	100.0	\$0	
GA	R		\$7,135,417	\$1,033,983			\$2,366,556	34.3	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$2,298,000		\$333,464	\$6,715,118	100.0	\$0	
GEORGIA	C	8/31/2006		\$216,623,898	\$194,232,554	\$27,862,772	\$222,095,326	100.0	\$0	
GA	R		\$240,917,739	\$34,562,569			\$36,158,058	15.1	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$169,829,000		\$27,862,772	\$219,519,242	100.0	\$0	
GWINNETT CO	C	4/30/2006		\$5,060,415	\$5,515,048	\$315,686	\$5,830,735	100.0	\$0	
GA	R		\$5,927,648	\$857,637			\$1,094,614	19.1	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$1,616,000		\$315,686	\$5,442,270	100.0	\$0	
MACON	C	8/31/2006		\$11,681,256	\$12,483,500	\$5,268,339	\$17,751,838	100.0	\$0	
GA	R		\$12,870,752	\$1,922,120			\$3,978,721	31.0	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$9,650,000		\$5,268,339	\$18,353,981	100.0	\$0	
SAVANNAH	C	4/30/2006		\$14,578,043	\$12,536,790	\$8,912,669	\$21,449,459	100.0	\$0	
GA	R		\$16,896,262	\$2,514,691			\$3,765,577	22.5	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$12,693,000		\$8,912,669	\$23,284,905	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
BALTIMORE										
ANNE ARUNDEL CO MD	C	8/31/2006		\$9,575,562	\$9,368,709	\$2,110,130	\$11,478,839	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$10,715,773	\$1,587,290			\$1,960,460	18.5	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$7,735,000		\$2,110,130	\$12,370,643	100.0	\$0	
BALTIMORE MD	C	8/31/2006		\$92,558,306	\$89,437,138	\$218,004	\$89,655,142	96.9	\$2,903,164	Deobligation complete (\$1,937,606)
	R		\$103,146,206	\$15,361,417			\$21,965,837	21.4	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$78,325,000		\$218,004	\$91,022,978	100.0	\$0	
BALTIMORE CO MD	C	7/31/2006		\$24,965,651	\$22,683,568	\$4,041,361	\$26,724,928	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$28,362,019	\$4,013,834			\$4,013,833	14.4 #	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$19,868,000		\$4,041,361	\$26,845,394	100.0	\$0	
HARFORD CO MD	C	7/31/2006		\$3,679,056	\$3,838,657	\$72,000	\$3,910,657	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$4,125,407	\$607,202			\$1,270,516	31.4	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$2,392,000		\$72,000	\$3,536,331	100.0	\$0	
HOWARD CO MD	C	7/31/2006		\$1,071,389	\$1,053,815	\$217,475	\$1,271,290	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$1,206,304	\$169,964			\$204,798	18.1	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$217,475	\$0	0.0**		
MARYLAND MD	C	8/31/2006		\$73,881,835	\$76,782,899	\$5,957,204	\$82,740,102	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$85,204,579	\$12,294,240			\$15,850,709	18.7	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$60,748,000		\$5,957,204	\$90,296,938	100.0	\$0	

† C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
BIRMINGHAM										
ALABAMA	C	5/31/2006		\$177,100,330	\$191,812,384	\$42,145	\$191,854,528	100.0	\$0	
AL	R		\$188,874,324	\$28,111,561			\$35,389,833	18.9	\$0	
	D	12/31/2006		\$136,113,000		\$42,145	\$181,194,127	100.0	\$0	
BIRMINGHAM	C	7/31/2006		\$27,863,934	\$25,145,207	\$4,710,528	\$29,855,735	100.0	\$0	
AL	R		\$29,807,067	\$4,433,863			\$4,790,665	16.2	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$22,315,000		\$4,710,528	\$27,826,984	100.0	\$0	
HUNTSVILLE	C	7/31/2006		\$7,971,293	\$8,525,026	\$1,616,097	\$10,141,123	100.0	\$0	
AL	R		\$9,171,583	\$1,356,745			\$1,497,209	16.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$6,692,000		\$1,616,097	\$10,966,139	100.0	\$0	
JEFFERSON CO	C	10/31/2006		\$11,444,697	\$10,457,041	\$2,169,814	\$12,626,854	100.0	\$0	
AL	R		\$13,240,303	\$1,967,499			\$3,472,299	26.5	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$9,914,000		\$2,169,814	\$14,056,563	100.0	\$0	
MOBILE	C	6/30/2006		\$16,989,636	\$17,018,365	\$127,411	\$17,145,776	100.0	\$0	
AL	R		\$19,390,150	\$2,885,453			\$3,761,858	19.6	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$14,347,000		\$127,411	\$16,753,378	100.0	\$0	
MOBILE CO	C	7/31/2006		\$2,777,426	\$2,778,941	\$15,510	\$2,794,451	100.0	\$0	
AL	R		\$3,081,418	\$450,714			\$470,514	15.7	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$15,510	\$0	0.0**		

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C.R.orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
MONTGOMERY	C	6/30/2006		\$13,835,689	\$15,594,803	\$15,105	\$15,609,908	100.0	\$0	
AL	R		\$15,301,637	\$2,274,002			\$4,682,539	30.9	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$11,186,000		\$15,105	\$14,706,242	100.0	\$0	
TUSCALOOSA	C	4/30/2006		\$7,460,207	\$6,959,132	\$1,170,255	\$8,129,387	100.0	\$0	
AL	R		\$8,617,106	\$1,292,566			\$2,719,357	31.6	\$0	
	D	4/30/2006		\$6,470,000		\$1,170,255	\$8,626,213	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Thursday, October 02, 2008

Page 7 of 114

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
BOSTON										
AUBURN CON ME	C	8/31/2006		\$1,669,288	\$1,754,483	\$27,444	\$1,781,926	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$1,854,764	\$278,215			\$578,899	31.2	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$27,444	\$0	0.0**		
BARNSTABLE CO CON MA	C	8/31/2006		\$6,942,158	\$6,649,889	\$922,044	\$7,571,933	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$7,815,435	\$1,157,988			\$2,087,180	27.0	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$5,398,000		\$922,044	\$8,011,737	100.0	\$0	
BOSTON MA	C	10/31/2006		\$76,508,796	\$81,853,260	\$2,469,689	\$84,322,949	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$89,632,054	\$13,332,687			\$23,677,876	26.6	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$63,875,000		\$2,469,689	\$89,978,167	100.0	\$0	
BROCKTON MA	C	8/31/2006		\$9,329,409	\$10,531,677	\$545,807	\$11,077,484	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$10,417,695	\$1,484,754			\$4,906,611	47.1#	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$7,693,100		\$545,807	\$11,389,106	100.0	\$0	
BURLINGTON VT	C	7/31/2006		\$1,144,624	\$1,186,293	\$144,444	\$1,330,737	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$1,315,569	\$197,335			\$250,936	19.1	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$144,444	\$0	0.0**		
CAMBRIDGE MA	C	8/31/2006		\$10,180,292	\$10,847,666	\$175,002	\$11,022,667	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$11,328,105	\$1,682,490			\$4,968,848	44.3	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$7,977,000		\$175,002	\$10,943,268	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

PJ	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline	%	Shortfall	Current Status
FALL RIVER MA	C	8/31/2006		\$12,775,560	\$9,681,506	\$3,828,344	\$13,509,850	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$14,186,579	\$2,106,819			\$2,456,719	17.5	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$10,295,000		\$3,828,344	\$14,847,733	100.0	\$0	
FITCHBURG CON MA	C	8/31/2006		\$5,757,362	\$5,295,968	\$1,687,809	\$6,983,777	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$6,835,266	\$1,025,290			\$1,505,897	22.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$4,811,000		\$1,687,809	\$7,625,409	100.0	\$0	
HOLYOKE CON MA	C	8/31/2006		\$12,661,425	\$12,236,117	\$698,237	\$12,934,354	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$14,011,325	\$2,084,285			\$4,114,223	29.6	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$9,977,000		\$698,237	\$13,091,173	100.0	\$0	
LAWRENCE MA	C	6/30/2007		\$12,530,231	\$12,569,988	\$3,063,717	\$15,633,705	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$14,513,909	\$2,168,895			\$2,753,875	19.0	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$10,793,000		\$3,063,717	\$14,879,399	100.0	\$0	
LOWELL MA	C	8/31/2006		\$12,486,948	\$14,440,330	\$4,447,878	\$18,888,207	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$13,862,893	\$2,062,644			\$3,025,827	22.0	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$10,060,000		\$4,447,878	\$17,151,651	100.0	\$0	
LYNN MA	C	8/31/2006		\$8,616,716	\$9,028,143	\$2,114,889	\$11,143,033	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$9,567,920	\$1,426,806			\$1,588,263	16.7	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$6,259,000		\$2,114,889	\$12,013,326	100.0	\$0	
MAINE ME	C	5/31/2006		\$65,400,966	\$66,419,167	\$4,095,925	\$70,515,092	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$72,943,668	\$10,173,903			\$12,578,264	17.4	\$0	
	D	5/31/2006		\$51,517,000		\$4,095,925	\$70,484,849	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
MALDEN CON	C	8/31/2006		\$25,412,698	\$23,799,049	\$8,508,877	\$32,307,927	100.0	\$0	
MA	R		\$28,374,997	\$4,215,053			\$6,064,887	21.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$20,023,000		\$8,508,877	\$31,088,350	100.0	\$0	
MANCHESTER	C	7/31/2006		\$7,854,984	\$8,595,676	\$262,391	\$8,858,066	100.0	\$0	
NH	R		\$8,644,079	\$1,279,535			\$2,529,830	29.7	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$5,997,000		\$262,391	\$9,141,289	100.0	\$0	
MASSACHUSETTS	C	5/31/2006		\$157,140,764	\$170,397,937	\$0	\$170,397,937	100.0	\$0	
MA	R		\$174,409,292	\$25,589,321			\$53,654,962	31.0	\$0	
	D	4/30/2006		\$124,745,000		\$0	\$174,319,460	100.0	\$0	
NASHUA	C	7/31/2006		\$1,128,462	\$1,481,000	\$0	\$1,481,000	100.0	\$0	
NH	R		\$1,327,602	\$199,140			\$1,188,435	89.5	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
NEW BEDFORD	C	8/31/2006		\$14,322,857	\$14,220,265	\$2,890,020	\$17,110,285	100.0	\$0	
MA	R		\$15,887,988	\$2,365,558			\$2,575,890	16.3	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$11,635,000		\$2,890,020	\$17,700,019	100.0	\$0	
NEW HAMPSHIRE	C	5/31/2006		\$45,649,549	\$46,636,688	\$0	\$46,636,688	100.0	\$0	
NH	R		\$50,533,398	\$7,473,535			\$12,376,820	24.8	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$35,210,000		\$0	\$46,672,233	100.0	\$0	
NEWTON CON	C	8/31/2006		\$13,165,167	\$13,332,555	\$1,205,597	\$14,538,151	100.0	\$0	
MA	R		\$15,262,626	\$2,265,627			\$4,431,828	29.3	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$10,460,000		\$1,205,597	\$14,602,060	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

PJ	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline	%	Shortfall	Current Status
PAWTUCKET RI	C	8/31/2006		\$6,848,889	\$7,373,468	\$5,743	\$7,379,211	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$7,977,282	\$1,188,888			\$4,141,128	52.2	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$5,522,000		\$5,743	\$7,680,506	100.0	\$0	
PEABODY CON MA	C	10/31/2006		\$23,106,563	\$22,911,514	\$2,079,479	\$24,990,993	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$25,140,771	\$3,730,187			\$4,643,151	18.7	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$18,005,000		\$2,079,479	\$23,677,386	100.0	\$0	
PORTLAND ME	C	7/31/2006		\$7,038,967	\$7,213,199	\$2,802,658	\$10,015,857	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$7,868,763	\$1,180,314			\$1,289,961	16.4	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$5,684,000		\$2,802,658	\$10,741,268	100.0	\$0	
PROVIDENCE RI	C	8/31/2006		\$22,941,358	\$25,146,930	\$997,480	\$26,144,410	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$26,872,551	\$3,994,788			\$6,619,352	24.9	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$18,759,000		\$997,480	\$29,162,263	100.0	\$0	
QUINCY CON MA	C	9/30/2006		\$8,219,352	\$7,439,572	\$1,745,240	\$9,184,812	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$9,397,467	\$1,400,911			\$2,666,675	28.6	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$6,925,000		\$1,745,240	\$9,922,091	100.0	\$0	
RHODE ISLAND RI	C	5/31/2006		\$47,473,629	\$52,330,858	\$425,076	\$52,755,934	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$52,099,212	\$6,216,560			\$23,977,993	46.5	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$36,123,600		\$425,076	\$51,588,972	100.0	\$0	
SOMERVILLE MA	C	5/31/2006		\$8,488,840	\$8,678,459	\$1,632,372	\$10,310,831	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$9,986,871	\$1,498,031			\$3,505,819	35.1	\$0	
	D	4/30/2006		\$7,176,000		\$1,632,372	\$9,968,912	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
SPRINGFIELD MA	C	8/31/2006		\$20,535,773	\$19,471,620	\$1,288,969	\$20,760,589	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$23,187,757	\$3,453,870			\$4,209,161	18.3	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$17,203,000		\$1,288,969	\$20,462,492	100.0	\$0	
TAUNTON CON MA	C	10/31/2006		\$911,143	\$1,815,316	\$0	\$1,815,316	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$1,006,708	\$143,348			\$282,162	29.5	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
VERMONT VT	C	7/31/2006		\$40,272,143	\$41,569,515	\$123,011	\$41,692,526	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$47,456,613	\$6,861,048			\$11,184,048	23.7	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$35,549,000		\$123,011	\$47,491,099	100.0	\$0	
WOONSOCKET RI	C	7/31/2006		\$5,001,092	\$5,322,025	\$683,878	\$6,005,903	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$5,556,769	\$833,515			\$920,105	16.6	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$3,812,400		\$683,878	\$6,502,038	100.0	\$0	
WORCESTER MA	C	9/30/2006		\$18,715,777	\$17,683,370	\$1,285,836	\$18,969,206	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$20,776,596	\$3,087,078			\$4,726,319	23.0	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$14,688,000		\$1,285,836	\$20,425,930	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
BUFFALO										
ALBANY	C	6/30/2006		\$12,261,620	\$13,224,811	\$274,210	\$13,499,020	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$13,183,685	\$1,957,198			\$2,548,023	19.5	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$9,065,000		\$274,210	\$14,539,270	100.0	\$0	
AMHERST CON	C	4/30/2006		\$10,710,644	\$11,125,771	\$2,830,780	\$13,956,551	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$11,832,046	\$1,754,422			\$4,054,961	34.7	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$8,128,000		\$2,830,780	\$14,232,338	100.0	\$0	
BINGHAMTON	C	9/30/2006		\$7,181,902	\$7,729,859	\$264,404	\$7,994,263	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$7,902,063	\$1,185,309			\$1,307,891	16.6	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$5,652,000		\$264,404	\$7,593,387	100.0	\$0	
BUFFALO	C	12/31/2006		\$57,377,837	\$58,181,867	\$2,047,143	\$60,229,010	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$64,909,611	\$9,677,299			\$16,803,285	26.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$49,991,000		\$2,047,143	\$55,477,825	100.0	\$0	
ELMIRA	C	8/31/2006		\$4,213,836	\$4,382,135	\$214,246	\$4,596,381	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$4,512,708	\$676,906			\$677,540	15.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$3,160,000		\$214,246	\$4,868,464	100.0	\$0	
ERIE CO CON	C	4/30/2006		\$13,121,011	\$13,257,767	\$714,925	\$13,972,691	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$14,555,107	\$2,162,727			\$2,491,035	17.3	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$10,635,000		\$714,925	\$14,949,984	100.0	\$0	

⁺ C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

PJ	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline	%	Shortfall	Current Status
ITHACA NY	C	8/31/2006		\$541,502	\$919,859	\$0	\$919,859	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$601,669	\$90,250			\$552,188	91.8	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
JAMESTOWN NY	C	9/30/2006		\$3,488,372	\$3,691,639	\$36,649	\$3,728,288	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$3,875,969	\$581,395			\$597,992	15.4	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$2,509,000		\$36,649	\$3,542,790	100.0	\$0	
JEFFERSON CO CON NY	C	7/31/2006		\$13,623,290	\$14,615,129	\$0	\$14,615,129	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$15,056,799	\$2,238,330			\$2,795,976	18.7	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$10,599,000		\$0	\$15,507,363	100.0	\$0	
MONROE CO CON NY	C	9/30/2006		\$13,142,291	\$12,193,268	\$1,118,146	\$13,311,414	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$14,699,011	\$2,180,023			\$2,425,398	16.7	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$10,740,000		\$1,118,146	\$14,199,087	100.0	\$0	
NIAGARA FALLS NY	C	4/30/2006		\$7,781,326	\$7,951,403	\$409,343	\$8,360,746	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$8,448,861	\$1,267,329			\$4,116,533	48.7	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$6,919,000		\$409,343	\$8,215,757	100.0	\$0	
ONONDAGA CO CON NY	C	8/31/2006		\$8,816,670	\$9,088,970	\$0	\$9,088,970	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$9,789,442	\$1,451,314			\$1,585,351	16.4	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$6,996,000		\$0	\$9,120,745	100.0	\$0	
ROCHESTER NY	C	9/30/2006		\$38,143,430	\$38,519,305	\$1,343,045	\$39,862,350	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$42,293,754	\$6,298,454			\$8,969,127	21.4	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$30,798,000		\$1,343,045	\$39,436,887	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
SCHENECTADY CON NY	C	9/30/2006		\$15,872,417	\$15,341,396	\$1,448,126	\$16,789,522	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$18,139,297	\$2,694,343			\$3,645,408	20.3	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$13,005,000		\$1,448,126	\$17,322,345	100.0	\$0	
SYRACUSE NY	C	8/31/2006		\$21,849,061	\$21,764,302	\$481,398	\$22,245,700	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$25,213,467	\$3,751,992			\$6,204,536	24.8	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$18,389,000		\$481,398	\$24,069,968	100.0	\$0	
UTICA NY	C	4/30/2006		\$10,194,078	\$10,294,418	\$63,018	\$10,357,437	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$11,504,694	\$1,725,704			\$7,621,677	66.2	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$8,502,000		\$63,018	\$8,817,479	100.0	\$0	

[±] C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
CARIBBEAN										
AGUADILLA PR	C	8/31/2006		\$5,888,613	\$5,124,862	\$810,127	\$5,934,989	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$6,700,010	\$1,005,002			\$1,257,730	18.8	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$4,817,000		\$810,127	\$5,504,943	100.0	\$0	
ARECIBO PR	C	8/31/2006		\$7,080,535	\$7,299,530	\$88,437	\$7,387,968	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$7,969,094	\$1,103,714			\$1,167,000	14.6#	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$5,621,350		\$88,437	\$6,214,361	100.0	\$0	
BAYAMON PR	C	8/31/2006		\$16,218,389	\$16,874,074	\$0	\$16,874,074	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$18,020,432	\$2,696,123			\$3,262,479	18.2	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$12,771,000		\$0	\$12,900,924	100.0	\$0	
CAGUAS PR	C	8/31/2006		\$10,106,105	\$10,071,971	\$300,900	\$10,372,871	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$11,229,006	\$1,684,351			\$1,658,879	14.8	\$25,472	FO conf deadline met 10/17/06
	D	8/31/2006		\$8,016,000		\$300,900	\$9,878,584	100.0	\$0	
CAROLINA PR	C	8/31/2006		\$14,472,493	\$14,774,297	\$31,481	\$14,805,778	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$16,442,798	\$2,165,172			\$2,322,164	14.2#	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$11,459,150		\$31,481	\$12,885,388	100.0	\$0	
GUAYNABO PR	C	8/31/2006		\$6,487,428	\$6,241,573	\$0	\$6,241,573	96.2	\$245,855	Deob. Complete (\$31,441)
	R		\$7,242,426	\$1,055,609			\$841,195	11.6#	\$214,414	Deobligation Complete
	D	8/31/2006		\$5,181,000		\$0	\$5,988,362	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

PJ	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline	%	Shortfall	Current Status
MAYAGUEZ	C	8/31/2006		\$12,041,063	\$12,217,318	\$71,783	\$12,289,101	100.0	\$0	
PR	R		\$13,393,496	\$2,009,024			\$3,927,181	29.3	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$9,686,000		\$71,783	\$12,420,597	100.0	\$0	
PONCE	C	8/31/2006		\$15,973,613	\$16,099,470	\$0	\$16,099,470	100.0	\$0	
PR	R		\$17,615,126	\$2,635,427			\$4,967,216	28.3	\$0	
	D	12/30/2006		\$12,812,000		\$0	\$12,992,730	100.0	\$0	
PUERTO RICO	C	8/31/2006		\$145,266,507	\$150,910,711	\$846,811	\$151,757,523	100.0	\$0	
PR	R		\$162,543,258	\$24,152,613			\$26,594,163	16.4	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$111,725,000		\$846,811	\$112,672,031	100.0	\$0	
SAN JUAN	C	8/31/2006		\$63,320,792	\$64,413,520	\$773,392	\$65,186,912	100.0	\$0	
PR	R		\$70,918,309	\$10,612,014			\$15,361,030	21.7	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$52,550,000		\$773,392	\$60,184,698	100.0	\$0	
TOA BAJA	C	9/30/2006		\$3,989,473	\$4,401,011	\$0	\$4,401,011	100.0	\$0	
PR	R		\$4,499,414	\$614,912			\$614,911	13.7 #	\$1	Deobligation Complete
	D	8/31/2006		\$2,787,000		\$0	\$4,286,899	100.0	\$0	
TRUJILLO ALTO	C	8/31/2006		\$1,130,768	\$1,130,764	\$0	\$1,130,764	99.9	\$4	< \$1,000 (no deob. Nec.)
PR	R		\$1,256,409	\$188,461			\$188,461	15.0	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
VIRGIN ISLANDS	C	10/31/2006		\$12,045,605	\$11,820,820	\$182,400	\$12,003,220	99.6	\$42,385	FO conf deadline met 9/22/06
VI	R		\$13,864,000	\$0			\$0	0.0	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$9,874,000		\$182,400	\$12,728,125	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
CHICAGO										
CHICAGO	C	5/31/2006		\$381,414,737	\$418,277,136	\$5,480,160	\$423,757,296	100.0	\$0	
IL	R		\$421,460,816	\$62,774,499			\$92,302,649	22.1	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$315,091,000		\$5,480,160	\$393,285,237	100.0	\$0	
COOK CO CON	C	10/31/2006		\$64,919,847	\$65,308,061	\$5,558,873	\$70,866,934	100.0	\$0	
IL	R		\$72,195,512	\$10,716,308			\$11,268,915	15.8	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$52,039,000		\$5,558,873	\$71,597,073	100.0	\$0	
DECATUR	C	6/30/2006		\$7,479,475	\$7,033,289	\$547,663	\$7,580,952	100.0	\$0	
IL	R		\$8,603,417	\$1,290,513			\$2,809,612	32.7	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$6,162,000		\$547,663	\$7,930,525	100.0	\$0	
DUPAGE CO CON	C	6/30/2006		\$25,842,724	\$26,496,621	\$4,911,601	\$31,408,222	100.0	\$0	
IL	R		\$26,213,696	\$3,883,899			\$5,740,621	22.2	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$18,420,999		\$4,911,601	\$32,932,822	100.0	\$0	
EAST ST. LOUIS	C	4/30/2006		\$7,321,597	\$7,387,139	\$343,630	\$7,730,769	100.0	\$0	
IL	R		\$8,070,021	\$1,210,503			\$1,294,988	16.0	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$6,463,000		\$343,630	\$8,079,814	100.0	\$0	
EVANSTON	C	4/30/2006		\$4,369,456	\$4,214,665	\$239,024	\$4,453,689	100.0	\$0	
IL	R		\$4,779,272	\$716,891			\$2,265,843	47.4	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$3,102,000		\$239,024	\$4,313,764	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
ILLINOIS	C	5/31/2006		\$251,443,459	\$260,810,682	\$10,251,503	\$271,062,185	100.0	\$0	
IL	R		\$281,351,677	\$41,607,879			\$46,825,270	16.8	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$202,362,500		\$10,251,503	\$250,368,759	100.0	\$0	
JOLIET	C	4/30/2006		\$4,973,963	\$5,066,716	\$0	\$5,066,716	100.0	\$0	
IL	R		\$5,273,125	\$790,969			\$1,865,450	35.4	\$0	
	D	2/28/2006		\$3,727,500		\$0	\$4,667,851	100.0	\$0	
KANE CO CON	C			\$0	\$1,473,000	\$39,700	\$1,512,700	0.0*	\$0	
IL	R		\$0	\$0			\$347,750	0.0*	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$39,700	\$0	0.0**		
LAKE CO CON	C	6/30/2006		\$15,287,294	\$16,444,939	\$1,911,491	\$18,356,429	100.0	\$0	
IL	R		\$17,543,423	\$2,599,901			\$4,405,238	25.4	\$0	
	D	4/30/2006		\$12,337,000		\$1,911,491	\$19,248,108	100.0	\$0	
MADISON CO	C	10/31/2006		\$14,207,128	\$13,793,029	\$3,369,829	\$17,162,857	100.0	\$0	
IL	R		\$16,092,131	\$2,392,936			\$2,385,016	15.0	\$7,919	FO conf deadline met 11/27/06
	D	10/31/2006		\$12,060,000		\$3,369,829	\$17,817,872	100.0	\$0	
MCHENRY CO	C	4/30/2006		\$3,352,050	\$3,314,365	\$61,925	\$3,376,290	100.0	\$0	
IL	R		\$3,585,497	\$528,145			\$708,211	20.1	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$2,000,000		\$61,925	\$3,288,302	100.0	\$0	
PEORIA	C	4/30/2006		\$11,987,260	\$11,736,048	\$878,161	\$12,614,209	100.0	\$0	
IL	R		\$12,469,728	\$1,849,094			\$1,890,828	15.2#	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$9,352,323		\$878,161	\$11,762,669	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
ROCKFORD	C	4/30/2006		\$10,846,259	\$10,984,074	\$765,329	\$11,749,403	100.0	\$0	
IL	R		\$12,382,439	\$1,839,819			\$2,737,974	22.3	\$0	
	D	2/28/2006		\$9,039,000		\$765,329	\$12,932,029	100.0	\$0	
SPRINGFIELD	C	8/31/2006		\$7,549,362	\$7,478,760	\$160,529	\$7,639,289	100.0	\$0	
IL	R		\$8,392,720	\$1,258,908			\$1,509,586	18.0	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$6,352,000		\$160,529	\$7,836,372	100.0	\$0	
ST. CLAIR CO CON	C	10/31/2006		\$11,558,472	\$11,837,188	\$755,239	\$12,592,427	100.0	\$0	
IL	R		\$12,960,526	\$1,925,175			\$2,076,831	16.2	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$9,522,000		\$755,239	\$13,252,628	100.0	\$0	
URBANA CON	C	8/31/2006		\$8,624,674	\$8,697,008	\$330,955	\$9,027,963	100.0	\$0	
IL	R		\$9,791,353	\$1,445,788			\$1,574,234	16.3	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$6,241,000		\$330,955	\$9,434,702	100.0	\$0	
WILL CO	C	10/31/2006		\$5,799,219	\$5,872,785	\$259,980	\$6,132,765	100.0	\$0	
IL	R		\$6,386,686	\$949,155			\$1,581,841	25.0	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$4,813,000		\$259,980	\$6,282,658	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
COLUMBIA										
CHARLESTON SC	C	7/31/2006		\$8,925,059	\$9,586,812	\$0	\$9,586,812	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$9,314,505	\$1,389,643			\$1,481,662	16.0	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$6,649,000		\$0	\$8,360,597	100.0	\$0	
CHARLESTON CO SC	C	7/31/2006		\$6,904,993	\$7,736,134	\$0	\$7,736,134	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$7,610,154	\$1,123,610			\$1,499,192	20.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$4,176,000		\$0	\$7,288,837	100.0	\$0	
COLUMBIA SC	C	7/31/2006		\$8,803,576	\$9,504,603	\$2,424,176	\$11,928,780	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$9,780,039	\$1,448,881			\$1,580,463	16.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$7,006,000		\$2,424,176	\$10,453,864	100.0	\$0	
GREENVILLE SC	C	8/31/2006		\$4,557,106	\$4,481,750	\$181,878	\$4,663,628	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$5,082,784	\$762,418			\$1,086,800	21.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$3,805,000		\$181,878	\$4,704,706	100.0	\$0	
GREENVILLE CO SC	C	8/31/2006		\$10,399,043	\$10,341,096	\$3,228,668	\$13,569,764	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$12,020,087	\$1,780,763			\$2,159,725	18.2	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$8,503,000		\$3,228,668	\$13,980,266	100.0	\$0	
RICHLAND CO SC	C	10/31/2006		\$1,797,711	\$2,198,926	\$0	\$2,198,926	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$2,025,231	\$289,920			\$298,503	15.4	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
SOUTH CAROLINA SC	C	6/30/2006		\$134,611,554	\$141,334,869	\$6,561,805	\$147,896,674	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$145,226,382	\$21,243,744			\$26,026,161	18.1	\$0	
	D	5/31/2006		\$104,893,000		\$6,561,805	\$123,580,917	100.0	\$0	
SPARTANBURG SC	C	7/31/2006		\$4,029,157	\$4,275,698	\$105,957	\$4,381,655	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$4,497,284	\$674,593			\$867,472	19.3	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$3,307,000		\$105,957	\$4,622,991	100.0	\$0	
SPARTANBURG CO SC	C	7/31/2006		\$1,122,979	\$1,231,098	\$0	\$1,231,098	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$1,243,877	\$176,896			\$285,588	24.2	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
SUMTER CO CON SC	C	6/30/2006		\$9,934,862	\$10,482,490	\$37,307	\$10,519,797	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$11,446,875	\$1,543,502			\$1,681,688	14.8#	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$7,915,353		\$37,307	\$10,917,853	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
COLUMBUS										
AKRON	C	6/30/2006		\$23,938,404	\$24,032,734	\$2,785,710	\$26,818,444	100.0	\$0	
OH	R		\$26,912,706	\$4,006,691			\$4,906,100	18.4	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$20,151,000		\$2,785,710	\$27,736,981	100.0	\$0	
BUTLER CO CON	C	6/30/2006		\$4,158,101	\$4,959,516	\$0	\$4,959,516	100.0	\$0	
OH	R		\$4,640,581	\$677,858			\$1,508,956	33.4	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$1,785,000		\$0	\$4,370,618	100.0	\$0	
CANTON	C	3/31/2006		\$9,475,143	\$9,182,464	\$378,573	\$9,561,037	100.0	\$0	
OH	R		\$10,545,979	\$1,581,897			\$2,095,600	19.9	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$8,003,000		\$378,573	\$8,641,646	100.0	\$0	
CINCINNATI	C	6/30/2006		\$53,353,320	\$52,267,164	\$2,308,752	\$54,575,916	100.0	\$0	
OH	R		\$58,590,093	\$8,713,772			\$9,738,039	16.8	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$43,868,000		\$2,308,752	\$53,204,315	100.0	\$0	
CLEVELAND	C	6/30/2006		\$90,977,873	\$81,611,853	\$7,997,933	\$89,609,786	98.5	\$1,368,088	FO conf deadline met 7/21/06
OH	R		\$96,887,728	\$14,448,373			\$27,452,500	28.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$73,266,000		\$7,997,933	\$90,275,688	100.0	\$0	
COLUMBUS	C	4/30/2006		\$56,494,397	\$59,307,453	\$1,007,271	\$60,314,723	100.0	\$0	
OH	R		\$62,838,175	\$9,316,669			\$9,498,669	15.3	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$45,569,000		\$1,007,271	\$61,735,318	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
CUYAHOGA CO CON OH	C	6/30/2006		\$27,670,501	\$28,582,745	\$3,310,977	\$31,893,722	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$31,021,768	\$4,593,200			\$5,080,978	16.6	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$21,523,000		\$3,310,977	\$29,574,580	100.0	\$0	
DAYTON CON OH	C	6/30/2006		\$23,506,121	\$23,325,260	\$634,405	\$23,959,665	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$27,546,596	\$4,104,672			\$6,586,486	24.1	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$21,098,000		\$634,405	\$24,501,326	100.0	\$0	
EAST CLEVELAND OH	C	6/30/2006		\$6,055,576	\$5,865,833	\$66,600	\$5,932,433	98.0	\$123,143	FO conf deadline met 9/7/06
	R		\$6,986,619	\$925,743			\$1,123,516	16.1 #	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$5,179,794		\$66,600	\$5,225,800	100.0	\$0	
FRANKLIN CO OH	C	4/30/2006		\$9,095,472	\$8,741,633	\$299,385	\$9,041,018	99.4	\$54,454	FO conf. Deadline met 5/8/06
	R		\$10,539,455	\$1,552,035			\$1,552,035	14.9 #	\$1	< \$1,000 (no deob. Nec.)
	D	5/31/2006		\$7,487,522		\$299,385	\$9,476,098	100.0	\$0	
HAMILTON OH	C	5/31/2006		\$6,501,058	\$6,559,247	\$61,343	\$6,620,590	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$7,203,408	\$1,080,511			\$2,490,914	34.6	\$0	
	D	5/31/2006		\$5,563,000		\$61,343	\$6,127,726	100.0	\$0	
HAMILTON CO OH	C	6/30/2006		\$16,163,449	\$18,144,561	\$1,277,019	\$19,421,580	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$18,088,578	\$2,684,249			\$2,993,888	16.7	\$0	
	D	5/31/2006		\$13,383,000		\$1,277,019	\$19,644,980	100.0	\$0	
LAKE CO OH	C	10/31/2006		\$6,079,249	\$6,237,336	\$0	\$6,237,336	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$6,799,843	\$1,009,057			\$1,463,389	21.8	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$5,049,000		\$0	\$6,674,456	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
LIMA	C	10/31/2006		\$4,130,364	\$3,454,892	\$2,074,770	\$5,529,661	100.0	\$0	
OH	R		\$4,843,915	\$726,587			\$1,103,483	22.8	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$3,478,000		\$2,074,770	\$5,461,191	100.0	\$0	
LORAIN	C	3/31/2006		\$6,028,285	\$6,382,052	\$2,456,450	\$8,838,501	100.0	\$0	
OH	R		\$6,829,878	\$1,024,482			\$1,161,955	17.0	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$5,009,000		\$2,456,450	\$9,417,551	100.0	\$0	
MANSFIELD	C	8/31/2006		\$4,408,077	\$4,540,398	\$47,601	\$4,587,999	100.0	\$0	
OH	R		\$5,031,671	\$754,751			\$2,103,256	41.8	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$3,685,000		\$47,601	\$4,626,792	100.0	\$0	
MONTGOMERY CO	C	10/31/2006		\$13,723,879	\$14,397,729	\$2,127,705	\$16,525,435	100.0	\$0	
OH	R		\$15,030,029	\$2,229,082			\$2,484,090	16.7	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$11,333,000		\$2,127,705	\$17,632,296	100.0	\$0	
OHIO	C	7/31/2006		\$308,232,383	\$327,047,516	\$7,441,851	\$334,489,368	100.0	\$0	
OH	R		\$349,209,605	\$51,732,986			\$61,891,086	17.9	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$251,930,000		\$7,441,851	\$337,274,311	100.0	\$0	
SPRINGFIELD	C	4/30/2006		\$8,073,533	\$7,751,400	\$947,146	\$8,698,547	100.0	\$0	
OH	R		\$8,970,592	\$1,345,589			\$1,345,589	15.0	\$0	
	D	5/31/2006		\$6,895,000		\$947,146	\$8,571,370	100.0	\$0	
STARK CO CON	C	8/31/2006		\$12,002,611	\$12,519,313	\$966,227	\$13,485,541	100.0	\$0	
OH	R		\$13,245,920	\$1,969,478			\$3,149,045	24.0	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$9,926,000		\$966,227	\$14,283,496	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
SUMMIT CO	C	6/30/2006		\$6,798,485	\$5,974,256	\$1,112,771	\$7,087,027	100.0	\$0	
OH	R		\$7,683,133	\$1,143,365			\$1,083,545	14.2	\$59,821	FO conf deadline met 7/21/06
	D	3/31/2006		\$5,959,000		\$1,112,771	\$7,718,378	100.0	\$0	
TOLEDO	C	8/31/2006		\$34,030,386	\$33,809,263	\$762,746	\$34,572,010	100.0	\$0	
OH	R		\$37,001,613	\$5,509,689			\$16,004,278	43.6	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$27,676,000		\$762,746	\$31,547,302	100.0	\$0	
WARREN CON	C	6/30/2006		\$11,540,628	\$12,041,795	\$0	\$12,041,795	100.0	\$0	
OH	R		\$13,145,948	\$1,959,277			\$4,524,531	34.6	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$10,220,000		\$0	\$11,808,214	100.0	\$0	
YOUNGSTOWN	C	8/31/2006		\$13,308,618	\$12,933,871	\$476,853	\$13,410,724	100.0	\$0	
OH	R		\$14,323,078	\$2,148,462			\$3,981,919	27.8	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$11,154,000		\$476,853	\$14,079,377	100.0	\$0	

[±] C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
DENVER										
ADAMS CO CON CO	C	4/30/2006		\$8,613,143	\$8,220,081	\$1,704,938	\$9,925,020	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$9,724,934	\$1,436,236			\$1,590,206	16.6	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$6,304,809		\$1,704,938	\$10,166,294	100.0	\$0	
ARAPAHOE CO CON CO	C	5/31/2006		\$5,670,987	\$6,090,097	\$1,686,904	\$7,777,001	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$6,360,554	\$944,352			\$1,443,453	22.9	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$4,186,000		\$1,686,904	\$7,178,082	100.0	\$0	
AURORA CO	C	4/30/2006		\$10,038,030	\$8,166,545	\$5,944,525	\$14,111,070	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$11,393,583	\$1,681,698			\$1,876,548	16.7	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$7,525,000		\$5,944,525	\$14,702,845	100.0	\$0	
BILLINGS MT	C	8/31/2006		\$4,575,078	\$4,706,109	\$852,561	\$5,558,670	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$5,096,765	\$764,515			\$930,416	18.3	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$3,543,000		\$852,561	\$5,120,605	100.0	\$0	
BOULDER CON CO	C	4/30/2006		\$7,092,107	\$7,579,585	\$424,043	\$8,003,628	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$7,907,723	\$1,178,303			\$1,869,983	23.8	\$0	
	D	4/30/2006		\$5,536,000		\$424,043	\$8,615,307	100.0	\$0	
COLORADO CO	C	5/31/2006		\$77,428,868	\$80,944,746	\$0	\$80,944,746	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$88,053,505	\$9,717,140			\$12,331,842	14.2	\$0	
	D	4/30/2006		\$61,089,000		\$0	\$79,666,136	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
COLORADO SPRINGS CO	C	4/30/2006		\$16,062,255	\$15,804,511	\$2,796,407	\$18,600,918	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$17,717,183	\$2,620,364			\$2,899,348	16.6	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$12,347,000		\$2,796,407	\$19,695,380	100.0	\$0	
DENVER CO	C	5/31/2006		\$45,666,203	\$41,710,586	\$7,629,726	\$49,340,312	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$48,962,453	\$7,259,294			\$8,670,757	17.9	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$34,577,000		\$7,629,726	\$50,920,387	100.0	\$0	
FARGO ND	C	6/30/2006		\$1,237,981	\$1,373,779	\$123,686	\$1,497,465	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$1,352,145	\$194,448			\$371,500	28.7	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$123,686	\$0	0.0**		
FORT COLLINS CO	C	9/30/2006		\$6,036,128	\$6,158,794	\$1,061,108	\$7,219,902	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$6,697,378	\$996,527			\$1,536,502	23.1	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$4,510,000		\$1,061,108	\$7,230,640	100.0	\$0	
GREAT FALLS MT	C	7/31/2006		\$4,192,151	\$4,410,874	\$1,024,960	\$5,435,834	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$4,316,108	\$647,416			\$2,833,699	65.7	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$2,935,000		\$1,024,960	\$5,494,019	100.0	\$0	
GREELEY CO	C	4/30/2006		\$4,160,338	\$4,239,163	\$0	\$4,239,163	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$4,567,041	\$685,056			\$1,321,904	28.9	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$2,972,000		\$0	\$4,453,194	100.0	\$0	
JEFFERSON CO CO	C	7/31/2006		\$4,632,343	\$4,816,251	\$414,766	\$5,231,017	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$5,291,755	\$781,264			\$1,033,418	19.8	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$3,502,000		\$414,766	\$5,707,198	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
LAKESWOOD	C	4/30/2006		\$5,056,469	\$5,244,254	\$0	\$5,244,254	100.0	\$0	
CO	R		\$5,533,196	\$746,706			\$842,315	15.4 #	\$0	
	D	4/30/2006		\$3,539,000		\$0	\$5,594,110	100.0	\$0	
MISSOULA	C	5/31/2006		\$1,173,638	\$1,648,948	\$0	\$1,648,948	100.0	\$0	
MT	R		\$1,380,750	\$207,113			\$1,148,400	83.2	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
MONTANA	C	4/30/2006		\$46,185,912	\$49,243,989	\$681,478	\$49,925,467	100.0	\$0	
MT	R		\$51,348,172	\$6,702,070			\$15,799,738	31.1	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$36,771,000		\$681,478	\$51,450,791	100.0	\$0	
NORTH DAKOTA	C	4/30/2006		\$40,308,093	\$43,575,336	\$1,985,790	\$45,561,127	100.0	\$0	
ND	R		\$45,538,160	\$5,554,767			\$11,706,587	25.9	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$35,105,000		\$1,985,790	\$47,311,104	100.0	\$0	
OGDEN	C	7/31/2006		\$4,933,235	\$4,690,629	\$2,418,415	\$7,109,044	100.0	\$0	
UT	R		\$5,481,372	\$822,206			\$822,206	15.0	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$3,747,000		\$2,418,415	\$7,632,082	100.0	\$0	
PROVO CON	C	8/31/2006		\$16,527,578	\$17,699,977	\$3,783,622	\$21,483,600	100.0	\$0	
UT	R		\$18,354,602	\$2,729,806			\$2,945,928	16.2	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$13,443,000		\$3,783,622	\$18,694,118	100.0	\$0	
PUEBLO CON	C	4/30/2006		\$10,837,494	\$11,233,928	\$2,197,960	\$13,431,888	100.0	\$0	
CO	R		\$11,904,941	\$1,785,741			\$1,836,119	15.4	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$8,871,253		\$2,197,960	\$11,313,878	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
SALT LAKE CITY	C	7/31/2006		\$14,299,268	\$15,590,524	\$2,199,077	\$17,789,601	100.0	\$0	
UT	R		\$15,814,574	\$2,345,558			\$3,727,754	23.8	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$11,375,000		\$2,199,077	\$17,466,231	100.0	\$0	
SALT LAKE CO CON	C	7/31/2006		\$19,151,586	\$19,662,695	\$6,269,832	\$25,932,528	100.0	\$0	
UT	R		\$21,482,165	\$3,185,891			\$4,161,778	19.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$15,272,000		\$6,269,832	\$25,955,925	100.0	\$0	
SIOUX FALLS	C	4/30/2006		\$5,214,649	\$5,536,884	\$576,339	\$6,113,224	100.0	\$0	
SD	R		\$5,574,035	\$836,105			\$1,539,550	27.6	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$3,893,000		\$576,339	\$5,939,417	100.0	\$0	
SOUTH DAKOTA	C	4/30/2006		\$39,908,111	\$39,147,657	\$9,573,426	\$48,721,083	100.0	\$0	
SD	R		\$44,315,393	\$5,778,715			\$7,828,562	17.8	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$32,258,000		\$9,573,426	\$48,203,446	100.0	\$0	
UTAH	C	4/30/2006		\$37,921,734	\$34,437,881	\$4,862,147	\$39,300,028	100.0	\$0	
UT	R		\$42,431,841	\$3,889,133			\$9,167,490	21.8	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$30,264,000		\$4,862,147	\$40,058,006	100.0	\$0	
WYOMING	C	4/30/2006		\$43,252,638	\$42,934,016	\$1,203,748	\$44,137,764	100.0	\$0	
WY	R		\$45,642,232	\$5,928,508			\$10,105,533	22.3	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$34,835,000		\$1,203,748	\$41,620,574	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
DETROIT										
ANN ARBOR MI	C	6/30/2006		\$8,293,254	\$8,266,678	\$283,683	\$8,550,361	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$9,567,073	\$1,416,969			\$6,555,589	69.4	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$6,554,000		\$283,683	\$9,131,688	100.0	\$0	
BATTLE CREEK MI	C	7/31/2006		\$4,138,808	\$4,230,991	\$37,036	\$4,268,027	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$4,861,244	\$729,187			\$2,512,501	51.7	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$3,614,000		\$37,036	\$4,393,700	100.0	\$0	
BAY CITY MI	C	7/31/2006		\$2,922,986	\$3,184,514	\$85,098	\$3,269,612	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$3,120,576	\$468,086			\$1,347,904	43.2	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$2,105,000		\$85,098	\$3,046,280	100.0	\$0	
DETROIT MI	C	9/30/2006		\$164,780,247	\$165,707,713	\$6,416,077	\$172,123,790	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$189,688,389	\$28,320,828			\$77,398,821	41.0	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$147,703,000		\$6,416,077	\$180,117,097	100.0	\$0	
FLINT MI	C	8/31/2006		\$18,992,759	\$19,142,357	\$30,000	\$19,172,357	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$21,519,230	\$3,210,479			\$5,458,312	25.5	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$16,537,000		\$30,000	\$15,508,088	93.8	\$1,028,912	FY 06&07 Grant Red. Covers shortfall 10/25/06
GENESEE CO MI	C	5/31/2006		\$11,317,387	\$11,727,888	\$478,380	\$12,206,269	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$13,266,213	\$1,974,331			\$2,069,631	15.7	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$10,079,000		\$478,380	\$12,407,556	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
GRAND RAPIDS MI	C	6/30/2006		\$16,863,998	\$16,807,572	\$2,126,309	\$18,933,881	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$19,894,233	\$2,961,361			\$10,983,558	55.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$14,682,000		\$2,126,309	\$20,201,607	100.0	\$0	
JACKSON MI	C	6/30/2006		\$4,514,966	\$4,225,876	\$517,492	\$4,743,368	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$4,978,428	\$746,764			\$1,223,905	24.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$3,683,000		\$517,492	\$5,185,396	100.0	\$0	
KALAMAZOO MI	C	5/31/2006		\$9,131,968	\$9,172,363	\$1,071	\$9,173,434	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$10,562,964	\$1,584,445			\$1,683,524	15.9	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$7,995,000		\$1,071	\$10,156,673	100.0	\$0	
KENT CO MI	C			\$0	\$1,104,105	\$0	\$1,104,105	0.0*	\$0	
	R		\$0	\$0			\$203,907	0.0*	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**	\$0	
LANSING MI	C	6/30/2006		\$11,929,954	\$11,609,529	\$452,089	\$12,061,618	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$14,021,326	\$2,086,162			\$5,659,594	40.7	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$10,612,000		\$452,089	\$13,404,193	100.0	\$0	
MACOMB CO CON MI	C	8/31/2006		\$7,463,731	\$7,647,872	\$2,714,745	\$10,362,617	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$8,212,670	\$1,207,815			\$1,295,701	16.0#	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$5,909,650		\$2,714,745	\$10,674,359	100.0	\$0	
MICHIGAN MI	C	6/30/2006		\$270,113,923	\$274,855,459	\$29,498,966	\$304,354,424	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$310,989,721	\$45,014,776			\$85,060,925	27.6	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$227,015,000		\$29,498,966	\$286,582,601	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
MUSKEGON MI	C	6/30/2006		\$4,064,145	\$4,218,126	\$1,713,243	\$5,931,369	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$5,167,048	\$775,057			\$1,395,536	27.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$3,918,000		\$1,713,243	\$6,544,863	100.0	\$0	
OAKLAND CO MI	C	5/31/2006		\$15,416,679	\$14,246,180	\$4,884,472	\$19,130,652	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$17,060,944	\$2,530,219			\$2,587,468	15.3	\$0	
	D	5/31/2006		\$12,463,000		\$4,884,472	\$20,212,760	100.0	\$0	
PONTIAC MI	C	6/30/2006		\$8,215,735	\$7,743,814	\$948,155	\$8,691,969	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$9,238,918	\$1,385,838			\$5,413,131	58.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$7,493,000		\$948,155	\$8,285,406	100.0	\$0	
PORT HURON MI	C	4/30/2006		\$3,569,982	\$3,592,802	\$7,500	\$3,600,302	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$4,121,709	\$618,256			\$655,506	15.9	\$0	
	D	5/31/2006		\$3,033,000		\$7,500	\$4,055,375	100.0	\$0	
SAGINAW MI	C	7/31/2006		\$11,586,864	\$11,589,880	\$1,286,863	\$12,876,743	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$12,271,627	\$1,840,744			\$1,893,699	15.4	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$9,567,000		\$1,286,863	\$13,167,166	100.0	\$0	
WARREN MI	C	7/31/2006		\$3,957,816	\$4,001,121	\$2,534,469	\$6,535,589	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$4,771,819	\$715,773			\$753,358	15.8	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$3,437,000		\$2,534,469	\$6,234,644	100.0	\$0	
WASHTENAW CO MI	C	6/30/2006		\$2,312,620	\$2,894,390	\$67,754	\$2,962,144	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$2,710,736	\$398,118			\$1,493,500	56.3	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$750,000		\$67,754	\$2,389,147	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C.R.orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
WAYNE CO CON	C	9/30/2006		\$20,182,977	\$20,373,502	\$100,000	\$20,473,502	100.0	\$0	
MI	R		\$23,316,397	\$2,924,523			\$6,174,119	26.8#	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$15,103,846		\$100,000	\$15,297,567	100.0	\$0	
WESTLAND	C	7/31/2006		\$3,465,288	\$3,779,682	\$113,633	\$3,893,315	100.0	\$0	
MI	R		\$4,061,304	\$609,196			\$1,823,774	44.9	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$2,871,000		\$113,633	\$4,100,981	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
FORT WORTH										
ABILENE TX	C	10/31/2006		\$6,329,436	\$6,335,919	\$91,272	\$6,427,191	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$7,059,818	\$1,058,973			\$1,355,786	19.2	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$5,175,000		\$91,272	\$6,796,501	100.0	\$0	
AMARILLO TX	C	9/30/2006		\$9,892,052	\$9,606,803	\$526,570	\$10,133,373	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$11,456,017	\$1,701,368			\$1,856,136	16.4	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$8,214,000		\$526,570	\$11,367,424	100.0	\$0	
ARLINGTON TX	C	7/31/2006		\$11,596,740	\$11,355,762	\$271,308	\$11,627,071	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$12,823,706	\$1,888,090			\$2,707,359	21.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$8,292,000		\$271,308	\$11,568,599	100.0	\$0	
DALLAS TX	C	10/31/2006		\$77,755,540	\$82,530,588	\$3,296,108	\$85,826,695	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$89,650,939	\$13,272,980			\$14,585,639	16.5	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$62,859,000		\$3,296,108	\$92,194,368	100.0	\$0	
DALLAS CO TX	C	10/31/2006		\$6,116,809	\$6,716,644	\$41,028	\$6,757,672	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$6,116,809	\$904,990			\$1,069,315	17.7	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$3,984,000		\$41,028	\$6,353,787	100.0	\$0	
DENTON TX	C	7/31/2006		\$5,085,565	\$5,155,154	\$643,465	\$5,798,620	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$5,786,027	\$860,188			\$1,181,747	20.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$3,916,000		\$643,465	\$6,010,613	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
EL PASO TX	C	9/30/2006		\$47,468,186	\$48,062,801	\$5,162,335	\$53,225,136	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$51,773,610	\$7,717,278			\$7,927,504	15.4	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$38,377,000		\$5,162,335	\$55,387,364	100.0	\$0	
FORT WORTH TX	C	7/31/2006		\$29,771,043	\$29,894,633	\$325,126	\$30,219,759	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$34,908,555	\$5,174,261			\$5,700,664	16.5	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$24,754,000		\$325,126	\$30,043,741	100.0	\$0	
GARLAND TX	C	10/31/2006		\$5,669,963	\$5,167,511	\$2,162,677	\$7,330,187	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$6,528,729	\$962,994			\$1,112,882	17.3	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$3,992,000		\$2,162,677	\$7,384,965	100.0	\$0	
GRAND PRAIRIE TX	C	10/31/2006		\$3,819,417	\$4,116,127	\$0	\$4,116,127	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$4,563,384	\$136,486			\$46,987	1.0 #	\$89,499	FO conf deadline met 3/1/07
	D	10/31/2006		\$2,518,787		\$0	\$3,923,213	100.0	\$0	
IRVING TX	C	10/31/2006		\$5,013,936	\$5,712,384	\$72,583	\$5,784,967	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$5,877,546	\$855,676			\$1,537,406	27.0	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$2,483,000		\$72,583	\$4,954,828	100.0	\$0	
KILLEEN TX	C	10/31/2006		\$3,434,108	\$3,421,325	\$102,609	\$3,523,934	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$3,916,611	\$587,492			\$1,605,631	41.0	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$2,452,000		\$102,609	\$3,529,010	100.0	\$0	
LONGVIEW TX	C	9/30/2006		\$3,577,410	\$3,592,339	\$7,338	\$3,599,676	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$4,252,005	\$470,551			\$532,344	12.5 #	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$2,735,750		\$7,338	\$3,591,938	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
LUBBOCK TX	C	10/31/2006		\$12,646,800	\$11,892,318	\$1,039,940	\$12,932,258	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$14,555,829	\$2,158,919			\$2,694,163	18.7	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$10,482,000		\$1,039,940	\$13,751,397	100.0	\$0	
ODESSA TX	C	9/30/2006		\$5,112,550	\$5,321,825	\$205,882	\$5,527,707	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$5,686,662	\$852,999			\$1,142,659	20.1	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$4,157,000		\$205,882	\$5,464,329	100.0	\$0	
PLANO TX	C	6/30/2006		\$1,144,421	\$1,520,678	\$31,783	\$1,552,460	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$1,338,200	\$190,717			\$263,283	20.7	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$31,783	\$0	0.0**		
SAN ANGELO TX	C	10/31/2006		\$4,349,601	\$4,393,561	\$97,163	\$4,490,724	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$5,227,331	\$784,100			\$1,504,893	28.8	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$3,541,869		\$97,163	\$4,306,344	100.0	\$0	
TARRANT CO CON TX	C	8/31/2006		\$13,003,829	\$12,776,106	\$233,905	\$13,010,012	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$14,389,161	\$2,128,005			\$4,061,571	28.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$10,144,000		\$233,905	\$13,081,835	100.0	\$0	
TEXAS TX	C	8/31/2006		\$422,534,295	\$445,255,104	\$17,328,793	\$462,583,897	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$471,980,821	\$69,314,021			\$64,625,737	13.8	\$4,688,284	FO Conf deadline met 11/7/06
	D	3/31/2006		\$338,213,000		\$17,328,793	\$378,017,059	100.0	\$0	
TYLER TX	C	10/31/2006		\$5,352,638	\$5,570,825	\$2,500	\$5,573,325	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$5,987,047	\$898,057			\$1,605,641	26.8	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$4,206,296		\$2,500	\$4,242,760	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
WACO	C	10/31/2006		\$10,655,962	\$10,001,230	\$2,245,168	\$12,246,398	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$12,113,810	\$1,799,818			\$2,814,823	23.5	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$8,750,000		\$2,245,168	\$13,430,143	100.0	\$0	
WICHITA FALLS	C	10/31/2006		\$6,023,014	\$6,322,565	\$0	\$6,322,565	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$7,173,180	\$643,129			\$644,280	9.0#	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$4,967,152		\$0	\$6,755,002	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
GREENSBORO										
ASHEVILLE CON NC	C	7/31/2006		\$12,251,167	\$13,267,950	\$297,120	\$13,565,070	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$14,142,341	\$2,097,075			\$5,668,445	40.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$9,713,000		\$297,120	\$14,866,803	100.0	\$0	
CHARLOTTE CON NC	C	7/31/2006		\$25,911,762	\$26,107,119	\$6,781,845	\$32,888,964	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$27,767,037	\$4,103,784			\$4,357,324	15.9	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$19,233,000		\$6,781,845	\$34,480,927	100.0	\$0	
CONCORD CON NC	C	8/31/2006		\$6,614,060	\$7,146,666	\$167,524	\$7,314,190	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$7,339,124	\$1,076,349			\$1,261,807	17.6	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$3,741,000		\$167,524	\$7,326,229	100.0	\$0	
CUMBERLAND CO NC	C	7/31/2006		\$6,847,060	\$7,025,096	\$1,177,304	\$8,202,400	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$7,773,453	\$1,153,403			\$1,254,222	16.3	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$5,537,000		\$1,177,304	\$8,032,173	100.0	\$0	
DURHAM CON NC	C	7/31/2006		\$11,637,793	\$11,047,448	\$1,632,641	\$12,680,089	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$12,949,364	\$1,912,571			\$1,869,890	14.7	\$42,682	FO conf deadline met 9/13/06
	D	8/31/2006		\$8,922,000		\$1,632,641	\$11,630,350	100.0	\$0	
FAYETTEVILLE NC	C	7/31/2006		\$7,408,627	\$7,677,026	\$1,307,658	\$8,984,683	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$8,193,892	\$1,229,084			\$1,378,149	16.8	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$5,834,000		\$1,307,658	\$9,296,788	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
GASTONIA CON	C	7/31/2006		\$5,841,024	\$5,536,288	\$2,248,438	\$7,784,726	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$6,947,141	\$1,026,337			\$1,361,594	19.9	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$4,500,000		\$2,248,438	\$8,276,796	100.0	\$0	
GOLDSBORO	C	7/31/2006		\$2,905,121	\$2,874,890	\$73,319	\$2,948,209	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$3,255,690	\$488,354			\$1,514,950	46.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$2,282,000		\$73,319	\$2,483,446	100.0	\$0	
GREENSBORO CON	C	7/31/2006		\$18,873,119	\$18,338,842	\$1,583,973	\$19,922,815	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$21,055,043	\$3,111,623			\$4,678,639	22.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$14,096,000		\$1,583,973	\$19,703,561	100.0	\$0	
GREENVILLE CON	C	7/31/2006		\$5,264,829	\$6,044,350	\$92,114	\$6,136,464	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$5,789,490	\$859,722			\$1,024,018	17.9	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$3,026,000		\$92,114	\$4,760,396	100.0	\$0	
HIGH POINT	C			\$675,000	\$1,520,411	\$2,474	\$1,522,886	0.0*	\$0	
NC	R		\$750,000	\$112,500			\$253,402	0.0*	\$0	
	D			\$750,000		\$2,474	\$1,090,794	0.0**	\$0	
LENOIR CON	C	7/31/2006		\$7,737,568	\$8,682,190	\$1,286,996	\$9,969,186	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$8,641,184	\$1,274,823			\$2,322,411	27.3	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$5,119,000		\$1,286,996	\$10,927,428	100.0	\$0	
NORTH CAROLINA	C	5/31/2006		\$226,051,458	\$236,225,300	\$14,244,576	\$250,469,876	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$250,375,935	\$35,922,060			\$42,816,362	17.2	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$187,762,000		\$14,244,576	\$230,055,172	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
ORANGE CO CON	C	7/31/2006		\$4,515,022	\$4,599,265	\$144,698	\$4,743,963	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$5,041,786	\$748,146			\$920,275	18.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$3,028,000		\$144,698	\$3,991,046	100.0	\$0	
RALEIGH	C	7/31/2006		\$12,532,078	\$11,626,420	\$2,462,197	\$14,088,617	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$13,923,817	\$2,052,152			\$3,027,706	22.1	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$9,596,000		\$2,462,197	\$13,380,541	100.0	\$0	
ROCKY MOUNT CON	C	7/31/2006		\$6,145,486	\$6,199,833	\$347,949	\$6,547,783	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$6,959,503	\$1,043,925			\$1,084,033	15.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$4,408,000		\$347,949	\$6,935,307	100.0	\$0	
SURRY CO CON	C	7/31/2006		\$6,129,691	\$6,109,544	\$1,136,026	\$7,245,570	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$6,806,583	\$1,010,550			\$1,107,353	16.4	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$4,817,000		\$1,136,026	\$7,478,758	100.0	\$0	
WAKE CO CON	C	7/31/2006		\$5,206,580	\$5,893,857	\$716,734	\$6,610,590	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$5,542,171	\$817,399			\$1,174,508	21.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$3,612,000		\$716,734	\$5,579,490	100.0	\$0	
WILMINGTON	C	7/31/2006		\$6,832,583	\$6,682,604	\$678,852	\$7,361,457	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$7,431,479	\$1,114,722			\$2,390,937	32.2	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$5,363,000		\$678,852	\$7,177,989	100.0	\$0	
WINSTON-SALEM CON	C	7/31/2006		\$14,446,242	\$13,708,348	\$3,552,571	\$17,260,919	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$15,526,358	\$2,299,934			\$4,495,240	29.3	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$10,691,000		\$3,552,571	\$16,619,391	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
HARTFORD										
BRIDGEPORT CT	C	3/31/2007		\$18,470,035	\$19,294,654	\$355,071	\$19,649,725	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$20,985,921	\$3,124,366			\$5,330,997	25.6	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$15,462,568		\$355,071	\$15,940,431	100.0	\$0	
CONNECTICUT CT	C	10/31/2006		\$112,742,352	\$117,007,210	\$1,382,419	\$118,389,629	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$125,542,263	\$17,976,805			\$27,046,609	21.8	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$86,571,000		\$1,382,419	\$111,161,971	100.0	\$0	
HARTFORD CT	C	6/30/2006		\$25,058,660	\$26,042,289	\$3,517,821	\$29,560,110	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$27,830,704	\$4,144,049			\$5,245,720	19.0	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$20,901,000		\$3,517,821	\$28,718,997	100.0	\$0	
NEW BRITAIN CT	C	8/31/2006		\$7,764,197	\$6,991,833	\$1,146,129	\$8,137,962	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$8,562,108	\$1,284,316			\$1,284,816	15.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$6,228,000		\$1,146,129	\$8,122,067	100.0	\$0	
NEW HAVEN CT	C	8/31/2006		\$19,418,723	\$20,143,564	\$1,408,382	\$21,551,946	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$21,665,833	\$3,130,916			\$4,550,362	21.2#	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$15,914,209		\$1,408,382	\$21,318,099	100.0	\$0	
STAMFORD CT	C	9/30/2006		\$5,860,939	\$5,797,811	\$602,959	\$6,400,770	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$6,512,154	\$976,823			\$1,913,550	29.4	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$4,635,000		\$602,959	\$5,959,858	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report

Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements

As of 09/30/08

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
WATERBURY	C	8/31/2006		\$10,521,333	\$9,542,425	\$1,005,660	\$10,548,086	100.0	\$0	
CT	R		\$11,789,042	\$1,749,848			\$2,977,585	25.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$8,410,516		\$1,005,660	\$9,824,023	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Thursday, October 02, 2008

Page 43 of 114

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
HONOLULU										
AMERICAN SAMOA	C	1/31/2007		\$2,865,238	\$2,596,117	\$1,155,551	\$3,751,668	100.0	\$0	
AS	R		\$3,371,000	\$0			\$0	0.0	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$2,334,000		\$1,155,551	\$3,851,849	100.0	\$0	
GUAM	C	10/31/2006		\$12,967,343	\$13,080,643	\$997,364	\$14,078,007	100.0	\$0	
GU	R		\$15,255,698	\$0			\$0	0.0	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$10,809,000		\$997,364	\$13,408,469	100.0	\$0	
HAWAII	C	8/31/2006		\$36,077,749	\$37,750,545	\$2,677,844	\$40,428,389	100.0	\$0	
HI	R		\$39,420,108	\$4,585,264			\$13,578,686	34.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$30,000,000		\$2,677,844	\$33,886,686	100.0	\$0	
HONOLULU	C	11/30/2006		\$53,587,484	\$59,716,529	\$3,558,215	\$63,274,744	100.0	\$0	
HI	R		\$56,376,665	\$8,173,958			\$9,637,733	17.3#	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$41,224,000		\$3,558,215	\$46,674,099	100.0	\$0	
MARIANA ISLANDS	C	10/31/2006		\$3,991,600	\$4,434,767	\$68,317	\$4,503,085	100.0	\$0	
MP	R		\$4,696,000	\$0			\$0	0.0	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$2,925,000		\$68,317	\$5,176,251	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
HOUSTON										
BEAUMONT TX	C	7/31/2006		\$9,350,045	\$9,815,735	\$0	\$9,815,735	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$10,299,581	\$1,544,937			\$6,002,100	58.3	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$7,688,000		\$0	\$10,076,788	100.0	\$0	
BRAZORIA CO TX	C	9/30/2006		\$4,490,757	\$4,797,113	\$99,423	\$4,896,535	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$5,275,399	\$415,497			\$346,953	6.7 #	\$68,544	Deobligation Complete 3/27/07
	D	10/31/2006		\$2,770,503		\$99,423	\$5,036,721	100.0	\$0	
BRYAN TX	C	10/31/2006		\$3,517,660	\$3,644,681	\$186,384	\$3,831,065	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$3,908,511	\$586,277			\$586,277	15.0	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$2,463,000		\$186,384	\$4,168,076	100.0	\$0	
COLLEGE STATION TX	C	10/31/2006		\$5,385,251	\$5,561,915	\$238,089	\$5,800,004	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$6,113,811	\$917,072			\$1,023,918	16.7	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$4,005,000		\$238,089	\$5,643,216	100.0	\$0	
FORT BEND CO TX	C	9/30/2006		\$3,550,548	\$3,571,169	\$0	\$3,571,169	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$5,291,289	\$176,293			\$0	0.0 #	\$176,293	Deobligation Complete 3/27/07
	D	8/31/2006		\$2,623,791		\$0	\$3,377,632	100.0	\$0	
GALVESTON TX	C	6/30/2006		\$7,111,867	\$6,297,843	\$1,059,511	\$7,357,354	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$7,599,546	\$1,139,932			\$1,546,820	20.4	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$5,690,000		\$1,059,511	\$6,820,857	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
HARRIS CO TX	C	8/31/2006		\$33,219,104	\$34,784,032	\$674,329	\$35,458,361	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$36,277,599	\$4,925,039			\$5,744,669	16.0#	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$24,452,900		\$674,329	\$34,588,934	100.0	\$0	
HOUSTON TX	C	7/31/2007		\$134,629,858	\$151,590,141	\$17,334,796	\$168,924,937	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$150,227,525	\$22,274,738			\$35,870,807	24.2	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$105,938,000		\$17,334,796	\$122,057,767	100.0	\$0	
MONTGOMERY CO TX	C	10/31/2006		\$1,233,965	\$1,718,779	\$0	\$1,718,779	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$1,352,236	\$194,477			\$272,413	21.0	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
ORANGE CITY CON TX	C	10/31/2006		\$611,080	\$1,226,919	\$0	\$1,226,919	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$802,123	\$114,919			\$225,664	29.5	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
PASADENA TX	C	10/31/2006		\$5,873,297	\$5,575,946	\$686,313	\$6,262,259	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$7,069,303	\$873,699			\$873,699	12.5#	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$3,973,260		\$686,313	\$5,846,071	100.0	\$0	
PORT ARTHUR TX	C	6/30/2006		\$5,007,469	\$4,493,751	\$606,122	\$5,099,873	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$5,497,521	\$824,628			\$952,941	17.3	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$3,930,000		\$606,122	\$5,123,586	100.0	\$0	
WASHINGTON CO CON TX	C	10/31/2006		\$1,610,878	\$2,072,255	\$12,516	\$2,084,771	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$1,895,150	\$284,273			\$1,121,527	59.2	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$12,516	\$0	0.0**		

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
INDIANAPOLIS										
ANDERSON IN	C	4/30/2006		\$5,098,590	\$5,295,305	\$185,780	\$5,481,086	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$5,693,000	\$853,950			\$3,152,169	55.4	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$4,117,000		\$185,780	\$5,883,992	100.0	\$0	
BLOOMINGTON IN	C	7/31/2006		\$7,202,978	\$7,439,132	\$881,539	\$8,320,671	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$7,927,818	\$1,181,048			\$1,968,189	25.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$5,699,000		\$881,539	\$8,751,824	100.0	\$0	
EAST CHICAGO IN	C	7/31/2006		\$4,802,713	\$4,782,028	\$28,970	\$4,810,998	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$5,498,000	\$824,700			\$824,700	15.0	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$4,000,000		\$28,970	\$5,186,033	100.0	\$0	
EVANSVILLE IN	C	4/30/2006		\$10,485,332	\$10,512,667	\$0	\$10,512,667	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$11,156,625	\$1,664,848			\$6,236,793	56.2	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$8,322,000		\$0	\$10,599,785	100.0	\$0	
FORT WAYNE IN	C	4/30/2006		\$11,106,165	\$10,346,741	\$1,517,029	\$11,863,770	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$12,347,745	\$1,828,899			\$3,165,076	26.0	\$0	
	D	5/31/2006		\$8,785,000		\$1,517,029	\$12,270,526	100.0	\$0	
GARY IN	C	4/30/2006		\$14,194,810	\$14,185,261	\$13,187	\$14,198,448	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$16,075,800	\$2,403,492			\$8,480,442	52.9	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$12,282,000		\$13,187	\$13,501,444	100.0	\$0	

† C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
HAMMOND IN	C	7/31/2006		\$7,341,726	\$7,526,939	\$963,174	\$8,490,113	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$8,029,251	\$1,204,388			\$1,303,449	16.2	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$5,922,000		\$963,174	\$7,714,089	100.0	\$0	
INDIANA IN	C	8/31/2006		\$149,881,352	\$157,693,017	\$4,150,316	\$161,843,333	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$173,683,746	\$24,598,724			\$57,848,750	33.7	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$122,014,000		\$4,150,316	\$174,570,183	100.0	\$0	
INDIANAPOLIS IN	C	4/30/2006		\$51,113,635	\$53,516,466	\$2,462,437	\$55,978,903	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$56,571,064	\$8,387,625			\$17,557,594	31.4	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$41,202,000		\$2,462,437	\$61,060,797	100.0	\$0	
LAFAYETTE CON IN	C	8/31/2006		\$7,171,986	\$6,958,523	\$222,305	\$7,180,828	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$7,925,915	\$1,170,332			\$3,124,994	40.1	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$5,017,000		\$222,305	\$7,253,357	100.0	\$0	
LAKE CO IN	C	9/30/2006		\$7,139,299	\$7,617,362	\$1,797,223	\$9,414,585	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$7,927,721	\$1,177,105			\$1,291,957	16.5	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$5,761,000		\$1,797,223	\$10,065,897	100.0	\$0	
MUNCIE IN	C	7/31/2006		\$6,963,635	\$7,188,033	\$462,827	\$7,650,860	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$7,834,281	\$1,175,142			\$2,403,876	30.7	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$5,759,000		\$462,827	\$8,408,619	100.0	\$0	
SOUTH BEND CON IN	C	4/30/2006		\$12,874,488	\$13,923,013	\$1,175,189	\$15,098,203	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$13,331,583	\$1,980,162			\$2,693,324	20.4	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$9,612,000		\$1,175,189	\$14,467,568	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
TERRE HAUTE	C	4/30/2006		\$5,113,393	\$5,573,872	\$335,748	\$5,909,620	100.0	\$0	
IN	R		\$5,607,836	\$841,175			\$3,021,183	53.9	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$4,017,000		\$335,748	\$5,596,284	100.0	\$0	

⁺ C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Thursday, October 02, 2008

Page 49 of 114

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
JACKSON										
GULFPORT CON MS	C	4/30/2006		\$3,271,182	\$3,702,938	\$23,975	\$3,726,913	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$3,628,864	\$529,907			\$529,907	15.0	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$911,000		\$23,975	\$3,768,809	100.0	\$0	
HATTIESBURG MS	C	5/31/2006		\$3,996,215	\$4,270,662	\$0	\$4,270,662	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$4,405,452	\$660,818			\$714,366	16.2	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$3,064,654		\$0	\$3,359,603	100.0	\$0	
JACKSON MS	C	10/31/2006		\$15,834,824	\$16,552,895	\$0	\$16,552,895	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$17,562,577	\$2,611,718			\$2,715,364	15.6	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$13,311,000		\$0	\$14,571,708	100.0	\$0	
MISSISSIPPI MS	C	5/31/2006		\$161,484,320	\$172,200,233	\$0	\$172,200,233	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$174,895,738	\$25,542,603			\$28,773,671	16.6	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$127,486,000		\$0	\$153,580,919	100.0	\$0	

[±] C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
JACKSONVILLE										
BREVARD CO CON FL	C	10/31/2006		\$15,372,887	\$15,349,096	\$593,678	\$15,942,774	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$17,254,297	\$2,399,598			\$2,476,864	14.5#	\$0	
	D	11/30/2006		\$11,946,000		\$593,678	\$16,333,574	100.0	\$0	
CLEARWATER FL	C	10/31/2006		\$3,605,375	\$3,968,879	\$0	\$3,968,879	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$3,961,306	\$594,196			\$594,196	15.0	\$0	
	D	11/30/2006		\$2,271,000		\$0	\$2,839,323	100.0	\$0	
DAYTONA BEACH FL	C	10/31/2006		\$6,647,102	\$6,420,476	\$565,663	\$6,986,140	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$7,290,715	\$1,093,607			\$1,331,167	18.3	\$0	
	D	11/30/2006		\$5,367,000		\$565,663	\$7,155,117	100.0	\$0	
ESCAMBIA CO CON FL	C	10/31/2006		\$18,479,867	\$19,620,283	\$0	\$19,620,283	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$20,830,838	\$3,095,072			\$3,171,702	15.4	\$0	
	D	11/30/2006		\$15,010,000		\$0	\$21,325,688	100.0	\$0	
FLORIDA FL	C	6/30/2006		\$221,398,896	\$222,828,677	\$47,139,658	\$269,968,335	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$245,880,942	\$36,175,712			\$81,941,949	33.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$173,420,000		\$47,139,658	\$210,463,095	100.0	\$0	
GAINESVILLE FL	C	10/31/2006		\$8,120,811	\$8,286,167	\$1,351,244	\$9,637,410	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$9,014,007	\$1,343,511			\$1,542,937	17.2	\$0	
	D	11/30/2006		\$6,462,000		\$1,351,244	\$9,557,418	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
HILLSBOROUGH CO FL	C	10/31/2006		\$21,942,889	\$20,418,759	\$2,127,856	\$22,546,616	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$24,572,725	\$3,638,747			\$5,661,997	23.3	\$0	
	D	11/30/2006		\$16,802,000		\$2,127,856	\$20,450,526	100.0	\$0	
JACKSONVILLE-DUVAL FL	C	10/31/2006		\$37,723,298	\$39,149,310	\$3,018,526	\$42,167,836	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$42,381,642	\$6,283,849			\$9,649,823	23.0	\$0	
	D	11/30/2006		\$30,873,000		\$3,018,526	\$41,064,987	100.0	\$0	
LAKELAND FL	C	7/31/2006		\$3,765,745	\$3,749,441	\$344,829	\$4,094,270	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$4,264,253	\$639,638			\$712,742	16.7	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$2,844,000		\$344,829	\$4,525,904	100.0	\$0	
MANATEE CO FL	C	9/30/2006		\$1,755,566	\$1,685,999	\$163,000	\$1,848,999	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$1,978,654	\$284,383			\$369,671	19.5	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$163,000	\$0	0.0**		
MARION CO CON FL	C	10/31/2006		\$1,501,071	\$2,124,792	\$0	\$2,124,792	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$1,662,983	\$239,502			\$373,640	23.4	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
ORANGE CO FL	C	10/31/2006		\$22,239,822	\$22,633,836	\$48,703	\$22,682,540	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$24,762,220	\$3,665,115			\$9,111,735	37.3	\$0	
	D	11/30/2006		\$16,589,000		\$48,703	\$21,181,160	100.0	\$0	
ORLANDO FL	C	10/31/2006		\$13,643,961	\$13,531,063	\$251,201	\$13,782,263	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$15,083,782	\$2,234,733			\$5,048,548	33.9	\$0	
	D	11/30/2006		\$10,217,000		\$251,201	\$12,251,426	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
PASCO CO	C	10/31/2006		\$10,951,766	\$11,676,426	\$4,846,403	\$16,522,830	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$11,440,201	\$1,699,415			\$1,839,415	16.2	\$0	
	D	11/30/2006		\$8,282,000		\$4,846,403	\$15,410,200	100.0	\$0	
PINELLAS CO CON	C	10/31/2006		\$19,481,059	\$16,308,021	\$8,306,639	\$24,614,660	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$21,355,253	\$3,167,352			\$6,610,416	31.3	\$0	
	D	11/30/2006		\$15,706,000		\$8,306,639	\$26,371,880	100.0	\$0	
POLK CO	C	10/31/2006		\$13,398,315	\$13,695,476	\$973,494	\$14,668,969	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$14,959,016	\$2,227,225			\$2,778,703	18.7	\$0	
	D	11/30/2006		\$11,067,000		\$973,494	\$15,014,802	100.0	\$0	
SARASOTA CON	C	9/30/2006		\$9,180,686	\$9,196,637	\$8,505,798	\$17,702,435	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$10,356,616	\$1,535,565			\$3,079,462	30.1	\$0	
	D	11/30/2006		\$7,326,000		\$8,505,798	\$17,509,788	100.0	\$0	
SEMINOLE CO	C	10/31/2006		\$2,408,496	\$2,987,554	\$0	\$2,987,554	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$2,659,271	\$377,297			\$943,100	37.5	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
ST. PETERSBURG	C	10/31/2006		\$15,470,450	\$12,887,520	\$4,136,342	\$17,023,862	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$18,049,009	\$2,682,010			\$5,273,187	29.5	\$0	
	D	11/30/2006		\$12,949,000		\$4,136,342	\$16,977,213	100.0	\$0	
TALLAHASSEE	C	10/31/2006		\$10,346,297	\$11,120,313	\$319,812	\$11,440,125	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$11,484,551	\$1,694,415			\$2,160,856	19.1	\$0	
	D	11/30/2006		\$7,854,000		\$319,812	\$11,463,093	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
TAMPA FL	C	10/31/2006		\$23,893,009	\$19,809,414	\$3,907,721	\$23,717,135	99.3	\$175,873	Deobligation Complete
	R		\$26,533,378	\$3,944,069			\$5,309,782	20.2	\$0	
	D	11/30/2006		\$19,579,000		\$3,907,721	\$24,562,914	100.0	\$0	
VOLUSIA CO CON FL	C	10/31/2006		\$19,486,124	\$19,196,989	\$1,729,465	\$20,926,454	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$21,712,704	\$3,240,905			\$5,210,358	24.1	\$0	
	D	11/30/2006		\$17,318,000		\$1,729,465	\$22,765,928	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Thursday, October 02, 2008

Page 54 of 114

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
KANSAS CITY										
INDEPENDENCE MO	C	7/31/2006		\$4,643,574	\$4,576,681	\$109,384	\$4,686,065	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$5,360,574	\$804,086			\$876,645	16.4	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$3,794,000		\$109,384	\$4,793,651	100.0	\$0	
JOHNSON CO CON KS	C	3/31/2006		\$7,786,180	\$7,756,524	\$438,664	\$8,195,188	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$8,878,296	\$1,306,711			\$1,459,016	16.7	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$5,738,000		\$438,664	\$9,186,543	100.0	\$0	
KANSAS KS	C	3/31/2006		\$80,813,006	\$78,542,854	\$833,717	\$79,376,571	98.2	\$1,436,435	FO conf. Deadline met 3/2/06
	R		\$91,524,951	\$12,854,554			\$22,163,091	24.4	\$0	
	D	4/30/2006		\$65,002,000		\$833,717	\$85,895,955	100.0	\$0	
KANSAS CITY MO	C	5/31/2006		\$34,206,409	\$31,696,151	\$6,088,377	\$37,784,528	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$36,170,861	\$5,367,365			\$6,158,947	17.2	\$0	
	D	4/30/2006		\$26,281,000		\$6,088,377	\$38,028,965	100.0	\$0	
KANSAS CITY KS	C	10/31/2006		\$11,570,748	\$11,893,106	\$598,708	\$12,491,813	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$12,986,400	\$1,930,191			\$3,179,642	24.7	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$9,719,000		\$598,708	\$13,131,898	100.0	\$0	
LAWRENCE KS	C	7/31/2006		\$5,663,529	\$6,235,275	\$532,029	\$6,767,304	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$6,189,619	\$920,917			\$1,656,329	27.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$4,093,000		\$532,029	\$7,334,067	100.0	\$0	

[±] C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
MISSOURI	C	5/31/2006		\$172,019,280	\$181,865,781	\$20,952,728	\$202,818,509	100.0	\$0	
MO	R		\$182,284,772	\$26,486,763			\$52,589,173	29.1	\$0	
	D	5/31/2006		\$128,351,000		\$20,952,728	\$192,694,837	100.0	\$0	
SPRINGFIELD	C	7/31/2006		\$10,594,027	\$10,451,490	\$3,576,613	\$14,028,102	100.0	\$0	
MO	R		\$12,456,321	\$1,846,720			\$2,312,619	18.8	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$8,870,000		\$3,576,613	\$15,532,515	100.0	\$0	
ST. JOSEPH	C	7/31/2006		\$5,138,866	\$5,045,922	\$989,767	\$6,035,689	100.0	\$0	
MO	R		\$5,614,519	\$842,178			\$1,101,004	19.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$4,025,000		\$989,767	\$5,749,669	100.0	\$0	
TOPEKA	C	4/30/2006		\$7,815,444	\$8,047,304	\$631,751	\$8,679,055	100.0	\$0	
KS	R		\$8,549,057	\$1,273,965			\$3,347,051	39.4	\$0	
	D	4/30/2006		\$6,173,000		\$631,751	\$8,561,639	100.0	\$0	
WICHITA	C	7/31/2006		\$19,760,836	\$21,309,253	\$4,641,633	\$25,950,886	100.0	\$0	
KS	R		\$22,861,271	\$3,391,564			\$4,251,551	18.8	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$16,664,000		\$4,641,633	\$28,564,090	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
KNOXVILLE										
BRISTOL CON TN	C	7/31/2006		\$1,882,208	\$2,538,380	\$37,795	\$2,576,175	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$2,085,521	\$304,970			\$335,444	16.5	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$37,795	\$0	0.0**		
CHATTANOOGA TN	C	10/31/2006		\$14,982,038	\$14,403,262	\$3,667,991	\$18,071,253	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$15,528,861	\$2,308,383			\$3,314,523	21.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$11,550,000		\$3,667,991	\$17,186,383	100.0	\$0	
CLARKSVILLE TN	C	8/31/2006		\$3,387,687	\$3,430,221	\$345,005	\$3,775,226	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$3,847,430	\$502,115			\$601,920	15.6#	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$2,352,000		\$345,005	\$3,716,052	100.0	\$0	
JACKSON TN	C	7/31/2006		\$2,864,371	\$2,910,319	\$8,382	\$2,918,701	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$3,319,966	\$497,995			\$761,536	22.9	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$2,018,000		\$8,382	\$3,187,580	100.0	\$0	
KNOX CO TN	C	7/31/2006		\$4,279,602	\$4,477,617	\$242,345	\$4,719,962	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$4,841,444	\$718,078			\$792,311	16.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$3,392,000		\$242,345	\$4,672,254	100.0	\$0	
KNOXVILLE TN	C	8/31/2006		\$15,230,420	\$14,159,882	\$2,678,086	\$16,837,968	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$17,648,131	\$2,617,832			\$3,396,834	19.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$12,998,000		\$2,678,086	\$18,760,918	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
MEMPHIS	C	7/31/2006		\$55,393,878	\$55,291,642	\$293,234	\$55,584,875	100.0	\$0	
TN	R		\$63,572,389	\$9,456,550			\$11,362,912	18.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$47,796,000		\$293,234	\$58,449,136	100.0	\$0	
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	C	5/31/2006		\$31,617,737	\$28,447,812	\$10,414,899	\$38,862,711	100.0	\$0	
TN	R		\$36,327,862	\$5,377,748			\$6,681,344	18.6	\$0	
	D	5/31/2006		\$25,930,000		\$10,414,899	\$40,522,751	100.0	\$0	
SHELBY CO	C	7/31/2006		\$4,657,829	\$4,761,095	\$283,469	\$5,044,564	100.0	\$0	
TN	R		\$5,069,168	\$754,189			\$754,189	15.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$3,641,000		\$283,469	\$4,944,668	100.0	\$0	
TENNESSEE	C	8/31/2006		\$171,956,059	\$196,661,345	\$616,530	\$197,277,875	100.0	\$0	
TN	R		\$187,692,716	\$27,664,565			\$32,065,504	17.2	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$133,185,000		\$616,530	\$171,993,076	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
LITTLE ROCK										
ARKANSAS	C	8/31/2006		\$130,138,818	\$129,585,660	\$7,877,867	\$137,463,527	100.0	\$0	
AR	R		\$143,754,701	\$21,065,822			\$21,683,787	15.2	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$101,547,000		\$7,877,867	\$120,654,059	100.0	\$0	
FORT SMITH										
AR	C	8/31/2006		\$4,415,972	\$4,602,925	\$260,780	\$4,863,705	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$4,826,951	\$724,043			\$1,756,980	36.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$3,265,000		\$260,780	\$4,431,894	100.0	\$0	
LITTLE ROCK										
AR	C	4/30/2006		\$11,426,753	\$10,533,981	\$5,445,571	\$15,979,551	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$12,728,948	\$1,887,590			\$2,333,614	18.5	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$9,279,000		\$5,445,571	\$15,892,468	100.0	\$0	
NORTH LITTLE ROCK										
AR	C	4/30/2006		\$3,090,808	\$3,250,576	\$4,329	\$3,254,905	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$3,672,726	\$519,900			\$1,850,349	50.4 #	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$2,380,991		\$4,329	\$3,518,436	100.0	\$0	
PINE BLUFF										
AR	C	4/30/2006		\$5,620,782	\$5,246,714	\$628,637	\$5,875,351	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$6,133,957	\$920,094			\$1,021,997	16.7	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$4,722,000		\$628,637	\$6,273,810	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
LOS ANGELES										
ALHAMBRA	C	8/31/2006		\$8,273,850	\$8,282,267	\$1,108,417	\$9,390,684	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$9,043,065	\$1,356,460			\$1,485,659	16.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$6,448,000		\$1,108,417	\$8,714,628	100.0	\$0	
ANAHEIM	C	7/31/2006		\$18,575,236	\$18,855,048	\$4,636,040	\$23,491,088	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$20,624,227	\$3,056,412			\$3,576,771	17.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$13,959,000		\$4,636,040	\$23,894,084	100.0	\$0	
APPLE VALLEY CON	C	8/31/2006		\$651,607	\$1,058,008	\$0	\$1,058,008	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$724,008	\$108,601			\$0	0.0	\$108,601	FO conf deadline met 9/14/06
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
BAKERSFIELD	C	8/31/2006		\$13,839,858	\$13,482,223	\$1,941,694	\$15,423,917	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$15,336,355	\$2,278,504			\$2,555,794	16.8	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$10,212,000		\$1,941,694	\$13,310,513	100.0	\$0	
BALDWIN PARK	C	8/31/2006		\$4,098,590	\$2,468,812	\$2,054,980	\$4,523,792	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$4,665,694	\$402,554			\$438,900	9.4 #	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$2,750,350		\$2,054,980	\$4,472,678	100.0	\$0	
BELLFLOWER	C	8/31/2006		\$4,445,027	\$4,525,324	\$182,232	\$4,707,556	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$4,956,915	\$743,537			\$721,325	14.6	\$22,212	FO conf deadline met 12/5/06
	D	7/31/2006		\$3,140,000		\$182,232	\$4,384,292	100.0	\$0	

† C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
BURBANK	C	7/31/2006		\$7,500,864	\$8,076,793	\$34,394	\$8,111,187	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$8,552,659	\$1,039,049			\$4,325,070	50.6#	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$5,762,700		\$34,394	\$8,097,559	100.0	\$0	
CHULA VISTA	C	9/30/2006		\$9,349,951	\$10,108,025	\$0	\$10,108,025	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$10,660,720	\$1,582,119			\$2,796,630	26.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$7,507,000		\$0	\$7,667,961	100.0	\$0	
COMPTON	C	8/31/2006		\$9,779,287	\$9,568,987	\$300,000	\$9,868,987	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$10,960,132	\$1,644,020			\$2,328,285	21.2	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$8,016,668		\$300,000	\$9,003,751	100.0	\$0	
CORONA	C			\$0	\$911,203	\$0	\$911,203	0.0*	\$0	
CA	R		\$0	\$0			\$147,638	0.0*	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**	\$0	
COSTA MESA	C	7/31/2006		\$7,064,375	\$7,614,547	\$0	\$7,614,547	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$7,917,117	\$1,126,538			\$3,455,476	43.6#	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$5,604,970		\$0	\$7,107,141	100.0	\$0	
DOWNEY	C	7/31/2006		\$5,074,018	\$4,415,865	\$1,014,879	\$5,430,744	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$5,695,409	\$841,161			\$1,638,414	28.8#	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$3,469,150		\$1,014,879	\$5,120,060	100.0	\$0	
EL CAJON	C	7/31/2006		\$6,895,018	\$6,446,633	\$1,800,392	\$8,247,025	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$8,135,792	\$1,134,141			\$1,564,786	19.4#	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$5,459,846		\$1,800,392	\$8,971,616	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
EL MONTE	C	7/31/2006		\$11,640,504	\$11,959,000	\$0	\$11,959,000	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$13,506,227	\$2,025,934			\$2,244,271	16.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$9,195,000		\$0	\$11,876,677	100.0	\$0	
ESCONDIDO	C	8/31/2006		\$6,639,244	\$7,813,325	\$1,477,272	\$9,290,597	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$7,694,501	\$1,146,391			\$2,372,847	31.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$4,984,000		\$1,477,272	\$9,429,342	100.0	\$0	
FONTANA	C	7/31/2006		\$4,755,466	\$5,331,872	\$473,952	\$5,805,824	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$4,759,266	\$713,890			\$1,153,341	24.2	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$2,889,000		\$473,952	\$5,234,422	100.0	\$0	
FULLERTON	C	8/31/2006		\$7,146,233	\$7,146,234	\$0	\$7,146,234	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$7,856,527	\$1,178,479			\$2,463,588	31.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$5,530,000		\$0	\$6,046,126	100.0	\$0	
GARDEN GROVE	C	7/31/2006		\$8,248,420	\$8,779,311	\$610,097	\$9,389,408	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$9,083,357	\$1,347,877			\$1,835,150	20.4	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$6,239,000		\$610,097	\$10,136,560	100.0	\$0	
GLENDALE	C	8/31/2006		\$19,792,294	\$20,733,885	\$262,733	\$20,996,618	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$21,981,011	\$3,271,148			\$3,271,930	15.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$15,066,000		\$262,733	\$15,577,381	100.0	\$0	
HAWTHORNE	C	7/31/2006		\$5,971,360	\$5,870,029	\$520,219	\$6,390,248	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$6,696,358	\$996,933			\$1,004,454	15.1	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$3,864,000		\$520,219	\$5,544,486	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
HUNTINGTON BEACH CA	C	10/31/2006		\$7,648,355	\$6,616,681	\$0	\$6,616,681	86.5	\$1,031,674	Deobligation Complete
	R		\$9,141,228	\$1,357,469			\$3,445,081	38.1	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$5,976,300		\$0	\$6,295,273	100.0	\$0	
HUNTINGTON PARK CA	C	7/31/2006		\$8,729,015	\$8,868,116	\$71,227	\$8,939,343	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$9,530,538	\$1,393,383			\$1,578,675	16.6#	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$6,776,802		\$71,227	\$7,520,012	100.0	\$0	
INGLEWOOD CA	C	9/30/2006		\$11,718,801	\$8,503,795	\$1,059,076	\$9,562,871	81.6	\$2,155,930	FO conf deadline met 11/27/06
	R		\$13,309,589	\$1,871,823			\$2,448,972	18.5#	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$9,227,394		\$1,059,076	\$10,269,983	100.0	\$0	
IRVINE CA	C	8/31/2006		\$1,198,095	\$1,692,563	\$0	\$1,692,563	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$1,353,082	\$202,962			\$669,885	49.5	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
KERN CO CA	C	7/31/2006		\$25,531,239	\$22,985,847	\$2,863,651	\$25,849,498	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$27,803,135	\$4,141,216			\$8,278,000	30.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$20,405,000		\$2,863,651	\$25,705,498	100.0	\$0	
LONG BEACH CA	C	9/30/2006		\$46,904,766	\$43,951,648	\$15,145,853	\$59,097,501	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$53,457,248	\$7,956,239			\$15,396,132	29.0	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$37,584,000		\$15,145,853	\$59,407,352	100.0	\$0	
LOS ANGELES CA	C	6/30/2006		\$400,946,332	\$398,409,510	\$108,704,955	\$507,114,465	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$456,924,177	\$68,026,396			\$99,277,273	21.9	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$324,104,000		\$108,704,955	\$459,186,719	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
LOS ANGELES CO	C	8/31/2006		\$139,279,516	\$118,671,261	\$29,357,908	\$148,029,168	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$154,748,221	\$23,057,002			\$24,621,648	16.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$111,693,000		\$29,357,908	\$147,262,832	100.0	\$0	
LYNWOOD	C	8/31/2006		\$7,032,853	\$5,980,653	\$56,037	\$6,036,690	85.8	\$996,163	FO conf deadline met 9/18/06
CA	R		\$7,814,281	\$1,172,142			\$1,272,358	16.3	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$5,722,000		\$56,037	\$5,967,018	100.0	\$0	
MONTEBELLO	C	7/31/2006		\$4,792,974	\$4,944,078	\$66,172	\$5,010,250	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$5,506,138	\$825,921			\$1,090,634	19.8	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$3,809,000		\$66,172	\$4,377,121	100.0	\$0	
MONTEREY PARK	C	7/31/2006		\$4,423,801	\$4,723,132	\$0	\$4,723,132	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$4,641,728	\$696,259			\$2,564,000	55.2	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$2,961,200		\$0	\$2,983,527	100.0	\$0	
MORENO VALLEY	C	8/31/2006		\$4,281,537	\$4,767,389	\$15,554	\$4,782,943	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$4,757,263	\$713,589			\$812,344	17.1	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$2,907,000		\$15,554	\$3,464,905	100.0	\$0	
NATIONAL CITY	C	8/31/2006		\$7,047,687	\$7,081,061	\$0	\$7,081,061	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$7,820,388	\$1,173,058			\$1,418,375	18.1	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$5,783,375		\$0	\$6,917,477	100.0	\$0	
NORWALK	C	7/31/2006		\$3,501,576	\$3,381,145	\$186,446	\$3,567,591	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$4,287,119	\$451,541			\$769,265	17.9#	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$2,452,300		\$186,446	\$3,902,154	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

PJ	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline	%	Shortfall	Current Status
OCEANSIDE	C	8/31/2006		\$8,245,412	\$7,649,431	\$2,001,959	\$9,651,390	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$9,178,130	\$1,363,144			\$1,383,360	15.2	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$6,389,000		\$2,001,959	\$10,222,385	100.0	\$0	
ONTARIO	C	8/31/2006		\$8,831,979	\$7,898,157	\$1,255,390	\$9,153,548	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$9,736,797	\$1,448,638			\$1,448,638	15.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$6,673,000		\$1,255,390	\$8,662,447	100.0	\$0	
ORANGE	C	8/31/2006		\$4,915,594	\$5,393,260	\$9,368	\$5,402,628	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$5,545,104	\$756,766			\$853,571	15.4#	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$3,586,000		\$9,368	\$5,253,537	100.0	\$0	
ORANGE CO	C	8/31/2006		\$20,365,340	\$20,104,541	\$2,243,481	\$22,348,022	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$22,628,044	\$3,360,915			\$3,370,837	15.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$16,686,000		\$2,243,481	\$16,870,044	100.0	\$0	
OXNARD	C	7/31/2006		\$10,142,231	\$10,313,517	\$994,757	\$11,308,275	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$11,355,076	\$1,688,677			\$2,137,574	19.0	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$7,872,000		\$994,757	\$12,267,477	100.0	\$0	
PALMDALE	C	8/31/2006		\$577,821	\$617,321	\$0	\$617,321	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$581,613	\$87,242			\$617,321	106.1	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
PARAMOUNT CITY	C	7/31/2006		\$3,220,635	\$3,250,723	\$41,934	\$3,292,656	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$3,752,933	\$562,940			\$609,605	16.2	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$2,086,495		\$41,934	\$2,965,737	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
PASADENA	C	10/31/2006		\$13,291,610	\$13,673,920	\$1,280,603	\$14,954,523	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$14,507,133	\$2,167,676			\$2,287,876	15.8	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$10,332,821		\$1,280,603	\$13,769,537	100.0	\$0	
POMONA	C	7/31/2006		\$10,535,594	\$10,242,315	\$1,906,973	\$12,149,288	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$11,751,660	\$1,762,749			\$2,209,424	18.8	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$8,331,000		\$1,906,973	\$12,864,391	100.0	\$0	
RIVERSIDE	C	8/31/2006		\$14,582,726	\$12,014,691	\$956,224	\$12,970,915	88.9	\$1,611,811	Deobligation complete (\$1,211,811)
CA	R		\$17,094,443	\$2,219,063			\$2,471,675	14.6#	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$11,546,100		\$956,224	\$14,352,225	100.0	\$0	
RIVERSIDE CO	C	8/31/2006		\$32,962,872	\$36,432,928	\$4,093,849	\$40,526,777	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$36,400,445	\$5,410,234			\$8,260,702	22.9	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$25,230,000		\$4,093,849	\$37,862,738	100.0	\$0	
ROSEMEAD	C	7/31/2006		\$3,319,368	\$3,319,649	\$0	\$3,319,649	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$4,615,131	\$338,570			\$322,850	7.0#	\$15,720	Deobligation complete
	D	7/31/2006		\$1,994,250		\$0	\$2,000,453	100.0	\$0	
SAN BERNARDINO	C	7/31/2006		\$18,650,563	\$20,180,432	\$2,127,521	\$22,307,953	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$20,494,611	\$3,052,453			\$3,902,974	19.2	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$14,897,000		\$2,127,521	\$21,256,780	100.0	\$0	
SAN BERNARDINO CO CO	C	7/31/2006		\$42,819,618	\$44,769,143	\$829,356	\$45,598,499	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$47,551,927	\$7,066,822			\$21,632,137	45.9	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$33,477,000		\$829,356	\$48,698,405	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

PJ	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline	%	Shortfall	Current Status
SAN BUENAVENTURA	C	8/31/2006		\$532,477	\$750,735	\$0	\$750,735	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$591,641	\$88,746			\$0	0.0	\$88,746	FO conf deadline met 9/14/06
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
SAN DIEGO	C	10/31/2006		\$88,378,987	\$77,199,620	\$21,162,441	\$98,362,061	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$98,248,619	\$14,594,528			\$21,613,434	22.2	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$69,558,000		\$21,162,441	\$103,355,066	100.0	\$0	
SAN DIEGO CO CON	C	8/31/2006		\$37,905,948	\$37,886,195	\$3,847,499	\$41,733,694	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$42,124,553	\$6,247,706			\$9,304,232	22.3	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$28,712,000		\$3,847,499	\$39,231,999	100.0	\$0	
SAN LUIS OBISPO CO	C	7/31/2006		\$11,599,629	\$9,977,463	\$1,808,934	\$11,786,397	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$12,754,922	\$1,890,837			\$4,766,985	37.8	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$8,323,000		\$1,808,934	\$12,680,549	100.0	\$0	
SANTA ANA	C	7/31/2006		\$22,624,578	\$24,021,170	\$2,511,173	\$26,532,342	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$25,190,965	\$3,748,157			\$16,340,600	65.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$17,312,000		\$2,511,173	\$26,410,990	100.0	\$0	
SANTA BARBARA	C	7/31/2006		\$8,810,608	\$9,133,863	\$101,350	\$9,235,213	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$9,393,137	\$1,408,971			\$4,502,888	47.9	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$6,759,000		\$101,350	\$7,559,448	100.0	\$0	
SANTA BARBARA CO CON	C	7/31/2006		\$13,666,747	\$13,352,135	\$1,459,573	\$14,811,708	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$15,290,986	\$2,266,900			\$5,930,858	39.2	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$9,818,000		\$1,459,573	\$15,088,735	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
SANTA MONICA	C	8/31/2006		\$9,145,099	\$8,501,084	\$1,724,083	\$10,225,167	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$9,787,530	\$1,468,130			\$3,575,394	36.5	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$7,292,000		\$1,724,083	\$10,049,043	100.0	\$0	
SOUTH GATE	C	7/31/2006		\$9,694,258	\$9,501,002	\$193,256	\$9,694,258	99.9	\$0	
CA	R		\$10,705,667	\$1,605,850			\$1,605,851	15.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$7,598,000		\$193,256	\$9,818,192	100.0	\$0	
VENTURA CO CON	C	7/31/2006		\$13,829,024	\$14,657,460	\$2,086,164	\$16,743,624	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$15,364,235	\$2,286,318			\$4,494,952	29.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$11,396,000		\$2,086,164	\$17,181,901	100.0	\$0	
WESTMINSTER	C	8/31/2006		\$3,755,377	\$4,112,223	\$631,764	\$4,743,987	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$4,131,292	\$619,694			\$1,160,657	28.1	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$2,505,000		\$631,764	\$3,953,095	100.0	\$0	
WHITTIER	C	8/31/2006		\$3,478,168	\$3,493,890	\$64,000	\$3,557,890	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$4,006,791	\$537,269			\$537,269	13.4 #	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$2,342,056		\$64,000	\$2,445,950	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
LOUISVILLE										
COVINGTON KY	C	8/31/2006		\$6,029,220	\$5,500,946	\$1,724,834	\$7,225,779	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$6,952,544	\$1,042,882			\$1,291,152	18.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$5,322,000		\$1,724,834	\$7,934,943	100.0	\$0	
KENTUCKY KY	C	8/31/2006		\$189,133,542	\$202,929,072	\$3,577,828	\$206,506,900	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$207,975,878	\$30,377,231			\$40,581,670	19.7	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$148,915,000		\$3,577,828	\$200,953,542	100.0	\$0	
LEXINGTON-FAYETTE KY	C	8/31/2006		\$15,185,985	\$14,130,256	\$2,740,273	\$16,870,529	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$17,345,275	\$2,567,696			\$2,756,510	16.1	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$12,511,000		\$2,740,273	\$18,613,716	100.0	\$0	
LOUISVILLE KY	C	6/30/2006		\$48,068,101	\$45,921,822	\$9,696,613	\$55,618,435	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$51,070,130	\$7,589,796			\$8,911,736	17.6	\$0	
	D	1/31/2006		\$37,673,999		\$9,696,613	\$50,701,500	100.0	\$0	
OWENSBORO KY	C	8/31/2006		\$4,019,744	\$4,082,419	\$290,559	\$4,372,977	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$4,454,440	\$668,166			\$773,542	17.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$3,241,000		\$290,559	\$4,410,433	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
MIAMI										
BROWARD CO CON FL	C	10/31/2006		\$27,653,398	\$30,616,338	\$491,080	\$31,107,418	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$30,140,318	\$4,442,591			\$5,468,607	18.5	\$0	
	D	11/30/2006		\$17,919,000		\$491,080	\$23,500,168	100.0	\$0	
COLLIER CO FL	C	8/31/2006		\$1,442,047	\$2,084,463	\$25,547	\$2,110,010	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$1,676,425	\$237,468			\$539,000	34.0	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$25,547	\$0	0.0**		
FORT LAUDERDALE FL	C	10/31/2006		\$11,549,705	\$11,273,990	\$584,752	\$11,858,743	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$12,814,052	\$1,901,831			\$2,791,160	22.0	\$0	
	D	11/30/2006		\$9,366,000		\$584,752	\$11,104,664	100.0	\$0	
HIALEAH FL	C	10/31/2006		\$19,602,268	\$20,897,904	\$30,000	\$20,927,904	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$20,933,576	\$3,114,656			\$3,293,259	15.9	\$0	
	D	11/30/2006		\$14,195,000		\$30,000	\$21,041,892	100.0	\$0	
HOLLYWOOD FL	C	10/31/2006		\$6,084,390	\$4,599,791	\$2,564,295	\$7,164,086	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$6,697,809	\$996,778			\$996,778	15.0	\$0	
	D	11/30/2006		\$4,391,000		\$2,564,295	\$7,408,959	100.0	\$0	
LEE CO FL	C	10/31/2006		\$6,737,396	\$6,351,077	\$1,661,444	\$8,012,522	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$7,479,943	\$1,108,395			\$1,862,419	25.2	\$0	
	D	11/30/2006		\$5,147,000		\$1,661,444	\$8,433,557	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
MIAMI	C	10/31/2006		\$55,042,728	\$58,537,944	\$0	\$58,537,944	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$60,949,982	\$9,075,772			\$11,563,324	19.1	\$0	
	D	11/30/2006		\$44,824,000		\$0	\$47,234,287	100.0	\$0	
MIAMI BEACH	C	10/31/2006		\$15,795,585	\$14,957,289	\$1,310,754	\$16,268,043	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$18,202,305	\$2,711,790			\$7,491,229	41.4	\$0	
	D	11/30/2006		\$13,868,000		\$1,310,754	\$18,097,294	100.0	\$0	
MIAMI-DADE CO	C	9/30/2006		\$65,013,147	\$61,057,616	\$11,862,336	\$72,919,952	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$75,397,659	\$11,219,133			\$18,657,742	24.9	\$0	
	D	5/31/2006		\$52,741,000		\$11,862,336	\$65,026,582	100.0	\$0	
NORTH MIAMI	C	10/31/2006		\$1,047,818	\$1,048,893	\$0	\$1,048,893	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$1,147,155	\$172,073			\$216,563	18.9	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
PALM BEACH CO	C	10/31/2006		\$24,886,215	\$25,193,290	\$0	\$25,193,290	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$27,987,684	\$4,145,619			\$4,657,261	16.9	\$0	
	D	11/30/2006		\$19,446,000		\$0	\$23,885,410	100.0	\$0	
POMPANO BEACH	C	10/31/2006		\$3,151,487	\$3,586,707	\$50,885	\$3,637,592	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$3,414,567	\$512,185			\$868,223	25.4	\$0	
	D	11/30/2006		\$1,948,000		\$50,885	\$2,762,136	100.0	\$0	
WEST PALM BEACH	C	10/31/2006		\$6,021,181	\$5,829,916	\$1,450,450	\$7,280,366	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$6,736,365	\$1,010,455			\$2,266,314	33.6	\$0	
	D	11/30/2006		\$4,755,000		\$1,450,450	\$7,062,238	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
MILWAUKEE										
DANE CO	C	4/30/2006		\$1,871,777	\$2,014,912	\$7,000	\$2,021,912	100.0	\$0	
WI	R		\$2,045,334	\$290,139			\$406,844	21.0	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$7,000	\$0	0.0**		
EAU CLAIRE	C	8/31/2006		\$4,513,700	\$4,889,948	\$64,985	\$4,954,933	100.0	\$0	
WI	R		\$5,161,228	\$774,184			\$981,573	19.0	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$3,685,000		\$64,985	\$4,864,020	100.0	\$0	
GREEN BAY	C	4/30/2006		\$7,786,811	\$8,022,974	\$1,573,671	\$9,596,645	100.0	\$0	
WI	R		\$8,585,230	\$1,205,095			\$3,675,587	43.1#	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$6,339,000		\$1,573,671	\$9,900,492	100.0	\$0	
JANESVILLE CON	C	4/30/2006		\$2,151,840	\$2,755,705	\$169,018	\$2,924,722	100.0	\$0	
WI	R		\$2,329,454	\$337,339			\$432,461	19.2	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$169,018	\$0	0.0**		
KENOSHA	C	4/30/2006		\$5,259,416	\$5,660,057	\$2,160,680	\$7,820,737	100.0	\$0	
WI	R		\$6,179,939	\$926,991			\$1,006,374	16.3	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$4,423,000		\$2,160,680	\$8,142,516	100.0	\$0	
LA CROSSE	C	4/30/2006		\$4,178,812	\$4,535,909	\$654,937	\$5,190,845	100.0	\$0	
WI	R		\$4,433,744	\$665,062			\$901,922	20.3	\$0	
	D	5/31/2006		\$3,101,000		\$654,937	\$4,620,246	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
MADISON	C	4/30/2006		\$17,011,701	\$17,283,805	\$2,451,485	\$19,735,290	100.0	\$0	
WI	R		\$18,298,498	\$2,708,465			\$4,504,057	24.9	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$12,741,000		\$2,451,485	\$19,341,390	100.0	\$0	
MILWAUKEE	C	5/31/2006		\$95,879,044	\$98,219,928	\$7,465,068	\$105,684,996	100.0	\$0	
WI	R		\$97,089,612	\$14,461,173			\$21,807,365	22.6	\$0	
	D	5/31/2006		\$72,800,000		\$7,465,068	\$103,010,383	100.0	\$0	
MILWAUKEE CO CON	C	5/31/2006		\$11,978,525	\$12,430,498	\$1,162,678	\$13,593,176	100.0	\$0	
WI	R		\$13,530,679	\$1,997,538			\$2,510,786	18.9	\$0	
	D	5/31/2006		\$9,137,843		\$1,162,678	\$13,688,135	100.0	\$0	
RACINE	C	4/30/2006		\$9,322,106	\$9,873,065	\$1,697,741	\$11,570,806	100.0	\$0	
WI	R		\$10,062,965	\$1,509,445			\$3,800,639	37.8	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$7,735,000		\$1,697,741	\$11,760,250	100.0	\$0	
WAUKESHA CO CON	C	4/30/2006		\$7,419,198	\$7,700,778	\$561,775	\$8,262,553	100.0	\$0	
WI	R		\$8,632,608	\$1,261,395			\$1,236,382	14.7	\$25,013	FO conf. Deadline met 5/11/06
	D	5/31/2006		\$4,087,000		\$561,775	\$8,723,827	100.0	\$0	
WISCONSIN	C	7/31/2006		\$146,788,090	\$147,223,114	\$818,436	\$148,041,550	100.0	\$0	
WI	R		\$169,277,505	\$24,723,217			\$51,316,056	30.6	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$126,634,000		\$818,436	\$161,070,232	100.0	\$0	

[±]C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
MINNEAPOLIS										
DAKOTA CO CON MN	C	7/31/2006		\$24,229,441	\$23,680,639	\$2,745,791	\$26,426,430	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$26,980,482	\$3,991,229			\$4,804,159	18.1	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$19,079,000		\$2,745,791	\$28,785,612	100.0	\$0	
DULUTH MN	C	4/30/2006		\$7,046,293	\$7,675,452	\$444,382	\$8,119,833	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$7,942,542	\$1,191,381			\$2,552,855	32.1	\$0	
	D	4/30/2006		\$5,437,000		\$444,382	\$8,699,324	100.0	\$0	
HENNEPIN CO CON MN	C	7/31/2006		\$20,744,071	\$21,813,406	\$459,646	\$22,273,053	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$22,786,556	\$3,370,123			\$6,110,616	27.2	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$15,693,000		\$459,646	\$21,669,817	100.0	\$0	
MINNEAPOLIS MN	C	9/30/2006		\$41,506,877	\$42,707,761	\$603,280	\$43,311,041	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$45,761,043	\$6,800,867			\$9,163,607	20.2	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$32,907,000		\$603,280	\$42,927,158	100.0	\$0	
MINNESOTA MN	C	5/31/2006		\$98,807,076	\$99,550,359	\$2,080,765	\$101,631,124	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$110,305,504	\$14,746,501			\$23,069,053	21.1	\$0	
	D	5/31/2006		\$77,426,000		\$2,080,765	\$103,262,659	100.0	\$0	
ST. LOUIS CO CON MN	C	7/31/2006		\$8,820,408	\$8,965,932	\$886,349	\$9,852,281	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$10,184,406	\$1,516,611			\$2,973,698	29.4	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$7,833,000		\$886,349	\$10,501,343	100.0	\$0	

† C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
ST. PAUL	C	6/30/2006		\$24,893,462	\$25,299,478	\$572,334	\$25,871,812	100.0	\$0	
MN	R		\$28,226,937	\$4,192,007			\$4,192,192	15.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$20,288,000		\$572,334	\$29,046,938	100.0	\$0	

⁺ C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
NEW ORLEANS										
ALEXANDRIA	C	6/30/2006		\$4,905,799	\$4,604,896	\$0	\$4,604,896	93.9	\$300,903	Commitment Requirement waived 6/9/06 < \$1,000 (no deob. Nec.)
LA	R		\$5,866,243	\$712,136			\$711,636	12.1 #	\$500	
	D	5/31/2006		\$4,271,200		\$0	\$4,740,339	100.0	\$0	
BATON ROUGE	C	10/31/2006		\$24,322,073	\$23,430,586	\$1,284,484	\$24,715,070	100.0	\$0	
LA	R		\$27,627,362	\$4,101,394			\$4,905,250	17.9	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$20,111,000		\$1,284,484	\$24,644,549	100.0	\$0	
HOUMA-TERREBONNE	C	6/30/2006		\$5,260,168	\$5,047,844	\$807,597	\$5,855,442	100.0	\$0	
LA	R		\$5,844,631	\$876,695			\$938,994	16.1	\$0	
	D	4/30/2006		\$4,210,000		\$807,597	\$6,272,769	100.0	\$0	
JEFFERSON PAR CON	C	10/31/2006		\$25,126,808	\$25,924,918	\$172,701	\$26,097,619	100.0	\$0	
LA	R		\$28,909,080	\$4,290,950			\$4,378,167	15.3 #	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$20,937,877		\$172,701	\$19,833,376	94.7	\$1,104,501	
LAFAYETTE	C	10/31/2006		\$9,445,996	\$9,975,982	\$752,007	\$10,727,990	100.0	\$0	
LA	R		\$9,641,423	\$1,433,022			\$2,318,380	24.3	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$6,952,000		\$752,007	\$10,635,225	100.0	\$0	
LAKE CHARLES	C	10/31/2006		\$4,990,848	\$4,489,812	\$0	\$4,489,812	90.0	\$501,036	Commitment Requirement Waived
LA	R		\$5,670,677	\$850,602			\$775,875	13.7	\$74,726	CHDO Requirement Waived
	D	10/31/2006		\$4,047,000		\$0	\$4,802,828	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
LOUISIANA	C	8/31/2006		\$159,108,665	\$162,630,546	\$6,423,303	\$169,053,849	100.0	\$0	
LA	R		\$180,468,669	\$26,891,551			\$27,380,544	15.3	\$0	
	D	4/30/2006		\$129,732,000		\$6,423,303	\$138,912,432	100.0	\$0	
MONROE	C	8/31/2006		\$6,346,106	\$6,397,788	\$153,912	\$6,551,699	100.0	\$0	
LA	R		\$7,021,785	\$1,053,268			\$1,854,083	26.4	\$0	
	D	5/31/2006		\$5,348,000		\$153,912	\$7,063,024	100.0	\$0	
NEW ORLEANS	C	5/31/2006		\$80,506,169	\$75,328,167	\$0	\$75,328,167	93.6	\$5,178,001	Commitment Requirement Waived
LA	R		\$88,500,291	\$13,198,798			\$13,986,877	15.9	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$66,455,000		\$0	\$68,981,151	100.0	\$0	
SHREVEPORT	C	8/31/2006		\$16,778,314	\$16,751,947	\$1,170,518	\$17,922,465	100.0	\$0	
LA	R		\$18,642,693	\$2,774,511			\$2,757,000	14.9	\$17,511	Deobligation Complete
	D	3/31/2006		\$13,432,345		\$1,170,518	\$18,008,358	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
NEW YORK										
BABYLON TOWNSHIP NY	C	5/31/2006		\$7,020,809	\$6,049,705	\$876,901	\$6,926,606	98.7	\$94,203	FO confirms deadline is met 9/6/06
	R		\$8,086,583	\$1,202,569			\$1,479,657	18.5	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$5,776,000		\$876,901	\$7,618,658	100.0	\$0	
DUTCHESS CO CON NY	C	4/30/2006		\$9,836,745	\$9,850,050	\$126,179	\$9,976,229	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$10,977,863	\$1,625,457			\$3,127,843	28.9	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$7,365,000		\$126,179	\$9,793,686	100.0	\$0	
ISLIP TOWNSHIP NY	C	7/31/2006		\$9,114,818	\$9,114,992	\$0	\$9,114,992	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$10,160,724	\$1,510,900			\$2,293,950	22.8	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$7,343,000		\$0	\$7,513,427	100.0	\$0	
MOUNT VERNON NY	C	4/30/2006		\$8,477,017	\$9,128,670	\$0	\$9,128,670	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$9,131,352	\$1,369,703			\$1,458,904	16.0	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$6,738,000		\$0	\$8,642,406	100.0	\$0	
NASSAU CO NY	C	10/31/2006		\$37,147,498	\$37,934,830	\$0	\$37,934,830	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$40,918,686	\$6,088,566			\$8,925,358	22.0	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$29,198,999		\$0	\$32,796,295	100.0	\$0	
NEW ROCHELLE NY	C	3/31/2006		\$5,882,682	\$6,484,542	\$0	\$6,484,542	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$6,578,223	\$986,733			\$5,630,432	85.6	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$4,682,000		\$0	\$6,381,840	100.0	\$0	

⁺ C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
NEW YORK	C	4/30/2006		\$349,503,837	\$387,898,024	\$5,707,770	\$393,605,794	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$395,133,852	\$58,612,562			\$104,915,346	26.7	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$277,035,000		\$5,707,770	\$342,842,409	100.0	\$0	
NEW YORK CITY	C	5/31/2006		\$1,232,500,223	\$1,232,628,799	\$3,505,897	\$1,236,134,695	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$1,368,918,781	\$204,026,173			\$282,653,981	20.8	\$0	
	D	4/30/2006		\$986,360,000		\$3,505,897	\$1,108,008,571	100.0	\$0	
ORANGE CO CON	C	3/31/2006		\$12,904,158	\$12,148,406	\$757,413	\$12,905,818	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$13,957,020	\$2,073,394			\$2,964,469	21.4	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$9,710,000		\$757,413	\$12,440,542	100.0	\$0	
ROCKLAND CO	C	8/31/2006		\$10,031,801	\$10,841,852	\$32,793	\$10,874,645	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$11,241,692	\$1,669,875			\$2,209,555	19.8	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$7,865,000		\$32,793	\$10,740,317	100.0	\$0	
SUFFOLK CO CON	C	4/30/2006		\$20,063,225	\$18,767,184	\$1,127,147	\$19,894,331	99.2	\$168,894	FO Conf. Deadline met 7/17/06
NY	R		\$22,475,469	\$3,336,096			\$3,995,599	18.0	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$15,444,000		\$1,127,147	\$20,579,092	100.0	\$0	
WESTCHESTER CO	C	6/30/2006		\$18,312,678	\$18,283,707	\$29,770	\$18,313,477	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$20,081,765	\$2,985,692			\$3,975,137	20.0	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$14,337,000		\$29,770	\$16,492,392	100.0	\$0	
YONKERS	C	4/30/2006		\$20,751,353	\$18,432,622	\$2,378,886	\$20,811,508	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$23,045,148	\$3,427,067			\$5,223,061	22.9	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$16,441,000		\$2,378,886	\$22,575,691	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
NEWARK										
ATLANTIC CITY	C	4/30/2006		\$6,076,542	\$6,205,206	\$24,947	\$6,230,153	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$6,572,453	\$985,868			\$1,153,543	17.6	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$4,788,503		\$24,947	\$6,153,234	100.0	\$0	
ATLANTIC CO CON	C	9/30/2006		\$4,508,039	\$4,796,205	\$273,474	\$5,069,679	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$5,029,376	\$741,776			\$815,980	16.5	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$2,733,000		\$273,474	\$5,112,090	100.0	\$0	
BERGEN CO	C	7/31/2006		\$32,593,870	\$34,685,241	\$1,366,633	\$36,051,874	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$36,032,135	\$5,346,009			\$8,270,845	23.2	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$25,389,000		\$1,366,633	\$37,172,393	100.0	\$0	
BURLINGTON CO CON	C	7/31/2006		\$9,197,835	\$8,603,348	\$860,140	\$9,463,489	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$10,169,268	\$1,510,167			\$3,747,250	37.2	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$7,494,000		\$860,140	\$9,516,096	100.0	\$0	
CAMDEN	C	7/31/2006		\$16,111,672	\$16,111,672	\$0	\$16,111,672	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$17,204,299	\$2,580,645			\$4,362,595	25.4	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$13,109,000		\$0	\$15,837,638	100.0	\$0	
CAMDEN CO CON	C	7/31/2006		\$12,681,236	\$11,274,929	\$2,305,581	\$13,580,510	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$14,444,924	\$2,138,616			\$5,296,011	37.1	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$10,230,000		\$2,305,581	\$15,099,597	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
EAST ORANGE NJ	C	9/30/2006		\$9,841,073	\$9,713,286	\$260,078	\$9,973,364	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$11,365,959	\$1,393,848			\$1,724,165	15.2#	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$8,165,784		\$260,078	\$9,708,789	100.0	\$0	
ELIZABETH NJ	C	7/31/2006		\$14,752,783	\$15,696,074	\$0	\$15,696,074	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$16,694,721	\$2,482,031			\$2,743,884	16.6	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$11,804,881		\$0	\$14,225,432	100.0	\$0	
ESSEX CO CON NJ	C	7/31/2006		\$15,162,480	\$14,011,217	\$1,485,906	\$15,497,123	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$16,365,695	\$2,425,972			\$4,278,429	26.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$11,284,000		\$1,485,906	\$15,061,241	100.0	\$0	
GLOUCESTER CO CON NJ	C	9/30/2006		\$8,490,919	\$8,631,963	\$790,364	\$9,422,327	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$9,309,555	\$1,383,063			\$1,458,263	15.8	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$6,758,000		\$790,364	\$9,932,330	100.0	\$0	
HUDSON CO CON NJ	C	7/31/2006		\$38,826,095	\$40,888,370	\$1,175,214	\$42,063,584	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$43,264,790	\$6,440,435			\$16,659,380	38.8	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$30,525,000		\$1,175,214	\$40,479,092	100.0	\$0	
IRVINGTON TOWNSHIP NJ	C	7/31/2006		\$6,623,770	\$6,311,790	\$0	\$6,311,790	95.3	\$311,981	FO conf deadline met 9/11/06
	R		\$7,767,546	\$1,035,920			\$1,090,752	14.0#	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$5,316,179		\$0	\$5,943,925	100.0	\$0	
JERSEY CITY NJ	C	7/31/2006		\$33,898,793	\$34,239,387	\$545,031	\$34,784,418	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$37,470,654	\$5,585,612			\$7,752,045	20.8	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$27,286,000		\$545,031	\$29,825,566	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
MERCER CO CON	C	7/31/2006		\$6,010,950	\$6,290,245	\$100,000	\$6,390,245	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$6,684,456	\$988,982			\$4,151,779	63.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$4,488,500		\$100,000	\$6,608,465	100.0	\$0	
MIDDLESEX CO CON	C	7/31/2006		\$14,083,324	\$14,569,425	\$0	\$14,569,425	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$15,634,179	\$2,310,591			\$2,988,028	19.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$9,646,000		\$0	\$13,243,189	100.0	\$0	
MONMOUTH CO CON	C	8/31/2006		\$17,124,915	\$17,475,687	\$0	\$17,475,687	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$17,966,793	\$2,656,497			\$3,545,568	20.0	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$11,209,000		\$0	\$13,647,282	100.0	\$0	
MORRIS CO CON	C	7/31/2006		\$10,015,356	\$10,383,367	\$211,175	\$10,594,542	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$10,796,106	\$1,600,322			\$2,131,173	20.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$7,297,000		\$211,175	\$11,046,261	100.0	\$0	
NEW BRUNSWICK	C	7/31/2006		\$3,622,843	\$3,627,281	\$0	\$3,627,281	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$4,025,381	\$603,807			\$1,000,206	24.8	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$2,374,000		\$0	\$2,705,781	100.0	\$0	
NEW JERSEY	C	9/30/2006		\$86,336,228	\$91,086,059	\$1,114,895	\$92,200,954	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$110,158,911	\$16,387,067			\$18,210,837	16.7	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$76,071,995		\$1,114,895	\$97,676,899	100.0	\$0	
NEWARK	C	10/31/2006		\$50,679,685	\$50,739,688	\$0	\$50,739,688	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$55,017,838	\$8,192,456			\$8,194,455	15.0	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$41,455,000		\$0	\$40,182,570	96.9	\$1,272,430	Deobligation Complete (\$598,094)

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
OCEAN CO CON NJ	C	7/31/2006		\$14,254,809	\$14,749,323	\$134,414	\$14,883,737	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$15,473,934	\$2,296,984			\$3,553,130	23.2	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$11,072,000		\$134,414	\$14,655,126	100.0	\$0	
PASSAIC NJ	C	7/31/2006		\$9,552,646	\$8,962,846	\$71,299	\$9,034,145	94.6	\$518,502	Deobligation Complete (\$58,501)
	R		\$10,789,288	\$1,618,393			\$1,944,992	18.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$7,823,000		\$71,299	\$8,666,579	100.0	\$0	
PATERSON NJ	C	8/31/2006		\$20,469,564	\$21,019,776	\$230,211	\$21,249,987	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$22,687,017	\$3,377,145			\$5,069,678	22.5	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$16,621,000		\$230,211	\$16,651,686	100.0	\$0	
PERTH AMBOY NJ	C	7/31/2006		\$5,035,913	\$5,058,516	\$0	\$5,058,516	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$5,583,737	\$837,561			\$885,621	15.9	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$4,032,000		\$0	\$4,585,988	100.0	\$0	
SOMERSET CO CON NJ	C	9/30/2006		\$6,257,409	\$6,542,873	\$213,920	\$6,756,793	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$6,884,884	\$1,021,055			\$1,991,023	29.2	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$4,693,000		\$213,920	\$6,693,634	100.0	\$0	
TRENTON NJ	C	7/31/2006		\$10,700,347	\$11,364,389	\$0	\$11,364,389	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$11,699,530	\$1,747,228			\$1,790,535	15.4	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$8,630,000		\$0	\$9,920,156	100.0	\$0	
UNION CO CON NJ	C	9/30/2006		\$15,235,350	\$15,152,028	\$726,007	\$15,878,034	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$17,050,474	\$2,532,289			\$2,669,562	15.8	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$12,360,000		\$726,007	\$14,238,800	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report

Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements

As of 09/30/08

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
VINELAND CON	C	7/31/2006		\$7,685,474	\$8,118,629	\$44,232	\$8,162,861	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$8,539,416	\$1,280,912			\$1,707,858	20.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$6,078,000		\$44,232	\$7,654,791	100.0	\$0	

⁺ C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Thursday, October 02, 2008

Page 84 of 114

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
OKLAHOMA CITY										
LAWTON	C	7/31/2006		\$5,900,668	\$6,095,474	\$390,435	\$6,485,909	100.0	\$0	
OK	R		\$6,646,005	\$996,901			\$1,656,817	24.9	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$4,963,000		\$390,435	\$6,878,905	100.0	\$0	
NORMAN	C	7/31/2006		\$4,403,284	\$4,553,779	\$246,547	\$4,800,325	100.0	\$0	
OK	R		\$4,824,625	\$723,694			\$777,616	16.1	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$3,079,000		\$246,547	\$5,265,940	100.0	\$0	
OKLAHOMA	C	4/30/2006		\$117,491,753	\$123,974,352	\$529,518	\$124,503,869	100.0	\$0	
OK	R		\$136,664,649	\$18,783,856			\$39,301,365	29.0	\$0	
	D	4/30/2006		\$97,447,000		\$529,518	\$133,337,604	100.0	\$0	
OKLAHOMA CITY	C	7/31/2006		\$30,287,999	\$29,111,028	\$3,399,866	\$32,510,894	100.0	\$0	
OK	R		\$33,618,575	\$4,984,418			\$6,791,404	20.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$23,785,000		\$3,399,866	\$34,629,885	100.0	\$0	
TULSA	C	10/31/2006		\$22,881,115	\$24,424,194	\$8,100,661	\$32,524,855	100.0	\$0	
OK	R		\$26,516,653	\$3,927,150			\$14,487,917	55.3	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$18,971,962		\$8,100,661	\$33,151,854	100.0	\$0	
TULSA CO CON	C	9/30/2006		\$9,981,027	\$10,111,947	\$92,960	\$10,204,907	100.0	\$0	
OK	R		\$11,081,203	\$1,640,443			\$7,339,018	67.1	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$6,894,000		\$92,960	\$9,021,425	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
OMAHA										
CEDAR RAPIDS	C	8/31/2006		\$5,621,539	\$5,474,656	\$628,171	\$6,102,827	100.0	\$0	
IA	R		\$6,484,927	\$972,739			\$3,282,288	50.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$4,263,000		\$628,171	\$6,325,427	100.0	\$0	
DAVENPORT	C	7/31/2006		\$8,033,845	\$8,111,339	\$1,300,003	\$9,411,342	100.0	\$0	
IA	R		\$8,878,677	\$1,331,802			\$2,240,029	25.2	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$6,581,000		\$1,300,003	\$9,450,876	100.0	\$0	
DES MOINES	C	4/30/2006		\$13,373,949	\$12,248,742	\$1,257,106	\$13,505,848	100.0	\$0	
IA	R		\$15,415,999	\$2,290,089			\$3,711,671	24.3	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$11,557,000		\$1,257,106	\$14,354,715	100.0	\$0	
IOWA	C	4/30/2006		\$116,173,907	\$122,175,410	\$3,320,122	\$125,495,532	100.0	\$0	
IA	R		\$127,882,754	\$17,838,001			\$27,947,332	22.1	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$92,926,500		\$3,320,122	\$124,535,621	100.0	\$0	
IOWA CITY	C	7/31/2006		\$6,042,788	\$6,301,883	\$836,273	\$7,138,156	100.0	\$0	
IA	R		\$6,758,431	\$1,013,765			\$2,187,073	32.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$4,613,000		\$836,273	\$7,055,117	100.0	\$0	
LINCOLN	C	9/30/2006		\$12,423,168	\$12,689,505	\$3,243,206	\$15,932,711	100.0	\$0	
NE	R		\$13,218,711	\$1,953,806			\$3,301,676	25.3	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$9,319,000		\$3,243,206	\$15,986,650	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
NEBRASKA	C	7/31/2006		\$50,992,665	\$52,765,022	\$0	\$52,765,022	100.0	\$0	
NE	R		\$58,430,617	\$8,390,319			\$26,639,379	46.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$41,583,849		\$0	\$55,865,492	100.0	\$0	
OMAHA CON	C	4/30/2006		\$25,860,017	\$25,958,489	\$343,766	\$26,302,255	100.0	\$0	
NE	R		\$28,712,148	\$4,253,938			\$9,824,585	34.6	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$20,354,000		\$343,766	\$24,360,581	100.0	\$0	
SIOUX CITY CON	C	7/31/2006		\$6,005,008	\$6,140,129	\$63,577	\$6,203,706	100.0	\$0	
IA	R		\$6,672,231	\$1,000,835			\$1,411,000	21.1	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$4,799,000		\$63,577	\$6,810,674	100.0	\$0	
WATERLOO CON	C	7/31/2006		\$6,387,652	\$6,994,065	\$57,317	\$7,051,382	100.0	\$0	
IA	R		\$6,944,390	\$1,041,659			\$2,548,610	36.7	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$4,706,000		\$57,317	\$6,172,481	100.0	\$0	

[±] C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
PHILADELPHIA										
ALLENTOWN PA	C	8/31/2006		\$9,089,598	\$8,521,768	\$923,593	\$9,445,361	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$10,353,847	\$1,544,682			\$1,703,550	16.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$7,105,539		\$923,593	\$9,397,765	100.0	\$0	
BERKS CO PA	C	6/30/2006		\$6,782,421	\$8,011,327	\$359,629	\$8,370,956	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$7,479,804	\$1,108,789			\$3,502,391	47.4	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$5,342,000		\$359,629	\$7,993,306	100.0	\$0	
BETHLEHEM PA	C	5/31/2006		\$5,632,069	\$5,683,434	\$215,969	\$5,899,403	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$5,784,651	\$867,698			\$1,882,701	32.5	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$3,954,000		\$215,969	\$5,219,589	100.0	\$0	
BUCKS CO CON PA	C	6/30/2006		\$13,328,321	\$14,191,002	\$90,798	\$14,281,800	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$14,709,802	\$2,181,180			\$3,254,230	22.4	\$0	
	D	4/30/2006		\$10,643,000		\$90,798	\$14,564,195	100.0	\$0	
CHESTER PA	C	7/31/2006		\$3,539,192	\$3,827,734	\$0	\$3,827,734	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$3,846,361	\$576,954			\$789,494	20.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$2,364,000		\$0	\$3,544,872	100.0	\$0	
CHESTER CO PA	C	5/31/2006		\$10,972,941	\$11,165,086	\$10,007	\$11,175,092	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$12,557,863	\$1,863,314			\$2,644,709	21.3	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$8,936,000		\$10,007	\$11,134,606	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

PJ	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline	%	Shortfall	Current Status
CUMBERLAND COUNTY	C			\$0	\$517,755	\$0	\$517,755	0.0*	\$0	
PA	R		\$0	\$0			\$89,743	0.0*	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
DAUPHIN CO	C	5/31/2006		\$1,794,380	\$2,187,831	\$0	\$2,187,831	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$2,002,237	\$285,900			\$548,066	28.8	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
DELAWARE	C	7/31/2006		\$34,496,965	\$35,946,981	\$2,818,293	\$38,765,274	100.0	\$0	
DE	R		\$39,218,166	\$4,946,818			\$11,855,644	30.4	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$30,000,000		\$2,818,293	\$36,560,133	100.0	\$0	
DELAWARE CO	C	8/31/2006		\$17,149,832	\$18,191,316	\$178,209	\$18,369,525	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$18,985,566	\$2,824,468			\$4,593,584	24.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$14,741,000		\$178,209	\$17,329,363	100.0	\$0	
HARRISBURG	C	10/31/2006		\$8,178,716	\$8,538,646	\$871,451	\$9,410,096	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$8,735,865	\$1,310,380			\$1,538,208	17.6	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$6,629,000		\$871,451	\$9,266,821	100.0	\$0	
LANCASTER	C	8/31/2006		\$7,472,635	\$7,920,333	\$429,410	\$8,349,744	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$8,199,274	\$1,229,891			\$2,823,324	34.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$6,067,000		\$429,410	\$8,121,622	100.0	\$0	
LANCASTER CO	C	6/30/2006		\$12,632,722	\$13,005,934	\$572,173	\$13,578,107	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$14,279,361	\$2,118,478			\$2,168,645	15.4	\$0	
	D	4/30/2006		\$10,233,000		\$572,173	\$13,163,506	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
LUZERNE CO	C	6/30/2006		\$14,403,976	\$14,621,428	\$2,161,035	\$16,782,463	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$15,728,623	\$2,343,956			\$2,343,956	15.0	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$12,397,000		\$2,161,035	\$15,668,661	100.0	\$0	
MONTGOMERY CO	C	10/31/2006		\$14,453,991	\$15,500,332	\$258,706	\$15,759,039	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$16,249,763	\$2,407,247			\$2,716,366	16.9	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$11,572,000		\$258,706	\$15,247,014	100.0	\$0	
NEW CASTLE CO	C	7/31/2006		\$11,664,160	\$11,978,695	\$2,631,421	\$14,610,116	100.0	\$0	
DE	R		\$13,029,108	\$1,927,306			\$2,115,757	16.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$9,191,000		\$2,631,421	\$14,864,980	100.0	\$0	
PENNSYLVANIA	C	6/30/2006		\$281,640,687	\$305,528,025	\$0	\$305,528,025	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$316,532,850	\$46,592,133			\$65,936,874	21.0	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$224,304,000		\$0	\$273,060,468	100.0	\$0	
PHILADELPHIA	C	9/30/2006		\$175,702,776	\$177,071,919	\$222,555	\$177,294,474	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$190,860,783	\$28,433,383			\$48,254,208	25.5	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$139,263,000		\$222,555	\$180,236,472	100.0	\$0	
READING	C	5/31/2006		\$9,417,596	\$9,676,266	\$521,134	\$10,197,400	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$10,767,496	\$1,615,124			\$1,865,457	17.3	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$7,682,000		\$521,134	\$11,289,905	100.0	\$0	
SCRANTON	C	5/31/2006		\$8,095,484	\$8,428,772	\$1,185,083	\$9,613,855	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$8,792,491	\$1,318,874			\$1,436,248	16.3	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$6,571,000		\$1,185,083	\$9,062,704	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
STATE COLLEGE PA	C	5/31/2006		\$3,155,342	\$3,441,265	\$104,276	\$3,545,541	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$3,635,083	\$545,262			\$2,504,055	68.9	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$2,072,000		\$104,276	\$4,076,056	100.0	\$0	
WILKES-BARRE PA	C	5/31/2006		\$3,059,214	\$2,908,778	\$478,151	\$3,386,929	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$3,395,819	\$379,173			\$445,746	13.1 #	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$1,974,800		\$478,151	\$3,114,346	100.0	\$0	
WILLIAMSPORT PA	C	5/31/2006		\$4,114,718	\$3,931,879	\$303,460	\$4,235,340	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$4,638,576	\$635,786			\$829,085	17.9 #	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$3,309,000		\$303,460	\$3,990,284	100.0	\$0	
WILMINGTON DE	C	8/31/2006		\$7,638,707	\$7,756,291	\$56,272	\$7,812,563	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$8,481,771	\$1,272,266			\$1,541,094	18.2	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$6,257,000		\$56,272	\$8,416,374	100.0	\$0	
YORK PA	C	5/31/2006		\$5,176,586	\$5,475,681	\$297,982	\$5,773,663	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$5,672,161	\$850,824			\$1,070,725	18.9	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$3,949,000		\$297,982	\$4,894,950	100.0	\$0	
YORK CO PA	C	6/30/2006		\$9,769,315	\$10,111,050	\$595	\$10,111,645	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$10,165,388	\$1,508,117			\$3,166,140	31.5	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$7,515,000		\$595	\$10,053,481	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
PITTSBURGH										
ALLEGHENY CO CON PA	C	5/31/2006		\$48,805,074	\$51,177,539	\$766,488	\$51,944,027	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$52,943,806	\$7,871,532			\$9,407,057	17.9	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$38,762,000		\$766,488	\$45,502,941	100.0	\$0	
ALTOONA PA	C	8/31/2006		\$4,919,668	\$5,175,188	\$0	\$5,175,188	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$5,366,297	\$804,945			\$1,891,308	35.2	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$3,855,000		\$0	\$5,219,217	100.0	\$0	
BEAVER CO PA	C	10/31/2006		\$12,394,486	\$12,648,428	\$98,482	\$12,746,910	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$13,450,060	\$2,004,318			\$2,568,773	19.2	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$10,289,000		\$98,482	\$13,201,808	100.0	\$0	
CHARLESTON CON WV	C	7/31/2006		\$8,949,139	\$9,552,600	\$138,211	\$9,690,811	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$9,936,780	\$1,473,787			\$1,521,187	15.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$6,298,000		\$138,211	\$10,012,652	100.0	\$0	
ERIE PA	C	8/31/2006		\$13,324,279	\$12,209,595	\$77,559	\$12,287,155	92.2	\$1,037,124	FO conf deadline met 9/13/06
	R		\$14,286,440	\$2,135,114			\$4,371,795	30.7	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$10,657,000		\$77,559	\$12,109,991	100.0	\$0	
HUNTINGTON CON WV	C	7/31/2006		\$10,759,523	\$9,775,880	\$1,281,214	\$11,057,093	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$11,891,148	\$1,783,672			\$3,057,732	25.7	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$8,514,000		\$1,281,214	\$11,210,955	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

PJ	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline	%	Shortfall	Current Status
JOHNSTOWN PA	C	6/30/2006		\$3,291,276	\$3,256,928	\$62,244	\$3,319,172	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$3,570,106	\$535,516			\$540,111	15.1	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$2,444,569		\$62,244	\$2,962,246	100.0	\$0	
PARKERSBURG CON WV	C	8/31/2006		\$3,812,187	\$3,813,953	\$332,967	\$4,146,920	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$4,147,652	\$622,148			\$815,950	19.7	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$2,595,000		\$332,967	\$4,166,207	100.0	\$0	
PITTSBURGH PA	C	6/30/2006		\$44,153,310	\$46,576,900	\$0	\$46,576,900	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$49,616,623	\$7,390,045			\$8,542,236	17.3	\$0	
	D	4/30/2006		\$36,875,000		\$0	\$50,315,367	100.0	\$0	
WASHINGTON CO PA	C	8/31/2006		\$12,203,851	\$13,321,195	\$106,099	\$13,427,293	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$13,554,119	\$2,018,864			\$2,359,931	17.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$10,214,000		\$106,099	\$12,693,969	100.0	\$0	
WEST VIRGINIA WV	C	8/31/2006		\$102,044,498	\$101,126,821	\$15,761,437	\$116,888,257	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$113,335,303	\$16,352,354			\$25,512,220	22.7	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$82,520,000		\$15,761,437	\$119,458,445	100.0	\$0	
WESTMORELAND CO CO PA	C	5/31/2006		\$17,746,547	\$17,593,831	\$1,355,041	\$18,948,871	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$20,126,033	\$2,994,295			\$5,342,497	26.8	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$14,900,000		\$1,355,041	\$19,915,791	100.0	\$0	
WHEELING CON WV	C	7/31/2006		\$4,893,097	\$5,219,399	\$88,376	\$5,307,775	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$5,394,546	\$809,182			\$913,742	16.9	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$3,493,000		\$88,376	\$5,745,015	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
PORTLAND										
BOISE ID	C	4/30/2006		\$7,385,402	\$6,034,579	\$2,006,707	\$8,041,286	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$8,110,230	\$1,198,833			\$1,361,280	17.0	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$5,468,000		\$2,006,707	\$7,509,257	100.0	\$0	
CLACKAMAS CO OR	C	7/31/2006		\$9,968,740	\$10,014,830	\$1,356,197	\$11,371,027	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$11,539,421	\$1,707,386			\$4,099,666	36.0	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$8,063,000		\$1,356,197	\$12,107,744	100.0	\$0	
CORVALLIS OR	C	7/31/2006		\$1,965,055	\$1,965,055	\$0	\$1,965,055	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$2,252,252	\$337,838			\$1,862,555	82.7	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$750,000		\$0	\$1,209,010	100.0	\$0	
EUGENE CON OR	C	7/31/2006		\$15,132,610	\$14,445,185	\$7,947,220	\$22,392,405	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$17,618,273	\$2,616,253			\$6,216,900	35.6	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$12,555,000		\$7,947,220	\$24,175,261	100.0	\$0	
IDAHO ID	C	7/31/2006		\$49,672,353	\$46,028,661	\$5,332,732	\$51,361,392	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$57,202,761	\$8,389,758			\$15,466,744	27.3	\$0	
	D	4/30/2006		\$39,083,000		\$5,332,732	\$57,146,748	100.0	\$0	
OREGON OR	C	4/30/2006		\$99,688,302	\$103,515,548	\$0	\$103,515,548	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$115,428,688	\$16,571,642			\$33,644,002	29.4	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$80,612,000		\$0	\$112,776,021	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
PORTLAND CON OR	C	9/30/2006		\$46,233,827	\$48,137,956	\$3,640,140	\$51,778,095	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$53,932,500	\$8,004,252			\$13,910,966	26.1	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$38,885,000		\$3,640,140	\$55,890,635	100.0	\$0	
SALEM CON OR	C	7/31/2006		\$9,272,254	\$8,681,104	\$2,161,572	\$10,842,676	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$10,590,356	\$1,571,753			\$3,356,761	32.0	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$7,454,000		\$2,161,572	\$12,018,601	100.0	\$0	
WASHINGTON CO CON OR	C	7/31/2006		\$12,996,159	\$12,157,324	\$1,378,183	\$13,535,506	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$15,089,261	\$2,224,305			\$6,445,950	43.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$9,896,000		\$1,378,183	\$14,457,794	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
RICHMOND										
CHARLOTTESVILLE CON VA	C	7/31/2006		\$8,493,165	\$8,635,101	\$1,093,863	\$9,728,964	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$9,421,843	\$1,395,042			\$2,245,105	24.1	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$6,403,000		\$1,093,863	\$10,087,144	100.0	\$0	
CHESAPEAKE VA	C	7/31/2006		\$6,308,569	\$4,872,282	\$3,002,945	\$7,875,227	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$7,479,794	\$1,110,789			\$1,195,937	16.1	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$5,392,000		\$3,002,945	\$8,057,020	100.0	\$0	
CHESTERFIELD CO VA	C	7/31/2006		\$4,025,476	\$4,378,711	\$77,332	\$4,456,043	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$4,447,796	\$656,217			\$995,846	22.8	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$2,863,000		\$77,332	\$4,252,770	100.0	\$0	
DANVILLE VA	C	7/31/2006		\$4,687,050	\$4,901,374	\$1,220,965	\$6,122,340	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$5,224,228	\$783,634			\$925,037	17.7	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$3,751,000		\$1,220,965	\$6,414,553	100.0	\$0	
HAMPTON VA	C	7/31/2006		\$7,965,891	\$8,498,822	\$1,076,493	\$9,575,315	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$8,816,380	\$1,314,159			\$1,410,433	16.1	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$6,427,000		\$1,076,493	\$9,100,854	100.0	\$0	
HENRICO CO VA	C	10/31/2006		\$5,352,888	\$5,986,110	\$34,250	\$6,020,360	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$5,914,464	\$864,804			\$1,674,752	29.0	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$3,186,000		\$34,250	\$6,116,777	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Thursday, October 02, 2008

Page 96 of 114

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
LYNCHBURG VA	C	7/31/2006		\$4,339,618	\$4,660,540	\$102,614	\$4,763,154	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$4,739,274	\$710,891			\$1,084,694	22.9	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$3,324,000		\$102,614	\$4,949,258	100.0	\$0	
NEWPORT NEWS VA	C	7/31/2006		\$12,555,381	\$13,463,570	\$1,968,991	\$15,432,561	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$14,501,122	\$2,151,581			\$2,228,457	15.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$10,637,000		\$1,968,991	\$15,541,488	100.0	\$0	
NORFOLK VA	C	9/30/2006		\$23,067,734	\$23,031,422	\$1,524,362	\$24,555,785	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$26,568,899	\$3,949,630			\$5,571,244	21.2	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$20,044,000		\$1,524,362	\$25,421,000	100.0	\$0	
PORTSMOUTH VA	C	8/31/2006		\$10,249,291	\$10,417,527	\$984,239	\$11,401,766	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$11,290,172	\$1,693,526			\$2,865,509	25.4	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$8,661,000		\$984,239	\$10,853,245	100.0	\$0	
RICHMOND VA	C	7/31/2006		\$21,571,186	\$22,108,447	\$2,470,239	\$24,578,687	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$23,945,618	\$3,554,066			\$9,332,471	39.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$17,269,000		\$2,470,239	\$25,037,939	100.0	\$0	
ROANOKE VA	C	7/31/2006		\$7,870,278	\$8,475,079	\$521,693	\$8,996,772	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$8,757,015	\$1,305,872			\$1,676,114	19.3	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$6,438,000		\$521,693	\$8,968,285	100.0	\$0	
SUFFOLK CON VA	C	7/31/2006		\$4,184,567	\$4,184,567	\$0	\$4,184,567	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$4,828,972	\$579,146			\$732,733	15.2#	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$2,983,800		\$0	\$3,452,460	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
VIRGINIA	C	8/31/2006		\$148,158,242	\$153,994,623	\$3,071,341	\$157,065,964	100.0	\$0	
VA	R		\$159,793,748	\$23,545,954			\$28,846,466	18.2	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$112,899,000		\$3,071,341	\$154,513,252	100.0	\$0	
VIRGINIA BEACH	C	8/31/2006		\$13,485,042	\$14,130,552	\$1,047,999	\$15,178,552	100.0	\$0	
VA	R		\$15,354,824	\$2,274,557			\$2,632,550	17.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$10,812,000		\$1,047,999	\$16,162,850	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Thursday, October 02, 2008

Page 98 of 114

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
SAN ANTONIO										
AUSTIN TX	C	9/30/2006		\$37,402,810	\$37,992,647	\$7,794,736	\$45,787,384	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$41,485,226	\$6,123,624			\$6,478,177	15.9	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$27,943,000		\$7,794,736	\$45,704,075	100.0	\$0	
BEXAR CO TX	C	9/30/2006		\$7,017,084	\$7,078,988	\$0	\$7,078,988	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$7,486,596	\$1,113,919			\$1,691,950	22.8	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$5,481,000		\$0	\$5,764,260	100.0	\$0	
BROWNSVILLE TX	C	9/30/2008		\$13,479,050	\$15,456,224	\$501,016	\$15,957,239	100.0	\$0	2004 Grant date - 9/2/06 (Deadline date 9/30/08)
	R		\$14,562,217	\$2,184,333			\$3,335,091	22.9	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$10,533,000		\$501,016	\$10,834,257	100.0	\$0	
CORPUS CHRISTI TX	C	7/31/2006		\$20,258,827	\$19,572,035	\$2,036,784	\$21,608,820	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$22,268,707	\$3,315,403			\$3,902,199	17.7	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$16,407,000		\$2,036,784	\$22,591,749	100.0	\$0	
HARLINGEN TX	C	9/30/2006		\$3,800,281	\$4,133,613	\$12,132	\$4,145,746	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$4,136,832	\$620,525			\$3,289,164	79.5	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$2,840,000		\$12,132	\$4,269,010	100.0	\$0	
HIDALGO CO TX	C	6/30/2006		\$23,921,461	\$25,387,573	\$309,753	\$25,697,327	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$26,468,816	\$3,954,460			\$8,569,926	32.5	\$0	
	D	6/30/2006		\$18,034,000		\$309,753	\$24,454,820	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
LAREDO	C	9/30/2006		\$15,524,994	\$16,684,730	\$1,241,620	\$17,926,350	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$16,940,405	\$2,528,555			\$2,735,725	16.2	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$12,459,000		\$1,241,620	\$18,648,245	100.0	\$0	
MCALLEN	C	9/30/2006		\$8,418,488	\$8,418,488	\$0	\$8,418,488	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$8,487,257	\$1,273,089			\$7,116,012	83.8	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$6,334,000		\$0	\$8,224,246	100.0	\$0	
SAN ANTONIO	C	9/30/2006		\$79,323,934	\$82,857,249	\$3,797,422	\$86,654,672	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$87,546,885	\$13,022,258			\$16,105,974	18.6	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$64,255,000		\$3,797,422	\$82,582,481	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
SAN FRANCISCO										
ALAMEDA CO CON CA	C	8/31/2006		\$39,680,198	\$41,710,097	\$2,552,544	\$44,262,641	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$44,138,111	\$6,558,154			\$9,129,005	20.9	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$31,014,000		\$2,552,544	\$41,246,677	100.0	\$0	
ARIZONA AZ	C	8/31/2006		\$69,085,161	\$69,977,359	\$437,721	\$70,415,080	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$77,116,705	\$11,382,440			\$12,878,444	16.8	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$51,657,000		\$437,721	\$67,833,580	100.0	\$0	
BERKELEY CA	C	8/31/2006		\$13,414,352	\$14,576,684	\$981,084	\$15,557,768	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$14,160,439	\$2,105,498			\$6,994,927	49.8	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$10,054,000		\$981,084	\$15,324,051	100.0	\$0	
CALIFORNIA CA	C	8/31/2006		\$538,911,214	\$560,970,757	\$0	\$560,970,757	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$601,972,494	\$88,701,457			\$101,886,671	17.1	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$416,455,000		\$0	\$480,298,911	100.0	\$0	
CHICO CA	C	8/31/2006		\$5,185,038	\$4,738,376	\$648,012	\$5,386,388	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$5,730,931	\$859,640			\$940,450	16.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$3,587,000		\$648,012	\$5,586,838	100.0	\$0	
CLARK CO CON NV	C	7/31/2006		\$42,094,806	\$43,114,747	\$2,841,946	\$45,956,693	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$47,473,969	\$7,004,491			\$12,452,202	26.7	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$29,750,000		\$2,841,946	\$41,529,681	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

PJ	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline	%	Shortfall	Current Status
CONTRA COSTA CO CON CA	C	8/31/2006		\$29,404,209	\$31,746,369	\$1,746,803	\$33,493,172	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$32,858,736	\$4,878,116			\$13,366,139	41.1	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$22,472,000		\$1,746,803	\$32,616,192	100.0	\$0	
DALY CITY CA	C	8/31/2006		\$1,010,073	\$1,504,774	\$178,452	\$1,683,226	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$1,142,131	\$171,320			\$1,120,726	98.1	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$178,452	\$0	0.0**		
DAVIS CA	C	8/31/2006		\$4,605,085	\$5,077,362	\$0	\$5,077,362	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$5,218,389	\$782,758			\$3,983,228	76.3	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$3,371,000		\$0	\$5,177,023	100.0	\$0	
FRESNO CA	C	10/31/2006		\$37,324,392	\$28,221,027	\$15,047,062	\$43,268,088	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$42,039,447	\$6,255,182			\$7,005,014	16.8	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$29,520,000		\$15,047,062	\$44,825,664	100.0	\$0	
FRESNO CO CA	C	8/31/2006		\$20,938,483	\$19,724,263	\$6,157,127	\$25,881,391	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$23,692,881	\$3,528,679			\$3,591,323	15.3	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$17,136,000		\$6,157,127	\$26,712,192	100.0	\$0	
HENDERSON NV	C	7/31/2006		\$1,048,153	\$1,337,467	\$1,174,810	\$2,512,277	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$1,161,002	\$165,142			\$250,000	22.7	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$1,174,810	\$0	0.0**		
LAS VEGAS NV	C			\$1,641,800	\$3,750,521	\$0	\$3,750,521	0.0*	\$0	
	R		\$1,825,700	\$273,855			\$919,359	0.0*	\$0	
	D			\$1,825,700		\$0	\$1,839,143	0.0**		

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

PJ	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline	%	Shortfall	Current Status
LYON CO CON	C	7/31/2006		\$3,709,582	\$2,916,353	\$1,651,487	\$4,567,840	100.0	\$0	
NV	R		\$4,272,977	\$628,761			\$1,155,463	27.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$2,395,000		\$1,651,487	\$4,878,935	100.0	\$0	
MARICOPA CO CON	C	7/31/2006		\$51,076,069	\$53,209,824	\$118,346	\$53,328,169	100.0	\$0	
AZ	R		\$55,550,514	\$8,221,757			\$11,254,216	20.5	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$37,867,000		\$118,346	\$55,053,669	100.0	\$0	
MARIN CO	C	8/31/2006		\$12,916,444	\$13,410,766	\$0	\$13,410,766	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$13,703,047	\$2,033,941			\$2,844,491	21.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$9,840,000		\$0	\$13,862,537	100.0	\$0	
MERCED	C	8/31/2006		\$6,599,284	\$7,005,818	\$3,369,530	\$10,375,348	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$6,611,284	\$991,693			\$1,198,181	18.1	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$4,533,000		\$3,369,530	\$9,253,584	100.0	\$0	
MODESTO	C	8/31/2006		\$11,304,993	\$11,031,875	\$363,135	\$11,395,011	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$13,027,482	\$1,936,709			\$2,159,396	16.7	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$8,694,701		\$363,135	\$10,443,200	100.0	\$0	
MOUNTAIN VIEW	C	8/31/2006		\$4,132,830	\$4,545,528	\$0	\$4,545,528	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$4,414,313	\$662,147			\$2,535,714	57.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$2,980,000		\$0	\$4,008,644	100.0	\$0	
NEVADA	C	8/31/2006		\$34,544,793	\$36,192,861	\$3,362,008	\$39,554,869	100.0	\$0	
NV	R		\$39,486,137	\$5,628,296			\$11,919,633	30.2	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$29,912,000		\$3,362,008	\$39,645,556	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
OAKLAND	C	9/30/2006		\$47,017,977	\$42,633,671	\$5,874,399	\$48,508,070	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$54,986,048	\$8,182,172			\$25,109,891	46.0	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$39,880,000		\$5,874,399	\$46,811,174	100.0	\$0	
PHOENIX	C	7/31/2006		\$60,351,594	\$59,981,505	\$2,707,891	\$62,689,396	100.0	\$0	
AZ	R		\$67,220,721	\$9,958,005			\$12,700,696	19.1	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$45,855,000		\$2,707,891	\$50,988,647	100.0	\$0	
REDDING	C	8/31/2006		\$1,122,843	\$1,122,843	\$0	\$1,122,843	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$1,247,603	\$187,140			\$602,724	48.3	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
REDWOOD CITY	C	8/31/2006		\$2,913,467	\$2,972,857	\$2,500	\$2,975,357	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$3,333,155	\$433,523			\$385,242	11.6 #	\$48,281	FO conf deadline met 12/6/06
	D	7/31/2006		\$1,966,000		\$2,500	\$3,038,495	100.0	\$0	
RENO CON	C	7/31/2006		\$13,147,045	\$12,000,300	\$1,782,917	\$13,783,217	100.0	\$0	
NV	R		\$15,355,701	\$2,268,397			\$3,851,628	25.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$10,256,000		\$1,782,917	\$15,217,611	100.0	\$0	
RICHMOND	C	4/30/2006		\$7,860,671	\$8,526,476	\$272,579	\$8,799,055	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$9,268,523	\$1,390,278			\$6,058,715	65.4	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$6,767,000		\$272,579	\$7,518,563	100.0	\$0	
SACRAMENTO	C	4/30/2006		\$33,897,040	\$35,104,877	\$6,322,679	\$41,427,556	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$37,956,728	\$5,636,920			\$7,369,952	19.6	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$26,872,000		\$6,322,679	\$41,194,237	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
SACRAMENTO CO CON CA	C	4/30/2006		\$36,499,521	\$35,954,242	\$6,254,805	\$42,209,047	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$41,313,776	\$6,122,786			\$14,075,789	34.5	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$28,765,000		\$6,254,805	\$45,552,360	100.0	\$0	
SALINAS CA	C	8/31/2006		\$9,842,996	\$8,151,393	\$3,895,823	\$12,047,216	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$10,931,595	\$1,627,102			\$1,812,650	16.7	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$7,768,000		\$3,895,823	\$11,933,581	100.0	\$0	
SAN FRANCISCO CA	C	8/31/2006		\$84,799,389	\$88,401,919	\$2,532,377	\$90,934,296	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$93,359,368	\$13,875,162			\$42,536,263	46.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$66,527,000		\$2,532,377	\$87,682,236	100.0	\$0	
SAN JOAQUIN CO CA	C	8/31/2006		\$16,627,677	\$16,001,250	\$2,182,921	\$18,184,171	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$18,220,888	\$2,711,975			\$3,079,547	17.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$12,770,000		\$2,182,921	\$19,241,907	100.0	\$0	
SAN JOSE CA	C	8/31/2006		\$43,800,558	\$38,507,129	\$416,470	\$38,923,600	88.9	\$4,876,958	FO conf deadline met 10/30/06
	R		\$49,170,633	\$7,315,008			\$19,710,775	40.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$34,714,000		\$416,470	\$40,218,563	100.0	\$0	
SAN MATEO CA	C	8/31/2006		\$4,781,777	\$5,190,383	\$12,893	\$5,203,277	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$5,313,086	\$796,963			\$2,536,963	47.7	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$3,653,000		\$12,893	\$4,647,660	100.0	\$0	
SAN MATEO CO CON CA	C	8/31/2006		\$23,932,967	\$24,748,679	\$443,898	\$25,192,576	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$26,425,241	\$3,933,995			\$5,084,462	19.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$20,274,000		\$443,898	\$26,171,631	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

PJ	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline	%	Shortfall	Current Status
SANTA CLARA	C	8/31/2006		\$5,907,836	\$6,307,790	\$807,028	\$7,114,818	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$6,557,169	\$983,575			\$2,880,173	43.9	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$4,653,000		\$807,028	\$6,942,530	100.0	\$0	
SANTA CLARA CO	C	8/31/2006		\$11,469,205	\$11,191,897	\$322,779	\$11,514,676	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$12,738,037	\$1,896,928			\$4,994,219	39.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$9,780,000		\$322,779	\$11,912,425	100.0	\$0	
SANTA CRUZ	C	8/31/2006		\$3,647,312	\$3,910,016	\$866,020	\$4,776,036	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$4,148,609	\$622,291			\$1,356,990	32.7	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$2,458,000		\$866,020	\$4,406,003	100.0	\$0	
SANTA ROSA	C	8/31/2006		\$7,546,853	\$7,859,285	\$2,416,826	\$10,276,111	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$8,606,381	\$1,183,522			\$1,416,524	16.6#	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$5,882,700		\$2,416,826	\$11,209,915	100.0	\$0	
SONOMA CO	C	8/31/2006		\$12,393,542	\$13,367,313	\$17,536	\$13,384,849	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$13,755,098	\$2,042,334			\$2,079,837	15.3	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$9,925,000		\$17,536	\$13,438,186	100.0	\$0	
STOCKTON	C	8/31/2006		\$21,966,730	\$21,739,635	\$5,942,039	\$27,681,674	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$24,013,019	\$3,573,030			\$8,583,693	36.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$16,894,000		\$5,942,039	\$26,566,199	100.0	\$0	
SUNNYVALE	C	8/31/2006		\$7,060,644	\$7,118,843	\$461,267	\$7,580,109	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$7,456,985	\$1,118,548			\$1,992,527	26.7	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$5,285,000		\$461,267	\$6,774,611	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
TUCSON CON	C	7/31/2006		\$39,347,292	\$40,428,921	\$7,589,160	\$48,018,082	100.0	\$0	
AZ	R		\$46,738,307	\$6,930,610			\$8,031,525	17.4	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$32,749,000		\$7,589,160	\$51,187,297	100.0	\$0	
TURLOCK CO CON	C	8/31/2006		\$5,179,758	\$4,535,611	\$628,971	\$5,164,582	99.7	\$15,175	FO conf deadline met 10/30/06
CA	R		\$5,749,145	\$847,056			\$1,356,518	24.0	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$1,846,000		\$628,971	\$5,666,347	100.0	\$0	
VALLEJO	C	8/31/2006		\$6,123,273	\$5,725,692	\$3,958,620	\$9,684,312	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$7,417,313	\$1,112,597			\$1,211,521	16.3	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$5,060,711		\$3,958,620	\$9,833,684	100.0	\$0	
VISALIA	C	8/31/2006		\$4,973,973	\$4,723,930	\$2,808,285	\$7,532,215	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$5,897,180	\$884,577			\$884,577	15.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2006		\$4,137,000		\$2,808,285	\$6,443,263	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
SEATTLE										
BELLINGHAM	C	4/30/2006		\$3,911,487	\$5,075,328	\$148,929	\$5,224,257	100.0	\$0	
WA	R		\$4,332,251	\$649,838			\$1,347,102	31.1	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$2,480,000		\$148,929	\$4,091,029	100.0	\$0	
CLARK CO CON	C	7/31/2006		\$12,488,235	\$12,952,358	\$2,015,560	\$14,967,918	100.0	\$0	
WA	R		\$14,335,175	\$2,122,057			\$3,668,881	25.9	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$9,814,000		\$2,015,560	\$16,019,274	100.0	\$0	
KING CO CON	C	5/31/2006		\$38,167,445	\$38,271,267	\$4,319,442	\$42,590,708	100.0	\$0	
WA	R		\$41,790,263	\$6,174,764			\$7,408,961	18.0	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$28,424,000		\$4,319,442	\$41,242,431	100.0	\$0	
KITSAP CO CON	C	4/30/2006		\$9,557,163	\$9,841,555	\$255,535	\$10,097,090	100.0	\$0	
WA	R		\$10,386,293	\$1,538,692			\$5,102,396	49.7	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$6,978,000		\$255,535	\$10,215,373	100.0	\$0	
LONGVIEW CON	C	8/31/2006		\$3,313,399	\$3,398,027	\$65,563	\$3,463,589	100.0	\$0	
WA	R		\$3,676,166	\$551,425			\$681,583	18.5	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$2,342,500		\$65,563	\$3,447,845	100.0	\$0	
PIERCE CO	C	8/31/2006		\$17,245,002	\$17,651,132	\$5,703,502	\$23,354,634	100.0	\$0	
WA	R		\$19,157,354	\$2,843,444			\$6,490,350	34.2	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$14,439,000		\$5,703,502	\$22,823,931	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
RICHLAND CON	C	4/30/2006		\$5,379,491	\$5,280,730	\$2,033,096	\$7,313,827	100.0	\$0	
WA	R		\$5,871,380	\$880,707			\$983,617	16.8	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$3,736,000		\$2,033,096	\$7,522,122	100.0	\$0	
SEATTLE	C	5/31/2006		\$44,915,336	\$43,458,665	\$3,259,742	\$46,718,408	100.0	\$0	
WA	R		\$49,918,109	\$7,397,786			\$10,829,505	22.0	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$35,521,000		\$3,259,742	\$47,666,077	100.0	\$0	
SNOHOMISH CO CON	C	7/31/2006		\$18,332,568	\$18,996,276	\$467,425	\$19,463,700	100.0	\$0	
WA	R		\$21,157,434	\$3,124,466			\$6,978,511	33.5	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$14,391,000		\$467,425	\$18,978,583	100.0	\$0	
SPOKANE	C	7/31/2006		\$17,192,794	\$18,044,786	\$1,226,933	\$19,271,718	100.0	\$0	
WA	R		\$19,890,001	\$2,957,973			\$3,501,244	17.8	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$14,403,000		\$1,226,933	\$20,997,836	100.0	\$0	
SPOKANE CO	C	7/31/2006		\$8,645,168	\$8,329,020	\$401,577	\$8,730,597	100.0	\$0	
WA	R		\$9,675,115	\$1,436,046			\$4,697,156	49.1	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$6,603,000		\$401,577	\$9,731,397	100.0	\$0	
TACOMA CON	C	7/31/2006		\$20,147,209	\$20,817,998	\$766,228	\$21,584,226	100.0	\$0	
WA	R		\$21,745,227	\$3,227,413			\$3,932,428	18.3	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$14,991,000		\$766,228	\$20,946,075	100.0	\$0	
THURSTON CO CON	C	10/31/2006		\$1,843,253	\$2,320,206	\$79,777	\$2,399,983	100.0	\$0	
WA	R		\$2,040,602	\$287,492			\$481,010	25.1	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$79,777	\$0	0.0**		

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
WASHINGTON	C	5/31/2006		\$109,076,165	\$109,350,424	\$3,219,719	\$112,570,143	100.0	\$0	
WA	R		\$121,275,563	\$17,058,586			\$21,979,221	18.3	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$86,522,000		\$3,219,719	\$113,060,811	100.0	\$0	
YAKIMA	C	4/30/2006		\$5,488,680	\$5,979,866	\$984,489	\$6,964,356	100.0	\$0	
WA	R		\$6,211,204	\$931,681			\$1,167,775	18.8	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$4,145,000		\$984,489	\$7,279,944	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
ST. LOUIS										
COLUMBIA MO	C	4/30/2006		\$5,440,746	\$5,457,277	\$648,048	\$6,105,325	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$6,069,069	\$902,734			\$963,333	16.0	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$4,031,000		\$648,048	\$6,160,031	100.0	\$0	
ST. LOUIS MO	C	5/31/2006		\$57,460,043	\$56,731,064	\$1,108,618	\$57,839,682	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$62,316,271	\$9,281,298			\$13,208,646	21.3	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$46,843,000		\$1,108,618	\$57,961,667	100.0	\$0	
ST. LOUIS CO CON MO	C	5/31/2006		\$31,178,364	\$33,346,459	\$614,541	\$33,961,000	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$33,550,260	\$4,961,208			\$8,573,465	25.9	\$0	
	D	3/31/2006		\$23,224,000		\$614,541	\$35,045,874	100.0	\$0	

± C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD⁺ through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
WASHINGTON										
ALEXANDRIA VA	C	8/31/2006		\$7,718,485	\$6,242,036	\$1,935,509	\$8,177,545	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$8,418,452	\$1,244,728			\$1,812,381	21.8	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$5,803,000		\$1,935,509	\$8,942,182	100.0	\$0	
ARLINGTON CO VA	C	8/31/2006		\$11,886,135	\$11,368,152	\$1,414,154	\$12,782,306	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$12,898,084	\$1,912,848			\$3,981,960	31.2	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$9,138,000		\$1,414,154	\$13,445,311	100.0	\$0	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DC	C	10/31/2006		\$78,972,566	\$73,104,044	\$6,574,899	\$79,678,944	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$88,295,628	\$12,985,725			\$19,288,508	22.0 #	\$0	
	D	11/30/2006		\$59,164,863		\$6,574,899	\$68,304,000	100.0	\$0	
FAIRFAX CO VA	C	8/31/2006		\$22,537,883	\$22,897,975	\$653,359	\$23,551,334	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$24,837,839	\$3,682,092			\$3,858,816	15.7	\$0	
	D	9/30/2006		\$17,064,000		\$653,359	\$21,173,023	100.0	\$0	
MONTGOMERY CO MD	C	9/30/2006		\$23,006,961	\$24,923,088	\$1,749,061	\$26,672,149	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$26,459,016	\$3,921,363			\$5,669,444	21.7	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$18,604,000		\$1,749,061	\$26,535,728	100.0	\$0	
PRINCE GEORGE'S CO MD	C	8/31/2006		\$27,883,425	\$25,402,843	\$2,522,769	\$27,925,612	100.0	\$0	
	R		\$32,689,274	\$4,831,991			\$7,524,034	23.4	\$0	
	D	10/31/2006		\$22,931,000		\$2,522,769	\$25,506,656	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report
Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements
As of 09/30/08
(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline Date</u>	<u>Original Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±] through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
PRINCE WILLIAM CO	C	10/31/2006		\$6,169,122	\$7,517,998	\$4,067,548	\$11,585,546	100.0	\$0	
VA	R		\$6,933,420	\$946,145			\$1,178,884	17.3#	\$0	
	D	8/31/2006		\$4,433,400		\$4,067,548	\$11,457,034	100.0	\$0	

[±] C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Deadline Compliance Status Report

Requirement Years: 2004 Commitments, 2004 CHDO Reservations, and 2001 Disbursements

As of 09/30/08

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	<u>±</u>	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	<u>Original</u> <u>Allocation</u>	<u>Requirement</u> <u>Amount</u>	<u>Commitments</u>	<u>Program</u> <u>Income</u>	<u>Total C,R,orD[±]</u> <u>through Deadline</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
-----------	----------	--------------------------------	--------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------	---------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------	----------	------------------	-----------------------

[±] C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

*PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2004. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

**PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2001. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2006 disbursement deadline date.

PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Thursday, October 02, 2008

Page 114 of 114